Research Repository UCD

Title	The effect of prestress force magnitude and eccentricity on the natural bending frequencies of prestressed concrete structures
Authors(s)	Noble, Darragh, Nogal, Maria, O'Connor, Alan, Pakrashi, Vikram
Publication date	2016-03-17
Publication information	Noble, Darragh, Maria Nogal, Alan O'Connor, and Vikram Pakrashi. "The Effect of Prestress Force Magnitude and Eccentricity on the Natural Bending Frequencies of Prestressed Concrete Structures" 365 (March 17, 2016).
Publisher	Elsevier
Item record/more information	http://hdl.handle.net/10197/10439
Publisher's statement	This is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in Journal of Sound and Vibration. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in Journal of Sound and Vibration (365, (2015)) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2015.11.047
Publisher's version (DOI)	10.1016/j.jsv.2015.11.047

Downloaded 2023-04-07T10:52:29Z

The UCD community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters! (@ucd_oa)

© Some rights reserved. For more information

The effect of prestress force magnitude and eccentricity on the natural bending frequencies of prestressed concrete structures

Darragh Noble^{a,*}, Dr. Maria Nogal^a, Dr. Alan O'Connor^a, Dr. Vikram
Pakrashi^b

^a*Dept. of Civil, Structural & Environmental Engineering, Museum Building, Trinity
College Dublin, College Green, Dublin 2, Ireland.*

^b*Dynamical Systems & Risk Laboratory, Civil & Environmental Engineering, School of
Engineering, University College Cork, College Road, Cork, Ireland.*

Abstract

This paper describes the outcome of static 3-point bending testing and output-only experimental modal analysis on 9 post-tensioned concrete beams. Static 3-point bending testing and dynamic impact testing were conducted on each of the 9 beams at different levels of post-tensioning force. The Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) was implemented on the dynamic accelerometer impact data, and the fundamental frequencies of the simply supported post-tensioned concrete beams were determined by a peak-picking algorithm at each post-tensioning load level. The tests were repeated 10 times at each impact location to ensure repeatability of the experiment. There were 3 impact locations per post-tensioning load level, and there were 11 post-tensioning load levels at which the beams were tested. A first-order linear regression model was then applied to the measured fundamental bending frequencies with increasing post-tensioning load. Statistical significance tests were subsequently conducted on the recorded data to determine if any statistically significant changes in fundamental bending frequency with increasing post-tensioning load was observed, for both static and dynamic results. The results obtained for the static 3-point bending tests were then compared and contrasted with the results obtained from dynamic testing. No statistically significant relationship between natural frequency and post-tensioning load level was found

*Corresponding author

Email address: nobleda@tcd.ie (Darragh Noble)

for these uncracked concrete beams.

Keywords: Output-only, Experimental modal analysis, Post-tensioned concrete, Linear regression modelling, Fundamental bending frequency, 3-point bending tests.

1. Introduction

The prediction of the change in natural vibration frequencies with varying prestress force magnitude for prestressed concrete (PSC) structures is a particularly important problem. It has implications in the field of PSC bridge girders and for post-tensioned concrete wind turbine towers, both of which are structures that are susceptible to extreme dynamic excitation. The effect of applied prestressing force on the dynamic behaviour of pre- and post-tensioned structures is a widely debated topic [1]. Some authors argue that the natural vibration frequencies of PSC structures tend to decrease as the magnitude of the pre-stressing force is increased. This is known as the “*compression-softening*” effect and is based on Euler-Bernoulli beam theory of an externally axially loaded homogeneous beam [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. Others [8, 9] suggest that the natural frequencies of PSC structures are unaffected by pre-stress force magnitude. This argument has been taken to the fore by Hamed & Frostig [10], who present a non-linear kinematic model and conclude that the final equation of motion for the vibrating beam system is independent of the prestress force magnitude. Finally, there is also the argument that the NFs of PSC structures tend to increase as the magnitude of the pre-stressing force is increased. This was found to be the case in numerous empirical studies, conducted [11, 12, 13]. A satisfactory mathematical model predicting the increase in NFs with increasing pre-stressing force has yet to be formulated, despite some attempts [13, 14]. A comprehensive review of these models and studies has been outlined in previous works [15]. Prestress force decreases over time due to concrete creep, steel relaxation, anchorage pull-in and other factors. Structural engineers should thus be able to monitor or estimate changes in the natural bending frequency of PSC structures over the course of their design life to ensure their safety and serviceability. As a result, prediction of change in natural frequency of PSC structures over time is of great importance.

The aim of this paper is to report on results of both static and dynamic testing on post-tensioned concrete beams. The purpose of the research is

to determine the relationship between post-tensioning force magnitude and fundamental bending frequency for post-tensioned concrete beams. This paper is loosely based on the results and findings that have been previously presented by the authors [16, 17], and reference should be made to these articles for further information.

The novelty of this paper lies in the statistical significance testing of the result. Numerous other papers have been presented reporting correlation between natural frequency and post-tensioning load magnitude [11, 14, 3, 5, 12], but none have reported on the statistical significance of their results. Furthermore, this paper reports on the results of 9 beams, each with a different straight-profiled post-tensioning strand eccentricity, and as such the effect of post-tensioning strand eccentricity on natural bending frequencies of post-tensioned concrete beams is also determined. Finally, the post-tensioned concrete beams tested were verified as uncracked sections, unlike the specimens tested in previous studies [11].

This paper is organised as follows; Section 2 describes the set-up of two experiments in the laboratory. The first experiment is a static 3-point bending tests conducted on 9 post-tensioned concrete beams at different levels of post-tensioning force magnitude. The second experiment is a dynamic impact test, conducted on the same 9 beams, at the same post-tensioning load levels. Section 3 outlines the analysis of the results from both static and dynamic test regimes. Also, it outlines the prediction of the fundamental bending frequency of the beam sections, and describes the extensive signal-processing regime that was designed and implemented for the dynamic signals obtained. Section 4 describes the results of the static and dynamic experiments conducted, and compares the outcomes of both sets of experiments. Section 5 summarises the paper, drawing some significant conclusions and describes future work to be conducted. Appendix A outlines the detailed statistical parameters determining the statistical significance of the data collected and presented in Section 4.

2. Experimental Set-Up

2.1. Static 3-point bending tests

Three point static bending tests were conducted on post-tensioned concrete beams in the laboratory, as shown in the schematic in Figure 1. 9 post-tensioned concrete beams were tested statically through three point bending.

