



Research Repository UCD

Title	A p-adic analogue of a formula of Ramanujan
Authors(s)	McCarthy, Dermot, Osburn, Robert
Publication date	2008-12
Publication information	McCarthy, Dermot, and Robert Osburn. "A P-Adic Analogue of a Formula of Ramanujan," December 2008. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00013-008-2828-0 .
Item record/more information	http://hdl.handle.net/10197/7945
Publisher's statement	The final publication is available at Springer via http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00013-008-2828-0 .
Publisher's version (DOI)	10.1007/s00013-008-2828-0

Downloaded 2025-12-04 23:00:38

The UCD community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters! (@ucd_oa)



© Some rights reserved. For more information

A p -adic analogue of a formula of Ramanujan

Dermot McCarthy and Robert Osburn

Abstract. During his lifetime, Ramanujan provided many formulae relating binomial sums to special values of the Gamma function. Based on numerical computations, Van Hamme recently conjectured p -adic analogues to such formulae. Using a combination of ordinary and Gaussian hypergeometric series, we prove one of these conjectures.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). Primary: 33C20; Secondary: 11S80.

Keywords. Gaussian hypergeometric series, Supercongruences.

1. Introduction

In Ramanujan's second letter to Hardy dated February 27, 1913, the following formula appears:

$$1 - 5\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^5 + 9\left(\frac{1 \cdot 3}{2 \cdot 4}\right)^5 - 13\left(\frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6}\right)^5 + \cdots = \frac{2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^4} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the Gamma function. This result was proved in 1924 by Hardy [14] and a further proof was given by Watson [29] in 1931. Note that (1.1) can be expressed as

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (4k+1) \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k}^5 = \frac{2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^4}.$$

Other formulae of this type include

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{6k+1}{4^k} \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k}^3 = \frac{4}{\pi} = \frac{4}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2}, \quad (1.2)$$

which is Entry 20, page 352 of [5]. It is interesting to note that a proof of (1.2) was not found until 1987 [8].

Recently, Van Hamme [27] studied a p -adic analogue of (1.1). Namely, he truncated the left-hand side and replaced the Gamma function with the p -adic Gamma function. Based on numerical computations, he posed the following.

Conjecture 1.1. Let p be an odd prime. Then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (4k+1) \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k}^5 \equiv \begin{cases} -\frac{p}{\Gamma_p(\frac{3}{4})^4} \pmod{p^3} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ 0 \pmod{p^3} & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

where $\Gamma_p(\cdot)$ is the p -adic Gamma function.

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Conjecture 1.1 is true.*

Theorem 1.2 is one example of a general phenomena called *Supercongruences*. This term first appeared in the Ph.D. thesis of Coster [9] and refers to the fact that a congruence holds modulo p^k for some $k \geq 2$. Other examples of supercongruences have been observed in the context of number theory (see [22] and the references therein), mathematical physics [17], and algebraic geometry [26].

Van Hamme states 12 other conjectures relating truncated hypergeometric series to values of the p -adic Gamma function. Motivated by Theorem 1.2, one of these conjectures has been settled in [20]. The remaining 11 include a conjectural p -adic analogue of (1.2) which states

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (-1)^k \frac{6k+1}{4^k} \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k}^3 \equiv -\frac{p}{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2})^2} \pmod{p^4}.$$

These conjectures were motivated experimentally and as van Hamme states that “we have no real explanation for our observations”, it might be worthwhile to determine whether these congruences arise from considering some appropriate algebraic surfaces (see [7] or [25]). Finally, if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then the congruence in Conjecture 1.1 appears to hold modulo p^4 . This has been numerically verified for all primes less than 5000.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall some properties of the Gamma function, ordinary hypergeometric series, the p -adic Gamma function and Gaussian hypergeometric series. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is then given in Section 3.

