



# Research Repository UCD

<b>Title</b>	Crosstalk between toll-like receptors and hypoxia-dependent pathways in health and disease
<b>Authors(s)</b>	Crifo, Bianca, Taylor, Cormac T.
<b>Publication date</b>	2016-02
<b>Publication information</b>	Crifo, Bianca, and Cormac T. Taylor. "Crosstalk between Toll-like Receptors and Hypoxia-Dependent Pathways in Health and Disease." BMJ, February 2016. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1097/JIM.0000000000000228">https://doi.org/10.1097/JIM.0000000000000228</a> .
<b>Publisher</b>	BMJ
<b>Item record/more information</b>	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/10197/9768">http://hdl.handle.net/10197/9768</a>
<b>Publisher's version (DOI)</b>	10.1097/JIM.0000000000000228

Downloaded 2025-12-04 23:05:58

The UCD community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters! (@ucd\_oa)



© Some rights reserved. For more information

**Crosstalk between toll-like receptors and hypoxia-dependent pathways in health and disease.**

Bianca Crifo & Cormac T. Taylor.

School of Medicine and Medical Science & The Conway Institute, University College Dublin,  
Belfield, Dublin 4 Ireland.

**Corresponding author:** Prof. Cormac T. Taylor  
UCD Conway Institute  
University College Dublin  
Belfield,  
Dublin 4  
Ireland.

E-mail: cormac.taylor@ucd.ie

Tel: +353 1-716-673

Fax: +353 1-716-6701

## **ABSTRACT**

Toll like receptors (TLRs) play an important role in shaping the host immune response to infection and inflammation. Tissue hypoxia is a common microenvironmental feature of infected and inflamed tissues. Furthermore, hypoxia significantly impacts upon the development of immune and inflammatory responses through the regulation of host innate and adaptive immunity. Here, we will discuss current knowledge in relation to the cross-talk that exists between TLR- and hypoxia-dependent signalling pathways in health and disease.

Keywords: Toll-like receptors, HIF-1, infection, hypoxia, HIF- hydroxylases.

## **Toll-like Receptors**

The “natural immune system” was first described by Elie Metchnikoff in the late 1800's. Subsequent work identified the importance of this system in the mammalian defense response to microbial infection. Our current view of the mammalian immune system identifies two main branches, termed innate and adaptive immunity (which in turn includes the cellular and humoral arms). The main function of these systems is to mediate the destruction and removal of invading pathogens as well as to initiate repair mechanisms designed to restore homeostasis to damaged tissues following infection and inflammation (1).

Toll like Receptors (TLRs) comprise an ancient component of innate immunity whereby host organisms can identify the presence of microbial infection through a family of receptors which recognise conserved microbe-specific structural patterns and elicit a defensive immune response accordingly (2, 3). The Toll gene was first described during a study of embryogenesis of *Drosophila melanogaster* (*D. melanogaster*). Flies with a mutated Toll gene produce embryos with an underdeveloped ventral-portion (4). Subsequent studies revealed that the Toll gene was not only involved in dorsal-ventral axis development but that loss of function in Toll protein also resulted in a greatly increased susceptibility to fungal infection, a discovery which led to the identification of the Toll genes having a key role in innate immunity (5).

Subsequently, a homolog of the Toll gene was discovered in humans which encodes a receptor which controls activity of Nuclear Factor- $\kappa$ B (NF- $\kappa$ B), a master transcriptional regulator of host immunity (6). In mammals and other vertebrates, Toll homolog proteins were termed Toll-like Receptors (TLRs) and form part of a wide family of receptors called pattern-recognition receptors (PRRs) which play a key role in pathogen recognition and innate immunity. To date, ten members of the TLR family have been identified in humans (7) with each isoform recognizing distinct microbial motifs.

## **TLR localization and PAMP ligands.**

TLRs are expressed on cells of the innate and adaptive immune system as well as on non-immune cells including fibroblasts and epithelial cells (2). TLR1, TLR2, TLR4, TLR5, TLR6 and TLR10 are plasma membrane proteins which recognize microbe-specific motifs known as pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) in the extracellular environment (2). TLR4 is a receptor for lipopolysaccharide (LPS) which is a constituent of the cell wall of gram-negative bacteria (8). TLR2 is the receptor for a range of PAMPs including peptidoglycan from Gram positive bacteria (9,10), lipoarabinomannan from mycobacteria (11), glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI) from *Trypanosoma cruzi* (12), zymosan from yeast (13) and hemagglutinin protein from viruses (14). The broad range of ligands recognized by TLR2 could be explained by the heterodimerization which occurs between TLR2 and other TLRs (including TLR1 and TLR6) (15,16). Flagellin, a principal component protein of bacterial flagella is sensed by TLR5 (17). TLR10 remains an orphan receptor but it has been reported that its expression is enhanced in response to reactive oxygen species in hypoxic cells (18) and virus influenza infection (19). Moreover, TLR10 has been reported to heterodimerize with TLR-2 in sensing triacylated lipopeptides (20).

TLR3, TLR7, TLR8 and TLR9 are intracellular receptors, localized in endosomal compartments of macrophages and dendritic cells (DC) and are involved in the recognition of nucleic acids derived from pathogens during their replication (21). TLR7, TLR8 and TLR9 exist as pre-formed dimers in endosomal compartments (22; 23). TLR3 is expressed predominantly in dendritic cells (24) and is a cell surface receptor for dsRNA from viruses (25). TLR7 recognizes ssRNA from viruses (26), fungi (27) and bacteria (28) and detects siRNA (29). In humans TLR8 is similar to TLR7 and it recognizes viral ssRNA (21). TLR9 detects short unmethylated sequences of dsDNA from bacteria (30), viruses (31), and protozoa (32).

