



Title	Epigenetic processes in the male germline
Authors(s)	O'Doherty, Alan, McGettigan, Paul A.
Publication date	2014-09
Publication information	O'Doherty, Alan, and Paul A. McGettigan. "Epigenetic Processes in the Male Germline." CSIRO Publishing, September 2014. https://doi.org/10.1071/RD14167 .
Publisher	CSIRO Publishing
Item record/more information	http://hdl.handle.net/10197/6187
Publisher's version (DOI)	10.1071/RD14167

Downloaded 2026-05-01 23:37:41

The UCD community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters! (@ucd_oa)



© Some rights reserved. For more information

Epigenetic processes in the male germline

Alan M. O'Doherty^A and Paul A. McGettigan^B

^A School of Medicine and Medical Sciences, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland.

^B School of Agriculture and Food Science, University College Dublin. Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland.

Keywords: Sperm, Epigenetics, DNA methylation, Chromatin, Gametogenesis, Spermatogenesis, Transgenerational inheritance, non-coding RNA, histone modifications.

Abstract

Sperm undergo some of the most extensive chromatin modifications seen in mammalian biology. During male germline development, paternal DNA methylation marks are erased and established on a global scale through waves of demethylation and de novo methylation. As spermatogenesis progresses the majority of the histones are removed and replaced by protamines enabling a tighter packaging of the DNA and transcriptional shutdown. Following fertilization, the paternal genome is rapidly reactivated, actively demethylated, the protamines are replaced with histones and the embryonic genome is activated. The development of new assays, made possible by high throughput sequencing technology, has resulted in the revisiting of what was considered settled science regarding the state of DNA packaging in mammalian sperm. Researchers have discovered that not all histones are replaced by protamines and in certain experiments various species of RNA have been detected in what was previously considered transcriptionally quiescent sperm. Most controversially, several groups have suggested that environmental modifications of the epigenetic state of sperm may operate as a non-DNA based form of inheritance - a process known as “transgenerational epigenetic inheritance”. Other developments in the field include the increased focus on the involvement of short RNAs such as microRNAs, long non-coding RNAs and piwi-interacting RNAs. There has also been an accumulation of evidence illustrating associations between defects in sperm DNA packaging and disease and fertility. In this paper we review the literature, recent findings and areas of controversy associated with epigenetic processes in the male germline, focusing on DNA methylation dynamics, non-coding RNAs, the biology of sperm chromatin packaging and transgenerational inheritance.

Introduction

While the broad outlines and much of the detail of mammalian sperm packaging has been known for at least 20 years (Ward and Coffey 1991) the area has been receiving much more interest in the recent past. A confluence of factors has been responsible for this sudden peak of interest in sperm biology. These include, development of new technologies (e.g. microarrays and short read sequencing) that enable a more detailed picture of genetics, epigenetics and gene expression, which was previously not possible. The development and improvement of assays (e.g. global methylation profiling and chip-seq/chip-chip of histone modifications) that have allowed researchers to probe past assumptions. Emergence of intriguing patterns (that have received widespread publicity) from epidemiological and experimental biology, that are suggestive of transgenerational and paternal age effects in complex disease. Identification of epigenetic processes in plants and other organisms have led researchers to investigate whether similar processes may also operate in mammalian biology (Bender and Fink 1995; Jacobsen and Meyerowitz 1997).

However, the results of this new enthusiasm have not been without controversy (Ptashne 2013; Maderspacher 2014; Struhl 2014) and critiques are becoming more pointed and public as the claims have received wider publicity. Some of these controversies are inevitable as researchers wrestle with the poorly understood complexities and inherent biases of the new technology and assays (Macarthur 2012). Issues such as poor study design, inappropriate tissue selection, heterogeneity of cellular populations, inadequate controls for population structure and biological variability, insufficient validation of results, hasty inference of causation and the challenges of determining appropriate statistical analysis strategies have already been flagged by others in the field (Michels *et al.* 2013). Related fields, such as candidate gene studies and genome wide association studies (GWAS), have undergone their own growing pains and have either been abandoned or are now subject to much greater

controls (greater samples sizes and more robust statistical analysis) which has helped to reduce the number of unreplicable results.

Broader criticism of the epigenetics field (Bird 2013) has revolved around over-hyping of findings (Maderspacher 2014) as well as the pursuit of neo-Lamarckian hypotheses (Szyf 2014). The highest profile and most controversial claims in the field of epigenetics relate to the possibility of transgenerational effects (Heard and Martienssen 2014). These claims directly implicate sperm as a mechanism of transmitting heritable non-DNA based information from one generation to the next (Pembrey 2010).

We recognise that even the definition of epigenetics is controversial (Ptashne 2013). The term was first proposed by Conrad Waddington and used in the context of alternate developmental pathways/developmental canalization (Waddington 1942). In the last 15 years the definition has either shifted or been misappropriated (depending on your point of view) and is now more frequently used to describe modifications of DNA or chromatin, especially DNA methylation and histone tail modifications, which may or may not be stably inherited by daughter cells. While acknowledging the controversy, it is this more recent definition that we use in this review.

The influence of an organism's environment on epigenetic mechanisms is an area of research that has received substantial attention in recent years (Feil and Fraga 2011; Cortessis 2012; Cortessis *et al.* 2012). Adaptation of epigenetic mechanisms to environmental cues or nutritional status has been demonstrated in a number of species including humans (Heijmans *et al.* 2008; Waterland *et al.* 2010), honeybees (Kucharski *et al.* 2008), mice (Wolff *et al.* 1998; Morgan *et al.* 1999b; Ivanova *et al.* 2012), rats (Burdge *et al.* 2007; Mychasiuk *et al.* 2012; Mychasiuk *et al.* 2013), sea bass (Navarro-Martin *et al.* 2011), tomato plants (Gonzalez *et al.* 2013) and dairy cows (O'Doherty *et al.* 2014).

The contribution of the maternal environment to the development of the offspring has been fairly well defined in recent years. Adverse health outcomes such as obesity, insulin resistance, congenital abnormalities, and cardiovascular disease (Hampton 2004; Stothard *et al.* 2009; Boerschmann *et al.* 2010; Maric-Bilkan *et al.* 2011) have been shown to be more prevalent in children exposed to suboptimal environments (e.g. malnutrition, environmental toxins, and alcohol) prior to pregnancy, in utero and/or during early postpartum development (referred to as developmental origins of human disease (Barouki *et al.* 2012)). It has been proposed that the underlying mechanisms associated with developmental origins of human disease might be epigenetic in nature, through aberrant DNA methylation, altered expression of non-coding RNAs or inappropriate modification of histones (Soubry *et al.* 2014). However, the contribution of paternal environmental insults to the outcome of their offspring is less well established.

In this review we will survey the major biological processes during sperm development involving epigenetic change, highlighting the recent findings and areas of existing controversy.

DNA Methylation in the male germline

Methylation at cytosine residues (5-methylcytosine/5mC) in the mammalian genome is dynamically controlled throughout development and is a fundamental epigenetic modification. Establishment of DNA methylation patterns is largely accomplished through the action of two members of the DNMT3 de novo methyltransferases family: DNMT3A and DNMT3B. A third member of this family, DNMT3L, is catalytically inactive (Bourc'his *et al.* 2001; Hata *et al.* 2002; Kaneda *et al.* 2004; Borgel *et al.* 2010; Shirane *et al.* 2013). Targeted disruption of these genes in mice results in embryonic lethality and sterility (Okano *et al.* 1999; Bourc'his and Bestor 2004; Webster *et al.* 2005). In humans, mutations of the

DNMT3 genes are associated with Immunodeficiency, Centromere instability and Facial anomalies syndrome, acute myeloid leukaemia and in developmental growth disorders such as *DNMT3A* overgrowth syndrome and intellectual disability (Hansen *et al.* 1999; Ley *et al.* 2010; Yan *et al.* 2011; Tatton-Brown *et al.* 2014).

Mammalian cells generally go through two waves of genome wide DNA methylation programming during germ cell and preimplantation development (Reik *et al.* 2001; Messerschmidt *et al.* 2014). The establishment and maintenance of correct DNA methylation patterns is essential for fertility, embryo development and viability of the offspring (Li *et al.* 1992; Okano *et al.* 1999; Bourc'his and Bestor 2004). During these developmental reprogramming windows mechanisms that are involved in establishing and removing DNA methylation marks may be susceptible to error. The first reprogramming event occurs in the developing gonad. Murine DNA methylation patterns at most sequences (with the exception of Intracisternal A Particles and some single copy loci (Lees-Murdock and Walsh 2008; Hackett *et al.* 2012; Seisenberger *et al.* 2012) are removed during the colonization of the fetal gonad by post-migratory primordial germ cells (PGCs), at around 9.5-12.5 days post coitum (Hajkova *et al.* 2002; Guibert *et al.* 2012). Subsequently, establishment of gametic DNA methylation patterns takes place and is strikingly different depending on whether it is occurring in the male or female germline (Smallwood and Kelsey 2012). The most distinctive differences are those at imprinted loci, in which a number of imprint control regions/differentially methylated regions (ICRs/DMRs) are asymmetrically methylated between male and females gametes (Lucifero *et al.* 2004; Hiura *et al.* 2006; Trasler 2006; O'Doherty *et al.* 2012). Approximately 120 imprinted genes have been identified in mammals and it has been postulated that they are regulated by as many as 23 ICR/DMRs (Proudhon *et al.* 2012; Magee *et al.* 2014). The majority of the DMRs are methylated in the oocyte and a number of reasons have been offered to explain this sexual dimorphism in

imprint ontogeny, such as the conflict for maternal resources theory (Hurst and McVean 1997; Iwasa 1998), gender-specific stages of DNMT3L expression (Bourc'his and Proudhon 2008) and the central role of maternal ICRs in early development (Schulz *et al.* 2010). Nevertheless, a number of imprinted loci have been identified in the male germline, such as the *H19*, *Rasgrf1* and *Dkl1-Gtl2* DMRs (Tremblay *et al.* 1997; Shibata *et al.* 1998; Davis *et al.* 2000; Takada *et al.* 2002; Yoon *et al.* 2002; Lin *et al.* 2003; Li *et al.* 2004). RNA sequencing of trophoblast tissue, isolated from reciprocal hybrids of horse and donkey, identified 78 candidate imprinted genes that showed a paternal bias in expression which was lost when compared to transcriptomes of fetal tissue (Wang *et al.* 2013). Similar to mice, (Barton *et al.* 1984) these results show an important role for paternally expressed imprinted genes in placental development.

A second reprogramming event occurs following fertilization of the zygote. The mammalian zygote represents a totipotent template harbouring the developmental potential from which an entire organism may be generated. However, since almost all cell-types descended from the zygote (with the exception of mature red blood cells, cornified cells in the skin, hair and nails (Kawane *et al.* 2001; Eckhart *et al.* 2013) and certain immune cells (Jung *et al.* 2006) share its DNA sequence it is widely accepted that epigenetic modifications are associated with defining the developmental potency of the zygote (Ma *et al.* 2012; Seisenberger *et al.* 2013). Similar to establishment of germline DNA methylation patterns, the dynamics of reprogramming are asymmetrical depending on the parental origin of the pronuclei/genomic material. For this article reprogramming of the male germline DNA methylation marks will be emphasised. The paternal genome is rapidly depleted of 5-methylcytosine within hours of fertilization (prior to the first cleavage) (Mayer *et al.* 2000; Oswald *et al.* 2000; Santos *et al.* 2002). In contrast, the maternal genome is passively demethylated during subsequent cleavages (and is usually complete by the late morula stage). The maternal genome is

thought to be protected from rapid demethylation through the actions of an essential maternal factor, PGC7/Stella (Nakamura *et al.* 2007; Nakamura *et al.* 2012; Wu and Zhang 2014).

While the paternal genome is rapidly demethylated, several loci appear to be protected from this process - these regions include IAP elements, paternally methylated imprinted genes and heterochromatic centromeres (Morgan *et al.* 2005; Lees-Murdock and Walsh 2008). It has been suggested that the maintenance of methylation patterns at these sequences may be required for successful progression through the early stages of embryogenesis (Seisenberger *et al.* 2013).