2. Preliminaries

We briefly discuss some preliminaries which we will need in Section 3. For further details see [3], [6], or [18]. Recall that for all complex numbers $x \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$, the Gamma function $\Gamma(x)$ is defined by

$$\Gamma(x) := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k! k^{x-1}}{(x)_k}$$

where $(a)_0 := 1$ and $(a)_n := a(a+1)(a+2) \cdots (a+n-1)$ for positive integers n . The Gamma function satisfies the reflection formula

$$\Gamma(x)\Gamma(1-x) = \frac{\pi}{\sin \pi x}. \quad (2.1)$$

We also recall that the hypergeometric series ${}_pF_q$ is defined by

$${}_pF_q \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, & a_2, & a_3, & \dots, & a_p \\ & b_1, & b_2, & \dots, & b_q \end{matrix} \middle| z \right] := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n (a_2)_n (a_3)_n \cdots (a_p)_n}{(b_1)_n (b_2)_n \cdots (b_q)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!} \quad (2.2)$$

where a_i, b_i and z are complex numbers, with none of the b_i being negative integers or zero, and, p and q are positive integers. Note that the series terminates if some a_j is a negative integer. In [30], Whipple studied properties of *well-poised* series where $p = q + 1$, $z = \pm 1$, and $a_1 + 1 = a_2 + b_1 = a_3 + b_2 = \cdots = a_p + b_q$. One such transformation property of the well-poised series (see (6.3), page 252 in [30]) is

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_6F_5 \left[\begin{matrix} a, & 1 + \frac{1}{2}a, & c, & d, & e, & f \\ & \frac{1}{2}a, & 1 + a - c, & 1 + a - d, & 1 + a - e, & 1 + a - f \end{matrix} \middle| -1 \right] \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(1+a-e)\Gamma(1+a-f)}{\Gamma(1+a)\Gamma(1+a-e-f)} {}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} 1 + a - c - d, & e, & f \\ & 1 + a - c, & 1 + a - d \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

This is Entry 31, Chapter 10 in Ramanujan's second notebook (see page 41 of [4]). Watson's proof of (1.1) is a specialization of (2.3) combined with Dixon's theorem [10].

Let p be an odd prime. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the p -adic Gamma function as

$$\Gamma_p(n) := (-1)^n \prod_{\substack{j < n \\ p \nmid j}} j$$

and extend to all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ by setting

$$\Gamma_p(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow x} \Gamma_p(n)$$

where n runs through any sequence of positive integers p -adically approaching x and $\Gamma_p(0) := 1$. This limit exists, is independent of how n approaches x and determines a continuous function on \mathbb{Z}_p .

In [13], Greene introduced the notion of general hypergeometric series over finite fields or *Gaussian hypergeometric series*. These series are analogous to classical hypergeometric series and have played an important role in relation to the number of points over \mathbb{F}_p of Calabi-Yau threefolds [2], traces of Hecke operators [11], formulas for Ramanujan's τ -function [24], and the number of points on a family of elliptic curves [12].

We now introduce two definitions. Let \mathbb{F}_p denote the finite field with p elements. We extend the domain of all characters χ of \mathbb{F}_p^* to \mathbb{F}_p by defining $\chi(0) := 0$.

The first definition is the finite field analogue of the binomial coefficient. For characters A and B of \mathbb{F}_p^* , define $\binom{A}{B}$ by

$$\binom{A}{B} := \frac{B(-1)}{p} J(A, \overline{B})$$

where $J(\chi, \lambda)$ denotes the Jacobi sum for χ and λ characters of \mathbb{F}_p^* . The second definition is the finite field analogue of ordinary hypergeometric series. For characters A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n and B_1, \dots, B_n of \mathbb{F}_p^* and $x \in \mathbb{F}_p$, define the *Gaussian hypergeometric series* by

$${}_{n+1}F_n \left(\begin{matrix} A_0, & A_1, & \dots, & A_n \\ B_1, & \dots, & B_n \end{matrix} \middle| x \right)_p := \frac{p}{p-1} \sum_{\chi} \binom{A_0 \chi}{\chi} \binom{A_1 \chi}{B_1 \chi} \dots \binom{A_n \chi}{B_n \chi} \chi(x)$$

where the summation is over all characters χ on \mathbb{F}_p^* .