To avoid exposure to endogenous nucleic acids, the internal TLRs are retained within the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) in unstimulated cells and rapidly translocate to the endosome

via the Golgi apparatus prior to stimulation. Two proteins residing in the ER called protein associated with Toll-like Receptor 4 (PAT4A) (33) and the 12-transmembrane spanning Unc-93 homologue B1 (UNC93B1) (34,35) traffic TLR3, 7 and 9 from the ER to the endosome. Within the endosome, TLR7 and TLR9 but not TLR3 are cleaved by proteases including endopeptidase and cathepsins, and this cleavage is crucial for the activation of downstream signalling. PAT4A also regulates the trafficking of TLR1, TLR2 and TLR4 from the ER to the plasma membrane (33).

### **TLR structure and complexes.**

TLRs are transmembrane proteins, with an extracellular leucine rich-repeat (LRR) domain (36). The LRR domain mediates the recognition of PAMPs or nucleic acids. A cytosolic Toll-IL-1 receptor (TIR) domain activate the intracellular signal (37). This domain is also present in the type 1 IL-1 receptor (IL-1R1), therefore IL-1R1 and TLRs share some properties and activate similar downstream signalling pathways (38). Additional extracellular proteins help some TLRs to enhance the binding of the ligand to the receptor. For example, LPS binds a circulating protein termed LBP (lipopolysaccharide-binding protein), which interacts with the plasma membrane protein CD14 (39). The CD14-LBP-LPS complex binds to the TLR4 that is associated with myeloid differentiation factor 2 (MD2) on the cell surface (40) and together they initiate signal transduction. Activation of TLRs leads to the induction of two main pathways. First, the NF- $\kappa$ B pathway which is involved in the transcription of many pro-inflammatory genes such as cytokines and chemokines. Second, the IRF (interferon regulatory factor) pathway which orchestrates the antiviral response. The binding of the ligand to its cognate TLR induces a conformational change that activates the receptor, allowing the intracellular TIR domain to dimerize with adaptor proteins that also contain a TIR domain. Five adaptors have been identified thus far: MyD88 (myeloid differentiation primary response protein 88), TRAM (Trif-related adapter molecule), MyD88 adaptor-like (MAL, also known as TIRAP), TIR-domain-containing adaptor protein inducing IFN $\beta$  (TRIF) and sterile  $\alpha$ - and armadillo-motif- containing protein (SARM) (41).

MyD88 was the first adaptor found to be involved IL-1R1 and TLR signalling (42). It transmits the signal that culminates in activation of the (mitogen-activated protein) MAP kinase cascade and NF- $\kappa$ B. The activation of these two signalling pathways by most TLRs is unresponsive in cells lacking MyD88 (43). Exceptions to this are TLR3 and TLR4 which utilize different adaptor proteins (described below). For TLR7, TLR8 and TLR9 signaling, MyD88 is required for the activation of NF- $\kappa$ B and IRF1 (44), IRF5 (45) and IRF7 (46).

TLR4 is the only TLR that can use all adaptor proteins. It activates two distinct pathways: firstly, the MyD88-dependent pathway that leads to the early-phase activation of NF- $\kappa$ B and secondly MAP kinases and the TRIF-dependent pathway, which mediates the late-phase activation of NF- $\kappa$ B and MAP kinases signalling (47). MAL is an additional adaptor used as a bridge to recruit MyD88 only for signalling by TLR2 and TLR4 (41). TLR3 recruits TRIF to mediate the activation of NF- $\kappa$ B, IRF3, IRF5 and IRF7. (41). TRAM, the fourth adaptor that was discovered, is a bridge protein used by TLR4 to bind TRIF (47). SARM represses both the TRIF- and MyD88 pathways and thus has a distinct role from the others adaptors (48).

### **NF- $\kappa$ B signalling**

NF- $\kappa$ B proteins are transcription factor dimers which are ubiquitously expressed in mammalian cells. There are five NF- $\kappa$ B subunits in mammals termed RelA/p65, RelB, c-Rel, NF- $\kappa$ B1 and NF- $\kappa$ B2 which can form a variety of homo- and hetero-dimers that are kept inactive in the cytoplasmic compartment in the basal state by association with inhibitory proteins termed I $\kappa$ B (49).

The recruitment of MyD88 to the activated (ligand-bound) TLR leads to the heterodimerization of the DD domain of MyD88 with the DD domain of IRAK4 (IL-1R1 associated protein kinase 4) (50). MyD88-IRAK4 complexes associate with IRAK 1 and IRAK2 in a complex called the “Myddosome” that subsequently activates a ubiquitin protein ligase (E3) called TRAF6 (tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated factor 6) (51). TRAF6, together with UBC13 and UEV1, induces the formation of polyubiquitin chains linked on

Lys63 (K63) on TRAF6 itself and IRAK1. The polyubiquitin chains lead to the colocalization of NEMO and TAK1 (a MAP3K protein) and subsequently to the activation of MAP kinase cascade and to NF- $\kappa$ B activation (52). NEMO is part of the IKK complex formed with IKK $\alpha$  and IKK $\beta$ . When TAK1 activates the IKK complex by phosphorylation, IKK phosphorylates I $\kappa$ B, leading its degradation via the ubiquitin/proteasome pathway. Consequently, NF- $\kappa$ B dimers can translocate to the nucleus and initiate the transcription of hundreds of genes involved in the inflammatory response (53). The adaptor proteins and signaling pathways activated by TLR receptors are summarized in Figure 1.