As recently as a decade ago, the mechanisms responsible for active demethylation of the paternal pronuclei were poorly understood. Since that time a number of possibilities have emerged. One of the first demethylation mechanisms to be investigated was the enzymatic removal of cytosine methyl groups by DNA glycosylases (Demeter/repressor of silencing 1 family) and base excision repair (similar to that observed in flowering plants) (Zhu 2009). However, this mechanism of demethylation is unlikely as mammalian orthologs of these enzymes haven't been discovered. It had also been suggested that the DNMT3 enzymes responsible for establishing DNA methylation marks (Kangaspeska *et al.* 2008; Metivier *et al.* 2008) or the methyl-CpG-binding protein MBD2 (Bhattacharya *et al.* 1999) may also be involved with initiating demethylation, although the involvement of these enzymes remains controversial. The involvement of MBD2 in DNA demethylation is questionable as it has yet to be independently verified (Ooi and Bestor 2008) and MBD2 knockout mice (*MBD2*^{-/-}) exhibit normal methylation patterns (Hendrich *et al.* 2001). Another proposed mechanism of active 5mC erasure is through nucleotide excision repair (NER) and the action of the Gadd45a family proteins (Barreto *et al.* 2007; Schmitz *et al.* 2009). Although some evidence exists to support the possible role of Gadd45 in active demethylation there is also conflicting evidence against this mechanism (Jin *et al.* 2008; Engel *et al.* 2009). The latest mechanism under

investigation, for active 5mC removal, is one involving conversion of 5mC to 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5hmC) by the Ten-Eleven Translocation (TET) family enzymes followed by Thymine DNA Glycosylase (TDG)-mediated Base Excision Repair (BER) (Kohli and Zhang 2013; Zhao and Chen 2013; Wu and Zhang 2014). Immunofluorescence studies, targeting 5mC and 5hmC (as well as two less abundant bases; 5-formylcytosine (5fC) and 5-carboxylcytosine (5caC) (He *et al.* 2011; Ito *et al.* 2011)) in the male pronuclei of mammalian zygotes revealed that as the 5mC signal decreased the signal of 5hmC, 5fC and 5caC increased concomitantly, thus providing further evidence for the involvement of this TET mediated pathway in active demethylation (Inoue *et al.* 2011b; Inoue and Zhang 2011; Iqbal *et al.* 2011; Wossidlo *et al.* 2011). Although the mode of active demethylation remains a hotly debated topic, this reprogramming event is vital for establishing pluripotent states in early embryos and for erasure of parent of origin specific methylation marks in primordial germ cells (Feng *et al.* 2010). As the embryo develops cells differentiate and cell lineages are committed towards distinct cell fates. This process of cellular differentiation begins around the time of embryo implantation. As part of this process the primordial germ cells of the developing gonad are reprogrammed with the appropriate germline DNA methylation marks and the process is cyclically repeated from generation to generation (Seisenberger *et al.* 2013).

An intriguing challenge to the dogma of demethylation of the paternal pronucleus has been proposed by the work of the Li and O'Neill (Li and O'Neill 2012). Their research has suggested that the apparent loss of methylation is actually a progressive antigenic masking of the methylated bases. They suggest that structural changes to the chromatin, post fertilization, alter the response to immunolocalization assays of 5meC, that the DNA retain its methylation state and that this can be detected by alternate assays e.g. MBD1 immunolocalization or tryptic digestion prior to a 5meC immunolocalization assay. This

model could provide a parsimonious explanation for the lack of demethylation of the paternal pronucleus observed in sheep (Beaujean *et al.* 2004) and rabbits (Shi *et al.* 2004). However, genome wide sequencing studies have confirmed that rapid demethylation of the paternal genome does occur in the pronuclei of humans (Guo *et al.* 2014) and mice (Smith *et al.* 2012; Guo *et al.* 2013). (For a review on species specific active DNA demethylation in mammalian embryos see (Ma *et al.* 2012)).

Associations with disease and infertility

Atypical or aberrant male germline DNA methylation has been reported in a number of human conditions such as male factor infertility & pregnancy failure (Benchaib *et al.* 2005), low semen concentration, reduced sperm motility and poor semen morphology (Houshdaran *et al.* 2007). In 2007 Kobayashi *et al.* carried out DNA methylation analysis at a panel of imprinted gene DMRs using sperm isolated from 97 infertile males (Kobayashi *et al.* 2007). The results revealed that methylation defects were frequent in these samples, particularly in samples from patients presenting with oligospermia. They suggested that there could be a higher risk of transmitting inappropriate primary DNA methylation imprints to offspring when using sperm samples from infertile patients in assisted reproductive regimes. In a more recent study, comparing patients with oligozoospermia/asthenozoospermia to fertile normozoospermic males, global analysis of sperm DNA methylation revealed an association between dysregulation of DNA methylation at the *H19* DMR and the *DAZL* promoter in all samples; with the authors suggesting that aberrant *H19* DMR methylation is closely associated with oligozoospermia (Li *et al.* 2013).

In mice, dietary intake of methyl donors (folate) has been implicated in negative pregnancy outcomes through an altered sperm epigenome (Lambrot *et al.* 2013). In these experiments mice were fed a lifelong diet deficient of folate, beginning *in utero* during the developmental

period of epigenetic programming of germ cells. Paternal folate deficiency was associated with a number of defects in the offspring, such as craniofacial and musculoskeletal malformations. The authors also identified genome wide differential methylation patterns in sperm samples of folate deficient versus folate sufficient mice in regions containing genes related to development, cancer, schizophrenia and diabetes.

Other factors such as heat stress (Rahman *et al.* 2013) and exposure to environmental toxins (Anway *et al.* 2005) have also been shown to perturb DNA methylation reprogramming in the male germline, resulting in a reduction in developmental/reproductive competence both *in vitro* (Rahman *et al.* 2013) and *in vivo* (Anway *et al.* 2005). These epigenetic alterations are believed to persist through subsequent generations through a phenomenon referred to as transgenerational epigenetic inheritance. This controversial phenomenon will be discussed in more detail in a later section.

Non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs)

Given that sperm have been generally regarded as being transcriptionally quiescent, the presence of RNA species in the male gamete was originally attributed to carryover from surrounding cells or material left behind following degradation and removal of the residual body, but this source of RNA was ruled out when RNA was specifically identified in sperm samples from several species, including mouse (Wykes *et al.* 2000), rat (Pessot *et al.* 1989) and human (Kumar *et al.* 1993). This repertoire of sperm RNA molecules is now attracting increasing consideration for diagnostic purposes, evaluating sperm function and long-term health of offspring. RNA molecules are not simply messengers between DNA and protein, genome wide transcription studies have identified that a multitude of non-protein coding RNA species are transcribed across eukaryotic genomes (Carninci *et al.* 2005). These non-coding RNA molecules have been shown to be involved with transcriptional regulation and

have been proposed to influence gene expression through mechanisms such as chromatin configuration, post-transcriptional silencing and post-translational modifications. The ncRNA component of the transcriptome is comprised of an ever increasing list of members (Mukherjee *et al.* 2014); in this section we will review the role of several of these ncRNAs during male germ cell development.

Long non-coding RNA (lncRNA)

Long non-coding RNAs are a large class of non-protein encoding RNA molecules that are generally greater than 200 nucleotides in length. Until recently the expression, and potential biological function, of lncRNAs has remained largely unknown. Initially it was believed that lncRNAs were transcriptional noise caused by transcription from weak promoters facilitated through a low affinity binding of RNA polymerase (Ponjavic *et al.* 2007; Louro *et al.* 2009). However, this non-functionality of lncRNAs has been widely disregarded as subsequent targeted functional studies have identified lncRNAs to be involved with many cellular processes such as targeting epigenetic modifications at imprinted loci (Mercer and Mattick 2013; Monnier *et al.* 2013; Santoro *et al.* 2013), dosage compensation (Conrad and Akhtar 2012), trans regulation of neighbouring protein coding genes (Rapicavoli *et al.* 2011) and modulation of mRNA stability & expression in the cytoplasm (Willingham *et al.* 2005; Carrieri *et al.* 2012).

Next generation sequencing and microarray technologies have been applied to the analysis of fetal (E12.5 and 15.5) and postnatal mouse testis (days 6-14; encompassing first stages of spermatogenesis), revealing expression profiles of lncRNAs within these tissues (Bao *et al.* 2013; Laiho *et al.* 2013; Sun *et al.* 2013). These studies demonstrated that a large number of lncRNAs (~1000) are temporally up or downregulated during male germ cell development. The presence of lncRNA transcripts in human sperm samples, through RNA-seq profiling,

has also been confirmed (Sendler *et al.* 2013). Analysis of results from a large scale study carried out comparing RNA-seq data from eight organs and eleven different species (human, chimpanzee, bonobo, gorilla, orangutan, macaque, mouse, opossum, platypus, chicken and frog) led the authors to propose lncRNAs to have potential roles involved with the fundamental processes of spermatogenesis and synaptic transmission (Necsulea *et al.* 2014). lncRNAs have also been shown to encode micro RNAs (miRNAs, see below) (He *et al.* 2008). An example of this is the *H19* large intergenic non-coding RNA, which encodes a highly conserved miRNA, miR-675 (Cai and Cullen 2007; Smits *et al.* 2008). This miRNA has been shown to be involved with regulating placental growth towards the end of gestation, through targeting of *igf1r* (Keniry *et al.* 2012).

There are several counterpoints to the putative significance of lncRNAs in sperm. Firstly, their expression has been primarily detected in the testes and the very nature of spermatogenesis leads to a very permissive transcriptional environment during the processes that lead to histone removal (see below). Secondly, the expression levels of lncRNAs has been shown to be several orders of magnitude lower than that for protein coding genes this would argue against a significant functional role for these sequences (Hebenstreit *et al.* 2011; Marinov *et al.* 2014). In general, the amount of RNA detected in sperm (when detected at all) is extremely low (approximately .01pg per sperm (Ostermeier *et al.* 2004)) and extreme care needs to be taken to ensure that results are not due to inadvertent genomic DNA contamination (Haas *et al.* 2012) a problem that may be more prevalent in next generation sequencing RNA-seq studies than is currently appreciated – but of more concern when analysing at the limits of detection. Finally, the viability of bi-maternal embryos (Kawahara *et al.* 2007) also argue for the relative unimportance of sperm delivered RNAs in fertilization and early embryo development.