In [23], the case where $A_i = \phi_p$, the quadratic character, for all i and $B_j = \epsilon_p$, the trivial character mod p , for all j is examined and is denoted ${}_{n+1}F_n(x)$ for brevity. By [13], $p^n {}_{n+1}F_n(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Before stating the main result of [23], we recall that for $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$, generalized harmonic sums, $H_n^{(i)}$, are defined by

$$H_n^{(i)} := \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j^i}$$

and $H_0^{(i)} := 0$. For p an odd prime, $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we now define the quantities

$$\begin{aligned} X(p, \lambda, n) := & \phi_p(\lambda) \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{p-1}{2} + j}{j}^l \left(\frac{\frac{p-1}{2}}{j} \right)^l (-1)^{jl} \lambda^{-j} \left[1 + 2(n+1)j \left(H_{\frac{p-1}{2}+j}^{(1)} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - H_j^{(1)} \right) + \frac{(n+1)^2}{2} j^2 \left(H_{\frac{p-1}{2}+j}^{(1)} - H_j^{(1)} \right)^2 - \frac{(n+1)}{2} j^2 \left(H_{\frac{p-1}{2}+j}^{(2)} - H_j^{(2)} \right) \right], \quad (2.4) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Y(p, \lambda, n) := & \phi_p(\lambda) \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{p-1}{2} + j}{j}^l \left(\frac{\frac{p-1}{2}}{j} \right)^l (-1)^{jl} \lambda^{-jp} \left[1 + (n+1)j \left(H_{\frac{p-1}{2}+j}^{(1)} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - H_j^{(1)} \right) - \frac{n+1}{2} j \left(H_{\frac{p-1}{2}+j}^{(1)} - H_{\frac{p-1}{2}-j}^{(1)} \right) \right], \quad (2.5) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$Z(p, \lambda, n) := \phi_p(\lambda) \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{2j}{j}^{2l} 16^{-jl} \lambda^{-jp^2}, \quad (2.6)$$

where $l = \frac{n+1}{2}$. The main result in [23] provides an expression for ${}_{n+1}F_n$ modulo p^3 . Precisely, we have

Theorem 2.1. *Let p be an odd prime, $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p$, and $n \geq 2$ be an integer. Then*

$$-p^n {}_{n+1}F_n(\lambda) \equiv (-\phi_p(-1))^{n+1} [p^2 X(p, \lambda, n) + pY(p, \lambda, n) + Z(p, \lambda, n)] \pmod{p^3}.$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Theorem 4 in [21] (or Proposition 4.2 in [19]) and Corollary 5 in [27], we have that

$$p^3 {}_3F_2(1) = \begin{cases} -\frac{p}{\Gamma_p(\frac{3}{4})^4} \pmod{p^3} & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ 0 \pmod{p^3} & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Thus, by Theorem 2.1 it suffices to prove

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (4k+1) \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k}^5 \equiv \phi_p(-1) [p^3 X(p, 1, 2) + p^2 Y(p, 1, 2) + pZ(p, 1, 2)] \pmod{p^3} \quad (3.1)$$

where the quantities $X(p, \lambda, n)$, $Y(p, \lambda, n)$ and $Z(p, \lambda, n)$ are defined by (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) respectively. We first show, via the following lemmas, that the terms involving $Y(p, 1, 2)$ and $X(p, 1, 2)$ in (3.1) vanish modulo p^3 .

Lemma 3.1. *Let p be an odd prime. Then*

$$Y(p, 1, 2) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. Substituting $\lambda = 1$ and $n = 2$ in equation (2.5), we get

$$Y(p, 1, 2) = \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{\frac{p-1}{2} + j}{j}^{\frac{3}{2}} \binom{\frac{p-1}{2}}{j}^{\frac{3}{2}} (-1)^{\frac{3}{2}j} \left[1 + 3j \left(H_{\frac{p-1}{2}+j}^{(1)} - H_j^{(1)} \right) - \frac{3}{2}j \left(H_{\frac{p-1}{2}+j}^{(1)} - H_{\frac{p-1}{2}-j}^{(1)} \right) \right].$$

Noting that $\binom{u+k}{k} = (-1)^k \binom{-1-u}{k}$, we get

$$\binom{\frac{p-1}{2} + j}{j} \binom{\frac{p-1}{2}}{j} = (-1)^j \binom{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{p}{2}}{j} \binom{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{p}{2}}{j} \equiv (-1)^j \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{j}^2 \pmod{p^2}. \quad (3.2)$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{\frac{p-1}{2}+j}^{(1)} - H_{\frac{p-1}{2}-j}^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{\frac{p-1}{2}-j+1} + \frac{1}{\frac{p-1}{2}-j+2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\frac{p-1}{2}} + \frac{1}{\frac{p+1}{2}} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\frac{p-1}{2}+j} \\
&= \sum_{r=0}^{j-1} \frac{1}{\frac{p-1}{2}-r} + \frac{1}{\frac{p+1}{2}+r} \\
&= \sum_{r=0}^{j-1} \frac{4p}{p^2 - (2r+1)^2} \\
&\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.
\end{aligned}$$