### **Hypoxia and the HIF pathway**

Atmospheric oxygen levels have played a significant role in shaping metazoan evolution over the last billion years (54). The first living cells which appeared on the planet approximately four billion years ago were simple prokaryotes. Because oxygen was not present in the early atmosphere, these cells likely generated their ATP through fermentation. During early evolution, organisms evolved to produce energy by harnessing sunlight during photosynthesis, where they were able to synthesize organic molecules using CO<sub>2</sub> as a carbon source (55). The subsequent evolution of photosynthetic cyanobacteria resulted in the accumulation of O<sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere. The rate of accumulation was slow at first as initially it was absorbed into the planet's bedrock during the oxidation of inorganic minerals such as iron (55). However, this was eventually saturated and followed by an inexorable accumulation of O<sub>2</sub> in the planet's air which initially caused a mass extinction of much of the earth's early biomass and finally stabilised at around 15-20% between 550-600 million years ago (54). During this time, a sub-set of eukaryotic organisms evolved the capacity to be not only resistant to the oxidizing effects of oxygen, but to harness its chemical energy for bioenergetic purposes through oxidative phosphorylation, an event which played a significant part in the evolution of metazoans.



Eukaryotes evolved to utilize oxygen as a substrate for oxidative phosphorylation. Pyruvate derived from sugars and fatty acids derived from fats are catabolized to acetylCoA in mitochondria. The acetylCoA enters the Krebs cycle which provides NADH and FADH<sub>2</sub>, two electron carriers, which are then fed into the electron transport chain within the inner membrane of mitochondria. NADH and FADH<sub>2</sub> transfer their high-energy electrons from complex I to complex IV, until they react with molecular oxygen in the terminal complex to produce water. This electron flow across the mitochondrial membrane drives the synthesis of ATP from ADP by an ATPase synthase (56).

In normoxia, mitochondria consume 85-90% of the oxygen available to cells for the production of 36 molecules of ATP per molecule of glucose consumed (57). Therefore hypoxia represents a significant threat to the maintenance of metabolic homeostasis. This underscores the importance of having sufficient oxygen availability for the maintenance of cellular ATP production to satisfy bioenergetic demand. Given our dependence upon oxygen for metabolic homeostasis, it is not surprising that over the course of evolution, we have developed a molecular system to promote adaptation to hypoxia.

In 1992, a nuclear transcription factor termed the hypoxia inducible factor-1 (HIF-1) which is involved in the regulation of the expression of EPO, a key hormone for physiologic adaptation to hypoxia was identified (58). Subsequently, HIF-1 was found to be the master regulator of oxygen homeostasis as it mediates the adaptive response to hypoxia. Further studies identified that HIF-1 is not only involved in the regulation of erythropoiesis but also in other important processes which facilitate adaptation to hypoxia such as altered iron metabolism, apoptosis, angiogenesis and glucose metabolism (59). It is now clear that HIF-1 accumulates in hypoxia and stimulates the transcription of scores of adaptive genes including glycolytic enzymes and proangiogenic factors such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF).

In hypoxia, most cells switch their metabolic strategy to enhanced glycolysis rather than oxidative phosphorylation for the production of ATP (60). HIF-1 contributes to this shift to glycolysis by increasing the expression of glucose transporters and glycolytic enzymes (61).

In hypoxia, HIF-1 also promotes the expression of lactate dehydrogenase A (62) which converts pyruvate to lactate and regenerates NAD<sup>+</sup>, which permits continued glycolysis and ATP production.

During hypoxia, the rate of cellular respiration is also controlled by HIF-1 in two distinct ways. Firstly, HIF-1 induces pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase 1 (PDK1) expression to block the conversion of pyruvate to acetyl CoA, thus shunting the pyruvate toward lactate to generate more NAD<sup>+</sup> and ATP. This mechanism reduces the rate of mitochondrial respiration and reduces ROS generation which is toxic for cells (63). Secondly, HIF-1 transcriptionally regulates a subunit switch in cytochrome c oxidase (COX, complex IV) that maximizes the efficiency of the mitochondria during the transfer of electrons in the respiratory chains (64).

HIF-1 is a dimer which consists of a HIF-1 $\alpha$  subunit which is inducible by hypoxia and of a HIF-1 $\beta$  subunit which is constitutively expressed (65). There are two closely related isoforms (termed HIF-2 $\alpha$  and HIF-3 $\alpha$ ) that share structural and functional characteristics with HIF-1 $\alpha$  and also heterodimerize with HIF-1 $\beta$ . All HIF proteins consist of a basic helix-loop-helix (HLH)-PAS homology domain, which is required for heterodimerization and DNA binding (66,67). Unique to HIF- $\alpha$  subunits are two oxygen dependent degradation domains located at the N- and C- terminals of the protein which are termed the NODDD and CODDD respectively. There are also two transactivation domains, the N-terminal transactivation domain (NAD) and the C-terminal transactivation domain (CAD) (68).

In normoxia the majority of available oxygen is used by mitochondria for the generation of ATP through oxidative phosphorylation (57) and the remainder is utilized in non-mitochondrial functions such as the suppression of HIF- $\alpha$ . HIF- $\alpha$  subunits are ubiquitously produced but rapidly turned over when “non-mitochondrial” oxygen is available. In 2001 an evolutionarily conserved system for hydroxylation and degradation of HIF- $\alpha$  which is retained between the nematode *C. Elegans* (69) and mammals was identified, underscoring the importance of this system for oxygen sensing across species.

The HIF oxygen-sensing system is centered around a family of enzymes called as HIF hydroxylases (70,71). This family consists of the prolyl-hydroxylase domain dioxygenases (PHDs) and factor inhibiting HIF (FIH). To catalyze the reaction that involves the hydroxylation of HIF-1, these enzymes require molecular oxygen and 2-oxoglutarate as co-substrates and ascorbate and iron as cofactors. In the presence of oxygen, PHDs hydroxylate HIF-1 $\alpha$  on proline residues 402 and 564 (localized in NODDD and CODDD domains respectively) (72). This results in the degradation of HIF-1 $\alpha$  subunits through ubiquitylation (by the Von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) protein) and proteosomal degradation (73). FIH on the other hand, hydroxylates an asparaginyl residue 803 localized in CAD domain of HIF-1 $\alpha$ , which inhibits the recruitment of coactivator complex CBP/p300 and results in the inactivation of HIF-1 $\alpha$  subunit transcriptional activity (74).