Micro and short interfering RNAs

miRNA molecules are involved with postranscriptional regulation of gene expression through interaction with the 3' UTR (untranslated region) of their target mRNA, leading to degradation/stabilization and repression/activation of translation (Lai 2002; Carrington and Ambros 2003; Meister 2007; Vasudevan *et al.* 2007). In order for miRNAs to exert their effect they must first be processed from immature primary molecules into mature miRNAs. In the nucleus they are largely processed from primary miRNA complexes into precursor miRNAs through the actions of DROSHA and its co-factor DGCR8/PASHA (Murchison and Hannon 2004; Yang and Lai 2011). Following this, exportin 5 facilitates their transportation into the cytoplasm where they are cleaved by DICER into mature miRNAs (Meister and Tuschl 2004; Zeng and Cullen 2004). DICER is also involved with the siRNA biogenesis pathway by separating double-stranded RNA molecules to generate siRNAs (Carthew and Sontheimer 2009). Several conditional knockout studies, specifically targeting *Dicer* in the male germline, have defined the role of DICER and the importance of correct small non-coding RNA processing during spermatogenesis (Hayashi *et al.* 2008; Romero *et al.* 2011). These studies have shown that mice lacking *Dicer* present with an infertile or subfertile phenotype. Defective proliferation was observed following targeted disruption of *Dicer* in primordial germ cells in developing mouse embryos and these mice also had impaired post-natal spermatogenesis. Furthermore, in a comparative study looking at male germline conditional knockouts of both *Dicer* and *Drosha*, it was revealed that although DICER and DROSHA are both involved with miRNA biogenesis, testes from these mutants possess morphological and transcriptomic differences (Wu *et al.* 2012). Results from this investigation identified an essential role for *Drosha* during male germ cell development, with deficiency in *Drosha* being associated with impaired spermatogenesis and male infertility; through a lack of DROSHA-dependent miRNAs.

piRNAs

Another class of ncRNAs predominantly expressed in the mammalian germline are the piwi-interacting RNAs (piRNAs). Targeted disruption of genes involved with piRNA biogenesis (*MIWI1*, *MILI2* & *MIWI23*) resulted in reactivation of retrotransposons and sterility through impaired spermatogenesis, indicating a tentative role for these ncRNAs in regulation of these processes (Kuramochi-Miyagawa *et al.* 2004; Carmell *et al.* 2007). Others have also identified that deletion of murine piRNAs results in impaired DNA methylation of transposons in the male germline and mutant mice bear a phenotype resembling that of Dnmt3L deficient animals (Kuramochi-Miyagawa *et al.* 2008) suggesting that the Piwi family are involved with targeting DNA methylation at transposons during fetal testis development. A role for piRNAs and non-coding RNA in *de novo* DNA methylation of the murine *Rasgrfl* locus has also been suggested (Watanabe *et al.* 2011). Analysis of DNA methylation in spermatogonia isolated from *Mili*, *MitoPLD*, and *Miwi2* mutant mice revealed impaired methylation at the DMR of the paternally methylated *Rasgrfl* gene, while the other paternally methylated DMRs *H19*, *Dlk1-Gtl2*, and *Gpr1-Zdbf2* had normal levels of methylation. Furthermore, these mice were also infertile through meiotic arrest during spermatogenesis, thus highlighting the importance of the PIWI-piRNA pathway during early development. In addition, this class of non-coding RNAs have been shown to be involved with enforcing retrotransposon silencing during spermatogenesis (Di Giacomo *et al.* 2013). Molaro *et al.* have suggested that this silencing occurs in two hierarchically distinct waves (Molaro *et al.* 2014). They showed that the vast majority of retrotransposon sequences are modified by default *de novo* methylation. However, some retrotransposon copies evade this wave of methylation and remain transcriptionally active (possibly through mirroring the behaviour of protein coding genes) becoming targets of a second wave of piRNA-mediated methylation.

In humans, genetic variants in Piwi-interacting RNA pathway genes have been identified to contribute to spermatogenic failure (Gu *et al.* 2010). Genotyping of single nucleotide polymorphisms of several Piwi genes (*PIWIL1/HIWI*, *PIWIL2/HILI*, *PIWIL3/HIWI3* and *PIWIL4/HIWI2*) from 490 patients with oligozoospermia or idiopathic azoospermia and 468 control patients revealed that SNPs in *HIWI2* and *HIWI3* were significantly associated with either an increased or decreased risk of oligozoospermia, respectively. The most recent studies have shown that piRNAs have a major role in mRNA elimination during the later stages of spermiogenesis (Gou *et al.* 2014). Taken together, the studies discussed in this section have shown essential roles for non-coding RNAs during male germ cell development and in orchestrating DNA methylation dynamics at certain loci.

DNA and chromatin modifications during spermatogenesis

The DNA in most vertebrate sperm is dramatically modified in comparison to the structure of DNA found in somatic cells. The most obvious difference is that the removal of most histones and replacement of these by protamines. This change causes a structural switch from the well-recognised (and relatively relaxed) solenoidal/nucleosomal structure of somatic cells to a highly condensed “almost crystalline” (Balhorn 1982) toroidal (doughnut) structure of DNA in mature sperm. While the broad outlines of the process are consistent between mammalian species there are differences, including the number of protamine variants that are present and the percentage of retained histones.

Protamines are unusual proteins having extremely high arginine content (50 - 70%) as well as high cysteine content. Protamines evolved from the H1 histone protein (Eirin-Lopez *et al.* 2006). Just as with histones, protamines and transition proteins are all highly basic proteins although histones are enriched for lysines rather than arginines. Transition proteins (that replace histones in an intermediate step before themselves getting displaced by protamines)

have approximately equal amounts of lysine and arginine content (20 % of TP1 amino acid content is lysine, and 20 % is arginine, the figures for TP2 are 10 % each) whereas histones are lysine rich and protamines are arginine rich (Meistrich *et al.* 2003).

The positive charge contributed by each arginine leads to an overall highly positively charged protein (Iso 12.7 for bovine) and enables the protamines to bind strongly to the negatively charged DNA. This interaction is further strengthened by the presence of disulfide bridges facilitated by the cysteines. The resulting structure represents a 10-fold reduction in space occupied by chromatin in the mature sperm compared to the somatic cell when it is at its most compact (during interphase stages of mitosis and meiosis) (Miller *et al.* 2010). The process of compaction of the paternal DNA is reviewed in detail by others (Ward and Coffey 1991; Kota and Feil 2010; Miller *et al.* 2010).

Histones are gradually replaced by protamines in a multi-step process. The first step involves the global methylation of DNA followed by hyperacetylation of histones in elongating spermatids (Pivot-Pajot *et al.* 2003). This global methylation is thought to shutdown the transcription in the gamete (Miller *et al.* 2010), with hyperacetylation of histones reducing the charge difference between the histone core and DNA and thus reduces the affinity of the nucleosome for DNA.

In fish and birds, histones are directly replaced by protamines. In mammals the histones are first replaced by transition proteins and these are then replaced by protamines. However, despite this process certain regions of DNA retain their histones. The amount of histones retained varies by species, marsupials retain as much as 25 % (Soon *et al.* 1997) while humans retain approximately 15 % and mice retain less than 2 % (Balhorn *et al.* 1977). In human and mice, these retained histone loci include regions near housekeeping and developmentally regulated genes (Brykczynska *et al.* 2010), as well as regions containing

CTCF motifs that bind the mammalian insulator protein CTCF. Interestingly, while CTCF is not expressed in male germ cells its closely related relative BORIS/CTCF1 is (Loukinov *et al.* 2002), it is only expressed in male germ cells and can bind methylated DNA (unlike its cousin CTCF). It is possible that the function of CTCF1 is to protect the histones in the regions containing CTCF motifs from protamine replacement (Arpanahi *et al.* 2009).

Other DNA regions do not retain their somatic histones but instead of replacing these with protamines the somatic histones are replaced with testis specific histones. These regions include telomeres (Zalensky *et al.* 2002) and centromeres. Post-fertilization maternal factors are able to access the paternal DNA and begin the replacement of protamines with maternal histones. Key proteins implicated in the process of sperm decondensation are members of the nucleoplasmin/nucleophosmin (NPM) family (Inoue *et al.* 2011a; Okuwaki *et al.* 2012). The paternal pro-nucleus is transcriptionally activated before the maternal pronucleus (Aoki *et al.* 1997). The conserved proteins, HIRA and CHD1, are crucial mediators of nucleosome assembly of the paternal pronucleus in *Drosophila* (Loppin *et al.* 2005; Konev *et al.* 2007).

The successful activation of both paternal and maternal pro-nuclei represents the stage of embryonic genome activation (EGA). The paternal (sperm) DNA is actively demethylated post fertilization however paternally imprinted genes escape this process. As the embryo develops the primordial germ cells enter the gonad the maternal and paternal imprinting signals are erased and in the male they are replaced with paternal imprints and the cycle continues.

Transgenerational and environmental epigenetics

Epigenetic modifications are generally removed and re-established from one generation to the next (Reik *et al.* 2001). However, studies in some model species have demonstrated that there is a failure to completely remove and re-establish epigenetic marks, at certain genetic

loci, from one generation to the next (Morgan *et al.* 1999a; Lane *et al.* 2003; Reinders *et al.* 2009). The phenomenon is known as transgenerational epigenetic inheritance (Heard and Martienssen 2014). Furthermore, it has been shown that the epigenome can be affected in organisms subjected to certain environmental stressors and that these environmentally induced epigenomic alterations can be transmitted through subsequent generations, which are unexposed to the stressor (Anway *et al.* 2005). Here we will review recent developments in the understanding of transgenerational inheritance of epigenetic modifications that are transmitted through the male germline.

Early examples of transgenerational epigenetic inheritance in the male germline were demonstrated through experiments investigating the effect of transient exposure of gestating rats to endocrine disruptors (the estrogenic pesticide methoxychlor and antiandrogenic fungicide vinclozolin) on embryonic testis development (Anway *et al.* 2005; Skinner and Anway 2005). These studies identified a reduced spermatogenic capacity and increased male infertility in the adult F1 population. These phenotypic effects were carried through the male germline to almost all males of subsequent generations (up to the F4 generation). Phenotypic changes were correlated with altered DNA methylation patterns, both hyper- and hypomethylation events, in sperm samples isolated from vinclozolin treated F2 and F3 generation animals. However, whether the changes in methylation were causal of a reduced fertility phenotype in the F1-F4 rats or markers of the transgenerational effect was undetermined. Nevertheless, cause or consequence aside, these early studies and more recent investigations (Anway *et al.* 2006; Chang *et al.* 2006; Guerrero-Bosagna *et al.* 2010; Skinner *et al.* 2013) have identified that exposure of a gestating mother to endocrine disruptors during the development window encompassing embryonic germ cell reprogramming is capable of altering the epigenome, and that these changes are persistent through the male germ line for several generations. Other environmental toxins such as Bisphenol A, dioxin and DEET have

also been proposed to have similar transgenerational epigenetic effects (Manikkam *et al.* 2012a; Manikkam *et al.* 2012b; Singh and Li 2012; Manikkam *et al.* 2013). Genome wide methylation profiling of male offspring from mice, fed half the calories of controls during their last week of gestation, revealed that over 100 regions (some located in proximity to genes associated with insulin secretion) were hypomethylated in sperm, further demonstrating the plasticity of the epigenome in response to maternal nutrition (Radford *et al.* 2014). Although methylation differences were observed in the F1 generation, mating of these males (fed a normal diet) with control females revealed that these hypomethylated regions weren't present in the F2 generation. Some of these previously hypomethylated regions did however exhibit differential expression in the brain and liver of some male F2 fetuses, showing that the grandmother's diet can impact subsequent generations when transmitted through the male germline. For an recent in depth review of transgenerational epigenetic mechanisms see (Heard and Martienssen 2014).

A recent high profile example of potential transgenerational epigenetic inheritance came from ancestral odour fear conditioning study of Dias and Ressler (Dias and Ressler 2014). While the phenomenon appears very real the epigenetic link is relatively tenuous. The presence of olfactory receptors on sperm and the known chemotaxis of sperm in response to odorant gradients (Spehr *et al.* 2006) means that direct selection on sperm genotype remains a plausible alternative. Recently, an involvement of sperm RNAs with transgenerational epigenetic inheritance has been suggested (Gapp *et al.* 2014). The authors of this investigating were aiming to further understand environmental and genetic factors associated with complex neurological diseases and trauma experienced during childhood. Using a mouse model of maternal trauma, that involved separating pups from their mothers, the investigators found a number of behavioural and molecular differences between control and stressed offspring, across several generations. Regarding the observed differences at the

molecular level, using RNA extracted from sperm they detected differences in the abundance of non-coding RNAs (microRNAs and PIWI-interacting RNAs) that have been purported as having a role in epigenetic gene regulation.

Some of the phenomena that are frequently listed under the rubric of transgenerational inheritance are outside the strict definition of a transgenerational epigenetic inheritance as we define it (direct modification of DNA or histones in the germline). The Kit paramutation in mouse (Rassoulzadegan *et al.* 2006) is the result of the transmission of a mutant RNA via sperm in the absence of the genotype – initially via the cytoplasmic bridges in spermatogonia of a heterozygous male but which apparently is capable of transmission across multiple generations. The paramutation phenomenon is reviewed in depth elsewhere (Chandler and Stam 2004). Many of the validated epigenetic germline inheritance instances occur at loci involving repetitive elements or retrotransposons (Chong and Whitelaw 2004). These elements cluster in regions that are known to avoid histone replacement by protamines during spermatogenesis i.e. telomeres and centromeres as mentioned above.