So we need only show

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right)_j^3 (-1)^{3j} \left[1 + 3j \left(H_{\frac{p-1}{2}+j}^{(1)} - H_j^{(1)} \right) \right] \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \quad (3.3)$$

For $j \geq 1$, note that

$$\left(-\frac{1}{2} \right)_j^3 (-1)^j \equiv \frac{(j+1) \frac{p-1}{2}}{\left(\frac{p-1}{2} \right)!} \pmod{p}. \quad (3.4)$$

As $\gcd\left(\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!^3, p\right) = 1$, it now suffices to show

$$\left(\frac{p-1}{2} \right)!^3 + \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (j+1) \frac{p-1}{2} \left[1 + 3j \left(H_{\frac{p-1}{2}+j}^{(1)} - H_j^{(1)} \right) \right] \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \quad (3.5)$$

We now use an argument similar to that in Section 4 of [16] (see also [19]). Let

$$P(z) := \frac{d}{dz} \left[z(z+1) \frac{p-1}{2} \right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{3p-3}{2}} a_k z^k \quad (3.6)$$

for some integers a_k . By a computation, we have

$$P(z) = (z+1) \frac{p-1}{2} \left[1 + 3z \left(H_{\frac{p-1}{2}+z}^{(1)} - H_z^{(1)} \right) \right].$$

Combining this with (3.5), it is enough to show that

$$\left(\frac{p-1}{2} \right)!^3 + \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} P(j) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \quad (3.7)$$

Note that, for $\frac{p-1}{2} < j < p$, $(j+1) \frac{p-1}{2}$ is divisible by p and $H_{\frac{p-1}{2}+j}^{(i)} - H_j^{(i)} \in \frac{1}{p^i} \mathbb{Z}_p$, so that $P(j) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for such j . Hence (3.7) will hold if we can show

$$\left(\frac{p-1}{2} \right)!^3 + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} P(j) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \quad (3.8)$$

We now recall the following elementary fact about exponential sums. For a positive integer k , we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} j^k \equiv \begin{cases} -1 \pmod{p} & \text{if } (p-1) \mid k, \\ 0 \pmod{p} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

By (3.6), (3.9) and the fact that $\frac{3p-3}{2} < 2p-2$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} P(j) &= \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{3p-3}{2}} a_k j^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{3p-3}{2}} a_k \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} j^k \\ &\equiv -a_0 - a_{p-1} \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Additionally, by (3.6)

$$(z+1)^{\frac{3}{p-1}} = \dots + \frac{a_{p-1}}{p} z^{p-1} + \dots.$$

As $(z+1)^{\frac{3}{p-1}}$ has integer coefficients, p divides a_{p-1} . Hence $a_{p-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. One can also check that

$$a_0 = \left(\frac{p-1}{2} \right)!^3.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} P(j) \equiv - \left(\frac{p-1}{2} \right)!^3 \pmod{p}$$

and (3.8) holds. This proves the result. \square

Now we would like to show that $\text{ord}_p(X(p, 1, 2)) \geq 0$ which ensures that the term involving $X(p, 1, 2)$ in equation (3.1) vanishes modulo p^3 . In fact, in the following lemma, we show that $\text{ord}_p(X(p, 1, 2)) \geq 1$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let p be an odd prime. Then*

$$X(p, 1, 2) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. Substituting $\lambda = 1$ and $n = 2$ in equation (2.4) and applying (3.2) and (3.3) yields

$$\begin{aligned} X(p, 1, 2) &\equiv \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \left(\frac{-\frac{1}{2}}{j} \right)^3 (-1)^{3j} \left[3j \left(H_{\frac{p-1}{2}+j}^{(1)} - H_j^{(1)} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{9}{2} j^2 \left(H_{\frac{p-1}{2}+j}^{(1)} - H_j^{(1)} \right)^2 - \frac{3}{2} j^2 \left(H_{\frac{p-1}{2}+j}^{(2)} - H_j^{(2)} \right) \right] \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.4) and as $\gcd\left(\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)!, p\right) = 1$, it suffices to prove that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (j+1)^3 \left[3j \left(H_{\frac{p-1}{2}+j}^{(1)} - H_j^{(1)} \right) + \frac{9}{2} j^2 \left(H_{\frac{p-1}{2}+j}^{(1)} - H_j^{(1)} \right)^2 - \frac{3}{2} j^2 \left(H_{\frac{p-1}{2}+j}^{(2)} - H_j^{(2)} \right) \right] \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \quad (3.10)$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.1, we now let

