



Title	Exploring stable-based behaviour and behaviour switching for the detection of bilateral pain in equines
Authors(s)	Egan, Sonja, Kearney, Clodagh, Brama, Pieter A. J., Parnell, Andrew C., McGrath, Denise
Publication date	2021-02
Publication information	Egan, Sonja, Clodagh Kearney, Pieter A. J. Brama, Andrew C. Parnell, and Denise McGrath. "Exploring Stable-Based Behaviour and Behaviour Switching for the Detection of Bilateral Pain in Equines." Elsevier, February 2021. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2021.105214 .
Publisher	Elsevier
Item record/more information	http://hdl.handle.net/10197/25678
Publisher's version (DOI)	10.1016/j.applanim.2021.105214

Downloaded 2026-05-01 23:32:50

The UCD community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters! (@ucd_oa)



© Some rights reserved. For more information

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/348356877>

Exploring stable-based behaviour and behaviour switching for the detection of bilateral pain in equines

Article in *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* · January 2021

DOI: 10.1016/j.applanim.2021.105214

CITATIONS

2

READS

48

5 authors, including:



Sonja Egan

University College Dublin

10 PUBLICATIONS 16 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Clodagh M Kearney

University College Dublin

17 PUBLICATIONS 176 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



P.A.J. Brama

University College Dublin

142 PUBLICATIONS 3,167 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)



Denise McGrath

University College Dublin

46 PUBLICATIONS 1,134 CITATIONS

[SEE PROFILE](#)

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:




The characterisation and utilisation of an equine model of joint inflammation in order to investigate the utility of novel nano therapeutics. [View project](#)

Journal Pre-proof

Exploring stable-based behaviour and behaviour switching for the detection of bilateral pain in equines

Sonja Egan, Clodagh M. Kearney, Pieter A.J. Brama, Andrew C. Parnell, Denise McGrath



PII: S0168-1591(21)00001-0
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2021.105214>
Reference: APPLAN 105214

To appear in: *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*

Received Date: 6 September 2019
Revised Date: 23 December 2020
Accepted Date: 27 December 2020

Please cite this article as: Egan S, Kearney CM, Brama PAJ, Parnell AC, McGrath D, Exploring stable-based behaviour and behaviour switching for the detection of bilateral pain in equines, *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* (2021), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2021.105214>

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier.

**Title: Exploring stable-based behaviour and behaviour switching
for the detection of bilateral pain in equines**

Short: Modelling Equine Pain Behaviour

Authors: Sonja Egan^{1*}, Clodagh M. Kearney², Pieter A.J. Brama²,
Andrew C. Parnell³, Denise McGrath¹

¹Institute for Sport and Health, School of Public Health,
Physiotherapy and Sports Science, University College Dublin,
Dublin, Ireland

²Section Veterinary Clinical Sciences, School of Veterinary
Medicine, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland.

³Hamilton Institute, Insight Centre for Data Analytics, Maynooth
University, Maynooth, Co. Kildare, Ireland.

* **Corresponding Author:** sonja.egan@ucdconnect.ie

Highlights

- Equine behavioural variability reduced during painful periods and increased significantly with recovery.
- Levels of locomotor activity following induced bilateral lameness in equines demonstrates high inter-subject variability and was not a significant indicator of joint inflammation
- Eating behaviour is not a strong indicator of mild bilateral lameness.

Abstract

Efficient and sensitive animal pain detection approaches are increasingly studied with the goal of improving animal welfare and monitoring the efficacy of treatment and rehabilitation. The aim of this study was to determine the potential of various behaviours as sensitive indicators of subtle inflammation states in the equine animal. The long-term goal of this research is to understand how to objectively and remotely classify behaviours that are associated with inflammation using wearable inertial sensor technologies. This study represents a proof-of-concept investigation to ascertain what behavioural indices might be important in long-term monitoring of mild bilateral inflammation and recovery with a view to translating the approach to a technology-enabled remote monitoring paradigm. Bilateral synovitis of the intercarpal joints was induced in seven equines using lipopolysaccharide (0.25ng) at time zero. Animals were confined to stables and monitored intermittently over seven days by video camera. White blood cell count, carpal circumference and food availability were recorded across the study. An ethogram was created to manually annotate behaviours from video footage following lameness induction at seven different timepoints across a 1-week period. Behaviour data were processed extracting the duration, frequency and variability of behaviours. One-way repeated measures ANOVA revealed a significant time effect for white blood cell count and behaviour switching. There were no significant changes in carpal circumferences and heart rate measures over the sampling period. Food availability appears to be an important contextual factor that should be considered in pain-related behavioural studies. We conclude that behaviour variability may be a

promising indicator of subtle bilateral inflammation which should be further explored in a larger controlled trials and different pain presentations. Future work will seek to optimise grouping of behaviours associated with inflammation that can be detected using wearable technologies for future remote monitoring protocols.

Keywords

Ethogram, Actigraphy, wearable technology, variability, physical activity, behaviour switching

1. Introduction

Evaluation of pain in the equine animal is notoriously difficult yet a fundamental aspect of clinical decision making. Recently the focus of equine pain detection has expanded towards grading of equine facial expressions (Gleerup et al., 2015) supported by clinical indicators. Pain scales have been developed to include the Horse Grimace Scale (HGS), EQUUS-COMPASS and EQUUS-FAP pain scales (Dalla Costa et al., 2016) (VanDierendonck and van Loon, 2016). The HGS splits the horses face into six sections, known as 'Facial Action Units' (Dai et al., 2020). The units are then scored independently. Scores are based on facial changes including ear position, above eye and jaw tension, and changes in mouth/chin behaviour and movement (Coneglian et al., 2020). The HGS has been useful in detecting pain related to dental disorders and laminitis. However, the HGS has not been validated for widespread use, may indicate fear (Dalla Costa et al., 2017) and likely requires comprehensive training to improve scoring accuracy (Dai et al., 2020). Further to this, a clear view of the horses' face must be

available, where the Facial Action Units cannot be obstructed by typical handling tools such as a headcollar.