During hypoxia, oxygen demand exceeds supply and the mitochondria consume virtually all oxygen available to a cell in order to maximise ATP production (75). As a result of this there is no oxygen available for non-mitochondrial processes, leading to the inactivation of HIF hydroxylases (76). This promotes the stabilization of HIF-1 $\alpha$  which translocates to the nucleus where it binds to Hypoxia Response Elements (HRE) present in the regulatory regions of target genes (Figure 2). In hypoxia, where the mitochondria are inactivated by inhibitors of respiratory chain such as nitric oxide, a redistribution of oxygen towards all compartments outside mitochondria activate the HIF-hydroxylases. The hydroxylases do not register hypoxia and HIF-1 $\alpha$  is inactivated (75). Because tissue hypoxia is a key feature of a number of infectious and inflammatory conditions, we will next describe the crosstalk which exists between TLR- and Hypoxia-dependent signaling pathways.

### **Crosstalk between TLR and HIF pathways**

Innate immune cells remain largely quiescent in the physiological (non-infected) state as they circulate in the bloodstream or reside in tissues where the oxygen levels are physiologic. In response to an infection, the increase in vascular diameter and permeability along with the increased expression of cell-adhesion molecules on endothelial cells promotes the extravasation of myeloid cells into the now inflamed site of infection. Low levels of glucose and a scarcity of oxygen characterize the inflammatory microenvironment of an infected tissue due to altered metabolism, increased oxygen consumption by neutrophils and reduced oxygen supply due to disrupted perfusion. It is within such a hypoxic microenvironment that immune cells kill and prevent the spread of invading microorganisms. Therefore, hypoxia and inflammation are often coincidental events and crosstalk between inflammation- and hypoxia-sensitive pathways likely plays an important role in determining the course of infectious disease progression. It has recently become clear that the stabilization of HIF-1 in immune cells is important for the regulation of survival and immune function during inflammation (77,78). In the final part of this review, we will summarize what is known about the involvement of HIF-1 and its regulatory hydroxylases in innate immune reactions, focusing on those inflammatory reactions mediated by TLRs.

In myeloid cells, the HIF pathway promotes immune cell survival and can be up-regulated by microenvironmental hypoxia in the site of infection / inflammation. Although the PHD activity strongly depends upon oxygen, during infections alterations of cellular redox balances and iron or metabolite homeostasis may also interfere with PHD catalytic activity (70).

HIF-1 $\alpha$  levels can also be elevated following stimulation of TLRs in a manner which is largely oxygen-independent. Activation of HIF-1 by TLRs is essential for the

adaptation of myeloid cells to inflammatory stimuli. In this context, HIF-1 is important for the promotion of angiogenesis (79), pro-inflammatory cytokine production (80), myeloid cell maturation (81), ATP production (79) and for the transcriptional regulation of TLR expression (82). TLR2 or TLR4 activation results in elevated HIF-1 $\alpha$  levels in myeloid cells. The mechanism involved has been most extensively investigated for TLR4. Human monocytes and differentiated macrophages stimulated with LPS under normoxic conditions enhance the transcription of HIF-1 $\alpha$  mRNA through an NF- $\kappa$ B-dependent mechanism. The activation of NF- $\kappa$ B in turn is dependent upon TLR-dependent activation of the MAP kinase cascade. In hypoxia, the additional post-translational stabilization of HIF-1 $\alpha$  (due to hydroxylase inhibition) results in enhanced DNA-binding (83). Therefore TLR-activation by LPS in combination with hypoxia synergistically increases the activity of HIF-1 and consequently the expression of its target genes involved in innate immunity such as iNOS (84), VEGF (85) and proinflammatory cytokines (80,86).

A second level of interaction between hypoxia-and TLR-dependent signaling occurs at the level of the HIF hydroxylases. During normoxia, as well as regulating HIF stability, the PHD1 isoform also modulates the activity of the IKK complex which is core to the regulation of NF- $\kappa$ B resulting in altered sensitivity of NF- $\kappa$ B pathway in hypoxia (87). These findings were recapitulated in a model of LPS-infected macrophages lacking IKK $\beta$  where it was shown that IKK $\beta$  was essential for HIF-1 $\alpha$  accumulation in hypoxic condition (88).

Other studies have indicated that LPS-dependent signaling can increase HIF-1 accumulation by decreasing its rate of degradation. In normoxia, LPS-induced TLR stimulation decreases the mRNA encoding PHD2 and PHD3 genes in myeloid cells (80). Moreover, LPS induces the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) via

activation of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADPH) oxidase and this leads to a change in the intracellular redox status of macrophages (89). ROS oxidize the Fe (II) at the catalytic site of the PHDs hydroxylases, thus blocking their activity which requires iron for their catalytic activity (90). These results further underscore the complex relationship between TLR- and hypoxia-dependent signaling pathways.

Recently it has been suggested that shingosine kinase 1 (SphK1) also mediates LPS-induced, ROS-dependent activation of HIF-1 $\alpha$  through extracellular signal-regulating kinase, PLC-1 $\gamma$  and PI3 kinase pathways. SphK1 then participates to the assembly/activation of the NADPH oxidase complex that produces ROS and activates both HIF-1 $\alpha$  which contributes to the production of the pro-inflammatory cytokines (91).

In addition, in activated macrophages LPS induces accumulation of succinate, which stabilizes HIF-1 $\alpha$ . Succinate directly stabilizes HIF-1 $\alpha$  by inhibition of the PHD activity, or indirectly by inducing ROS (92). In human monocyte-derived dendritic cells (MoDCs), LTA (lipoteichoic acid)-induced TLR2 and LPS-induced TLR4 trigger stabilization of HIF-1 $\alpha$  which in turn induces maturation of MoDC and secretion of VEGF and pro-inflammatory cytokines (81).