$$Q(z) := \frac{z}{2} \frac{d^2}{dz^2} \left[z(z+1)^3 \right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{3p-3}{2}} a_k z^k \quad (3.11)$$

for some integers a_k . One can check that it now suffices to show

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} Q(j) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \quad (3.12)$$

By (3.9), (3.11) and the fact that $\frac{3p-3}{2} < 2p-2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} Q(j) &= \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{3p-3}{2}} a_k j^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{3p-3}{2}} a_k \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} j^k \\ &\equiv -a_{p-1} \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used that $a_0 = 0$ as $z|Q(z)$. One can check that

$$(z+1)^3 = \dots + \frac{2a_{p-1}}{p(p-1)} z^{p-1} + \dots.$$

As $(z+1)^3$ has integer coefficients, p divides a_{p-1} . Hence $a_{p-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Thus (3.12) holds and the result is proven \square

Via (3.1), Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, the proof of Theorem 1.2 is complete upon proving the following Proposition.

Proposition 3.3. *Let p be an odd prime. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (4k+1) \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k}^5 \equiv \phi_p(-1) p Z(p, 1, 2) \pmod{p^3}.$$

Proof. Substituting $\lambda = 1$ and $n = 2$ in equation (2.6), we get

$$Z(p, 1, 2) = \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \binom{2j}{j}^3 16^{-\frac{3}{2}j}. \quad (3.13)$$

Noting that

$$\binom{2j}{j} = 2^{2j}(-1)^j \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{j},$$

it suffices to prove

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (4k+1) \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k}^5 \equiv \phi_p(-1) p \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (-1)^j \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{j}^3 \right] \pmod{p^3}. \quad (3.14)$$

Letting $a = \frac{1}{2}$, $c = \frac{1}{2} + i\frac{p}{2}$, $d = \frac{1}{2} - i\frac{p}{2}$, $e = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{p}{2}$ and $f = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{p}{2}$ in (2.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} {}_6F_5 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}, & \frac{5}{4}, & \frac{1}{2} + i\frac{p}{2}, & \frac{1}{2} - i\frac{p}{2}, & \frac{1}{2} + \frac{p}{2}, & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{p}{2} \\ \frac{1}{4}, & 1 - i\frac{p}{2}, & 1 + i\frac{p}{2}, & 1 - \frac{p}{2}, & 1 + \frac{p}{2} \end{matrix} \middle| -1 \right] \\ = \frac{\Gamma(1 - \frac{p}{2})\Gamma(1 + \frac{p}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} {}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}, & \frac{1}{2} + \frac{p}{2}, & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{p}{2} \\ 1 - i\frac{p}{2}, & 1 + i\frac{p}{2} \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

By (2.2) and the fact that $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{p}{2}$ is a negative integer,

$$\begin{aligned} {}_6F_5 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}, & \frac{5}{4}, & \frac{1}{2} + i\frac{p}{2}, & \frac{1}{2} - i\frac{p}{2}, & \frac{1}{2} + \frac{p}{2}, & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{p}{2} \\ \frac{1}{4}, & 1 - i\frac{p}{2}, & 1 + i\frac{p}{2}, & 1 - \frac{p}{2}, & 1 + \frac{p}{2} \end{matrix} \middle| -1 \right] \\ = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k \left(\frac{5}{4}\right)_k \left(\frac{1}{2} + i\frac{p}{2}\right)_k \left(\frac{1}{2} - i\frac{p}{2}\right)_k \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{p}{2}\right)_k \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{p}{2}\right)_k}{\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)_k \left(1 - i\frac{p}{2}\right)_k \left(1 + i\frac{p}{2}\right)_k \left(1 - \frac{p}{2}\right)_k \left(1 + \frac{p}{2}\right)_k} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Now,