The composite pain scale (CPS) was developed for orthopaedic pain under an induced lameness model (Bussi eres et al., 2008), created in an effort to reduce rater perception and improve reliability. Gleerup and Lindegaard (2016) stated that the CPS takes approximately 10 minutes to administer, too long for a busy equine practice. They developed a universal pain scale, the common equine pain scale which could be administered in two minutes. The CPS was used to develop the EQUUS-COMPASS scale, intended to improve the assessment of pain associated with acute colic (van Loon and Van Dierendonck, 2015). The EQUUS – FAP scale is another pain face recognition scale incorporating nine instead of six facial compartments. Both the EQUUS-COMPASS and EQUUS-FAP scales have been validated for use in horses with acute colic and have been deemed suitable for use by owners as it remains sensitive to acute pain on removal of physiological parameters (VanDierendonck and van Loon, 2016). These scales have improved our understanding of pain, however the assessment requires time and experience, given the subjective judgements involved.

Physiological parameters in horses have weak to moderate capability to detect pain related changes while behaviour is deemed a much stronger indicator of pain (Gleerup and Lindegaard, 2016).

Changes in gross physical behaviours have been investigated as indicators of health status in human and animal research (Atallah and Yang, 2009; Alsaad et al., 2015; de Grauw and van Loon, 2016).

Equine activity budgets involve the measurement of behaviour

frequency and duration using intermittent video annotation, continuous video annotation or accelerometry. These measures appear sensitive to mild pain and effects of treatment (Price et al., 2003; Pritchett et al., 2003; de Grauw and van Loon, 2016; Everett et al., 2018). However, video-based methods are time consuming and have precluded real-time pain detection, discouraging their application in clinical or performance settings.

The long-term goal of our research is to understand how best to monitor subtle levels of inflammation in equines using wearable sensor technology. A wireless monitoring system could remove the human resource required by existing composite scales and enable decisions that are based on many more hours of behavioural data than is common in current practice. Such a system could be used for early detection of injury/illness risk and monitor recovery. Exploiting technology for data-driven decision making has been recognised as a frontier within the field of equine movement analysis (Bosch et al., 2018; Bragança et al., 2018; Egan et al., 2019). In order to understand what movement features might be worth targeting for longitudinal monitoring of inflammation using wearable inertial sensors, we undertook a proof-of-concept study that monitored the stable behaviours of seven equines following the induction of mild bilateral lameness. This study is based on video annotation however, our focus was specifically on behaviours that could be detectable by wearable inertial sensors with future remote-monitoring applications in mind. Thus, suitable behaviours that can provide important information about levels of inflammation needed to be identified in the first instance. In recent use, “proof-of-concept” describes research in the beginning stages, at the cutting edge of new

applications or technologies. It describes evidence, usually derived from a pilot project, that demonstrates that a design concept is feasible or as research that establishes a prototype (Kendig, 2016). The purpose of this study was to identify possible behavioural features that change with mild inflammation that could feasibly be incorporated into a longitudinal monitoring system based on wearable technology.

Bilateral lameness was induced using the established transient lipopolysaccharide (LPS) model and recovery was tracked over one week (De Grauw et al., 2009a; de Grauw et al., 2009b; Van Loon et al., 2010; De Grauw et al., 2014; Sladek et al., 2018). Currently, few published studies incorporate behavioural aetiology alongside bilateral LPS models. Van Loon *et al.* (2010) documented that horses spent more time in recumbency, reduced limb loading and spent less time eating in the 4 to 8-hour timepoints following unilateral LPS administration. It was thus expected that the equines would experience a typical ‘inflammation peak’ previously associated with induced lameness models. We discuss the merits and challenges of identifying inflammation-related behaviours at mild levels of inflammation and how they may be harnessed for future longitudinal monitoring applications. Bilateral lameness increases case complexity as typical kinematic asymmetry parameters cannot be used as a diagnostic indicator due to the apparent asymmetry between left and right sides, a parameter frequently used to detect and discern the severity of unilateral lameness. It is important to explore other non-invasive indicators of this condition. Thus, this study documents changes in gross behaviours alongside subtle levels of bilateral lameness and subsequent recovery – a situation that is

difficult to discern using one-off subjective assessments in the applied field. We sought to identify behaviours that exhibited the greatest within-horse change over time as potential targets for an objective long-term monitoring system.

2. Methods

2.1 Participants

This study was approved by the University College Dublin Animal Research Ethics Committee (AREC-16-29-Brama) and the Health Products Regulation Authority (AE18982/P105) in compliance with Irish legislation on animal experiments. This experiment was part of a larger study investigating recovery from induction of bilateral lipopolysaccharide (LPS) induced joint inflammation. Seven equines were included in this study (Table 1), six of which were free from lameness and carpal joint disease as judged by clinical exam and radiological screening by two boarded equine specialists (diplomates European College of Veterinary Surgeons (ECVS)), H4 bore a moderate mechanical hindlimb lameness. Animals were stabled individually in single boxes (4x4m) on wood shavings and were familiarised to the environment and routine for two weeks prior to data collection. They were not administered LPS for 14 days prior to this experiment. They were fed concentrate once daily, with regular hay and water provided *ad libitum*. Stables were enclosed in an American style barn where each individual stable had three walls and a front grid bar design allowing them to look out the front of their stable, place their head over the door and maintain observation of the yard and other animals. It was

assumed that subjects were exhibiting “normal” behaviour at 0hrs and by day 7 of our study, they were approaching or had reached a recovered state (Holcombe et al., 2016).