67 The 9 beams each had a different straight-profiled post-tensioning strand ec-
 68 centricity, as outlined in Figure 4. The beams were placed underneath a
 69 small loading frame, rated to 180 tons, in the laboratory, anchored onto a
 70 metre deep reinforced concrete strong-floor. The loading ram was placed
 71 at midspan of the beam as shown in Figure 1. The loading ram was at-
 72 tached to a hydraulic jack, which was controlled via hand pump. The beams
 73 themselves are 2.3m long post-tensioned concrete beams with minimum re-
 74 inforcement provided, in accordance with Eurocode 2 [18]. The span length
 75 between the simple supports was 2m. The beams were 200mm deep and
 76 150mm wide in cross-section. The concrete had a characteristic strength,
 77 $f_{ck} = 30MPa$, which was determined from strength testing conducted on
 78 concrete cube specimens. The Young's Modulus of the concrete was de-
 79 termined experimentally to be $E_c = 26.88GPa$ from testing on standard
 80 concrete cylinders. The beams were lightly reinforced with 2 H12 as bottom
 81 reinforcement and 2 H8 as hanger bars (top reinforcement). H8 shear links
 82 were provided at 200mm centres and an additional 2 H8 shear links were pro-
 83 vided in the anchorage zone as bursting reinforcement, in accordance with
 84 the CIRIA method, and as shown in Figure 1. Cover to all reinforcement
 85 was specified to be a minimum of 25mm [16, 17].

Figure 1: Experimental set-up.

86 A 15.7mm diameter 7-wire concentric Freyssinet pre-stressing strand,
 87 with a yield strength, $f_y=1880MPa$ was threaded through a 20mm diam-

eter post-tensioning duct, cast into the concrete beam. 20mm steel plates
 were placed on either end of the beam. A 300 ton loading jack was placed
 on end against the steel plate. A TML KCM-300KNA ‘*through-hole com-*
pression’ load cell, rated to 300kN, was placed between loading jack and the
 prestressing collet. This arrangement was identical on each end of the beam,
 which helped to balance the mass on either end of the beam. A prestressing
 collet was fixed on the prestressing strand, such that when the jacks were
 elongated, they jacked against the prestressing collet, gripping the strand and
 hence, post-tensioning the concrete beam [16, 17]. The jack was controlled
 by means of a hydraulic hand pump.

Figure 2: Measuring static deflection.

Each of the 9 concrete beams were tested statically at different values of
 post-tensioning load. The post-tensioning load levels were incremented in
 20kN increments from 0-200kN. The lateral load was applied to the beams in
 such a way that the deflection reading from the dial gauges was never greater
 than 1mm, giving a minimum span/deflection ratio of 2000, much greater
 than the code specified value of 250. In this way, the test was deflection
 controlled. Furthermore, at such small values of midspan deflection, flexural
 cracking was not induced in any of the sections. Deflection was measured by
 means of two right-angled steel plates that were affixed to the beam section at
 mid-span as shown in Figure 2. A measurement was taken at each beam face
 to account for possible torsion of the beam section due to an asymmetrically
 applied load. Two deflection and transverse load readings were taken at each
 beam face, at each post-tensioning load level. This iteration was repeated
 once in order to minimize error in the experiment.

112 The results of the static testing are presented and discussed in Section 4.1,
 113 and the results presented in Table 2, and Figures 9 and 10.

114 2.2. Dynamic impact tests

Figure 3: Instrumentation and set-up of dynamic test in laboratory

115 Dynamic impact testing was conducted on 9 post-tensioned concrete
 116 beams. Figure 1 in conjunction with Figure 3 shows the experimental set-up.
 117 Dynamic impact testing was conducted at three locations along the length of
 118 the beam span, as outlined in Figure 3, labelled L1-L3. The beam was struck
 119 10 times at each location using an impact rig assembled in the lab with a rope
 120 and pulley system. A Dytran model 3200b4 10,000g range accelerometer was
 121 affixed to each of the beams tested. The accelerometer, 2 load cells and 4
 122 strain gauges were connected to a System 6000 data logging system. The data
 123 was sampled at a rate of 10,000Hz, ensuring a Nyquist frequency of 5,000Hz.
 124 Since the fundamental bending frequency was expected to be approximately
 125 78Hz, this sampling rate theoretically enabled the first 8 bending modes of vi-
 126 bration to be detected by the instrumentation (i.e. $78 \times 8^2 = 4,992$ Hz). The
 127 experiment was repeated at different post-tensioning load levels, as outlined
 128 in Figure 1. The post-tensioning load was increased in intervals of 20kN from
 129 0-200kN. This helped ensure repeatability of the experiment. Strain gauges
 130 were fixed at the three impact locations (e1- e3) in order to obtain the mode
 131 shapes of vibration. The accelerometer (A1) was strategically placed at a
 132 distance of 800mm from the support, in order to identify all of the first three
 133 modes of vibration. Placement at midspan would eliminate the opportunity
 134 to obtain the second mode of vibration as it is a nodal point for the second
 135 mode. A fourth strain gauge (ea1) was placed in the axial direction, close to

136 midspan, in order to compare the axial strain data with the pre-stress load
 137 data obtained from the load cells [16, 17].
 138 Figure 4 shows the cross-sections of each of the 9 post-tensioned concrete
 139 beams tested. Each beam has a different post-tensioning strand eccentricity,
 140 as shown.

Figure 4: Cross sections of 9 beams tested.

141 3. Experimental Analysis

142 3.1. Prediction of the natural bending frequency

143 The prediction of the fundamental bending frequencies of each of the 9 un-
 144 cracked and cracked post-tensioned concrete beams for zero post-tensioning
 145 force magnitude are outlined in Table 1. An uncracked analysis and cracked
 146 analysis of the post-tensioned concrete beams has been compared for zero
 147 post-tensioning force. The effect of the post-tensioning force itself should
 148 act as to increase the neutral axis depth and subsequently increase the fre-
 149 quency of vibration, where cracking has occurred. The frequencies have been
 150 predicted in accordance with Equation 2;

Table 1: Predictions of cracked and uncracked fundamental frequencies for
PSC Beams

e (mm)	x_u (mm)	x_c (mm)	I_u (mm^4)	I_c (mm^4)	$\omega_{1,u}$ (Hz)	$\omega_{1,c}$ (Hz)
-52	99.58	49.37	1.116×10^8	8.847×10^7	79.26	70.58
-39	100.05	51.06	1.101×10^8	8.927×10^7	78.74	70.90
-26	100.53	52.71	1.091×10^8	9.040×10^7	78.37	71.35
-13	101.01	54.33	1.084×10^8	9.187×10^7	78.14	71.93
0	101.49	55.91	1.082×10^8	9.368×10^7	78.05	72.63
+13	101.96	57.47	1.083×10^8	9.585×10^7	78.10	73.47
+26	102.44	58.99	1.089×10^8	9.838×10^7	78.30	74.43
+39	102.92	60.49	1.098×10^8	1.013×10^8	78.64	75.51
+52	103.40	61.97	1.112×10^8	1.045×10^8	79.12	76.72