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} = \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k}, \quad (3.17)$$

$$\frac{\left(\frac{5}{4}\right)_k}{\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)_k} = 4k + 1, \quad (3.18)$$

and

$$\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\frac{p}{2}\right)_k \left(\frac{1}{2} - i\frac{p}{2}\right)_k \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{p}{2}\right)_k \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{p}{2}\right)_k}{\left(1 - i\frac{p}{2}\right)_k \left(1 + i\frac{p}{2}\right)_k \left(1 - \frac{p}{2}\right)_k \left(1 + \frac{p}{2}\right)_k} \equiv \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k}^4 \pmod{p^4}. \quad (3.19)$$

Therefore, substituting (3.17), (3.18) and (3.19) into equation (3.16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} {}_6F_5 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}, & \frac{5}{4}, & \frac{1}{2} + i\frac{p}{2}, & \frac{1}{2} - i\frac{p}{2}, & \frac{1}{2} + \frac{p}{2}, & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{p}{2} \\ & \frac{1}{4}, & 1 - i\frac{p}{2}, & 1 + i\frac{p}{2}, & 1 - \frac{p}{2}, & 1 + \frac{p}{2} \end{matrix} \middle| -1 \right] \\ \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (4k+1) \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k}^5 \pmod{p^4}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

Next we examine the right hand side of (3.15). By (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma(1 - \frac{p}{2})\Gamma(1 + \frac{p}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} {}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} + \frac{p}{2}, & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{p}{2} \\ & 1 - i\frac{p}{2}, & 1 + i\frac{p}{2} \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right] \\ = \frac{\Gamma(1 - \frac{p}{2})\Gamma(1 + \frac{p}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{p}{2}\right)_k \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{p}{2}\right)_k}{\left(1 - i\frac{p}{2}\right)_k \left(1 + i\frac{p}{2}\right)_k} \frac{1}{k!}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Now, via (2.1) and the fact that $\Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x)$ and $\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) = \sqrt{\pi}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma(1 - \frac{p}{2})\Gamma(1 + \frac{p}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} &= \frac{\Gamma(1 - \frac{p}{2})\left(\frac{p}{2}\right)\Gamma(\frac{p}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} \\ &= \frac{p}{\sin\left(\frac{p}{2}\pi\right)} \\ &= \phi_p(-1)p. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Also, we have

$$\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{p}{2}\right)_k \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{p}{2}\right)_k}{\left(1 - i\frac{p}{2}\right)_k \left(1 + i\frac{p}{2}\right)_k} \equiv \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k}^2 \pmod{p^2}. \quad (3.23)$$

Using (3.17) and substituting (3.22), (3.23) into (3.21), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma(1 - \frac{p}{2})\Gamma(1 + \frac{p}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} {}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} + \frac{p}{2}, & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{p}{2} \\ & 1 - i\frac{p}{2}, & 1 + i\frac{p}{2} \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right] \\ \equiv \phi_p(-1)p \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (-1)^j \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{j}^3 \right] \pmod{p^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Finally, combining (3.15), (3.20) and (3.24) yields (3.14) and hence the result follows. \square

Remark 3.4. We would like to mention another approach, kindly pointed out to us by Eric Mortenson, which confirms Theorem 1.2. By [27], the right hand side in Conjecture 1.1 is equal to $p \cdot a(p)$ where $a(p)$ is the p -th Fourier coefficient of

$\eta^6(4z)$. Here $\eta(z)$ is the Dedekind eta-function. Thus, in conjunction with (3.14), Conjecture 1.1 follows from

$$\phi_p(-1) \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (-1)^j \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{j}^3 \right] \equiv a(p) \pmod{p^2}.$$

This congruence has been proven in [1], [15], [19], and [28].

Acknowledgements

The first author would like to thank the UCD Ad Astra Research Scholarship programme for its financial support. The second author thanks the Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques for their hospitality and support during the preparation of this paper.