2.2 Protocol

The left and right dorsal carpal regions of each equine were clipped and prepped for dorsal arthrocentesis. Lipopolysaccharide from *Escherichia coli* O55:B5 (catalogue number L5418; Sigma-Aldrich Ireland Ltd., Arklow, Co. Wicklow Ireland) was diluted to a final concentration of 0.25 ng/ml in sterile lactated Ringer's solution. Animals were sedated with xylazine (0.2-0.5 mg/kg intravenously, Chanazine 10%®; Chanelle, Ireland) and butorphanol (0.02-0.04 mg/kg intravenously; Alvegesic vet 10®, ALVETRA u. WERFFT GmbH, Boltzmannsgasse 11, A-1090 Vienna, Austria). Arthrocentesis was performed with a 20 G × 40 mm needle and 1 ml LPS solution was delivered aseptically into both left and right intercarpal joints after withdrawal of a synovial fluid sample. Synovial fluid samples for biomarker analyses were obtained at timepoints: 0, 8, 24, 72 and 168hrs. Part of each synovial sampling was placed in EDTA tubes for white blood cell counts (WBCC) as an objective indicator of joint inflammation occurring in the model over the timeline of the behaviour analyses. Synovial fluid WBCC counts were performed manually with the help of a counting chamber under a microscope. Video footage was initiated at the same time for all horses and they were continuously recorded for the first 12-hour period (approximately 8am – 8pm). Animals were recorded again under the same setup at 24, 72- and 168-hours post LPS for approximately 6-8 hours (approximately 8am – 4pm) Horses were recorded at the same time everyday A single Hikvision 4 Megapixel EXIR IP PoE turret

Camera with 4 mm lens was fixed to the upper right side of each stable, wired out of reach of the animal. This allowed for continuous recording without interfering with normal equine behaviour. Cameras recorded footage throughout the above specified time points to a 4 terabyte Skyhawk CCTV hard drive for download and offline analysis. Videos were sampled at 20 frames/s with a 1280 x 720 resolution. Heart rate and carpal circumference data were recorded at the following time points: 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 24, 72 & 168hrs) by boarded equine specialists (ECVS). Carpal circumference was measured at the level of the accessory carpal bone with a tape measure. The skin at this point was marked with ink to ensure consistency in measuring technique.

2.3 Annotation Framework

A bespoke annotation framework was designed through an iterative deductive and inductive analysis of the data. Two research assistants were engaged to work with the principal annotator. Both individuals were students of veterinary medicine, previously experienced in annotating animal footage under the supervision of a consultant animal behaviourist. Expected 'gross' behaviours i.e. eating, drinking, defecating, lying, stepping, etc. were supplemented by a review of existing equine pain literature. Research in laminitic horses or horses presenting with navicular syndrome provide the clinical basis of many bilateral lameness cases, although it was expected that pain experienced by horses under our induced lameness model could be different to that experienced in laminitis or navicular syndrome. The included behaviours all needed to be detectable by inertial sensor units in order to address our research question.

A modified excel sheet was created for behavioural coding, containing: trial date, session, horse code and joint, food availability, position in the stable, behaviour, actual start time (24-hour clock corresponding to experiment real-time), actual end time, video start time, video end time, time point (e.g. 0hrs, 2hrs, 4hrs, etc.). Drop down menus were instated for behavioural codes, food availability and position in the stable to limit typographical and human error. Formulae were applied to automatically calculate experiment real-time and behaviour duration. A total of 12 behaviours were listed in the original ethogram. The principal annotator randomly chose 4 hours of footage from different animals for annotator training and to trial the ethogram. A week later, annotators met and examined the training footage and their separate excel files to highlight any disagreement or misunderstanding of existing operational definitions. Definitions were refined to convey certain behaviours with enhanced specificity; for example, walking activity was split to account for head and neck position, number of consecutive steps and pacing/box walking and ambling activity were considered separately. The literature was consulted a second time to improve the clarity of operational definitions. The ethogram was finalised at 15 behaviours (Table 2). Once the ethogram had been piloted and finalised, video annotation thereafter required >250 hours to complete. This supports the findings of Mills and Nankervis (2013) outlining that continuous sampling is usually the most accurate however comes at a significant time investment.

Annotators coded together for approximately 24 hours of footage, then began working separately. If an annotator was unsure about coding a given activity, they would share the clip in a private

online forum. The activity would be discussed and defined under one of the existing behaviours or deemed unimportant for coding. On completion of each video file, the principal annotator checked each file, randomly selecting behaviour samples to ensure behaviour time points matched the footage and the formulae were calculated correctly in the file. Behavioural coding only began at least 30 minutes post sedation when the animal returned to full normal behaviour (head above withers, walking normally, interest in food/environment, etc). Sedative effects of a higher dose of xylazine (1.1mg/kg) are expected to last an average of 20 minutes (Dugdale, 2011).

The equine data was then stacked in a separate excel file in order of horse (H1 – H7) and session (0-12, 24, 72 and 168hrs) to facilitate behavioural modelling, time and frequency analysis.

2.4 Data Processing

The 15 individual behaviours were collapsed into exploratory sets of similar behaviours. Pain indicators were selected according to existing equine orthopaedic, bilateral limb and hoof pain indicators, i.e. frequent lying episodes and limb pointing or holding indicating severe limb pain (Ashley et al., 2005; Van Loon et al., 2010; Gleerup and Lindegaard, 2016) and pawing as an indicator of orthopaedic pain (Bussièrès et al., 2008; Wagner, 2010). Standing agitation was initially included in the pain indicator set however it was flagged through preliminary data visualisation and confirmed through video observation that this behaviour is also influenced by food availability. Quiet standing was analysed separately to determine if it indicated an unwillingness to move, as seen in previous pain studies

(Reid et al., 2017). Finally, there were 5 categories of behaviours created and analysed and outcome variables were subsequently derived based on these categories of behaviours (Table 3. i.e. Eating, Locomotor Activity, Quiet Standing, Pain Indices, Standing Agitation). Durations of behaviours across time periods were summed and expressed as proportions of time. These proportions were expressed in two-hour blocks to align with sampling periods. Seven separate time periods were created for the purpose of qualitative data visualisation i.e. 0-2hrs (no LPS effect assumed), 2-4hrs, 6-8hrs, 10-12hrs, 24hrs, 72hrs and 168hrs. LPS injection occurred on day 0 of the experiment; then 24hrs, 72hrs and 168hrs were labels that denoted time periods each consisting of 6 hours of continuous data collection on days 1, 3 and 7 of the experiment, respectively. Heart Rate, carpal circumference and WBCC were analysed using mean, standard deviation and confidence interval calculations. Five outcome variables were calculated based on behaviours that were grouped into categories as per Table 3. A sixth outcome variable, behaviour variability i.e. number of behaviour switches per two hours was calculated where behaviour switches were comprised of moving from any of the 15 behaviours to a different behaviour (i.e. frequency of switches). The relationship between the proportion of time spent eating and foraging against the proportion of time that food was available was explored graphically across the experimental time points to understand the impact of food availability.