3.2. Analysis of Dynamic data

The signal processing regime followed the algorithm previously outlined in [15, 16, 17, 19]. The raw signal data contained significant noise and unwanted frequency content that corrupted the signal, meaning the dynamic characteristics such as natural frequency and damping were difficult to determine. The implementation of this algorithm ensured that a peak picking algorithm was able to identify the peaks in the frequency domain. Sample results can be seen in Figure 5. The peak picking method is the simplest means of determining the modal characteristics in the frequency domain, in which the natural frequencies correspond to the peaks in the FFT, however as pointed out in [20] *“this method is not reliable when the different modes of vibration are not sufficiently separated from each other.”*

The search bands for the fundamental frequency of each beam were defined as 55-85Hz [17]. This algorithm is required to deal with the high levels of noise associated with impact testing of concrete beams with a relatively high fundamental bending frequency, which is expected to be in the region of 78Hz [17]. Figure 6 shows a flow chart diagram of the algorithm implemented for signal processing of the concrete beam specimens.

Figure 7 shows a typical accelerometer response a post-tensioned concrete beam. Figure 7a and 7b show the accelerometer signals in both the time and frequency domain, before the signal was processed to eliminate noise and after signal processing. The scale of the acceleration axis in the time domain

Figure 5: Unprocessed, noisy signal at different scales (a) and (b). Identification of fundamental bending frequency possible. Higher modes unintelligible.

Figure 6: Signal processing algorithm flow chart.

Figure 7: (a) Signal Processing and (b) Peak Picking to identify correct fundamental bending frequencies.

of each signal is significantly reduced following signal processing, indicating the extent of the amplitude attributable to noise components [17].

3.3. Calculation of Damping Ratio, ξ

The damping ratio, ξ of the 9 concrete beams were calculated for each axial load level using the half-power bandwidth method, in the same way described by the authors in previous works [15]. The half-power bandwidth method enables evaluation of damping from forced vibration tests without knowing the applied force, and is thus used in vibration and modal testing. By assuming that the damping ratio ξ is small and that the frequency at maximum amplitude is approximately equal to the undamped fundamental frequency ω_1 , the classical result relating the damping ratio to the half-power bandwidth can be written as [21];

$$\xi = \frac{\omega_b - \omega_a}{2\omega_1} \quad (1)$$

ω_a and ω_b are the half-power frequencies (i.e. the frequencies of the function at Max. Amplitude/ $\sqrt{2}$). According to Wu [21], the classical result is only valid for damping ratio less than 0.1, and is not a good prediction for $\xi > 0.1$. An example of the calculation of the damping ratio in accordance by the half-power bandwidth method is shown in Figure 8.

Figure 8: Half-power bandwidth method of calculation of damping ratio, ξ

190 4. Experimental Results

191 4.1. Static Results

192 Static three-point bending tests were conducted on all 9 post-tensioned
 193 concrete beams. The post-tensioning force magnitude was varied and a point
 194 load was applied at midspan to the simply-supported post-tensioned concrete
 195 beam. The deflection corresponding to each transverse point load was mea-
 196 sured, as described in Section 2.1 previously. A sample set of results are
 197 displayed in Table 2. From the collected load-deflection data, the equivalent
 198 static flexural rigidity, EI was estimated using the equation for deflection
 199 at midspan of a simply-supported beam due to a point-load applied at mid-
 200 span, $EI = \frac{P\ell^3}{48\delta}$, where P is the transverse load applied at mid-span, δ is the
 201 subsequent midspan deflection due to the applied point load, ℓ is the beam
 202 span length, E is the Young's Modulus of Elasticity, and I is the second mo-
 203 ment of area of the cross section. The equation for the n^{th} natural bending
 204 frequency of a simply-supported beam is given as;

$$\omega_n = \left(\frac{n\pi}{\ell}\right)^2 \sqrt{\frac{EI}{m}} \quad (2)$$

205 where n is the mode number. In order to obtain a predicted static-equivalent
 206 bending frequency, $\omega_{n,S}$ the following substitution is made;

$$\omega_{n,S} = \left(\frac{n\pi}{\ell}\right)^2 \sqrt{\frac{P\ell^3}{48\delta m}} \quad (3)$$

207 This transformation from equivalent static flexural rigidity to fundamental
208 natural bending frequency (i.e. $n = 1$) is given for Beam 1 (e=0mm) in
209 Figure 9. Sample data for Beam 3 (e=+26mm) is given below in Table 2.
210 The difference between the deflection data on either beam face is significant
211 in this instance, highlighting the extent of the error in the experiment.

Table 2: Sample static data for Beam 3 (e=+26mm)

N (kN)	P (kN)	δ_1 (mm)	δ_2 (mm)	EI_1 ($kNmm^2$)	EI_2 ($kNmm^2$)	EI_{ave} ($kNmm^2$)	$\omega_{1,S_{ave}}$ (Hz)
0.15	10.1	0.80	1.00	2.10E+09	1.68E+09	1.89E+09	63.08
0.16	10.5	0.79	1.00	2.22E+09	1.75E+09	1.98E+09	64.54
23.06	10.6	0.64	1.00	2.76E+09	1.77E+09	2.26E+09	68.96
23.00	10.7	0.63	1.00	2.83E+09	1.78E+09	2.31E+09	69.62
44.53	11.3	0.53	1.00	3.55E+09	1.88E+09	2.72E+09	75.58
44.39	11.5	0.55	1.00	3.48E+09	1.92E+09	2.70E+09	75.33
63.07	12.5	0.47	1.00	4.43E+09	2.08E+09	3.26E+09	82.74
62.95	12.5	0.48	1.01	4.34E+09	2.06E+09	3.20E+09	82.02
82.34	13.7	0.44	1.01	5.19E+09	2.26E+09	3.73E+09	88.47
82.26	13.4	0.44	1.00	5.08E+09	2.23E+09	3.65E+09	87.63
100.69	14.1	0.40	1.00	5.88E+09	2.35E+09	4.11E+09	92.96
100.72	14.5	0.43	1.01	5.62E+09	2.39E+09	4.01E+09	91.75
121.04	15.2	0.41	1.00	6.18E+09	2.53E+09	4.36E+09	95.67
120.77	15.3	0.40	1.00	6.38E+09	2.55E+09	4.46E+09	96.83
141.48	15.8	0.34	1.00	7.75E+09	2.63E+09	5.19E+09	104.42
141.31	15.7	0.40	1.00	6.54E+09	2.62E+09	4.58E+09	98.09
161.64	16.0	0.39	1.00	6.84E+09	2.67E+09	4.75E+09	99.92
161.20	16.4	0.40	1.00	6.83E+09	2.73E+09	4.78E+09	100.25
179.96	16.8	0.38	1.02	7.37E+09	2.75E+09	5.06E+09	103.08
179.49	16.5	0.36	1.00	7.64E+09	2.75E+09	5.19E+09	104.47
202.42	16.9	0.38	1.02	7.41E+09	2.76E+09	5.09E+09	103.38
201.54	16.7	0.37	1.00	7.52E+09	2.78E+09	5.15E+09	104.05