References

- [1] S. Ahlgren, *Gaussian hypergeometric series and combinatorial congruences*, Symbolic computation, number theory, special functions, physics and combinatorics (Gainesville, FL, 1999), 1–12, Dev. Math., **4**, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2001.
- [2] S. Ahlgren, K. Ono, *A Gaussian hypergeometric series evaluation and Apéry number congruences*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **518** (2000), 187–212.
- [3] G. Andrews, R. Askey, R. Roy, *Special functions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, **71**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [4] B. Berndt, *Ramanujan's notebooks. Part II*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
- [5] B. Berndt, *Ramanujan's notebooks. Part IV*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [6] B. Berndt, R. Evans, K. Williams, *Gauss and Jacobi Sums*, Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1998.
- [7] F. Beukers, C. Peters, *A family of K3 surfaces and $\zeta(3)$* , J. Reine Angew. Math. **351** (1984), 42–54.
- [8] J. Borwein, P. Borwein, *Pi and the AGM. A study in analytic number theory and computational complexity*, Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1987.
- [9] M. Coster, *Supercongruences*, Ph.D. thesis, Universiteit Leiden, 1988.
- [10] A. Dixon, *Summation of a certain series* Proc. London Math. Soc. (1) **35** (1903), 285–289.
- [11] S. Frechette, K. Ono, and M. Papanikolas, *Gaussian hypergeometric functions and traces of Hecke operators*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2004**, no. 60, 3233–3262.
- [12] J. Fuselier, *Hypergeometric functions over finite fields and relations to modular forms and elliptic curves*, Ph.D. thesis, Texas A&M University, 2007.

- [13] J. Greene, *Hypergeometric functions over finite fields*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **301** (1987), no. 1, 77–101.
- [14] G. Hardy, *Some formulae of Ramanujan*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **22** (1924), 12–13.
- [15] T. Ishikawa, *On Beukers' congruence*, Kobe J. Math. **6** (1989), 49–52.
- [16] T. Kilbourn, *An extension of the Apéry number supercongruence*, Acta Arith. **123** (2006), no. 4, 335–348.
- [17] K. Kimoto, M. Wakayama, *Apéry-like numbers arising from special values of spectral values functions for non-commutative harmonic oscillators*, Kyushu J. Math. **60** (2006), no. 2, 383–404.
- [18] N. Koblitz, *p -adic analysis: a short course on recent work*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, **46**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York, 1980.
- [19] E. Mortenson, *Supercongruences for truncated ${}_{n+1}F_n$ hypergeometric series with applications to certain weight three newforms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), no. 2, 321–330.
- [20] E. Mortenson, *A p -adic supercongruence conjecture of van Hamme*, to appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [21] K. Ono, *Values of Gaussian hypergeometric series*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **350** (1998), 1205–1223.
- [22] K. Ono, *The web of modularity: arithmetic of the coefficients of modular forms and q -series*, Amer. Math. Soc., CBMS Regional Conf. in Math., vol. 102, 2004.
- [23] R. Osburn, C. Schneider, *Gaussian hypergeometric series and supercongruences*, to appear in Math. Comp.
- [24] M. Papanikolas, *A formula and a congruence for Ramanujan's τ -function*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **134** (2006), no. 2, 333–341.
- [25] J. Steinstra, F. Beukers, *On the Picard-Fuchs equation and the formal Brauer group of certain elliptic K3 surfaces*, Math. Ann. **271** (1985), 269–304.
- [26] F. Rodriguez-Villegas, *Hypergeometric families of Calabi-Yau manifolds*, Calabi-Yau Varieties and Mirror Symmetry (Toronto, Ontario, 2001), Fields Inst. Commun., vol. 38, American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island, 2003, 223–231.
- [27] L. Van Hamme, *Some conjectures concerning partial sums of generalized hypergeometric series*, p -adic functional analysis (Nijmegen, 1996), 223–236, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., **192**, Dekker, 1997.
- [28] L. Van Hamme, *Proof of a conjecture of Beukers on Apéry numbers*, Proceedings of the conference on p -adic analysis (Houthalen, 1987), Vrije Univ. Brussel, Brussels, 1986, 189–195.
- [29] G. Watson, *Theorems stated by Ramanujan (XI)*, J. London Math. Soc. **6** (1931), 59–65.
- [30] F. Whipple, *On well-poised series, generalised hypergeometric series having parameters in pairs, each pair with the same sum*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **24** (1926), 247–263.

Dermot McCarthy and Robert Osburn
School of Mathematical Sciences
University College Dublin
Belfield
Dublin 4
Ireland
e-mail: `dermot.mc-carthy@ucdconnect.ie`
e-mail: `robert.osburn@ucd.ie`