It remains to be determined whether metastable epialleles that underlie such phenomena as the Agouti viable yellow (coat colour) allele in mouse (Rakyan *et al.* 2002) are rare phenomena, or whether they are more common and consequently more important for health and disease. Recent research has identified metastable epiallele candidates in humans (Harris *et al.* 2013), however the further (and more controversial) step of transgenerational transmission of epiallele state has not been demonstrated for these gene candidates.

Confounding issues and controversy

The existence of paternal age effects associated with several conditions has fuelled the speculation about epigenetic transgenerational effects (mediated in part by sperm epigenetic processes). This has become an area of much controversy (Bird 2013) experiencing an

attempted rehabilitation of Lamarckian ideas (Szyf 2014). However, there are issues confounded with the epigenetic explanations that, to date, have received insufficient attention.

In defining inheritance of acquired characteristics, Landman (Landman 1991) noted that in attempting to test for inheritance of acquired characteristics “for individual organisms or cultures of cells” that care must be taken to carry out the experiment “under conditions of little or no growth (*thereby ruling out selection of mutants*)”[emphasis ours]. This is an under-appreciated consideration for experiments involving sperm epigenetics.

This problem has been belatedly recognised in the context of somatic tissue epigenetic profiling and has recently been tentatively named as “The Houseman Effect”, a name coined for a paper from Houseman et al where they showed that changes in methylation could be used to measure changes in the composition of cell populations (i.e. individual cell methylation levels are not changing but the overall levels are changing due to infiltration/exfiltration of different cell types) (Houseman *et al.* 2012; Jaffe and Irizarry 2014). This problem, and associated issues with epigenetic profiling, are discussed in more detail in a recent review (Michels *et al.* 2013).

In the case of sperm, the problem is not (or at least not necessarily) infiltration of different cell types (although immune cells are present in ejaculates (Wolff 1995) and the overall numbers and proportions can be modified by disease) but relates to the individual unique genetic composition of each sperm cell. Individual sperm are haploid organisms with unique genetic complements compared to their cohorts and compared to their parent organism due to meiosis as well as from gene conversion events that may be in the range of 250-800 per sperm cell (Wang *et al.* 2012). Most analyses implicitly assume that the sperm are genetically identical and have a uniform probability of successful fertilization. However, the

sperm are genetically unique and there are many documented examples of processes which can lead to the sperm genotype influencing fertilization success (Haig 1995). The most easily recognised of these conditions are those which can affect the sex of the offspring. These conditions have been extensively catalogued by William James (James 1996; James 2004). While the actual mechanism of many of these processes remains to be elucidated it is at least as plausible that these types of processes are responsible for some of the phenomena where epigenetic transgenerational effects are currently proposed.

Processes such as transmission ratio distortion have been shown to impact directly on sperm function and lead to non-mendelian inheritance patterns (Herrmann *et al.* 1999). Other mechanisms include selfish spermatogonial selection; which involves the age related clonal expansion (similar to oncogenic processes) of particular spermatogonial cells ultimately leading to enrichment of mutant sperm over time (Goriely and Wilkie 2012). This process has been identified as the cause of several paternal age effect (PAE) diseases such as achondroplasia and Apert syndrome.

Researchers in this area should be mindful of these problems and look out for the symptoms of these phenomena, such as altered sex ratio in offspring.

Concluding remarks

The last decade has seen great growth in the development and application of epigenetics. Some intriguing claims have been made in the field of sperm epigenetics challenging fundamental aspects of human genetics and disease pathogenesis. Now that the epigenetics field is maturing and attracting greater scrutiny and criticism, these claims are moving front and centre. The next decade should determine which of these claims (particularly transgenerational epigenetics) are able to withstand skeptical scrutiny.

References

Anway, M.D., Cupp, A.S., Uzumcu, M., and Skinner, M.K. (2005) Epigenetic transgenerational actions of endocrine disruptors and male fertility. *Science* 308(5727), 1466-9

Anway, M.D., Leathers, C., and Skinner, M.K. (2006) Endocrine disruptor vinclozolin induced epigenetic transgenerational adult-onset disease. *Endocrinology* 147(12), 5515-23

Aoki, F., Worrall, D.M., and Schultz, R.M. (1997) Regulation of transcriptional activity during the first and second cell cycles in the preimplantation mouse embryo. *Developmental biology* 181(2), 296-307

Arpanahi, A., Brinkworth, M., Iles, D., Krawetz, S.A., Paradowska, A., Platts, A.E., Saida, M., Steger, K., Tedder, P., and Miller, D. (2009) Endonuclease-sensitive regions of human spermatozoal chromatin are highly enriched in promoter and CTCF binding sequences. *Genome Res* 19(8), 1338-49

Balhorn, R. (1982) A model for the structure of chromatin in mammalian sperm. *J Cell Biol* 93(2), 298-305

Balhorn, R., Gledhill, B.L., and Wyrobek, A.J. (1977) Mouse sperm chromatin proteins: quantitative isolation and partial characterization. *Biochemistry* 16(18), 4074-80

Bao, J., Wu, J., Schuster, A.S., Hennig, G.W., and Yan, W. (2013) Expression profiling reveals developmentally regulated lncRNA repertoire in the mouse male germline. *Biol Reprod* 89(5), 107

Barouki, R., Gluckman, P.D., Grandjean, P., Hanson, M., and Heindel, J.J. (2012) Developmental origins of non-communicable disease: implications for research and public health. *Environ Health* 11, 42

Barreto, G., Schafer, A., Marhold, J., Stach, D., Swaminathan, S.K., Handa, V., Doderlein, G., Maltry, N., Wu, W., Lyko, F., and Niehrs, C. (2007) Gadd45a promotes epigenetic gene activation by repair-mediated DNA demethylation. *Nature* 445(7128), 671-5

Barton, S.C., Surani, M.A., and Norris, M.L. (1984) Role of paternal and maternal genomes in mouse development. *Nature* 311(5984), 374-6

Beaujean, N., Hartshorne, G., Cavilla, J., Taylor, J., Gardner, J., Wilmut, I., Meehan, R., and Young, L. (2004) Non-conservation of mammalian preimplantation methylation dynamics. *Curr Biol* 14(7), R266-7

Benchabib, M., Braun, V., Ressenkoff, D., Lornage, J., Durand, P., Niveleau, A., and Guerin, J.F. (2005) Influence of global sperm DNA methylation on IVF results. *Hum Reprod* 20(3), 768-73

Bender, J., and Fink, G.R. (1995) Epigenetic control of an endogenous gene family is revealed by a novel blue fluorescent mutant of Arabidopsis. *Cell* 83(5), 725-34

Bhattacharya, S.K., Ramchandani, S., Cervoni, N., and Szyf, M. (1999) A mammalian protein with specific demethylase activity for mCpG DNA. *Nature* 397(6720), 579-83

Bird, A. (2013) Genome biology: not drowning but waving. *Cell* 154(5), 951-2

Boerschmann, H., Pfluger, M., Henneberger, L., Ziegler, A.G., and Hummel, S. (2010) Prevalence and predictors of overweight and insulin resistance in offspring of mothers with gestational diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care* 33(8), 1845-9

Borgel, J., Guibert, S., Li, Y., Chiba, H., Schubeler, D., Sasaki, H., Forne, T., and Weber, M. (2010) Targets and dynamics of promoter DNA methylation during early mouse development. *Nat Genet* 42(12), 1093-100

Bourc'his, D., and Bestor, T.H. (2004) Meiotic catastrophe and retrotransposon reactivation in male germ cells lacking Dnmt3L. *Nature* 431(7004), 96-9

Bourc'his, D., and Proudhon, C. (2008) Sexual dimorphism in parental imprint ontogeny and contribution to embryonic development. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 282(1-2), 87-94

Bourc'his, D., Xu, G.L., Lin, C.S., Bollman, B., and Bestor, T.H. (2001) Dnmt3L and the establishment of maternal genomic imprints. *Science* 294(5551), 2536-9

Brykczynska, U., Hisano, M., Erkek, S., Ramos, L., Oakeley, E.J., Roloff, T.C., Beisel, C., Schubeler, D., Stadler, M.B., and Peters, A.H. (2010) Repressive and active histone methylation mark distinct promoters in human and mouse spermatozoa. *Nature structural & molecular biology* 17(6), 679-87

Burdge, G.C., Slater-Jefferies, J., Torrens, C., Phillips, E.S., Hanson, M.A., and Lillycrop, K.A. (2007) Dietary protein restriction of pregnant rats in the F0 generation induces altered methylation of hepatic gene promoters in the adult male offspring in the F1 and F2 generations. *Br J Nutr* 97(3), 435-9

Cai, X., and Cullen, B.R. (2007) The imprinted H19 noncoding RNA is a primary microRNA precursor. *RNA* 13(3), 313-6

Carmell, M.A., Girard, A., van de Kant, H.J., Bourc'his, D., Bestor, T.H., de Rooij, D.G., and Hannon, G.J. (2007) MIWI2 is essential for spermatogenesis and repression of transposons in the mouse male germline. *Dev Cell* 12(4), 503-14

Carninci, P., Kasukawa, T., Katayama, S., Gough, J., Frith, M.C., Maeda, N., Oyama, R., Ravasi, T., Lenhard, B., Wells, C., Kodzius, R., Shimokawa, K., Bajic, V.B., Brenner, S.E., Batalov, S., Forrest, A.R., Zavolan, M., Davis, M.J., Wilming, L.G., Aidinis, V., Allen, J.E., Ambesi-Impiombato, A., Apweiler, R., Aurali, R.N., Bailey, T.L., Bansal, M., Baxter, L., Beisel, K.W., Bersano, T., Bono, H., Chalk, A.M., Chiu, K.P., Choudhary, V., Christoffels, A., Clutterbuck, D.R., Crowe, M.L., Dalla, E., Dalrymple, B.P., de Bono, B., Della Gatta, G., di Bernardo, D., Down, T., Engstrom, P., Fagiolini, M., Faulkner, G., Fletcher, C.F., Fukushima, T., Furuno, M., Futaki, S., Gariboldi, M., Georgii-Hemming, P., Gingeras, T.R., Gojobori, T., Green, R.E., Gustincich, S., Harbers, M., Hayashi, Y., Hensch, T.K., Hirokawa, N., Hill, D., Huminiecki, L., Iacono, M., Ikeo, K., Iwama, A., Ishikawa, T., Jakt, M., Kanapin, A., Katoh, M., Kawasawa, Y., Kelso, J., Kitamura, H., Kitano, H., Kollias, G., Krishnan, S.P., Kruger, A., Kummerfeld, S.K., Kurochkin, I.V., Lareau, L.F., Lazarevic, D., Lipovich, L., Liu, J., Liuni, S., McWilliam, S., Madan Babu, M., Madera, M., Marchionni, L., Matsuda, H., Matsuzawa, S., Miki, H., Mignone, F., Miyake, S., Morris, K., Mottagui-Tabar, S., Mulder, N., Nakano, N., Nakauchi, H., Ng, P., Nilsson, R., Nishiguchi, S., Nishikawa, S., Nori, F., Ohara, O., Okazaki, Y., Orlando, V., Pang, K.C., Pavan, W.J., Pavesi, G., Pesole, G., Petrovsky, N., Piazza, S., Reed, J., Reid, J.F., Ring, B.Z., Ringwald, M., Rost, B., Ruan, Y., Salzberg, S.L., Sandelin, A., Schneider, C., Schonbach, C., Sekiguchi, K., Semple, C.A., Seno, S., Sessa, L., Sheng, Y., Shibata, Y., Shimada, H., Shimada, K., Silva, D., Sinclair, B., Sperling, S., Stupka, E., Sugiura, K., Sultana, R., Takenaka, Y., Taki, K., Tammoja, K., Tan, S.L., Tang, S., Taylor, M.S., Tegner, J., Teichmann, S.A., Ueda, H.R., van Nimwegen, E., Verardo, R., Wei, C.L., Yagi, K., Yamanishi, H., Zabarovsky, E., Zhu, S., Zimmer, A., Hide, W., Bult, C., Grimmond, S.M., Teasdale, R.D., Liu, E.T., Brusic, V., Quackenbush, J., Wahlestedt, C., Mattick, J.S., Hume, D.A., Kai, C., Sasaki, D., Tomaru, Y., Fukuda, S., Kanamori-Katayama, M., Suzuki, M., Aoki, J., Arakawa, T., Iida, J., Imamura, K., Itoh, M., Kato, T., Kawaji, H., Kawagashira, N., Kawashima, T., Kojima, M., Kondo, S., Konno, H., Nakano, K., Ninomiya, N., Nishio, T., Okada, M., Plessy, C., Shibata, K., Shiraki, T., Suzuki, S., Tagami, M., Waki, K., Watahiki, A., Okamura-Oho, Y., Suzuki, H., Kawai, J., Hayashizaki, Y., Consortium, F., Group, R.G.E.R., and Genome Science, G. (2005) The transcriptional landscape of the mammalian genome. *Science* 309(5740), 1559-63