212 Figure 9a shows the measured change in static flexural rigidity, EI with
213 increasing post-tensioning force magnitude for Beam 1 (e=0mm), and Fig-
214 ure 9b shows the equivalent static prediction of the fundamental bending

215 frequency using Equation 3. Figure 10 shows the static prediction of natu-
 216 ral bending frequency based on the measured 3-point bending data for all 9
 217 post-tensioned concrete beams for varying post-tensioning force magnitude.
 218 In the case of Beams 1-6, a statistically significant increasing trend is ob-
 219 served in predicted static-equivalent fundamental bending frequencies with
 220 increasing post-tensioning force. In the case of Beams 2-5, the effect of the
 221 post-tensioning strand eccentricity is to cause the beams to camber upwards
 222 and the downward deflection to be reduced. The greater the magnitude of
 223 the post-tensioning load, the greater the magnitude of midspan point load
 224 required to induce 1mm deflection, and, as such, the static flexural rigidity,
 225 and hence the natural bending frequency is predicted to increase. In the case
 226 of Beams 6-9, the effect of the post-tensioning strand eccentricity is such as to
 227 induce further cracking in the bottom fibre and cause further sagging in the
 228 simply supported beam, therefore a lesser magnitude of midspan point load
 229 would be required to induce the 1mm deflection, and therefore, the static
 230 flexural rigidity, and hence the natural bending frequency is predicted to
 231 decrease. Beam 1 ($e=0\text{mm}$) has zero eccentricity, and therefore no moment
 232 due to prestressing force. In this case, the axial normal stress acts so as to
 233 ensure the entire section acts in pure compression, significantly increasing its
 234 capacity to resist transverse load before cracking occurs in the bottom fibre
 235 of the section.

Figure 9: (a) Beam 1 ($e=0\text{mm}$); Static, EI (b) Beam 1 ($e=0\text{mm}$); Dyn. equiv. ω_1

For Figure 10, when examined in conjunction with Table A.3, which presents the results of the statistical analysis conducted on the regression parameters for regressing $\omega_{1,s1}$ and $\omega_{1,s2}$ respectively on N , it is determined that for Beam 1-6, a statistically significant increase in static-equivalent natural bending frequency with increasing post-tensioning load magnitude is apparent. However, for Beam 7-9, no statistically significant trend can be identified in the data. This is concurrent with what was predicted due to the different stress distributions in the given sections. Beam 7-9 have eccentricities that promote further bending and cracking in the bottom fibre, and hence increasing the post-tensioning load acts as to open cracks and theoretically decrease the bending frequency. This has not been found experimentally. At best, from this data, a non-significant statistical trend is all that can be observed.

These static-equivalent bending frequencies shall be compared against the measured natural bending frequencies obtained from dynamic impact testing on the same post-tensioned concrete sections in Section 4.3.

4.2. Dynamic Results

Figure 11 shows sample results for Beam 2 ($e=+13\text{mm}$). Figure 11a shows the peaks of the frequency domain representation of all 30 signals superimposed on each other for each post-tensioning load level. In total, there are 330 signals superimposed in the graph. The dominance of the fundamental bending mode of vibration is evident from this figure, due to the relative contribution of this mode to the overall structural dynamic response of the system. This dominance of the first bending mode was observed for all 9 beams tested. The vertical axis shows the normalised relative modal amplitude of the response of the system. Figure 11b represents Figure 11a in two dimensions. The diameter of the data points is directly proportional to the relative modal amplitude. All peaks in the frequency domain in the range of 0-1000Hz are plotted against the post-tensioning load level of the tested specimen. The fundamental bending frequency has been identified to lie within the search bands of 55 to 85Hz. Figure 11c zooms in on Figure 11b and shows the estimation of the first modal frequency from peak picking of each of the 330 dynamic signals obtained, at different post-tensioning load levels. The scatter in the estimation of the fundamental frequency is noted to be large. Figure 11d shows some simple data analytics. The standard deviation, σ of the data ranges between 2 and 4Hz, which is between 3 and 5% of the mean, μ of each data set [17].

Figure 10: (a) Beam 2 ($e=+13\text{mm}$); Iteration 1 (b) Beam 2 ($e=+13\text{mm}$); Iteration 2; (c) Beam 3 ($e=+26\text{mm}$); Iteration 1 (d) Beam 3 ($e=+26\text{mm}$); Iteration 2

273 4.2.1. 3D Graphs

274 Figure 12 show 3D graphs of normalised relative modal amplitude of the
 275 response of the system versus frequency and post-tensioning load level. As
 276 can be seen in each of the graphs, the dominance of the first bending mode
 277 of vibration in the overall structural dynamic response of the system is ap-
 278 parent. Figure 12a shows the frequency response of Beam 2 ($e=+13\text{mm}$) for
 279 each axial load level. Figure 12b shows the frequency response of Beam 3
 280 ($e=+26\text{mm}$) which has a post-tensioning strand eccentricity that promote

Figure 11: (a) Processed signals in frequency domain as a function of axial load (3D); (b) All modes plotted against axial load (2D); (c) Zooming in on the fundamental bending frequency; (d) Simple data analytics on the measured frequencies as a function of axial force (Beam 2).

281 upward camber and compression in the bottom fibre of the section. The
 282 importance of these graphs is that they highlight the dominance of the first
 283 bending mode of vibration in the overall structural dynamic response. This
 284 has been observed for all 9 post-tensioned concrete beams tested in the lab-
 285 oratory.

Figure 12: (a) Beam 2 ($e=+13\text{mm}$); (b) Beam 3 ($e=+26\text{mm}$).