A screen of all mammalian TLRs revealed a consistent induction of TLR2 and TLR6 in hypoxia in bone-marrow-derived dendritic cells (BMDCs), endothelial cells (HMEC-1), epithelial cells (Caco-2) and monocytes (MM6). HIF-1 was involved in the coordination of this response as HRE were identified in both *tlr2* and *tlr6* genes (82). The up-regulation of TLRs in hypoxia enhances the recognition of PAMPs during inflammation.

Studies of a model of LPS-induced sepsis demonstrate that HIF-1 $\alpha$  promotes the disease through the production of cytokines such as TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-1 and IL-12. As

consequence *hif-1 $\alpha$*  deletion in myeloid cells resulted in protection against LPS-induced sepsis in mice (80). However, pharmacologic activation of HIF using the hydroxylase inhibitor DMOG had an anti-inflammatory effect in a number of studies. For example, Hams et al. demonstrated that pre-treatment with DMOG was beneficial in mice models of LPS-induced sepsis. Following DMOG treatment, mice demonstrated resistance to challenge with LPS, although these mice were more susceptible to bacterial sepsis (93). This refractory state seems to occur through an increase of IL-10, an anti-inflammatory cytokine that protected the body from an immune over-reaction that could turn into tissue damage and pathologic state. This work supports a protective antiinflammatory role of HIF-hydroxylase inhibition in acute systemic inflammation induced by LPS (93). In a separate study, it was demonstrated that HIF-hydroxylase inhibition reduced IL-1 $\beta$ -dependent signalling in in vitro and in vivo indicating an additional mechanism of anti-inflammatory action (94).

In summary, the TLR and hypoxia-dependent pathways are ancient mechanisms which have evolved to provide an organism to respond to the challenges of infection and hypoxia respectively. While we have now got a clear understanding of the mechanisms underpinning each of these pathways, the vital importance of cross-talk between them in a variety of physiologic and pathophysiologic conditions is starting to become appreciated. Because of the fundamental importance of these pathways, it is likely that the development of new therapeutics targeting their activity will new and exciting possibilities for the treatment of infection and inflammatory disease states.





## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Murphy K., Janeway's Immunobiology Eight edition. Garland Science 2011
2. Akira S, Uematsu S, Takeuchi O. Pathogen recognition and innate immunity. *Cell*. 2006 Feb 24;124(4):783-801
3. Beutler BA. TLRs and innate immunity. *Blood*. 2009 Feb 12;113(7):1399-1407
4. Anderson KV, Bokla L, Nusslein-Volhard C. Establishment of dorsal-ventral polarity in the *Drosophila* embryo: The induction of polarity by the Toll gene product. *Cell*. 1985;42:791–798
5. Lemaitre B, Nicolas E, Michaut L, et al. The dorsoventral regulatory gene cassette spatzle/Toll/cactus controls the potent antifungal response in *Drosophila* adults. *Cell*. 1996 Sep 20;86(6):973-983.
6. Medzhitov R, Preston-Hurlburt P, Janeway CA Jr. A human homologue of the *Drosophila* Toll protein signals activation of adaptive immunity. *Nature*. 1997 Jul 24;388(6640):394-397.
7. Pandey S, Kawai T, Akira S. Microbial sensing by toll-like receptors and intracellular nucleic acid sensors. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med*. 2015 Jan;5(1):a016246.
8. Poltorak A, He X, Smirnova I, et al. Defective LPS signaling in C3H/HeJ and C57BL/10ScCr mice: mutations in *Tlr4* gene. *Science*. 1998 Dec 11;282(5396):2085-8.
9. Takeuchi O, Hoshino K, Kawai T et al. Differential roles of TLR2 and TLR4 in recognition of Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacterial cell wall components. *Immunity*. 1999 Oct;11(4):443-451
10. Schwandner R, Dziarski R, Wesche H, et al. Peptidoglycan- and lipoteichoic acid-induced cell activation is mediated by Toll-like receptor 2. *J. Biol. Chem*. 1999 274, 17406–17409

- 11.Means TK, Lien E, Yoshimura A, et al. The CD14 ligands lipoarabinomannan and lipopolysaccharide differ in their requirement for Toll-like receptors. *J Immunol.* 1999 Dec 15;163(12):6748-6755.
- 12.Campos MA, Almeida IC, Takeuchi O, et al. Activation of Toll-like receptor-2 by glycosylphosphatidylinositol anchors from a protozoan parasite. *J. Immunol.* 2001 167, 416–423
- 13.Underhill DM, Ozinsky A, Hajjar AM, et al. The Toll-like receptor 2 is recruited to macrophage phagosomes and discriminates between pathogens. *Nature.* 1999 Oct 21;401(6755):811-815.
- 14.Bieback K, Lien E, Klagge IM, et al. Hemagglutinin protein of wild-type measles virus activates toll-like receptor 2 signaling. *J Virol.* 2002 Sep; 76(17):8729-8736.
- 15.Ozinsky A, Underhill DM, Fontenot JD, et al. The repertoire for pattern recognition of pathogens by the innate immune system is defined by cooperation between toll-like receptors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2000 Dec 5;97(25):13766-13771
- 16.Takeuchi O, Kawai T, Mühlradt PF et al. Discrimination of bacterial lipoproteins by Toll-like receptor 6. *Int Immunol.* 2001 Jul;13(7):933-940.
- 17.Hayashi F, Smith KD, Ozinsky A, et al. The innate immune response to bacterial flagellin is mediated by Toll-like receptor 5. *Nature.* 2001 Apr 26;410(6832):1099-1103.
- 18.Kim D, Kim YJ, Koh HS, et al. Reactive oxygen species enhance TLR10 expression in the human monocytic cell line THP-1. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2010 Sep 29;11(10):3769-3782.
- 19.Lee SM, Kok KH, Jaume M, et al. Toll-like receptor 10 is involved in induction of innate immune responses to influenza virus infection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2014 Mar 11;111(10):3793-8.