Carrieri, C., Cimatti, L., Biagioli, M., Beugnet, A., Zucchelli, S., Fedele, S., Pesce, E., Ferrer, I., Collavin, L., Santoro, C., Forrest, A.R., Carninci, P., Biffo, S., Stupka, E., and Gustincich, S. (2012) Long non-coding antisense RNA controls Uchl1 translation through an embedded SINEB2 repeat. *Nature* 491(7424), 454-7

Carrington, J.C., and Ambros, V. (2003) Role of microRNAs in plant and animal development. *Science* 301(5631), 336-8

Carthew, R.W., and Sontheimer, E.J. (2009) Origins and Mechanisms of miRNAs and siRNAs. *Cell* 136(4), 642-55

Chandler, V.L., and Stam, M. (2004) Chromatin conversations: mechanisms and implications of paramutation. *Nature reviews. Genetics* 5(7), 532-44

Chang, H.S., Anway, M.D., Rekow, S.S., and Skinner, M.K. (2006) Transgenerational epigenetic imprinting of the male germline by endocrine disruptor exposure during gonadal sex determination. *Endocrinology* 147(12), 5524-41

Chong, S., and Whitelaw, E. (2004) Epigenetic germline inheritance. *Current opinion in genetics & development* 14(6), 692-6

Conrad, T., and Akhtar, A. (2012) Dosage compensation in *Drosophila melanogaster*: epigenetic fine-tuning of chromosome-wide transcription. *Nat Rev Genet* 13(2), 123-34

Cortessis, V.K. (2012) Commentary: rapid steps forward in environmental epigenetics: implications for epidemiologic investigation of chronic disease. *Int J Epidemiol* 41(6), 1761-3

Cortessis, V.K., Thomas, D.C., Levine, A.J., Breton, C.V., Mack, T.M., Siegmund, K.D., Haile, R.W., and Laird, P.W. (2012) Environmental epigenetics: prospects for studying epigenetic mediation of exposure-response relationships. *Hum Genet* 131(10), 1565-89

Davis, T.L., Yang, G.J., McCarrey, J.R., and Bartolomei, M.S. (2000) The H19 methylation imprint is erased and re-established differentially on the parental alleles during male germ cell development. *Hum Mol Genet* 9(19), 2885-94

Di Giacomo, M., Comazzetto, S., Saini, H., De Fazio, S., Carrieri, C., Morgan, M., Vasiliauskaite, L., Benes, V., Enright, A.J., and O'Carroll, D. (2013) Multiple epigenetic mechanisms and the piRNA pathway enforce LINE1 silencing during adult spermatogenesis. *Mol Cell* 50(4), 601-8

Dias, B.G., and Ressler, K.J. (2014) Parental olfactory experience influences behavior and neural structure in subsequent generations. *Nature neuroscience* 17(1), 89-96

Eckhart, L., Lippens, S., Tschachler, E., and Declercq, W. (2013) Cell death by cornification. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1833(12), 3471-80

Eirin-Lopez, J.M., Frehlick, L.J., and Ausio, J. (2006) Protamines, in the footsteps of linker histone evolution. *J Biol Chem* 281(1), 1-4

Engel, N., Tront, J.S., Erinle, T., Nguyen, N., Latham, K.E., Sapienza, C., Hoffman, B., and Liebermann, D.A. (2009) Conserved DNA methylation in *Gadd45a*(-/-) mice. *Epigenetics* 4(2), 98-9

Feil, R., and Fraga, M.F. (2011) Epigenetics and the environment: emerging patterns and implications. *Nature reviews. Genetics* 13(2), 97-109

Feng, S., Jacobsen, S.E., and Reik, W. (2010) Epigenetic reprogramming in plant and animal development. *Science* 330(6004), 622-7

Gapp, K., Jawaid, A., Sarkies, P., Bohacek, J., Pelczar, P., Prados, J., Farinelli, L., Miska, E., and Mansuy, I.M. (2014) Implication of sperm RNAs in transgenerational inheritance of the effects of early trauma in mice. *Nat Neurosci* 17(5), 667-9

Gonzalez, R.M., Ricardi, M.M., and Iusem, N.D. (2013) Epigenetic marks in an adaptive water stress-responsive gene in tomato roots under normal and drought conditions. *Epigenetics* 8(8), 864-72

Goriely, A., and Wilkie, A.O. (2012) Paternal age effect mutations and selfish spermatogonial selection: causes and consequences for human disease. *American journal of human genetics* 90(2), 175-200

Gou, L.T., Dai, P., Yang, J.H., Xue, Y., Hu, Y.P., Zhou, Y., Kang, J.Y., Wang, X., Li, H., Hua, M.M., Zhao, S., Hu, S.D., Wu, L.G., Shi, H.J., Li, Y., Fu, X.D., Qu, L.H., Wang, E.D., and Liu, M.F. (2014) Pachytene piRNAs instruct massive mRNA elimination during late spermiogenesis. *Cell Res*

Gu, A., Ji, G., Shi, X., Long, Y., Xia, Y., Song, L., Wang, S., and Wang, X. (2010) Genetic variants in Piwi-interacting RNA pathway genes confer susceptibility to spermatogenic failure in a Chinese population. *Hum Reprod* 25(12), 2955-61

Guerrero-Bosagna, C., Settles, M., Lucker, B., and Skinner, M.K. (2010) Epigenetic transgenerational actions of vinclozolin on promoter regions of the sperm epigenome. *PLoS One* 5(9)

Guibert, S., Forne, T., and Weber, M. (2012) Global profiling of DNA methylation erasure in mouse primordial germ cells. *Genome Res* 22(4), 633-41

Guo, H., Zhu, P., Wu, X., Li, X., Wen, L., and Tang, F. (2013) Single-cell methylome landscapes of mouse embryonic stem cells and early embryos analyzed using reduced representation bisulfite sequencing. *Genome Res* 23(12), 2126-35

Guo, H., Zhu, P., Yan, L., Li, R., Hu, B., Lian, Y., Yan, J., Ren, X., Lin, S., Li, J., Jin, X., Shi, X., Liu, P., Wang, X., Wang, W., Wei, Y., Li, X., Guo, F., Wu, X., Fan, X., Yong, J., Wen, L., Xie, S.X., Tang, F., and Qiao, J. (2014) The DNA methylation landscape of human early embryos. *Nature* 511(7511), 606-10

Haas, B.J., Chin, M., Nusbaum, C., Birren, B.W., and Livny, J. (2012) How deep is deep enough for RNA-Seq profiling of bacterial transcriptomes? *BMC Genomics* 13, 734

Hackett, J.A., Zyllicz, J.J., and Surani, M.A. (2012) Parallel mechanisms of epigenetic reprogramming in the germline. *Trends Genet* 28(4), 164-74

Haig, D., Bergstrom C.T. (1995) Multiple mating, sperm competition and meiotic drive. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 8, 265-282

Hajkova, P., Erhardt, S., Lane, N., Haaf, T., El-Maarri, O., Reik, W., Walter, J., and Surani, M.A. (2002) Epigenetic reprogramming in mouse primordial germ cells. *Mech Dev* 117(1-2), 15-23

Hampton, T. (2004) Maternal diabetes and obesity may have lifelong impact on health of offspring. *JAMA* 292(7), 789-90

Hansen, R.S., Wijmenga, C., Luo, P., Stanek, A.M., Canfield, T.K., Weemaes, C.M., and Gartler, S.M. (1999) The DNMT3B DNA methyltransferase gene is mutated in the ICF immunodeficiency syndrome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 96(25), 14412-7

Harris, R.A., Nagy-Szakal, D., and Kellermayer, R. (2013) Human metastable epiallele candidates link to common disorders. *Epigenetics* 8(2), 157-63

Hata, K., Okano, M., Lei, H., and Li, E. (2002) Dnmt3L cooperates with the Dnmt3 family of de novo DNA methyltransferases to establish maternal imprints in mice. *Development* 129(8), 1983-93

Hayashi, K., Chuva de Sousa Lopes, S.M., Kaneda, M., Tang, F., Hajkova, P., Lao, K., O'Carroll, D., Das, P.P., Tarakhovsky, A., Miska, E.A., and Surani, M.A. (2008) MicroRNA biogenesis is required for mouse primordial germ cell development and spermatogenesis. *PLoS One* 3(3), e1738

He, S., Su, H., Liu, C., Skogerbo, G., He, H., He, D., Zhu, X., Liu, T., Zhao, Y., and Chen, R. (2008) MicroRNA-encoding long non-coding RNAs. *BMC Genomics* 9, 236

He, Y.F., Li, B.Z., Li, Z., Liu, P., Wang, Y., Tang, Q., Ding, J., Jia, Y., Chen, Z., Li, L., Sun, Y., Li, X., Dai, Q., Song, C.X., Zhang, K., He, C., and Xu, G.L. (2011) Tet-mediated formation of 5-carboxylcytosine and its excision by TDG in mammalian DNA. *Science* 333(6047), 1303-7

Heard, E., and Martienssen, R.A. (2014) Transgenerational epigenetic inheritance: myths and mechanisms. *Cell* 157(1), 95-109

Hebenstreit, D., Fang, M., Gu, M., Charoensawan, V., van Oudenaarden, A., and Teichmann, S.A. (2011) RNA sequencing reveals two major classes of gene expression levels in metazoan cells. *Mol Syst Biol* 7, 497

Heijmans, B.T., Tobi, E.W., Stein, A.D., Putter, H., Blauw, G.J., Susser, E.S., Slagboom, P.E., and Lumey, L.H. (2008) Persistent epigenetic differences associated with prenatal exposure to famine in humans. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 105(44), 17046-9

Hendrich, B., Guy, J., Ramsahoye, B., Wilson, V.A., and Bird, A. (2001) Closely related proteins MBD2 and MBD3 play distinctive but interacting roles in mouse development. *Genes Dev* 15(6), 710-23

Herrmann, B.G., Koschorz, B., Wertz, K., McLaughlin, K.J., and Kispert, A. (1999) A protein kinase encoded by the t complex responder gene causes non-mendelian inheritance. *Nature* 402(6758), 141-6

Hiura, H., Obata, Y., Komiyama, J., Shirai, M., and Kono, T. (2006) Oocyte growth-dependent progression of maternal imprinting in mice. *Genes Cells* 11(4), 353-61

Houseman, E.A., Accomando, W.P., Koestler, D.C., Christensen, B.C., Marsit, C.J., Nelson, H.H., Wiencke, J.K., and Kelsey, K.T. (2012) DNA methylation arrays as surrogate measures of cell mixture distribution. *BMC bioinformatics* 13, 86

Houshdaran, S., Cortessis, V.K., Siegmund, K., Yang, A., Laird, P.W., and Sokol, R.Z. (2007) Widespread epigenetic abnormalities suggest a broad DNA methylation erasure defect in abnormal human sperm. *PLoS One* 2(12), e1289

Hurst, L.D., and McVean, G.T. (1997) Growth effects of uniparental disomies and the conflict theory of genomic imprinting. *Trends Genet* 13(11), 436-43

Inoue, A., Ogushi, S., Saitou, M., Suzuki, M.G., and Aoki, F. (2011a) Involvement of mouse nucleoplamin 2 in the decondensation of sperm chromatin after fertilization. *Biology of reproduction* 85(1), 70-7