4.2.2. Regression Analysis

Figures 15 shows the results of a linear regression analysis that has been applied to the relationship between the fundamental bending mode of vibration and the post-tensioning load level. The results for Beam 2 have been published previously [17], but this paper expands on previous studies and reports on results for a whole suite of 9 post-tensioned concrete beams. The smaller data points represent each individual estimation of the fundamental frequency, while the larger data points represent the mean of each data set. The inner dashed line represents the 95% confidence interval for the regression line, while the outer dashed line is the 95% prediction interval for an individual estimation. The results of statistical t-test on the regression slope parameter, for each of the 9 beams tested, are displayed in Table A.4. It was found, for 6 of the 9 post-tensioned concrete beams (namely, Beams 1,2,3,4,6,9) that there is no statistically significant change in fundamental bending frequency with prestress force magnitude. 3 beams showed a statistically significant increase in fundamental bending frequency with increasing post-tensioning force magnitude (namely Beams 5,7,8). Furthermore, Figure 13 shows all data for Beam 2 plotted on a normal probability paper. All data points lie within the boundaries that would be expected if it were from the same, Normal parent distribution. This further indicates that any observed changes in natural frequency with post-tensioning load magnitude is likely due to chance rather than any systematic effect. Similar results have been observed for 6 of the 9 beams tested.

Figure 13: All data for Beam 2 ($e=+13\text{mm}$) plotted on a normal probability paper, indicating data normality.

As outlined in [17], Figure 14 shows a comparison of the different regression lines for each of the 9 beams plotted against one another. Figure 14a shows the results for Beams 1-5 while Figure 14b shows the results for Beam 1 and Beams 6-9. Theory would suggest that as the eccentricity increases, the intercept values of the regression lines should also increase, as there is increased second moment of area due to the parallel axis theorem, and a subsequent expected increase in ‘*virgin*’ natural bending frequencies for the simply supported post-tensioned beams. However, as shown in Figure 14, according to the experimental results obtained, this is not the case. Statistically significant increases in natural frequency with increasing post-tensioning load were observed for Beam Nos. 5, 7 & 8. All other beams displayed no statistically significant changes in natural frequency. This is outlined further in Table A.4.

Table A.4 shows the calculated linear regression intercept parameter ($\alpha_{0,i}$), and slope parameter ($\alpha_{1,i}$) when regressing ω_1 on N for all 9 beams (i). The corresponding linear regression equations are obtained by substituting into the following formula;

$$\omega_1 = \alpha_{0,i} + \alpha_{1,i}N \quad (4)$$

4.3. Static vs. Dynamic results

Figure 16 shows the comparison between the static-equivalent prediction of fundamental bending frequency to the measured frequencies from the

(a)

(b)

Figure 14: Collated data of linear regression of fundamental bending frequency as a function of post-tensioning load for (a) Beams 1-5 and (b) Beams 1, 6-9.

(a)

(b)

Figure 15: Regression of ω_1 on N for; (a) Beam 2 ($e=+13\text{mm}$); (b) Beam 3 ($e=+26\text{mm}$).

329 dynamic data. The linear regression lines for both the static-equivalent pre-
 330 dictions and the dynamic data are plotted against one another for Beams 2
 331 & 3, as shown. For Beams 1,2,3,4,5,6 the static-equivalent prediction of fun-
 332 damental bending frequency shows a statistically significant increase in the
 333 bending frequency with increasing post-tensioning force magnitude. How-
 334 ever, in comparison, the results from the dynamic testing show no statisti-

335 cally significant trend in the data. For Beams 7,8 & 9, the static-equivalent
 336 data shows no statistically significant trend with increasing post-tensioning
 337 force magnitude.

Figure 16: Comparison of static and dynamic results; (a) Beam 2 ($e=+13\text{mm}$); (b) Beam 3 ($e=+26\text{mm}$).

338 4.4. Damping ratios

339 Figure 17 shows the results of a statistical regression analysis of calcu-
 340 lated critical damping ratios versus post-tensioning force magnitude. Fig-
 341 ure 17 should be analysed in conjunction with Table A.5, which outlines the
 342 statistical linear regression parameters, indicating the statistical significance
 343 of the changes observed in Figure 17. Beams 1,4 & 6 show no statistically
 344 significant relationship between damping ratio and post-tensioning load level.
 345 Beams 2,8 & 9 show a statistically significant decreasing trend in damping
 346 ratio with increasing post-tensioning load level, while Beams 3,5 & 7 show
 347 a statistically significant increasing trend in damping ratio with increasing
 348 post-tensioning load level. As such, the testing results are inconclusive. No
 349 definitive statement on the relationship between damping ratio and post-
 350 tensioning force magnitude can be made, based on the obtained results, as
 351 depending on the beam tested, different statistical significance conclusions
 352 have been observed. The value of the critical damping ratio measured ranges
 353 between 0.23-5.01%, which is in the range predicted for concrete structures.

Figure 17: Regression of ξ on N for; (a) Beam 2 ($e=+13\text{mm}$); (b) Beam 3 ($e=+26\text{mm}$).

5. Conclusions

The prediction of the change in natural bending frequencies with varying prestress force magnitude for PSC structures is an important problem, particularly in the field of PSC bridge girders and more recently for pre-cast, post-tensioned concrete wind turbine towers, both of which are structures that are susceptible to extreme dynamic excitation. Following this output-only modal analysis study, it was concluded that no statistically significant relationship was found between post-tension force magnitude and fundamental bending frequency for 6No. of 9No. simply supported post-tensioned concrete beams tested in the laboratory. The other 3No. beams displayed a statistically significant increase in fundamental bending frequency with increasing post-tensioning load.

The full conclusions of the study are outlined as follows;

1. The “compression-softening” effect is not valid for post-tensioned concrete structures. From the static and dynamic tests conducted, no evidence of a decreasing trend in fundamental bending frequency with increasing post-tensioning force magnitude has been found.
2. From the obtained static data, the static-equivalent prediction of the fundamental bending frequency suggests that there is an increasing

- 373 trend in fundamental bending frequency with increasing post-tensioning
374 load magnitude.
- 375 3. However, from the obtained dynamic data, there is no indication of any
376 relationship between post-tensioning load magnitude and fundamental
377 bending frequency.
 - 378 4. The dominance of the fundamental bending mode in the overall struc-
379 tural dynamic response of each of the 9No. post-tensioned concrete
380 beams is evident from the 3D graphs produced.
 - 381 5. The results are inconclusive regarding the relationship between the
382 change in critical damping ratio, ξ and the post-tensioning force mag-
383 nitude, N .
 - 384 6. Despite theory predicting that there should be a direct relationship
385 between post-tensioning strand eccentricity and fundamental bending
386 frequency, no such non-random systematic change could be identified
387 from the analysis of the obtained data.