2.5 Statistical Analysis

A one-way repeated measures ANOVA was performed to analyse changes in behaviour across the 7 time points in the following outcome variables: Proportion of time spent eating, Proportion of time spent walking, Proportion of time in quiet standing, Proportion of time in standing agitation, Proportion of time exhibiting pain behaviours and behaviour variability. Mauchly's Test of Sphericity was used to test the assumption of sphericity in each case. Where sphericity was violated, the Huyn-Feldt adjustment is reported. Where a significant effect was detected a contrast, analysis was undertaken to investigate how each condition compared to the first and last conditions i.e. 0hrs and 168hrs, where horses were assumed to be in their normal/recovered state, or at least approaching this state.

3. Results

White blood cell counts peaked at 8 hours (Figure 1), similar to reported previously (De Grauw et al. 2009 a,b, Cokelaere et al. (2018), Sladek et al. 2018) indicating joint inflammation with a suspected association to pain. This was reduced by 24hrs-72hrs and returned to normal at 168hrs (Table 4). A one-way repeated measures ANOVA revealed a significant ($p = 0.046$) effect of time. Our assumption is that the horses were experiencing a degree of pain that subsided as the week progressed. Carpal Circumference (Figure 2) bears a similar trend to mean synovial WBCC, but with no statistically significant differences. Carpal circumference increased 0.85 ± 0.27 cm. Heart rate values remained within normal limits, 39 ± 3 beats per minute. Overall change in heart rate values across the

experimental period were 6 ± 2 beats per minute and did not deviate outside of normal range.

Behaviour trends are illustrated in Figure 3 as individual horse data and averaged data. A one-way repeated measures ANOVA was undertaken for each variable. Behaviour variability returned a significant effect of time $p = 0.033$ with contrasts analysis indicating that as the horses recovered, their behavioural variability increased significantly (Table 4).

The proportion of time spent in eating behaviour was plotted against the proportion of time that food was available (fig 4.). Eating behaviour when food was available appears to have no relationship with time and thus the level of subtle pain experienced here does not appear to influence typical eating behaviours

4. Discussion

Equine behaviour analysis is a prevalent area of research, frequently implemented to better understand behavioural patterns associated with welfare and pain. It was expected that the equines in our study would experience a typical 'inflammation peak' previously associated with induced lameness models. This was exhibited as a spike in WBCC in synovial fluid during 6-12hrs post LPS injection, returning to normal thereafter. Thus, these equines experienced mild joint inflammation in the latter part of the first 12-hour sampling period that had attenuated by the next day. Our set of specific pain behaviours derived from previous literature (as described in 2.4. data processing) occurred infrequently, with a weak, non-significant trend towards reduced incidence as the week progressed from initial lameness induction. Quantification of walking and quiet standing

behaviours were not found to be sensitive markers of bilateral joint inflammation as large inter-subject differences were noted between horses. Behaviour switching was found to be significantly different during the 6-8, 10-12hr bilateral joint inflammation period, compared to 0 and 168hrs i.e. more behaviour switching when the joints were less inflamed. The heart rate data collected in our study also mirrors the trends found in Lucia et al. and supports findings from other pain-behaviour research outlining that physiological measures are not a salient indicator of pain status (Bussi eres et al., 2008; Gleerup and Lindegaard, 2016; Reid et al., 2017).

Bilateral lameness is an understudied condition with respect to behavioural analysis, thus this study provides a new insight into behaviour in mild bilateral lameness, captured through extensive video annotation. Limitations of this research include that data capture only occurred during daylight hours therefore the horses' diurnal cycle cannot be described; the grouping of behaviours was exploratory and may not be optimal. There was no control group included in the investigation. This is a preliminary investigation of potential behavioural targets for monitoring mild bilateral inflammation and this initial data will inform the continual development of the protocols and technology developed as part of this research programme. In the absence of a control group, we cannot conclude that the behavioural changes observed were due to the intervention, Rather, our data can inform future controlled trials with respect to promising outcome measures that change with inflammation and recovery. There is rarely a control group or 'healthy' reference available to veterinarians on clinical presentation of an animal experiencing pain. Hence it is important to determine if

this method can detect between the peak inflammation period, based on clinical biomarkers, and the expected recovery period based on the existing empirical understanding of LPS latency (Bragança et al., 2018) and an individual horse.

Animals in painful or stressful situations may engage in avoidance, withdrawal behaviours or inactivity (Mellor and Beausoleil, 2015). Therefore, this study investigated durations of active/non-active behaviours and behaviours previously associated with pain following bilateral lameness induction, e.g., locomotor and feeding activity. Locomotor activity and quiet standing, or active/inactive behaviours that are typically measured in actigraphy type approaches did not exhibit statistically significant trends as the experiment progressed. It is interesting to note that large inter-horse variability at 0hrs and again at 168hrs for locomotor activity (sphericity was violated in this case) and quiet standing, reduced considerably in the 10–12-hour period, where behaviour across subjects clustered around a reduced value in both cases.

We explored the concept of variability of behaviours and how this might change as the equine moves from high levels of joint inflammation to returning to its normal state. Variability of behaviours i.e., how frequently an animal switches from one behaviour to another has been shown to be an adaptive strategy that denotes an exploratory and thus healthy disposition in an animal. Behaviour switching has been investigated to examine equine stereotypies, knowledge acquisition, (Kirsty et al., 2015), anxiety and food related behaviours (Moore-Colyer et al., 2016; Reid et al., 2017). The number of times an animal switched from one behaviour to another thus potentially provides a perspective on how responsive

their disposition was to their internal and external environments. Peters et al (2012) determined that increased behaviour switching of horses in the stabled environment was associated with anticipation behaviours. The authors found that there was increased exploratory behaviour during the anticipation phase of their experiment, suggested to be associated with arousal around reward learning. Behaviour switching may be indicative of more redundancy – or abundance - in the system as levels of pain decreased, if viewed through the principle of motor abundance described in the motor control literature (Latash, 2012). Thus, we interpret behaviour switching in our data as a return to a healthy, adaptive state of being. Behaviour switching appears to be a promising marker of bilateral joint inflammation/pain that could be exploited in future work.