Inoue, A., Shen, L., Dai, Q., He, C., and Zhang, Y. (2011b) Generation and replication-dependent dilution of 5fC and 5caC during mouse preimplantation development. *Cell Res* 21(12), 1670-6

Inoue, A., and Zhang, Y. (2011) Replication-dependent loss of 5-hydroxymethylcytosine in mouse preimplantation embryos. *Science* 334(6053), 194

Iqbal, K., Jin, S.G., Pfeifer, G.P., and Szabo, P.E. (2011) Reprogramming of the paternal genome upon fertilization involves genome-wide oxidation of 5-methylcytosine. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 108(9), 3642-7

Ito, S., Shen, L., Dai, Q., Wu, S.C., Collins, L.B., Swenberg, J.A., He, C., and Zhang, Y. (2011) Tet proteins can convert 5-methylcytosine to 5-formylcytosine and 5-carboxylcytosine. *Science* 333(6047), 1300-3

Ivanova, E., Chen, J.H., Segonds-Pichon, A., Ozanne, S.E., and Kelsey, G. (2012) DNA methylation at differentially methylated regions of imprinted genes is resistant to developmental programming by maternal nutrition. *Epigenetics* 7(10), 1200-10

Iwasa, Y. (1998) The conflict theory of genomic imprinting: how much can be explained? *Curr Top Dev Biol* 40, 255-93

Jacobsen, S.E., and Meyerowitz, E.M. (1997) Hypermethylated SUPERMAN epigenetic alleles in arabidopsis. *Science* 277(5329), 1100-3

Jaffe, A.E., and Irizarry, R.A. (2014) Accounting for cellular heterogeneity is critical in epigenome-wide association studies. *Genome biology* 15(2), R31

James, W.H. (1996) Evidence that mammalian sex ratios at birth are partially controlled by parental hormone levels at the time of conception. *J Theor Biol* 180(4), 271-86

James, W.H. (2004) Further evidence that mammalian sex ratios at birth are partially controlled by parental hormone levels around the time of conception. *Hum Reprod* 19(6), 1250-6

Jin, S.G., Guo, C., and Pfeifer, G.P. (2008) GADD45A does not promote DNA demethylation. *PLoS Genet* 4(3), e1000013

Jung, D., Giallourakis, C., Mostoslavsky, R., and Alt, F.W. (2006) Mechanism and control of V(D)J recombination at the immunoglobulin heavy chain locus. *Annu Rev Immunol* 24, 541-70

Kaneda, M., Okano, M., Hata, K., Sado, T., Tsujimoto, N., Li, E., and Sasaki, H. (2004) Essential role for de novo DNA methyltransferase Dnmt3a in paternal and maternal imprinting. *Nature* 429(6994), 900-3

Kangaspeska, S., Stride, B., Metivier, R., Polycarpou-Schwarz, M., Ibberson, D., Carmouche, R.P., Benes, V., Gannon, F., and Reid, G. (2008) Transient cyclical methylation of promoter DNA. *Nature* 452(7183), 112-5

Kawahara, M., Wu, Q., Takahashi, N., Morita, S., Yamada, K., Ito, M., Ferguson-Smith, A.C., and Kono, T. (2007) High-frequency generation of viable mice from engineered bi-maternal embryos. *Nat Biotechnol* 25(9), 1045-50

Kawane, K., Fukuyama, H., Kondoh, G., Takeda, J., Ohsawa, Y., Uchiyama, Y., and Nagata, S. (2001) Requirement of DNase II for definitive erythropoiesis in the mouse fetal liver. *Science* 292(5521), 1546-9

Keniry, A., Oxley, D., Monnier, P., Kyba, M., Dandolo, L., Smits, G., and Reik, W. (2012) The H19 lincRNA is a developmental reservoir of miR-675 that suppresses growth and Igf1r. *Nat Cell Biol* 14(7), 659-65

Kobayashi, H., Sato, A., Otsu, E., Hiura, H., Tomatsu, C., Utsunomiya, T., Sasaki, H., Yaegashi, N., and Arima, T. (2007) Aberrant DNA methylation of imprinted loci in sperm from oligospermic patients. *Hum Mol Genet* 16(21), 2542-51

Kohli, R.M., and Zhang, Y. (2013) TET enzymes, TDG and the dynamics of DNA demethylation. *Nature* 502(7472), 472-9

Konev, A.Y., Tribus, M., Park, S.Y., Podhraski, V., Lim, C.Y., Emelyanov, A.V., Vershilova, E., Pirrotta, V., Kadonaga, J.T., Lusser, A., and Fyodorov, D.V. (2007) CHD1 motor protein is required for deposition of histone variant H3.3 into chromatin in vivo. *Science* 317(5841), 1087-90

Kota, S.K., and Feil, R. (2010) Epigenetic transitions in germ cell development and meiosis. *Developmental cell* 19(5), 675-86

Kucharski, R., Maleszka, J., Foret, S., and Maleszka, R. (2008) Nutritional control of reproductive status in honeybees via DNA methylation. *Science* 319(5871), 1827-30

Kumar, G., Patel, D., and Naz, R.K. (1993) c-MYC mRNA is present in human sperm cells. *Cell Mol Biol Res* 39(2), 111-7

Kuramochi-Miyagawa, S., Kimura, T., Ijiri, T.W., Isobe, T., Asada, N., Fujita, Y., Ikawa, M., Iwai, N., Okabe, M., Deng, W., Lin, H., Matsuda, Y., and Nakano, T. (2004) Mili, a mammalian member of piwi family gene, is essential for spermatogenesis. *Development* 131(4), 839-49

Kuramochi-Miyagawa, S., Watanabe, T., Gotoh, K., Totoki, Y., Toyoda, A., Ikawa, M., Asada, N., Kojima, K., Yamaguchi, Y., Ijiri, T.W., Hata, K., Li, E., Matsuda, Y., Kimura, T., Okabe, M., Sakaki, Y., Sasaki, H., and Nakano, T. (2008) DNA methylation of retrotransposon genes is regulated by Piwi family members MILI and MIWI2 in murine fetal testes. *Genes Dev* 22(7), 908-17

Lai, E.C. (2002) Micro RNAs are complementary to 3' UTR sequence motifs that mediate negative post-transcriptional regulation. *Nat Genet* 30(4), 363-4

Laiho, A., Kotaja, N., Gyenesei, A., and Sironen, A. (2013) Transcriptome profiling of the murine testis during the first wave of spermatogenesis. *PLoS One* 8(4), e61558

Lambrot, R., Xu, C., Saint-Phar, S., Chountalos, G., Cohen, T., Paquet, M., Suderman, M., Hallett, M., and Kimmins, S. (2013) Low paternal dietary folate alters the mouse sperm epigenome and is associated with negative pregnancy outcomes. *Nat Commun* 4, 2889

Landman, O.E. (1991) The inheritance of acquired characteristics. *Annual review of genetics* 25, 1-20

Lane, N., Dean, W., Erhardt, S., Hajkova, P., Surani, A., Walter, J., and Reik, W. (2003) Resistance of IAPs to methylation reprogramming may provide a mechanism for epigenetic inheritance in the mouse. *Genesis* 35(2), 88-93

Lees-Murdock, D.J., and Walsh, C.P. (2008) DNA methylation reprogramming in the germ line. *Epigenetics* 3(1), 5-13

Ley, T.J., Ding, L., Walter, M.J., McLellan, M.D., Lamprecht, T., Larson, D.E., Kandoth, C., Payton, J.E., Baty, J., Welch, J., Harris, C.C., Lichti, C.F., Townsend, R.R., Fulton, R.S., Dooling, D.J., Koboldt, D.C., Schmidt, H., Zhang, Q., Osborne, J.R., Lin, L., O'Laughlin, M., McMichael, J.F., Delehaunty, K.D., McGrath, S.D., Fulton, L.A., Magrini, V.J., Vickery, T.L., Hundal, J., Cook, L.L., Conyers, J.J., Swift, G.W., Reed, J.P., Alldredge, P.A., Wylie, T., Walker, J., Kalicki, J., Watson, M.A., Heath, S., Shannon, W.D., Varghese, N., Nagarajan, R., Westervelt, P., Tomasson, M.H., Link, D.C., Graubert, T.A., DiPersio, J.F., Mardis, E.R., and Wilson, R.K. (2010) DNMT3A mutations in acute myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med* 363(25), 2424-33

Li, B., Li, J.B., Xiao, X.F., Ma, Y.F., Wang, J., Liang, X.X., Zhao, H.X., Jiang, F., Yao, Y.Q., and Wang, X.H. (2013) Altered DNA methylation patterns of the H19 differentially methylated region and the DAZL gene promoter are associated with defective human sperm. *PLoS One* 8(8), e71215

Li, E., Bestor, T.H., and Jaenisch, R. (1992) Targeted mutation of the DNA methyltransferase gene results in embryonic lethality. *Cell* 69(6), 915-26

Li, J.Y., Lees-Murdock, D.J., Xu, G.L., and Walsh, C.P. (2004) Timing of establishment of paternal methylation imprints in the mouse. *Genomics* 84(6), 952-60

Li, Y., and O'Neill, C. (2012) Persistence of cytosine methylation of DNA following fertilisation in the mouse. *PLoS One* 7(1), e30687

Lin, S.P., Youngson, N., Takada, S., Seitz, H., Reik, W., Paulsen, M., Cavaille, J., and Ferguson-Smith, A.C. (2003) Asymmetric regulation of imprinting on the maternal and paternal chromosomes at the Dlk1-Gtl2 imprinted cluster on mouse chromosome 12. *Nat Genet* 35(1), 97-102

Loppin, B., Bonnefoy, E., Anselme, C., Laurencon, A., Karr, T.L., and Couble, P. (2005) The histone H3.3 chaperone HIRA is essential for chromatin assembly in the male pronucleus. *Nature* 437(7063), 1386-90

Loukinov, D.I., Pugacheva, E., Vatolin, S., Pack, S.D., Moon, H., Chernukhin, I., Mannan, P., Larsson, E., Kanduri, C., Vostrov, A.A., Cui, H., Niemitz, E.L., Rasko, J.E., Docquier, F.M., Kistler, M., Breen, J.J., Zhuang, Z., Quitschke, W.W., Renkawitz, R., Klenova, E.M., Feinberg, A.P., Ohlsson, R., Morse, H.C., 3rd, and Lobanenko, V.V. (2002) BORIS, a novel male germ-line-specific protein associated with epigenetic reprogramming events, shares the same 11-zinc-finger domain with CTCF, the insulator protein involved in reading imprinting marks in the soma. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 99(10), 6806-11

Louro, R., Smirnova, A.S., and Verjovski-Almeida, S. (2009) Long intronic noncoding RNA transcription: expression noise or expression choice? *Genomics* 93(4), 291-8

Lucifero, D., Mann, M.R., Bartolomei, M.S., and Trasler, J.M. (2004) Gene-specific timing and epigenetic memory in oocyte imprinting. *Hum Mol Genet* 13(8), 839-49

Ma, J.Y., Liang, X.W., Schatten, H., and Sun, Q.Y. (2012) Active DNA demethylation in mammalian preimplantation embryos: new insights and new perspectives. *Mol Hum Reprod* 18(7), 333-40

Macarthur, D. (2012) Methods: Face up to false positives. *Nature* 487(7408), 427-8

Maderspacher, F. (2014) Hype in halifax. *Curr Biol* 24(8), R298-301

Magee, D.A., Spillane, C., Berkowicz, E.W., Sikora, K.M., and MacHugh, D.E. (2014) Imprinted loci in domestic livestock species as epigenomic targets for artificial selection of complex traits. *Anim Genet*

Manikkam, M., Guerrero-Bosagna, C., Tracey, R., Haque, M.M., and Skinner, M.K. (2012a) Transgenerational actions of environmental compounds on reproductive disease and identification of epigenetic biomarkers of ancestral exposures. *PLoS One* 7(2), e31901

Manikkam, M., Tracey, R., Guerrero-Bosagna, C., and Skinner, M.K. (2012b) Pesticide and insect repellent mixture (permethrin and DEET) induces epigenetic transgenerational inheritance of disease and sperm epimutations. *Reprod Toxicol* 34(4), 708-19