388 Recently the interest on variability of dynamic properties of bridges (i.e.
389 natural frequency, mode shape, damping ratio) caused by environmental ef-
390 fects such as temperature, humidity, wind and other factors is increasing [22].
391 Studies conducted [23, 24] report frequency differences in the ranges of 6%
392 and 14-18% respectively due to normal environmental changes (e.g. tem-
393 perature effects, lack of ideal support conditions, material variability etc...).
394 The change in natural frequency due to prestress loss is therefore considered
395 negligible in relation to such large environmental effects.

396 The main findings of this paper indicate that, for uncracked post-tensioned
397 concrete sections, no statistically significant relationship has been found be-
398 tween post-tensioning force magnitude and natural bending frequency, as
399 opposed to some research works [2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 11, 12, 13, 25, 26, 27], but
400 falling in line with the results suggested by others [9, 8, 10, 28, 29, 30, 31].
401 The implication of this result is profound in system identification. Since the
402 vast majority of pre- and post-tensioned concrete structures currently in ser-
403 vice have not been instrumented for the magnitude of the post-tensioning
404 force, it had been suggested that non-destructive testing methods could be
405 used to identify the magnitude of the post-tensioning force, from the analy-
406 sis of dynamic data. However, since no statistically significant relationship

407 could be determined between post-tensioning load magnitude and natural
408 bending frequency, for uncracked post-tensioned concrete sections, it is not
409 possible to determine the magnitude of the post-tensioning force from the
410 natural frequency of uncracked pre- and post-tensioned concrete sections.

411 **Acknowledgements**

412 The authors would like to gratefully acknowledge the financial support
413 donated by the Irish Research Council (IRC) under its Embark initiative.
414 The authors would also like to sincerely thank Banagher Concrete, Heitons
415 Steel, Roadstone Ireland, Fairyhouse Steel, and Freyssinet Ireland for their
416 support in supplying testing materials throughout the duration of the project
417 to date.

418 **Appendix A.**

419 Table A.4 shows the calculated linear regression intercept parameter (α_0),
420 and slope parameter (α_1) when regressing ω_1 on N for all nine number beams
421 (i). The corresponding linear regression equations are obtained by substitut-
422 ing into the following formula;

$$\omega_1 = \alpha_{0,i} + \alpha_{1,i}N \quad (\text{A.1})$$

423 Table A.5 shows the calculated linear regression intercept parameter (β_0),
424 and slope parameter (β_1) when regressing ξ on N for all nine number beams
425 (i). The corresponding linear regression equations are obtained by substitut-
426 ing into the following formula;

$$\xi = \beta_{0,i} + \beta_{1,i}N \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Table A.3: Statistical analysis on regression parameters for $\omega_{1,S1}$ and $\omega_{1,S2}$ on N

B#	Reg. P.	Value	SE	t-value	t-crit.	p	95% CI
B1	$\alpha_{0,1}$	59.7233	1.6972	35.19	2.2622	0.0000	(55.8840,63.5626)
	$\alpha_{1,1}$	0.1675	0.0142	11.76	2.2622	0.0000	(0.1353,0.1997)
	$\alpha_{0,1}$	58.7176	5.5784	10.53	2.2622	0.0000	(46.0984,71.3368)
	$\alpha_{1,1}$	0.2755	0.0468	5.88	2.2622	0.0002	(0.1696,0.3813)
B2	$\alpha_{0,2}$	47.7036	1.0101	47.22	2.0796	0.0000	(45.6029,49.8043)
	$\alpha_{1,2}$	0.0735	0.0087	8.44	2.0796	0.0000	(0.0553,0.0916)
	$\alpha_{0,2}$	41.7939	1.2572	33.24	2.0860	0.0000	(39.1716,44.4163)
	$\alpha_{1,2}$	0.0879	0.0105	8.36	2.0860	0.0000	(0.0660,0.1099)
B3	$\alpha_{0,3}$	74.0030	2.3010	32.16	2.0860	0.0000	(69.2032,78.8028)
	$\alpha_{1,3}$	0.2981	0.0193	15.48	2.0860	0.0000	(0.2579,0.3383)
	$\alpha_{0,3}$	60.2774	0.5241	115.01	2.0860	0.0000	(59.1841,61.3707)
	$\alpha_{1,3}$	0.0907	0.0044	20.69	2.0860	0.0000	(0.0816,0.0999)
B4	$\alpha_{0,4}$	66.8800	0.6230	107.35	2.0860	0.0000	(65.5804,68.1796)
	$\alpha_{1,4}$	0.1363	0.0052	26.04	2.0860	0.0000	(0.1253,0.1472)
	$\alpha_{0,4}$	64.1038	0.5541	115.70	2.0860	0.0000	(62.9481,65.2596)
	$\alpha_{1,4}$	0.1541	0.0047	33.06	2.0860	0.0000	(0.1444,0.1638)
B5	$\alpha_{0,5}$	66.5409	0.8758	75.98	2.0860	0.0000	(64.7140,68.3679)
	$\alpha_{1,5}$	0.1072	0.0073	14.67	2.0860	0.0000	(0.0919,0.1224)
	$\alpha_{0,5}$	63.8006	0.9855	64.74	2.0860	0.0000	(61.7448,65.8564)
	$\alpha_{1,5}$	0.1200	0.0082	14.64	2.0860	0.0000	(0.1029,0.1371)
B6	$\alpha_{1,6}$	61.3804	0.6625	92.65	2.0860	0.0000	(59.9984,62.7623)
	$\alpha_{1,6}$	0.0643	0.0055	11.61	2.0860	0.0000	(0.0528,0.0759)
	$\alpha_{1,6}$	58.8722	0.4548	129.46	2.0860	0.0000	(57.9237,59.8208)
	$\alpha_{1,6}$	0.0732	0.0038	19.22	2.0860	0.0000	(0.0653,0.0812)
B7	$\alpha_{0,8}$	66.7005	0.7977	83.62	2.0860	0.0000	(65.0366,68.3644)
	$\alpha_{1,8}$	-0.0005	0.0067	-0.07	2.0860	0.9462	(-0.0144,0.0135)
	$\alpha_{0,7}$	60.9159	0.4011	151.88	2.0860	0.0000	(60.0792,61.7525)
	$\alpha_{1,7}$	0.0189	0.0034	5.65	2.0860	0.0000	(0.0119,0.0259)
B8	$\alpha_{0,8}$	62.8552	0.4784	131.38	2.0860	0.0000	(61.8572,63.8532)
	$\alpha_{1,8}$	0.0073	0.0041	1.77	2.0860	0.9462	(-0.0013,0.0159)
	$\alpha_{0,8}$	57.7603	0.2238	258.10	2.0860	0.0000	(57.2935,58.2271)
	$\alpha_{1,8}$	0.0193	0.0019	10.31	2.0860	0.0000	(0.0154,0.0232)
B9	$\alpha_{0,9}$	63.1564	0.5440	116.10	2.0860	0.0000	(62.0216,64.2911)
	$\alpha_{1,9}$	-0.0012	0.0045	-0.27	2.0860	0.7921	(-0.0107,0.0083)
	$\alpha_{0,9}$	64.1017	0.9121	70.28	2.0860	0.0000	(62.1992,66.0043)
	$\alpha_{1,9}$	-0.0159	0.0077	-2.07	2.0860	0.0516	(-0.0319,0.0001)