It is important in these types of studies to understand how behaviours interact with each other and/or with environmental and other contextual factors. To this end, we sought to explore how eating behaviours related to food availability, and whether this was influenced by time. Figure 4 shows that when food was available, the animals usually ate it, regardless of what stage in the experiment is observed and was not overtly affected by subtle pain states. The findings illustrated in Figure 4 suggest that food availability is a contextual factor that may need to be considered when analysing subtle pain behaviours. In this study, forage was administered two to three times daily in a corner hayrack to promote graze style feeding and natural positioning of the animal. Research undertaken by McGreevy et al (1995) across thoroughbreds in race training yards found that horses offered greater amounts of forage more frequently across the day engaged in less abnormal behaviours. They suggested

that if the horse's satiety had not been reached, they engaged in greater food searching and oral-based vices (wind sucking/crib biting). Although stabled feeding behaviour is known to be different to pasture style grazing (Heleski et al., 2002), Figure 4 shows that the equines in this study engaged in food searching behaviour when food was not available. There does not appear to be an effect of time on eating when food was available. However, when food was not available the graph suggests that the animals engaged in less food searching behaviour in the 0-12 hr time period when bilateral joint inflammation was greatest. Continuous sampling and later annotation of virtually all behaviours was undertaken in this study as it is deemed the most accurate method of behavioural analysis (Mills and Nankervis, 2013). As a proof-of-concept study, we explored the value of longitudinal monitoring of behavioural features, and groups of features alongside mild inflammation and recovery to see if it would be worthwhile to translate such an approach to a wireless, wearable sensor-based system.

Our data on quantification of locomotor activity, quiet standing and standing agitation highlight the complexity that underpins these behaviours. For example, during the horses' 'inflammation peak' both locomotor activity and quiet standing reduced considerably – uniformly across all horses – while standing agitation increased, but not uniformly. Our analysis of food availability suggests that this contextual factor does influence behaviour at this level of inflammation and may have impacted standing agitation measures. Thus, we conclude that simply quantifying active/non-active behaviour is not an adequate window into forelimb inflammation on its own.

It is anticipated that the equine behaviour displayed in this study were influenced in some way by human presence and activity. However, it must be considered that there will be a direct/indirect human presence or influence in most scenarios investigating domestic equine behaviours. To mitigate the direct impact of human activity on equine behaviours, anytime a human entered the horses' stable (loose box) and the direct camera view, behaviour sampling was paused and not included in the analysis - it is anticipated the horses' behaviour will have been a direct response to the human presence in the stable space. The duration of time spent in the head over door behaviour (HD), presented in Table 2, may have been influenced by the activity in the stable yard. However, it is not possible to state that this was because of human activity as no behaviour analysis was completed in the absolute absence of human presence. There were many occasions, particularly from timepoint 24hrs onwards when the stable yard was very quiet with minimal activity and the horses still engaged in HD behaviour.

Distinguishing parameters of "normal" and "abnormal" activity in equines has proven challenging. Several behavioural monitoring methods have been employed, many of which focus on interval based focal or scan sampling (de Grauw and van Loon, 2016). Focal sampling is defined as noting all behaviours and physical features occurring within a given time frame (Altmann, 1974); for example, five minutes per horse in two-hour windows. Focal and scan sampling styles are used to reduce the time required to assess pain/behaviour. However, it can be argued based on our results that this method is insufficient when pain is not severe and pain indicators like those tracked in our study, such as pawing, occur

infrequently. Given the amount of time that was required to monitor these equines using video annotation (>250hrs in total), continuous monitoring is clearly not a feasible solution to the issue of potentially missing important, infrequent behaviours if using focal sampling. Indeed, it has previously been reported to be a time consuming and inefficient method of pain detection (de Grauw and van Loon, 2016). In addition to practical monitoring challenges, our results have shown an important influence of individual difference across equines for active versus inactive behaviours. This individual difference has been also demonstrated by previous authors. McDuffee et al (2000) used wearable technology to monitor limb loading in 5 normal horses and one with a repaired metatarsal fracture over a 24 hour period and found that loading rates were hugely variable across normal horses. Holcombe et al (2016) investigated the residual impact of repeated application of 30ng LPS. The authors captured biomarkers and pain behaviours. They found that each horse expressed a level of pain but alluded to large inter-individual but consistent intra-individual behaviours; i.e. each horse would express their own suite of pain-behaviours each time. Researchers have emphasised the importance of knowing what is normal or abnormal on an individual horse-by-horse basis (Mills and Nankervis, 2013). This is particularly relevant when clinicians are investigating a horse's health status, where owner knowledge is an important aspect of the clinical assessment as it provides the benchmark of what is normal or abnormal for the individual horse (McGreevy, 2012). Thus establishing "normal" and "abnormal" thresholds for the "average horse" is somewhat artificial and would be of questionable value in the applied setting.

A possible solution to the above challenges would be the application of wearable sensor devices for longitudinal, remote monitoring of individual horses. These technologies have been investigated in equine research to reduce the human subjectivity of assessment and the time required to monitor individual activity patterns (Coleman et al., 1999; Burla et al., 2014). Automatic behaviour detection using sensor technology is a frontier that is primed for exploitation in equine clinical and sporting settings. Lloyd Morgan's canon states that we should not seek to explain behaviour in terms of complicated physiological processes if a simple explanation will do (2013). Behaviour switching may represent a holistic measure of adaptive behaviour which could provide this simplicity. McGreevy et al (2012) acknowledge that technological advances are likely to improve education. Investigation into behaviour variability, through actigraphy-type methodologies, opens the opportunity to automate longitudinal behaviour monitoring. We conclude from this exploratory study that the analysis of gross behaviours - as captured using the ethogram developed for this study - may be valuable in the detection of subtle bilateral inflammation in equines – a condition that is difficult to discern subjectively. Future work will seek to optimise groupings of behaviours associated with subtle levels of inflammation in a larger sample and different pain presentations using wearable technology. Existing pain-behaviour grimace and composite scales have been validated and used accurately to assess pain threshold in horses. The potential for automation of such scales exist through face recognition technology, however this technology tends to malfunction if the face it identifies is distorted or partially concealed. Gross behaviours augmented with

in-depth analysis of sensor signals e.g. accelerometer, gyroscope or load based signals would provide further insights into the quality of movement.