Manikkam, M., Tracey, R., Guerrero-Bosagna, C., and Skinner, M.K. (2013) Plastics derived endocrine disruptors (BPA, DEHP and DBP) induce epigenetic transgenerational inheritance of obesity, reproductive disease and sperm epimutations. *PLoS One* 8(1), e55387

Maric-Bilkan, C., Symonds, M., Ozanne, S., and Alexander, B.T. (2011) Impact of maternal obesity and diabetes on long-term health of the offspring. *Exp Diabetes Res* 2011, 163438

Marinov, G.K., Williams, B.A., McCue, K., Schroth, G.P., Gertz, J., Myers, R.M., and Wold, B.J. (2014) From single-cell to cell-pool transcriptomes: stochasticity in gene expression and RNA splicing. *Genome Res* 24(3), 496-510

Mayer, W., Niveleau, A., Walter, J., Fundele, R., and Haaf, T. (2000) Demethylation of the zygotic paternal genome. *Nature* 403(6769), 501-2

Meister, G. (2007) miRNAs get an early start on translational silencing. *Cell* 131(1), 25-8

Meister, G., and Tuschl, T. (2004) Mechanisms of gene silencing by double-stranded RNA. *Nature* 431(7006), 343-9

Meistrich, M.L., Mohapatra, B., Shirley, C.R., and Zhao, M. (2003) Roles of transition nuclear proteins in spermiogenesis. *Chromosoma* 111(8), 483-8

Mercer, T.R., and Mattick, J.S. (2013) Structure and function of long noncoding RNAs in epigenetic regulation. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 20(3), 300-7

Messerschmidt, D.M., Knowles, B.B., and Solter, D. (2014) DNA methylation dynamics during epigenetic reprogramming in the germline and preimplantation embryos. *Genes Dev* 28(8), 812-28

Metivier, R., Gallais, R., Tiffoche, C., Le Peron, C., Jurkowska, R.Z., Carmouche, R.P., Ibberson, D., Barath, P., Demay, F., Reid, G., Benes, V., Jeltsch, A., Gannon, F., and Salbert, G. (2008) Cyclical DNA methylation of a transcriptionally active promoter. *Nature* 452(7183), 45-50

Michels, K.B., Binder, A.M., Dedeurwaerder, S., Epstein, C.B., Grealley, J.M., Gut, I., Houseman, E.A., Izzi, B., Kelsey, K.T., Meissner, A., Milosavljevic, A., Siegmund, K.D., Bock, C., and Irizarry, R.A. (2013) Recommendations for the design and analysis of epigenome-wide association studies. *Nature methods* 10(10), 949-55

Miller, D., Brinkworth, M., and Iles, D. (2010) Paternal DNA packaging in spermatozoa: more than the sum of its parts? DNA, histones, protamines and epigenetics. *Reproduction* 139(2), 287-301

Molaro, A., Falciatori, I., Hodges, E., Aravin, A.A., Marran, K., Rafii, S., McCombie, W.R., Smith, A.D., and Hannon, G.J. (2014) Two waves of de novo methylation during mouse germ cell development. *Genes Dev* 28(14), 1544-9

Monnier, P., Martinet, C., Pontis, J., Stancheva, I., Ait-Si-Ali, S., and Dandolo, L. (2013) H19 lncRNA controls gene expression of the Imprinted Gene Network by recruiting MBD1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 110(51), 20693-8

Morgan, H.D., Santos, F., Green, K., Dean, W., and Reik, W. (2005) Epigenetic reprogramming in mammals. *Hum Mol Genet* 14 Spec No 1, R47-58

Morgan, H.D., Sutherland, H.G., Martin, D.I., and Whitelaw, E. (1999a) Epigenetic inheritance at the agouti locus in the mouse. *Nat Genet* 23(3), 314-8

Morgan, H.D., Sutherland, H.G., Martin, D.I., and Whitelaw, E. (1999b) Epigenetic inheritance at the agouti locus in the mouse. *Nature genetics* 23(3), 314-8

Mukherjee, A., Koli, S., and Reddy, K.V. (2014) Regulatory non-coding transcripts in spermatogenesis: shedding light on 'dark matter'. *Andrology* 2(3), 360-9

Murchison, E.P., and Hannon, G.J. (2004) miRNAs on the move: miRNA biogenesis and the RNAi machinery. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 16(3), 223-9

Mychasiuk, R., Harker, A., Ilnytskyy, S., and Gibb, R. (2013) Paternal stress prior to conception alters DNA methylation and behaviour of developing rat offspring. *Neuroscience* 241, 100-5

Mychasiuk, R., Zahir, S., Schmold, N., Ilnytskyy, S., Kovalchuk, O., and Gibb, R. (2012) Parental enrichment and offspring development: modifications to brain, behavior and the epigenome. *Behav Brain Res* 228(2), 294-8

Nakamura, T., Arai, Y., Umehara, H., Masuhara, M., Kimura, T., Taniguchi, H., Sekimoto, T., Ikawa, M., Yoneda, Y., Okabe, M., Tanaka, S., Shiota, K., and Nakano, T. (2007) PGC7/Stella protects against DNA demethylation in early embryogenesis. *Nat Cell Biol* 9(1), 64-71

Nakamura, T., Liu, Y.J., Nakashima, H., Umehara, H., Inoue, K., Matoba, S., Tachibana, M., Ogura, A., Shinkai, Y., and Nakano, T. (2012) PGC7 binds histone H3K9me2 to protect against conversion of 5mC to 5hmC in early embryos. *Nature* 486(7403), 415-9

Navarro-Martin, L., Vinas, J., Ribas, L., Diaz, N., Gutierrez, A., Di Croce, L., and Piferrer, F. (2011) DNA methylation of the gonadal aromatase (*cyp19a*) promoter is involved in temperature-dependent sex ratio shifts in the European sea bass. *PLoS Genet* 7(12), e1002447

Necsulea, A., Soumillon, M., Warnefors, M., Liechti, A., Daish, T., Zeller, U., Baker, J.C., Grutzner, F., and Kaessmann, H. (2014) The evolution of lncRNA repertoires and expression patterns in tetrapods. *Nature* 505(7485), 635-40

O'Doherty, A.M., O'Gorman, A., Al Naib, A., Brennan, L., Daly, E., Duffy, P., and Fair, T. (2014) Negative energy balance affects imprint stability in oocytes recovered from postpartum dairy cows. *Genomics*

O'Doherty, A.M., O'Shea, L.C., and Fair, T. (2012) Bovine DNA methylation imprints are established in an oocyte size-specific manner, which are coordinated with the expression of the DNMT3 family proteins. *Biol Reprod* 86(3), 67

Okano, M., Bell, D.W., Haber, D.A., and Li, E. (1999) DNA methyltransferases Dnmt3a and Dnmt3b are essential for de novo methylation and mammalian development. *Cell* 99(3), 247-57

Okuwaki, M., Sumi, A., Hisaoka, M., Saotome-Nakamura, A., Akashi, S., Nishimura, Y., and Nagata, K. (2012) Function of homo- and hetero-oligomers of human nucleoplasmin/nucleophosmin family proteins NPM1, NPM2 and NPM3 during sperm chromatin remodeling. *Nucleic acids research* 40(11), 4861-78

Ooi, S.K., and Bestor, T.H. (2008) The colorful history of active DNA demethylation. *Cell* 133(7), 1145-8

Ostermeier, G.C., Miller, D., Huntriss, J.D., Diamond, M.P., and Krawetz, S.A. (2004) Reproductive biology: delivering spermatozoan RNA to the oocyte. *Nature* 429(6988), 154

Oswald, J., Engemann, S., Lane, N., Mayer, W., Olek, A., Fundele, R., Dean, W., Reik, W., and Walter, J. (2000) Active demethylation of the paternal genome in the mouse zygote. *Curr Biol* 10(8), 475-8

Pembrey, M.E. (2010) Male-line transgenerational responses in humans. *Hum Fertil (Camb)* 13(4), 268-71

Pessot, C.A., Brito, M., Figueroa, J., Concha, II, Yanez, A., and Burzio, L.O. (1989) Presence of RNA in the sperm nucleus. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 158(1), 272-8

Pivot-Pajot, C., Caron, C., Govin, J., Vion, A., Rousseaux, S., and Khochbin, S. (2003) Acetylation-dependent chromatin reorganization by BRDT, a testis-specific bromodomain-containing protein. *Mol Cell Biol* 23(15), 5354-65

Ponjavic, J., Ponting, C.P., and Lunter, G. (2007) Functionality or transcriptional noise? Evidence for selection within long noncoding RNAs. *Genome Res* 17(5), 556-65

Proudhon, C., Duffie, R., Ajjan, S., Cowley, M., Iranzo, J., Carbajosa, G., Saadeh, H., Holland, M.L., Oakey, R.J., Rakyán, V.K., Schulz, R., and Bourc'his, D. (2012) Protection against de novo methylation is instrumental in maintaining parent-of-origin methylation inherited from the gametes. *Mol Cell* 47(6), 909-20

Ptashne, M. (2013) Epigenetics: core misconception. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 110(18), 7101-3

Radford, E.J., Ito, M., Shi, H., Corish, J.A., Yamazawa, K., Isganaitis, E., Seisenberger, S., Hore, T.A., Reik, W., Erkek, S., Peters, A.H., Patti, M.E., and Ferguson-Smith, A.C. (2014) In utero undernourishment perturbs the adult sperm methylome and intergenerational metabolism. *Science*

Rahman, M.B., Kamal, M.M., Rijsselaere, T., Vandaele, L., Shamsuddin, M., and Van Soom, A. (2013) Altered chromatin condensation of heat-stressed spermatozoa perturbs the dynamics of DNA methylation reprogramming in the paternal genome after in vitro fertilisation in cattle. *Reprod Fertil Dev*

Rakyán, V.K., Blewitt, M.E., Druker, R., Preis, J.I., and Whitelaw, E. (2002) Metastable epialleles in mammals. *Trends Genet* 18(7), 348-51

Rapicavoli, N.A., Poth, E.M., Zhu, H., and Blackshaw, S. (2011) The long noncoding RNA Six3OS acts in trans to regulate retinal development by modulating Six3 activity. *Neural Dev* 6, 32

Rassoulzadegan, M., Grandjean, V., Gounon, P., Vincent, S., Gillot, I., and Cuzin, F. (2006) RNA-mediated non-mendelian inheritance of an epigenetic change in the mouse. *Nature* 441(7092), 469-74

Reik, W., Dean, W., and Walter, J. (2001) Epigenetic reprogramming in mammalian development. *Science* 293(5532), 1089-93

Reinders, J., Wulff, B.B., Mirouze, M., Mari-Ordonez, A., Dapp, M., Rozhon, W., Bucher, E., Theiler, G., and Paszkowski, J. (2009) Compromised stability of DNA methylation and transposon immobilization in mosaic Arabidopsis epigenomes. *Genes Dev* 23(8), 939-50

Romero, Y., Meikar, O., Papaioannou, M.D., Conne, B., Grey, C., Weier, M., Pralong, F., De Massy, B., Kaessmann, H., Vassalli, J.D., Kotaja, N., and Nef, S. (2011) Dicer1 depletion in male germ cells leads to infertility due to cumulative meiotic and spermiogenic defects. *PLoS One* 6(10), e25241

Santoro, F., Mayer, D., Klement, R.M., Warczok, K.E., Stukalov, A., Barlow, D.P., and Pauler, F.M. (2013) Imprinted Igf2r silencing depends on continuous Airn lncRNA expression and is not restricted to a developmental window. *Development* 140(6), 1184-95

Santos, F., Hendrich, B., Reik, W., and Dean, W. (2002) Dynamic reprogramming of DNA methylation in the early mouse embryo. *Dev Biol* 241(1), 172-82

Schmitz, K.M., Schmitt, N., Hoffmann-Rohrer, U., Schafer, A., Grummt, I., and Mayer, C. (2009) TAF12 recruits Gadd45a and the nucleotide excision repair complex to the promoter of rRNA genes leading to active DNA demethylation. *Mol Cell* 33(3), 344-53