20. Guan Y, Ranao DR, Jiang S, et al. Human TLRs 10 and 1 share common mechanisms of innate immune sensing but not signaling. *J Immunol.* 2010 May 1;184(9):5094-103.
21. Blasius AL and Beutler B, Intracellular Toll-like Receptor. *Immunity.* 2010 Mar 26;32(3):305-315.
22. Latz E, Verma A, Visintin A, et al. Ligand-induced conformational changes allosterically activate Toll-like receptor 9. *Nat Immunol.* 2007 Jul;8(7):772-9.
23. Tanji H, Ohto U, Shibata T et al. Structural reorganization of the Toll-like receptor 8 dimer induced by agonistic ligands. *Science* 2013; 339(6126):1426–1429.
24. Muzio M, Bosisio D, Polentarutti N, et al. Differential expression and regulation of toll-like receptors (TLR) in human leukocytes: selective expression of TLR3 in dendritic cells. *J Immunol.* 2000 Jun 1;164(11):5998-6004.
25. Alexopoulou L, Czopik-Holt A, Medzhitov R. et al. Recognition of double stranded RNA and activation of NF- $\kappa$ B by Toll-like receptor 3. *Nature.* 2001. 413, 696–712.
26. Wang JP, Asher DR, Chan M, et al. Cutting Edge: Antibody-mediated TLR7-dependent recognition of viral RNA. *J Immunol.* 2007 Mar 15;178(6):3363-3367.
27. Biondo C, Malara A, Costa A, et al. Recognition of fungal RNA by TLR7 has a nonredundant role in host defense against experimental candidiasis. *Eur J Immunol.* 2012 Oct;42(10):2632-2643.
28. Mancuso G, Gambuzza M, Midiri A, et al. Bacterial recognition by TLR7 in the lysosomes of conventional dendritic cells. *Nat Immunol.* 2009 Jun;10(6):587-594.
29. Hornung V, Guenther-Biller M, Bourquin C, et al. Sequence-specific potent induction of IFN- $\alpha$  by short interfering RNA in plasmacytoid dendritic cells through TLR7. *Nat Med.* 2005 Mar;11(3):263-270

30. Hemmi H, Takeuchi O, Kawai T, et al. A Toll-like receptor recognizes bacterial DNA. *Nature*. 2000 Dec 7;408(6813):740-5.
31. Krug A, French AR, Barchet W et al. TLR9-dependent recognition of MCMV by IPC and DC generates coordinated cytokine responses that activate antiviral NK cell function. *Immunity*. 2004 Jul;21(1):107-19
32. Bafica A, Santiago HC, Goldszmid R, et al. TLR9 and TLR2 Signaling Together Account for MyD88-Dependent Control of Parasitemia in *Trypanosoma cruzi* infection. *J. Immunology* (2006) 2006 Sep 15;177(6):3515-3519.
33. Takahashi K, Shibata T, Akashi-Takamura S, et al. A protein associated with Toll-like receptor (TLR) 4 (PRAT4A) is required for TLR-dependent immune responses. *J Exp Med*. 2007 Nov 26;204(12):2963-2976.
34. Brinkmann MM, Spooner E, Hoebe K, et al. The interaction between the ER membrane protein UNC93B and TLR3, 7, and 9 is crucial for TLR signaling. *J Cell Biol*. 2007 Apr 23;177(2):265-275
35. Tabeta K, Hoebe K, Janssen EM et al. The Unc93b1 mutation 3d disrupts exogenous antigen presentation and signaling via Toll-like receptors 3, 7 and 9. *Nat Immunol* 2006;7(2):156–64
36. Kobe B. and Deisenhofer J. Proteins with leucine-rich repeats. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol*. 1995 5, 409–416
37. Rock FL, Hardiman G, Timans JC et al. A family of human receptors structurally related to *Drosophila* Toll. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci*. 1998 Jan 20;95(2):588-93.
38. Dunne A, O'Neill LA The interleukin-1 receptor/Toll-like receptor superfamily: signal transduction during inflammation and host defense. *Sci STKE*. 2003 Feb 25;2003(171):re3

39. Wright SD, Ramos R A, Tobias, PS et al. CD14, a receptor for complexes of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and LPS binding protein. *Science*. 1990 Sep 21;249(4975):1431-1433.
40. Shimazu R, Akashi S, Ogata H et al. MD-2, a molecule that confers lipopolysaccharide responsiveness on Toll-like receptor 4. *J Exp Med*. 1999 Jun 7;189(11):1777-1782.
41. O'Neill LA, Bowie AG. The family of five: TIR-domain-containing adaptors in Toll-like receptor signalling. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2007;7(5):353–364.
42. Medzhitov R, Preston-Hurlburt P, Kopp E, et al. MyD88 is an adaptor protein in the hToll/IL-1 receptor family signaling pathways. *Mol Cell*. 1998 Aug;2(2):253-258.
43. Kawai T, Adachi O, Ogawa T et al. Unresponsiveness of MyD88-deficient mice to endotoxin. *Immunity*. 1999 Jul;11(1):115-122.
44. Honda K, Yanai H, Mizutani T, et al. Role of a transductional-transcriptional processor complex involving MyD88 and IRF-7 in Toll-like receptor signaling. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2004 Oct 26;101(43):15416-21.
45. Takaoka A, Yanai H, Kondo S, et al. Integral role of IRF-5 in the gene induction programme activated by Toll-like receptors. *Nature*. 2005 Mar 10;434(7030):243-9.
46. Negishi H, Fujita Y, Yanai H, et al. Evidence for licensing of IFN-gamma-induced IFN regulatory factor 1 transcription factor by MyD88 in Toll-like receptor-dependent gene induction program. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2006 Oct 10;103(41):15136-15141.
47. Kawai T, Akira S. Toll-like receptors and their crosstalk with other innate receptors in infection and immunity. *Immunity*. 2011 May 27;34(5):637-650.
48. Peng J, Yuan Q, Lin B, et al. SARM inhibits both TRIF- and MyD88-mediated AP-1 activation. *Eur J Immunol*. 2010 Jun;40(6):1738-1747.
49. Gilmore TD. The Rel/NF-kappaB signal transduction pathway: introduction. *Oncogene*. 1999 Nov 22;18(49):6842-6844.