Future work will refine this behavioural model and investigate its repeatability. Approaches to develop more individualised profiling could incorporate kinematic data and further indices of variability to enhance the power of the model in detecting subtle levels of bilateral lameness/pain. Severe and unilateral lameness/pain can be easily discerned by the naked eye. The value of identifying subtle levels of bilateral lameness/pain lies in the opportunity for early intervention and resolution of major equine health issues such as laminitis, navicular disease and osteoarthritis that often have a multi limb component. This could be possible through the objective, remote analysis of hours of stable-based behaviour data that would otherwise go unseen.

5. Acknowledgements

Sonja Egan was funded by the Irish Research Council, Government of Ireland Postgraduate Programme (GOIPG/2017/1611) and the University College Dublin New Interdisciplinary Initiatives fund.

Andrew Parnell's work was supported by a Science Foundation Ireland Career Development Award grant 17/CDA/4695 and the Insight Centre for Data Analytics SFI/12/RC/2289. The authors would like to indicate that the data presented in this manuscript are part of a larger study investigating the effects of repeated bilateral LPS induced joint inflammation in horses what was partly funded by the UCD WELLCOME Institutional Strategic Support Fund Clinical Primer Scheme. The authors would also like to express their sincere

gratitude to the staff and students at UCD Lyons Research farm for help with data collection.

5.1 Conflict of interest statement:

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

Data statement

Online authoring tool is not working.

AUTHOR DECLARATION

We wish to confirm that there are no known conflicts of interest associated with this publication and there has been no significant financial support for this work that could have influenced its outcome.

We confirm that the manuscript has been read and approved by all named authors and that there are no other persons who satisfied the criteria for authorship but are not listed. We further confirm that the order of authors listed in the manuscript has been approved by all of us.

We confirm that we have given due consideration to the protection of intellectual property associated with this work and that there are no impediments to publication, including the timing of publication, with respect to intellectual property. In so doing we confirm that we have followed the regulations of our institutions concerning intellectual property.

We further confirm that any aspect of the work covered in this manuscript that has involved either experimental animals or human patients has been conducted with the ethical approval of all relevant bodies and that such approvals are acknowledged within the manuscript.

We understand that the Corresponding Author is the sole contact for the Editorial process (including Editorial Manager and direct communications with the office). She is responsible for communicating with the other authors about progress, submissions of revisions and final approval of proofs. We confirm that we have provided a current, correct email address which is accessible by the Corresponding Author and which has been configured to accept email from **sonja.egan@ucdconnect.ie**


6. References

- Alsaad, M., Niederhauser, J.J., Beer, G., Zehner, N., Schuepbach-Regula, G., Steiner, A., 2015. Development and validation of a novel pedometer algorithm to quantify extended characteristics of the locomotor behavior of dairy cows. *Journal of dairy science* 98, 6236-6242.
- Altmann, J., 1974. Observational study of behavior: sampling methods. *Behaviour* 49, 227-266.
- Ashley, F.H., Waterman-Pearson, A.E., Whay, H.R., 2005. Behavioural assessment of pain in horses and donkeys: application to clinical practice and future studies. *Equine Vet J* 37, 565-575.
- Atallah, L., Yang, G.-Z., 2009. The use of pervasive sensing for behaviour profiling—a survey. *Pervasive and mobile computing* 5, 447-464.
- Bosch, S., Serra Bragança, F., Marin-Perianu, M., Marin-Perianu, R., van der Zwaag, B., Voskamp, J., Back, W., Van Weeren, R., Havinga, P., 2018. Equimoves: a wireless networked inertial measurement system for objective examination of horse gait. *Sensors* 18, 850.
- Bragança, F.M.S., Rhodin, M., van Weeren, P.R., 2018. On the brink of daily clinical application of objective gait analysis: What evidence do we have so far from studies using an induced lameness model? *The Veterinary Journal*.
- Burla, J.-B., Ostertag, A., Schulze Westerath, H., Hillmann, E., 2014. Gait determination and activity measurement in horses using an accelerometer. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture* 102, 127-133.
- Bussièrès, G., Jacques, C., Lainay, O., Beauchamp, G., Leblond, A., Cadore, J.L., Desmaizières, L.M., Cuvelliez, S.G., Troncy, E., 2008. Development of a composite orthopaedic pain scale in horses. *Research in Veterinary Science* 85, 294-306.
- Cokelaere, S.M., Plomp, S.G., de Boef, E., de Leeuw, M., Bool, S., van de Lest, C.H., van Weeren, P.R., Korthagen, N.M., 2018. Sustained intra-articular release of celecoxib in an equine repeated LPS synovitis model. *European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics* 128, 327-336.
- Coleman, K.L., Smith, D.G., Boone, D.A., Joseph, A.W., Del Aguila, M.A., 1999. Step activity monitor: long-term, continuous recording of ambulatory function. *Journal of rehabilitation research and development* 36, 8-18.
- Coneglian, M.M., Borges, T.D., Weber, S.H., Bertagnon, H.G., Junior, P.V.M., 2020. Use of the horse grimace scale to identify and quantify pain due to dental disorders in horses. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*, 104970.