Table A.4: Statistical analysis on regression parameters for $\omega_{1,D}$ on N

B#	Reg. P.	Value	SE	t-value	t-crit.	p	95% CI
B1	$\alpha_{0,1}$	68.6585	2.0515	33.4680	1.9672	0.0000	(64.6228,72.6942)
	$\alpha_{1,1}$	0.0033	0.0204	0.1635	1.9672	0.8702	(-0.0368,0.0434)
B2	$\alpha_{0,2}$	72.0282	0.3415	210.9027	1.9672	0.0000	(71.3563,72.7000)
	$\alpha_{1,2}$	0.0025	0.0029	0.8589	1.9672	0.3910	(-0.0032,0.0082)
B3	$\alpha_{0,3}$	71.8116	0.4017	178.7481	1.9672	0.0000	(71.0213,72.6020)
	$\alpha_{1,3}$	0.0035	0.0034	1.0310	1.9672	0.3033	(-0.0031,0.0101)
B4	$\alpha_{0,4}$	71.1915	0.3728	190.9584	1.9672	0.0000	(70.4581,71.9249)
	$\alpha_{1,4}$	-0.0043	0.0031	-1.3659	1.9672	0.1729	(-0.0104,0.0019)
B5	$\alpha_{0,5}$	67.6526	0.5805	116.5487	1.9672	0.0000	(66.5107,68.7946)
	$\alpha_{1,5}$	0.0211	0.0049	4.2831	1.9672	0.0000	(0.0114,0.0307)
B6	$\alpha_{1,6}$	66.1165	0.4804	137.6213	1.9672	0.0000	(65.1714,67.0616)
	$\alpha_{1,6}$	0.0059	0.0040	1.4877	1.9672	0.1378	(-0.0019,0.0138)
B7	$\alpha_{0,7}$	69.6030	0.3444	202.1280	1.9677	0.0000	(68.9254,70.2806)
	$\alpha_{1,7}$	0.0445	0.0029	15.4322	1.9677	0.0000	(0.0388,0.0502)
B8	$\alpha_{0,8}$	70.6975	0.3820	185.0695	1.9684	0.0000	(69.9456,71.4494)
	$\alpha_{1,8}$	0.0453	0.0038	11.9044	1.9684	0.0000	(0.0378,0.0528)
B9	$\alpha_{0,9}$	66.3616	0.6663	99.5991	1.9672	0.0000	(65.0509,67.6724)
	$\alpha_{1,9}$	-0.0032	0.0054	-0.5856	1.9672	0.5586	(-0.0137,0.0074)

Table A.5: Statistical analysis on regression parameters for ξ on N

B#	Reg. P.	Value	SE	t-value	t-crit.	p	95% CI
B1	$\beta_{0,1}$	0.0211	0.0096	2.2022	1.9672	0.0283	(0.0023,0.0400)
	$\beta_{1,1}$	0.0000	0.0001	0.1699	1.9672	0.8652	(-0.0002,0.0002)
B2	$\beta_{0,2}$	0.0370	0.0022	17.1074	1.9672	0.0000	(0.0327,0.0412)
	$\beta_{1,2}$	-0.0001	0.0000	-6.4672	1.9672	0.0000	(-0.0002,-0.0001)
B3	$\beta_{0,3}$	0.0056	0.0012	4.8365	1.9672	0.0000	(0.0033,0.0078)
	$\beta_{1,3}$	0.0000	0.0000	4.1999	1.9672	0.0000	(0.0000,0.0001)
B4	$\beta_{0,4}$	0.0338	0.0014	24.4342	1.9672	0.0000	(0.0311,0.0365)
	$\beta_{1,4}$	-0.0000	0.0000	-0.8902	1.9672	0.3740	(-0.0000,0.0000)
B5	$\beta_{0,5}$	0.0362	0.0021	16.9762	1.9672	0.0000	(0.0320,0.0404)
	$\beta_{1,5}$	0.0000	0.0000	2.5735	1.9672	0.0105	(0.0000,0.0001)
B6	$\beta_{1,6}$	0.0298	0.0013	22.4369	1.9672	0.0000	(0.0272,0.0324)
	$\beta_{1,6}$	-0.0000	0.0000	-0.0969	1.9672	0.9228	(-0.0000,0.0000)
B7	$\beta_{0,7}$	0.0366	0.0018	20.7348	1.9675	0.0000	(0.0332,0.0401)
	$\beta_{1,7}$	0.0001	0.0000	6.5090	1.9675	0.0000	(0.0001,0.0001)
B8	$\beta_{0,8}$	0.0469	0.0016	29.4815	1.9672	0.0000	(0.0438,0.0501)
	$\beta_{1,8}$	-0.0000	0.0000	-2.4571	1.9672	0.0145	(-0.0001,-0.0000)
B9	$\beta_{0,9}$	0.0420	0.0022	19.3577	1.9672	0.0000	(0.0377,0.0462)
	$\beta_{1,9}$	-0.0001	0.0000	-2.8821	1.9672	0.0042	(-0.0001,-0.0000)