50. Wesche H, Henzel WJ, Shillinglaw W, et al. MyD88: an adapter that recruits IRAK to the IL-1 receptor complex. *Immunity*. 1997 Dec;7(6):837-847.
51. Lin SC, Lo YC, Wu H. Helical assembly in the MyD88-IRAK4-IRAK2 complex in TLR/IL-1R signalling. *Nature* 2010;465(7300):885–890.
52. Clark K, Nanda S, Cohen P. Molecular control of the NEMO family of ubiquitin-binding proteins. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2013 Oct;14(10):673-685.
53. Napetschnig J, Wu H. Molecular basis of NF-kappaB signaling. *Annu Rev Biophys*. 2013;42:443–468.
54. Taylor CT, McElwain JC. Ancient Atmospheres and the Evolution of Oxygen Sensing Via the Hypoxia-Inducible Factor in Metazoans. *Physiology (Bethesda)*. 2010 Oct;25(5):272-279.
55. Alberts B, Bray D, Hopkin K, et al. Molecular Biology of the cells. *Garland Science*. 2014
56. Rich PR, The molecular machinery of Keilin's respiratory chain. *Biochem Soc Trans*. 2003 Dec;31(Pt 6):1095-1105.
57. Rolfe DF and Brown GC. “Cellular energy utilization and molecular origin of standard metabolic rate in mammals”. *Physiol Rev*. 1997 Jul;77(3):731-758.
58. Semenza GL, Wang GL. A nuclear factor induced by hypoxia via de novo protein synthesis binds to the human erythropoietin gene enhancer at a site required for transcriptional activation. *Mol. Cell. Biol*. 1992 Dec;12(12):5447-5454.
59. Semenza GL. Targeting HIF-1 for cancer therapy. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2003 Oct;3(10):721-732.
60. Hanahan D and Weinberg RA, “Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation”. *Cell* vol. 2011 144, no. 5, pp. 646–674.

61. Iyer NV, Kotch LE, Agani F, et al. Cellular and developmental control of O<sub>2</sub> homeostasis by hypoxia-inducible factor 1 alpha. *Genes Dev.* 1998 Jan 15;12(2):149-62.
62. Semenza GL, Jiang BH, Leung SW, et al. Hypoxia response elements in the aldolase A, enolase 1, and lactate dehydrogenase A gene promoters contain essential binding sites for hypoxia-inducible factor 1. *J Biol Chem.* 1996 Dec 20;271(51):32529-32537.
63. Kim JW, Tchernyshyov I, Semenza GL, et al. HIF-1-mediated expression of pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase: a metabolic switch required for cellular adaptation to hypoxia. *Cell Metab.* 2006. Mar;3(3):177-185.
64. Fukuda R, Zhang H, Kim JW, et al. HIF-1 regulates cytochrome oxidase subunits to optimize efficiency of respiration in hypoxic cells. *Cell.* 2007 Apr 6;129(1):111-122.
65. Wang GL, Semenza GL. Purification and characterization of hypoxia-inducible factor 1. *J Biol Chem.* 1995 Jan 20;270(3):1230-7.
66. Ema M, Taya S, Yokotani N, et al. A novel bHLH-PAS factor with close sequence similarity to hypoxia-inducible factor 1alpha regulates the VEGF expression and is potentially involved in lung and vascular development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* (1997) Apr 29;94(9):4273-4278.
67. Flamme I, Fröhlich T, von Reutern M, et al. HRF, a putative basic helix-loop-helix-PAS-domain transcription factor is closely related to hypoxia-inducible factor-1 alpha and developmentally expressed in blood vessels. *Mech Dev.* 1997 Apr;63(1):51-60.
68. Schofield CJ, Ratcliffe PJ. Oxygen sensing by HIF hydroxylases. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2004 May;5(5):343-354.
69. Epstein AC, Gleadle JM, McNeill LA, et al. C. elegans EGL-9 and mammalian homologs define a family of dioxygenases that regulate HIF by prolyl hydroxylation. *Cell.* 2001 Oct 5;107(1):43-54.

70. Kaelin WG Jr, Ratcliffe PJ. Oxygen sensing by metazoans: the central role of the HIF hydroxylase pathway. *Mol Cell*. 2008 May 23;30(4):393-402.
71. Mahon PC, Hirota K, Semenza GL. FIH-1: a novel protein that interacts with HIF-1alpha and VHL to mediate repression of HIF-1 transcriptional activity. *Genes Dev*. 2001 Oct 15;15(20):2675-86
72. Bruick RK, McKnight SL. A conserved family of prolyl-4-hydroxylases that modifies HIF. *Science* (2001) 294, 1337-1340
73. Jaakkola P, Mole DR, Tian YM et al. Targeting of HIF-alpha to the von Hippel-Lindau ubiquitylation complex by O2-regulated prolyl hydroxylation. *Science*. (2001) 292, 468-472
74. Lando D, Peet DJ, Gorman JJ, et al. FIH-1 is an asparaginyl hydroxylase enzyme that regulates the transcriptional activity of hypoxia-inducible factor. *Genes Dev*. 2002 Jun 15;16(12):1466-1471.
75. Hagen T, Taylor CT, Lam F, et al. Redistribution of intracellular oxygen in hypoxia by nitric oxide: effect on HIF1alpha. *Science*. 2003 Dec 12;302(5652):1975-1978.
76. Taylor CT. Mitochondria and cellular oxygen sensing in the HIF pathway. *Biochem J*. 2008 Jan 1;409(1):19-26
77. Nizet V, Johnson RS. Interdependence of hypoxic and innate immune responses. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2009 Sep;9(9):609-617.
78. Colgan SP, Taylor CT. Hypoxia: an alarm signal during intestinal inflammation. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2010 May;7(5):281-287.
79. Cramer T, Yamanishi Y, Clausen BE, et al. HIF-1alpha is essential for myeloid cell-mediated inflammation. *Cell*. 2003 Mar 7;112(5):645-657.
80. Peyssonnaux C, Cejudo-Martin P, Doedens A, et al. Cutting edge: Essential role of hypoxia inducible factor-1alpha in development of lipopolysaccharide-induced sepsis. *J Immunol*. 2007 Jun 15;178(12):7516-7159.