- Dai, F., Leach, M., MacRae, A.M., Minero, M., Dalla Costa, E., 2020. Does Thirty-Minute Standardised Training Improve the Inter-Observer Reliability of the Horse Grimace Scale (HGS)? A Case Study. *Animals* 10, 781.
- Dalla Costa, E., Bracci, D., Dai, F., Lebelt, D., Minero, M., 2017. Do different emotional states affect the Horse Grimace Scale Score? A pilot study. *Journal of Equine Veterinary Science* 54, 114-117.
- Dalla Costa, E., Stucke, D., Dai, F., Minero, M., Leach, M., Lebelt, D., 2016. Using the Horse Grimace Scale (HGS) to Assess Pain Associated with Acute Laminitis in Horses (*Equus caballus*). *Animals* 6, 47.
- De Grauw, J., Van de Lest, C., Brama, P., Rambags, B., Van Weeren, P., 2009a. In vivo effects of meloxicam on inflammatory mediators, MMP activity and cartilage biomarkers in equine joints with acute synovitis. *Equine veterinary journal* 41, 693-699.
- De Grauw, J., van Loon, J., van de Lest, C., Brunott, A., van Weeren, P., 2014. In vivo effects of phenylbutazone on inflammation and cartilage-derived biomarkers in equine joints with acute synovitis. *The Veterinary Journal* 201, 51-56.
- de Grauw, J.C., van de Lest, C.H., van Weeren, P.R., 2009b. Inflammatory mediators and cartilage biomarkers in synovial fluid after a single inflammatory insult: a longitudinal experimental study. *Arthritis research & therapy* 11, R35.
- de Grauw, J.C., van Loon, J.P., 2016. Systematic pain assessment in horses. *Vet J* 209, 14-22.
- Dugdale, A., 2011. *Veterinary anaesthesia: principles to practice*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Egan, S., Brama, P., McGrath, D., 2019. Research trends in equine movement analysis, future opportunities and potential barriers in the digital age: a scoping review from 1978- 2018. *Equine Veterinary Journal*, 1-12.
- Everett, J.B., Schumacher, J., Doherty, T.J., Black, R.A., Amelse, L.L., Krawczel, P., Coetzee, J.F., Whitlock, B.K., 2018. Effects of stacked wedge pads and chains applied to the forefeet of Tennessee Walking Horses for a five-day period on behavioral and biochemical indicators of pain, stress, and inflammation. *Am J Vet Res* 79, 21-32.
- Gleerup, K., Lindegaard, C., 2016. Recognition and quantification of pain in horses: A tutorial review. *Equine Veterinary Education* 28, 47-57.
- Gleerup, K.B., Forkman, B., Lindegaard, C., Andersen, P.H., 2015. An equine pain face. *Veterinary anaesthesia and analgesia* 42, 103-114.
- Heleski, C., Shelle, A., Nielsen, B., Zanella, A., 2002. Influence of housing on weanling horse behavior and subsequent welfare. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 78, 291-302.

- Holcombe, S.J., Jacobs, C.C., Cook, V.L., Gandy, J.C., Hauptman, J.G., Sordillo, L.M., 2016. Duration of in vivo endotoxin tolerance in horses. *Veterinary immunology and immunopathology* 173, 10-16.
- Kendig, C.E., 2016. What is proof of concept research and how does it generate epistemic and ethical categories for future scientific practice? *Science and Engineering Ethics* 22, 735-753.
- Kirsty, R., Andrew, H., Meriel, M.-C., Catherine, H., 2015. Cognitive differences in horses performing locomotor versus oral stereotypic behaviour. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 168, 37-44.
- Latash, M.L., 2012. The bliss (not the problem) of motor abundance (not redundancy). *Experimental brain research* 217, 1-5.
- McDuffee, L.A., 2000. Limb loading activity of adult horses confined to box stalls in an equine hospital barn. *American journal of ...*
- McGreevy, P., 2012. *Equine behavior: a guide for veterinarians and equine scientists*. Elsevier Health Sciences.
- McGreevy, P., Cripps, P., French, N., Green, L., Nicol, C.J., 1995. Management factors associated with stereotypic and redirected behaviour in the Thoroughbred horse. *Equine veterinary journal* 27, 86-91.
- Mellor, D., Beausoleil, N., 2015. Extending the 'Five Domains' model for animal welfare assessment to incorporate positive welfare states. *Animal Welfare* 24, 241-253.
- Mills, D.S., Nankervis, K.J., 2013. *Equine behaviour: principles and practice*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Moore-Colyer, M.J.S., Hemmings, A., Hewer, N., 2016. A preliminary investigation into the effect of ad libitum or restricted hay with or without Horslyx on the intake and switching behaviour of normal and crib biting horses. *Livestock Science* 186, 59-62.
- Peters, S.M., Bleijenberg, E.H., van Dierendonck, M.C., van der Harst, J.E., Spruijt, B.M., 2012. Characterization of anticipatory behaviour in domesticated horses (*Equus caballus*). *Applied animal behaviour science* 138, 60-69.
- Price, J., Catriona, S., Welsh, E.M., Waran, N.K., 2003. Preliminary evaluation of a behaviour- based system for assessment of post- operative pain in horses following arthroscopic surgery. *Veterinary anaesthesia and analgesia* 30, 124-137.
- Pritchett, L.C., Ulibarri, C., Roberts, M.C., Schneider, R.K., Sellon, D.C., 2003. Identification of potential physiological and behavioral indicators of postoperative pain in horses after exploratory celiotomy for colic. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 80, 31-43.
- Reid, K., Rogers, C.W., Gronqvist, G., Gee, E.K., Bolwell, C.F., 2017. Anxiety and pain in horses measured by heart rate

- variability and behavior. *Journal of Veterinary Behavior* 22, 1-6.
- Seaman, S., Davidson, H., Waran, N., 2002. How reliable is temperament assessment in the domestic horse (*Equus caballus*)? *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 78, 175-191.
- Sladek, S., Kearney, C., Crean, D., Brama, P.A., Tajber, L., Fawcett, K., Labberte, M.C., Leggett, B., Brayden, D.J., 2018. Intra-articular delivery of a nanocomplex comprising salmon calcitonin, hyaluronic acid, and chitosan using an equine model of joint inflammation. *Drug delivery and translational research* 8, 1421-1435.
- Taylor, P.M., Pascoe, P.J., Mama, K.R., 2002. Diagnosing and treating pain in the horse: where are we today? *Veterinary Clinics: Equine Practice* 18, 1-19.
- Van Loon, J., De Grauw, J., van Dierendonck, M., L'ami, J., Back, W., Van Weeren, P., 2010. Intra-articular opioid analgesia is effective in reducing pain and inflammation in an equine LPS induced synovitis model. *Equine veterinary journal* 42, 412-419.
- van Loon, J.P., Van Dierendonck, M.C., 2015. Monitoring acute equine visceral pain with the Equine Utrecht University Scale for Composite Pain Assessment (EQUUS-COMPASS) and the Equine Utrecht University Scale for Facial Assessment of Pain (EQUUS-FAP): a scale-construction study. *The Veterinary Journal* 206, 356-364.
- VanDierendonck, M.C., van Loon, J.P., 2016. Monitoring acute equine visceral pain with the Equine Utrecht University Scale for Composite Pain Assessment (EQUUS-COMPASS) and the Equine Utrecht University Scale for Facial Assessment of Pain (EQUUS-FAP): A validation study. *Vet J* 216, 175-177.
- Wagner, A.E., 2010. Effects of stress on pain in horses and incorporating pain scales for equine practice. *Vet Clin North Am Equine Pract* 26, 481-492.