Schulz, R., Proudhon, C., Bestor, T.H., Woodfine, K., Lin, C.S., Lin, S.P., Prissette, M., Oakey, R.J., and Bourc'his, D. (2010) The parental non-equivalence of imprinting control regions during mammalian development and evolution. *PLoS Genet* 6(11), e1001214

Seisenberger, S., Andrews, S., Krueger, F., Arand, J., Walter, J., Santos, F., Popp, C., Thienpont, B., Dean, W., and Reik, W. (2012) The dynamics of genome-wide DNA methylation reprogramming in mouse primordial germ cells. *Mol Cell* 48(6), 849-62

Seisenberger, S., Peat, J.R., Hore, T.A., Santos, F., Dean, W., and Reik, W. (2013) Reprogramming DNA methylation in the mammalian life cycle: building and breaking epigenetic barriers. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 368(1609), 20110330

Sendler, E., Johnson, G.D., Mao, S., Goodrich, R.J., Diamond, M.P., Hauser, R., and Krawetz, S.A. (2013) Stability, delivery and functions of human sperm RNAs at fertilization. *Nucleic Acids Res* 41(7), 4104-17

Shi, W., Dirim, F., Wolf, E., Zakhartchenko, V., and Haaf, T. (2004) Methylation reprogramming and chromosomal aneuploidy in in vivo fertilized and cloned rabbit preimplantation embryos. *Biol Reprod* 71(1), 340-7

Shibata, H., Yoda, Y., Kato, R., Ueda, T., Kamiya, M., Hiraiwa, N., Yoshiki, A., Plass, C., Pearsall, R.S., Held, W.A., Muramatsu, M., Sasaki, H., Kusakabe, M., and Hayashizaki, Y. (1998) A methylation imprint mark in the mouse imprinted gene *Grf1/Cdc25Mm* locus shares a common feature with the *U2afbp-rs* gene: an association with a short tandem repeat and a hypermethylated region. *Genomics* 49(1), 30-7

Shirane, K., Toh, H., Kobayashi, H., Miura, F., Chiba, H., Ito, T., Kono, T., and Sasaki, H. (2013) Mouse oocyte methylomes at base resolution reveal genome-wide accumulation of non-CpG methylation and role of DNA methyltransferases. *PLoS Genet* 9(4), e1003439

Singh, S., and Li, S.S. (2012) Epigenetic effects of environmental chemicals bisphenol a and phthalates. *Int J Mol Sci* 13(8), 10143-53

Skinner, M.K., and Anway, M.D. (2005) Seminiferous cord formation and germ-cell programming: epigenetic transgenerational actions of endocrine disruptors. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1061, 18-32

Skinner, M.K., Haque, C.G., Nilsson, E., Bhandari, R., and McCarrey, J.R. (2013) Environmentally induced transgenerational epigenetic reprogramming of primordial germ cells and the subsequent germ line. *PLoS One* 8(7), e66318

Smallwood, S.A., and Kelsey, G. (2012) De novo DNA methylation: a germ cell perspective. *Trends Genet* 28(1), 33-42

Smith, Z.D., Chan, M.M., Mikkelsen, T.S., Gu, H., Gnirke, A., Regev, A., and Meissner, A. (2012) A unique regulatory phase of DNA methylation in the early mammalian embryo. *Nature* 484(7394), 339-44

Smits, G., Mungall, A.J., Griffiths-Jones, S., Smith, P., Beury, D., Matthews, L., Rogers, J., Pask, A.J., Shaw, G., VandeBerg, J.L., McCarrey, J.R., Consortium, S., Renfree, M.B., Reik, W., and Dunham, I. (2008) Conservation of the H19 noncoding RNA and H19-IGF2 imprinting mechanism in therians. *Nat Genet* 40(8), 971-6

Soon, L.L., Ausio, J., Breed, W.G., Power, J.H., and Muller, S. (1997) Isolation of histones and related chromatin structures from spermatozoa nuclei of a dasyurid marsupial, *Sminthopsis crassicaudata*. *J Exp Zool* 278(5), 322-32

Soubry, A., Hoyo, C., Jirtle, R.L., and Murphy, S.K. (2014) A paternal environmental legacy: evidence for epigenetic inheritance through the male germ line. *Bioessays* 36(4), 359-71

Spehr, M., Schwane, K., Riffell, J.A., Zimmer, R.K., and Hatt, H. (2006) Odorant receptors and olfactory-like signaling mechanisms in mammalian sperm. *Mol Cell Endocrinol* 250(1-2), 128-36

Stothard, K.J., Tennant, P.W., Bell, R., and Rankin, J. (2009) Maternal overweight and obesity and the risk of congenital anomalies: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA* 301(6), 636-50

Struhl, K. (2014) Is DNA methylation of tumour suppressor genes epigenetic? *Elife* 3, e02475

Sun, J., Lin, Y., and Wu, J. (2013) Long non-coding RNA expression profiling of mouse testis during postnatal development. *PLoS One* 8(10), e75750

Szyf, M. (2014) Lamarck revisited: epigenetic inheritance of ancestral odor fear conditioning. *Nature neuroscience* 17(1), 2-4

Takada, S., Paulsen, M., Tevendale, M., Tsai, C.E., Kelsey, G., Cattanach, B.M., and Ferguson-Smith, A.C. (2002) Epigenetic analysis of the Dlk1-Gtl2 imprinted domain on mouse chromosome 12: implications for imprinting control from comparison with Igf2-H19. *Hum Mol Genet* 11(1), 77-86

Tatton-Brown, K., Seal, S., Ruark, E., Harmer, J., Ramsay, E., Del Vecchio Duarte, S., Zachariou, A., Hanks, S., O'Brien, E., Aksglaede, L., Baralle, D., Dabir, T., Gener, B., Goudie, D., Homfray, T., Kumar, A., Pilz, D.T., Selicorni, A., Temple, I.K., Van Maldergem, L., Yachelevich, N., Childhood Overgrowth, C., van Montfort, R., and Rahman, N. (2014) Mutations in the DNA methyltransferase gene DNMT3A cause an overgrowth syndrome with intellectual disability. *Nat Genet* 46(4), 385-8

Trasler, J.M. (2006) Gamete imprinting: setting epigenetic patterns for the next generation. *Reprod Fertil Dev* 18(1-2), 63-9

Tremblay, K.D., Duran, K.L., and Bartolomei, M.S. (1997) A 5' 2-kilobase-pair region of the imprinted mouse H19 gene exhibits exclusive paternal methylation throughout development. *Mol Cell Biol* 17(8), 4322-9

Vasudevan, S., Tong, Y., and Steitz, J.A. (2007) Switching from repression to activation: microRNAs can up-regulate translation. *Science* 318(5858), 1931-4

Waddington, C. (1942) The epigenotype. *Endeavour* 1, 18-20

Wang, J., Fan, H.C., Behr, B., and Quake, S.R. (2012) Genome-wide single-cell analysis of recombination activity and de novo mutation rates in human sperm. *Cell* 150(2), 402-12

Wang, X., Miller, D.C., Harman, R., Antczak, D.F., and Clark, A.G. (2013) Paternally expressed genes predominate in the placenta. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 110(26), 10705-10

Ward, W.S., and Coffey, D.S. (1991) DNA packaging and organization in mammalian spermatozoa: comparison with somatic cells. *Biology of reproduction* 44(4), 569-74

Watanabe, T., Tomizawa, S., Mitsuya, K., Totoki, Y., Yamamoto, Y., Kuramochi-Miyagawa, S., Iida, N., Hoki, Y., Murphy, P.J., Toyoda, A., Gotoh, K., Hiura, H., Arima, T., Fujiyama, A., Sado, T., Shibata, T., Nakano, T., Lin, H., Ichiyanagi, K., Soloway, P.D., and Sasaki, H. (2011) Role for piRNAs and noncoding RNA in de novo DNA methylation of the imprinted mouse *Rasgrf1* locus. *Science* 332(6031), 848-52

Waterland, R.A., Kellermayer, R., Laritsky, E., Rayco-Solon, P., Harris, R.A., Travisano, M., Zhang, W., Torskaya, M.S., Zhang, J., Shen, L., Manary, M.J., and Prentice, A.M. (2010) Season of conception in rural gambia affects DNA methylation at putative human metastable epialleles. *PLoS Genet* 6(12), e1001252

Webster, K.E., O'Bryan, M.K., Fletcher, S., Crewther, P.E., Aapola, U., Craig, J., Harrison, D.K., Aung, H., Phutikanit, N., Lyle, R., Meachem, S.J., Antonarakis, S.E., de Kretser, D.M., Hedger, M.P., Peterson, P., Carroll, B.J., and Scott, H.S. (2005) Meiotic and epigenetic defects in *Dnmt3L*-knockout mouse spermatogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 102(11), 4068-73

Willingham, A.T., Orth, A.P., Batalov, S., Peters, E.C., Wen, B.G., Aza-Blanc, P., Hogenesch, J.B., and Schultz, P.G. (2005) A strategy for probing the function of noncoding RNAs finds a repressor of NFAT. *Science* 309(5740), 1570-3

Wolff, G.L., Kodell, R.L., Moore, S.R., and Cooney, C.A. (1998) Maternal epigenetics and methyl supplements affect agouti gene expression in *Avy/a* mice. *FASEB J* 12(11), 949-57

Wolff, H. (1995) The biologic significance of white blood cells in semen. *Fertility and sterility* 63(6), 1143-57

Wossidlo, M., Nakamura, T., Lepikhov, K., Marques, C.J., Zakhartchenko, V., Boiani, M., Arand, J., Nakano, T., Reik, W., and Walter, J. (2011) 5-Hydroxymethylcytosine in the mammalian zygote is linked with epigenetic reprogramming. *Nat Commun* 2, 241

Wu, H., and Zhang, Y. (2014) Reversing DNA methylation: mechanisms, genomics, and biological functions. *Cell* 156(1-2), 45-68

Wu, Q., Song, R., Ortogero, N., Zheng, H., Evanoff, R., Small, C.L., Griswold, M.D., Namekawa, S.H., Royo, H., Turner, J.M., and Yan, W. (2012) The RNase III enzyme DROSHA is essential for microRNA production and spermatogenesis. *J Biol Chem* 287(30), 25173-90

Wykes, S.M., Miller, D., and Krawetz, S.A. (2000) Mammalian spermatozoal mRNAs: tools for the functional analysis of male gametes. *J Submicrosc Cytol Pathol* 32(1), 77-81

Yan, X.J., Xu, J., Gu, Z.H., Pan, C.M., Lu, G., Shen, Y., Shi, J.Y., Zhu, Y.M., Tang, L., Zhang, X.W., Liang, W.X., Mi, J.Q., Song, H.D., Li, K.Q., Chen, Z., and Chen, S.J. (2011) Exome sequencing identifies somatic mutations of DNA methyltransferase gene DNMT3A in acute monocytic leukemia. *Nat Genet* 43(4), 309-15

Yang, J.S., and Lai, E.C. (2011) Alternative miRNA biogenesis pathways and the interpretation of core miRNA pathway mutants. *Mol Cell* 43(6), 892-903

Yoon, B.J., Herman, H., Sikora, A., Smith, L.T., Plass, C., and Soloway, P.D. (2002) Regulation of DNA methylation of Rasgrf1. *Nat Genet* 30(1), 92-6

Zalensky, A.O., Siino, J.S., Gineitis, A.A., Zalenskaya, I.A., Tomilin, N.V., Yau, P., and Bradbury, E.M. (2002) Human testis/sperm-specific histone H2B (hTSH2B). Molecular cloning and characterization. *J Biol Chem* 277(45), 43474-80

Zeng, Y., and Cullen, B.R. (2004) Structural requirements for pre-microRNA binding and nuclear export by Exportin 5. *Nucleic Acids Res* 32(16), 4776-85

Zhao, H., and Chen, T. (2013) Tet family of 5-methylcytosine dioxygenases in mammalian development. *J Hum Genet* 58(7), 421-7

Zhu, J.K. (2009) Active DNA demethylation mediated by DNA glycosylases. *Annu Rev Genet* 43, 143-66