81. Spirig R, Djafarzadeh S, Regueira T, et al. Effects of TLR agonists on the hypoxia-regulated transcription factor HIF-1alpha and dendritic cell maturation under normoxic conditions. *PLoS One*. 2010 Jun 7;5(6):e0010983.
82. Kuhlicke J, Frick JS, Morote-Garcia JC, et al. Hypoxia inducible factor (HIF)-1 coordinates induction of Toll-like receptors TLR2 and TLR6 during hypoxia. *PLoS One*. 2007 Dec 26;2(12):e1364.
83. Frede S, Stockmann C, Freitag P, et al. Bacterial lipopolysaccharide induces HIF-1 activation in human monocytes via p44/42 MAPK and NF-kappaB. *Biochem J*. 2006 Jun 15;396(3):517-527.
84. Mi Z, Rapisarda A, Taylor L, et al. Synergistic induction of HIF-1alpha transcriptional activity by hypoxia and lipopolysaccharide in macrophages. *Cell Cycle*. 2008 Jan 15;7(2):232-241.
85. Ramanathan M, Pinhal-Enfield G, Hao I et al. Synergistic up-regulation of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) expression in macrophages by adenosine A2A receptor agonists and endotoxin involves transcriptional regulation via the hypoxia response element in the VEGF promoter. *Mol Biol Cell*. 2007 Jan;18(1):14-23.
86. Sumbayev VV. LPS-induced Toll-like receptor 4 signalling triggers cross-talk of apoptosis signal-regulating kinase 1 (ASK1) and HIF-1alpha protein. *FEBS Lett*. 2008 Jan 23;582(2):319-326.
87. Cummins EP, Berra E, Comerford KM, et al. Prolyl hydroxylase-1 negatively regulates I kappa B kinase-beta, giving insight into hypoxia-induced NFkappaB activity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2006 Nov 28;103(48):18154-9.
88. Rius J, Guma M, Schachtrup C et al. NF-kappaB links innate immunity to the hypoxic response through transcriptional regulation of HIF-1alpha. *Nature*. 2008 Jun 5;453(7196):807-811.

89. Nishi K, Oda T, Takabuchi S, et al. LPS induces hypoxia-inducible factor 1 activation in macrophage-differentiated cells in a reactive oxygen species-dependent manner. *Antioxid Redox Signal*. 2008 May;10(5):983-995.
90. Gerald D, Berra E, Frapart YM, et al. JunD reduces tumor angiogenesis by protecting cells from oxidative stress. *Cell*. 118 (2004), pp. 781–794
91. Pchejetski D, Nunes J, Coughlan K, et al. The involvement of sphingosine kinase 1 in LPS-induced Toll-like receptor 4-mediated accumulation of HIF-1 $\alpha$  protein, activation of ASK1 and production of the pro-inflammatory cytokine IL-6. *Immunol Cell Biol*. 2011 Feb;89(2):268-74.
92. Tannahill GM, Curtis AM, Adamik J, et al. Succinate is an inflammatory signal that induces IL-1 $\beta$  through HIF-1 $\alpha$ . *Nature*. 2013 Apr 11;496(7444):238-242.
93. Hams E, Saunders SP, Cummins EP, et al. The hydroxylase inhibitor dimethyloxallyl glycine attenuates endotoxic shock via alternative activation of macrophages and IL-10 production by B1 cells. *Shock*. 2011 Sep;36(3):295-302.
94. Scholz CC, Cavadas MA, Tambuwala MM, et al. Regulation of IL-1 $\beta$ -induced NF- $\kappa$ B by hydroxylases links key hypoxic and inflammatory signaling pathways. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2013 Nov 12;110(46):18490-5.

Figure 1: ***Toll-like Receptors in humans***. Distinct Toll-like receptors discriminate between different microbial patterns. The extracellular LRR domain of each TLR is involved in recognizing its specific microbial ligand whereas the intracellular TIR domain serves to assemble adaptor protein complexes. All TLRs, with the exception of TLR3, use MyD88 to activate downstream signalling pathways. In some cases (e.g. TLR2/6, TLR2/1 and TLR4), the MAL adaptor protein mediates the interaction between TLRs and MyD88. TLR3 and TLR4 uses TRIF to transmit the downstream signal. In addition, TLR4 recruits TRAM as bridge. TLR4 is the only receptor that use all four adaptors.

Figure 2: ***HIF-pathway in normoxia and hypoxia***. In the presence of molecular oxygen, PHDs hydroxylate HIF- $\alpha$  which promotes its interaction with the von Hippel–Lindau (VHL) E3 ligase complex. This complex mediates ubiquitination and the proteasomal degradation of HIF- $\alpha$ . FIH-dependent ASN hydroxylation prevents interactions between HIF- $\alpha$  subunits and CBP / p300 and thus prevents transactivation. In hypoxia, the HIF-hydroxylases are inactivated and therefore HIF- $\alpha$  can heterodimerize with HIF-1 $\beta$ , translocate to the nucleus and interact with transcriptional coactivators (e.g. CPB / p300) to mediate the transcription of genes involved in adaptation.