<Figure 1. White Blood Cell Count >

< White Blood Cell Count plotted with 95% confidence interval bars.

Inflammation peak evident at 8hrs following LPS administration.

Repeated Measures ANOVA shows a significant effect for time

($p=0.046$)>




<Figure 2. Carpal Circumference>

<Carpal Circumference plotted with 95% confidence interval bars


exhibits a peak at 8 hours post LPS injection, indicating mild

inflammation.>



< Figure 3. Behaviour Trends >

< Collapsed sets of behaviours shown for individual horses and average values plotted with 95% confidence intervals. Behaviour variability yielded a significant effect indicated by the * $p > 0.05$ with 95% confidence. >



<Figure 4. Food Availability and Eating Behaviour>

<The proportion of time spent in eating behaviour (which includes foraging) against the proportion of time that food was available, expressed as a function of time since bilateral joint inflammation induction. Eating behaviour when food was available appears to have no relationship with time and thus the level of subtle pain experienced here does not appear to influence typical eating behaviours.>

Table 1. Equine Metadata

Horse Code	Age	Breed	Sex	Weight (kg)	Height (cm)
H1	12	Con.	G	375	145
H2	17	Con.	M	370	145
H3	16	xCon.	G	341	143
H4	13	Con.	M	344	143
H5	19	ISP	M	400	148
H6	13	UNK	M	406	143
H7	13	UNK	M	336	135

Metadata presented on the 7 horses. A range of Irish type breed were included: Connemara ponies (Con.), Connemara cross (xConn.), Irish Sports Pony (ISP) and Unknown breeding (UNK). Ponies listed under UNK were registered with the Irish passport issuing authority (Horse Sport Ireland) and received white books. Sex is defined by female/mare (M) and gelding (G).

Journal Pre-proof

Table 2. Final Ethogram

Behaviour	Code	Definition
Quiet Standing	QS	The horse has all four hooves in contact with the ground, resting a hindlimb and weight shifts are included. Not intently interested, head drooping, gentle ear flicker or looking or listening with intent/interest, ears fully pricked. Cannot be leaning on another surface or progress away from original position.
Eating	E	Eating hay from the hay rack or concentrate from the feed bowl. Can also be coded as 'E' when food is not available, therefore foraging.
Drinking	D	Drinking from the automatic drinker, coded as soon as the horses places it head in the bowl, ends when head is lifted out.
Lying Down half	LDH	When the horse begins to initiate the getting down action, ending when then return to and upright standing position. Lying on one side with legs flexed towards the body (half recumbency).
Lying down Full	LDF	As above but the horse is lying down flat, on either side, with all four legs splayed (total recumbency).
Rolling	R	When the horse begins to initiate the getting down action, rolling action and ending when they return to the upright standing position.
Resting/holding Forelimb (L)	QSFL	Pointing, lifting or holding the left forelimb above the ground, not a typical behaviour and typically correlated to serve pain or dysfunction (Ashley et al., 2005).
Resting/holding Forelimb (R)	QSFLR	As above but in the right forelimb.
Pacing	P	Horse is actively walking around the perimeter of the stable with head above withers height. Can include repetitive and back and forth action where the horse moves past the point of origin.
Head over Door	HD	Horse's head is placed over the door, coded from the time it lifts its head over the grid, to when it lifts it back in again.
Urination	U	
Defecation	POO	
Standing Agitation	SA	Not progressive movement, includes: shuffling, tail-swishing, excessive head motion & shaking, frequent weight shifting in the forelimbs (Taylor et al., 2002; Price et al., 2003; Ashley et al., 2005; Wagner, 2010; Gleerup and Lindegaard, 2016).
Ambulation	A	Slow movement around the stable consisting of at least 5 consecutive steps, involving all four legs; horse is moving progressively. Pauses of ≤ 5 seconds are included if followed with further stepping (Seaman et al., 2002). Includes walking slowly with neck horizontal or lower, ready to investigate.
Pawing	PAW	Repetitive striking of the ground with a forelimb, associated with weight distribution and [seeking] comfort. Has moderate-good specificity and high sensitivity pain scale (NRS) and a good indicator of orthopaedic pain (Wagner, 2010).

Table 3. Behaviours collapsed into categories

Eating	Locomotor Activity	Quiet Standing	Pain Indicators	Standing Agitation
D	A	QS	LDH	SA
E	P		LDF	
			PAW	
			QSFLR	
			QSFL	

Table 4. One-way repeated measure ANOVA of behaviours and White Blood Cell Count

<i>Outcome Measure</i>	<i>Effect of Time: p-value</i>	<i>Contrasts</i>
Eating	0.079	NS
Locomotor Activity	0.078	NS
Quiet Standing	0.063	NS
Pain Indices	0.338	NS
Standing Agitation	0.087	NS
Behaviour Variability	0.033*	0hrs v 10-12hrs: p=0.03 168hrs v 10-12hrs: p=0.017 168hrs v 6-8hrs: p=0.018
White blood cell count (x 10⁹ cells/L)	0.046*	0hrs v 8hrs: p=0.039 0hrs v 24hrs p=0.041 8hrs vs 168hrs p=0.040 24hrs v 168hrs p=0.041

NS=non-significant; Significance denoted by * where $p < 0.05$. Sphericity violated in Locomotor Activity and Standing Agitation analysis