References

- [1] A. Quilligan, A. O'Connor, V. Pakrashi, Fragility analysis of steel and concrete wind turbine towers, *Engineering Structures* 36 (2012) 270 – 282.
- [2] F. Tse, I. Morse, R. Hinkle, *Mechanical vibrations: theory and applications*, Allyn and Bacon series in mechanical engineering and applied mechanics, Allyn and Bacon, 1978.
- [3] K. K. Raju, G. V. Rao, Free vibration behavior of prestressed beams, *Journal of Structural Engineering* 112 (1986) 433–437.
- [4] A. Dall'Asta, G. Leoni, Vibrations of beams prestressed by internal frictionless cables, *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 222 (1) (1999) 1 – 18.
- [5] A. Miyamoto, K. Tei, H. Nakamura, J. Bull, Behavior of prestressed beam strengthened with external tendons, *Journal of Structural Engineering* 126 (9) (2000) 1033–1044.
- [6] T. Chan, T. Yung, A theoretical study of force identification using prestressed concrete bridges, *Engineering Structures* 22 (11) (2000) 1529 – 1537.
- [7] S. Law, Z. Lu, Time domain responses of a prestressed beam and prestress identification, *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 288 (4-5) (2005) 1011–1025.
- [8] A. Dall'Asta, L. Dezi, Discussion of “Prestress Force Effect on Vibration Frequency of Concrete Bridges” by M. Saiidi, B. Douglas, and S. Feng, *Journal of Structural Engineering* 122 (4) (1996) 458–458.
- [9] A. Kerr, On the dynamic response of a prestressed beam, *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 49(4) (1976) 569–573.
- [10] E. Hamed, Y. Frostig, Natural frequencies of bonded and unbonded prestressed beams - prestress force effects, *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 295 (1-2) (2006) 28 – 39.
- [11] M. Saiidi, B. Douglas, S. Feng, Prestress force effect on vibration frequency of concrete bridges, *Journal of Structural Engineering* 120 (7) (1994) 2233–2241.

- 458 [12] T. Hop, The effect of degree of prestressing and age of concrete beams on
459 frequency and damping of their free vibration, *Materials and Structures*
460 24 (1991) 210–220.
- 461 [13] Y. Zhang, R. Li, Natural frequency of full-prestressed concrete beam,
462 *Transactions of Tianjin University* 13 (5) (2007) 354–359.
- 463 [14] J.-T. Kim, C.-B. Yun, Y.-S. Ryu, H.-M. Cho, Identification of prestress-
464 loss in PSC beams using modal information, *Structural Engineering and*
465 *Mechanics* 17 (3 - 4) (2004) 467–482.
- 466 [15] D. Noble, M. Nogal, A. O’Connor, V. Pakrashi, Dynamic impact testing
467 on post-tensioned steel rectangular hollow sections; an investigation into
468 the “compression-softening” effect, *Journal of Sound and Vibration* [IN
469 REVIEW!] X (2015?) xxx – xxx.
- 470 [16] D. Noble, M. Nogal, A. O’Connor, V. Pakrashi, The effect of prestress
471 force magnitude on the natural frequencies of prestressed concrete struc-
472 tures, in: *Proceedings of the 23rd Australasian Conference on the Me-*
473 *chanics of Structures and Materials (ACMSM23)*, Vol. I, Southern Cross
474 University, 2014, pp. 333–338.
- 475 [17] D. Noble, M. Nogal, A. O’Connor, V. Pakrashi, Output only investi-
476 gation of the effect of post-tensioning force on natural frequencies of
477 post-tensioned concrete beams, in: *Proceedings of International Oper-*
478 *ational Modal Analysis Conference IOMAC*, International Operational
479 Modal Analysis Conference IOMAC, 2015, pp. xxx–xxx.
- 480 [18] British Standards, Eurocode 2: Design of concrete structures Part 1-1:
481 General rules and rules for buildings (December 2004).
- 482 [19] D. Noble, M. Nogal, A. O’Connor, V. Pakrashi, Impact hammer testing
483 on post-tensioned steel RHS sections; an investigation of the “Compres-
484 sion Softening” effect, in: *Proceedings of Civil Engineering Research in*
485 *Ireland Conference*, Vol. I, Civil Engineering Research Association of
486 Ireland, 2014, pp. 427–432.
- 487 [20] D. Foti, V. Gattulli, F. Potenza, Output-only identification and model
488 updating by dynamic testing in unfavorable conditions of a seismically
489 damaged building, *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineer-*
490 *ing* 29 (9) (2014) 659–675.

- 491 [21] B. Wu, A correction of the half-power bandwidth method for estimating
492 damping, *Archive of Applied Mechanics* (2014) 1–6.
- 493 [22] D. Ho, J. Kim, N. Stubbs, W. Park, Prestress-force estimation in PSC
494 girder using modal parameters and system identification, *Advances in*
495 *Structural Engineering* 15 (6) (2012) 997–1012.
- 496 [23] B. Peeters, G. De Roeck, One-year monitoring of the z24-bridge: En-
497 vironmental effects versus damage events, *Earthquake Engineering and*
498 *Structural Dynamics* 30 (2) (2001) 149–171.
- 499 [24] P. Cornwell, C. Farrar, S. Doebling, H. Sohn, Environmental variability
500 of modal properties, *Experimental Techniques* 23 (6) (1999) 45–48.
- 501 [25] M. S. Williams, S. Falati, Modal testing of a post-tensioned concrete
502 model floor slab, Vol. 1, 1999, pp. 14–20.
- 503 [26] Y. Zhang, Y. Zheng, H. Li, A dynamic test of fully prestressed concrete
504 beams, *Advanced Materials Research* 368 - 373 (2012) 2483–2490.
- 505 [27] Z. Lu, S. Law, Identification of prestress force from measured struc-
506 tural responses, *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing* 20 (8) (2006)
507 2186–2199.
- 508 [28] S. Jain, S. Goel, Discussion of “Prestress Force Effect on Vibration
509 Frequency of Concrete Bridges” by M. Saiidi, B. Douglas, and S. Feng,
510 *Journal of Structural Engineering* 122 (4) (1996) 459–460.
- 511 [29] C. Bert, Discussion of “Free Vibration Behavior of Prestressed Beams”
512 by K. Kanaka Raju and G. Venkateswara Rao (February, 1986, Vol. 112,
513 No. 2), *Journal of Structural Engineering* 113 (9) (1987) 2087–2088.
- 514 [30] Z. Bažant, L. Cedolin, Discussion of “Free Vibration Behavior of Pre-
515 stressed Beams” by K. Kanaka Raju and G. Venkateswara Rao (Febru-
516 ary, 1986, Vol. 112, No. 2), *Journal of Structural Engineering* 113 (9)
517 (1987) 2087–2087.
- 518 [31] F. Bartlett, Discussion of “Free Vibration Behavior of Prestressed
519 Beams” by K. Kanaka Raju and G. Venkateswara Rao (February, 1986,
520 Vol. 112, No. 2), *Journal of Structural Engineering* 113 (9) (1987) 2085–
521 2087.