Changes in Neuronal Entropy in a Network Model of the Cortico-Basal Ganglia during Deep Brain Stimulation
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Abstract

Neuronal entropy changes are observed in the basal ganglia circuit in Parkinson’s disease (PD). These changes are observed in both single unit recordings from globus pallidus (GP) neurons and in local field potential (LFP) recordings from the subthalamic nucleus (STN). These changes are hypothesized as representing changes in the information coding capacity of the network, with PD resulting in a reduction in the coding capacity of the basal ganglia network. Entropy changes in the LFP and in single unit recordings are investigated in a detailed physiological model of the cortico-basal ganglia network during STN deep brain stimulation (DBS). The model incorporates extracellular stimulation of STN afferent fibers, with both orthodromic and antidromic activation, and simulation of the LFP detected at a differential recording electrode. LFP sample entropy and beta-band oscillation power were found to be altered following the application of DBS. The firing pattern entropy of GP neurons in the network were observed to decrease during high frequency stimulation and increase during low frequency stimulation. Simulation results were consistent with experimentally reported changes in neuronal entropy during DBS.

Introduction

Parkinson’s disease (PD) is a neurodegenerative disease characterized by a triad of motor symptoms; bradykinesia, akinesia, and tremor. Recent research has focused on identifying signals from the central and peripheral nervous system which can be used to quantify the disease state and symptom severity. These signals are commonly referred to as disease ‘biomarkers’. Clinical and experimental studies have identified several potential biomarkers for PD, such as increased oscillatory activity in the beta frequency band (13-30 Hz) recorded from the cortico-basal ganglia circuit [1], betagamma band (60-200 Hz) phase-amplitude coupling in the primary motor cortex [2], and changes in neuronal entropy in the basal ganglia network [3]. Deep brain stimulation is an effective treatment for PD which has been shown to have measurable effects on these biomarkers. These effects include reducing beta-band oscillatory activity [1], reducing betagamma band phase-amplitude coupling [2], and regularizing neural firing rates in the basal ganglia network [4], [5].

Firing pattern entropy, calculated using single unit recordings from the basal ganglia network, and sample entropy, calculated from the STN local field potential (LFP), are two entropy measures with observable changes during PD. Firing pattern entropy quantifies an upper bound on the information embedded in a spike train. Spike trains from globus pallidus (GP) neurons are shown to have increased firing pattern entropy during PD, with this being reduced to near
healthy levels during effective DBS [3]–[6]. Sample entropy is a measure for assessing the complexity of physiological time series signals. In [7], it was shown that there was an inverse relationship between beta-band oscillation power and beta-band sample entropy in the STN LFP. Furthermore, in [7] LFP sample entropy was utilized to distinguish between PD patients who experienced freezing of gait episodes and those who did not.

Computational modelling allows the investigation of network dynamics which may be difficult to access during clinical practice. Here a computational model of the corticobasal ganglia network is utilized to investigate changes in basal ganglia neuronal entropy during DBS. Single unit recordings from GP neurons are utilized to assess changes in firing pattern entropy, while the STN LFP is simulated to assess changes in sample entropy and beta-band oscillatory activity during DBS. The results from the computational model are compared with results from clinical and experimental studies. Quantifying changes in basal ganglia entropy may lead to an improved understanding of the relationship between oscillatory activity and entropy in the network, and how this relationship is modified during PD and DBS.
Methods

A physiologically based model of the cortico-basal ganglia network incorporating extracellular DBS and simulation of the STN LFP was utilized [8]. The structure of the network model is presented in Fig. 1 and includes the closed loop formed between the cortex, basal ganglia and thalamus. The major model components include single compartment, conductance-based biophysical models of the STN, globus pallidus externa (GPe), globus pallidus interna (GPi) and thalamus, each of which have been validated and employed in previous modelling studies [8]–[10]. The cortex is represented by a network of interneurons and multi-compartment cortical neurons. Each component is described in greater detail below.

Six hundred cells consisting of one hundred STN, GPe, GPi, thalamic, interneuron and cortical neurons were connected through excitatory and inhibitory synapses, AMPA and GABAa, respectively. The STN neurons received direct excitatory input from the cortex via the hyperdirect pathway and inhibitory input from the GPe. Each STN neuron received excitatory input from five cortical neurons and inhibitory input from two GPe neurons. Each GPe neuron received inhibitory input from one other GPe neurons and excitatory input from two STN neurons. Each GPi neuron received excitatory input from a single STN neuron and inhibitory input from a single GPe neuron. Each thalamic neuron received inhibitory input from a single GPi neuron. Cortical neurons received excitatory input from a single thalamic neuron and inhibitory input from a single interneuron. Interneurons received excitatory input from a single cortical axon. All connections within the network were randomly assigned.

Figure 1: Schematic diagram of the cortico-basal ganglia model. Excitatory and inhibitory connections are indicated with a + or −, respectively.

The presence of pathologically exaggerated beta oscillations in the cortico-basal ganglia network, typically observed in PD, were simulated by varying synaptic gains within the network in accordance with [11]. An increased cortical drive to the STN, due to strengthening of the
hyperdirect pathway, led to the emergence of beta oscillations within the network and the STN LFP.

A. Cortex

The model used to simulate the cortex consisted of cortical neurons and interneurons. The cortical neuron model included a soma, axon initial segment (AIS), main axon, and axon collateral. The cortical neuron soma and interneuron models are based on the regular spiking neuron model developed by Pospischil et al. [12]. The model used to simulate the AIS, main axon, and axon collateral is based on results from the experimental and modeling study in [13]. The membrane potentials of the cortical compartments and interneurons are described by

\[
C_m \frac{dv_m}{dt} = - I_l - I_{Na} - I_K - I_{Kd} - I_M - \sum_k I_{syn}^k
\]  

(1)

Where \( C_m \) is the membrane capacitance, \( I_l \) is the leak current, \( I_{Na} \) is the sodium current, \( I_K \) is the potassium current, \( I_{Kd} \) is D potassium current, \( I_M \) is a slow, voltage dependent potassium current, and \( I_{syn} \) are synaptic currents. The cortical soma model excluded the \( I_{Kd} \) current. The cortical AIS, main axon and axon collateral segments did not include the \( I_M \) current. Finally, cortical interneurons did not include either the \( I_{Kd} \) or \( I_M \) currents. Further details regarding the parameters used can be found in [12], [13].

B. Subthalamic Nucleus

The STN model incorporates a physiological representation of STN neurons developed by Otsuka et al. [14]. The model captures the generation of plateau potentials, which are believed to play an important role in generating STN bursting activity in PD. The membrane potential of an STN neuron is given by

\[
C_m \frac{dv_m}{dt} = - I_l - I_{Na} - I_K - I_A - I_L - I_T - I_{Ca-K} - \sum_k I_{syn}^k
\]  

(2)
Where $C_m$ is the membrane capacitance, $I_l$ is the leak current, $I_{Na}$ is a sodium current, $I_K$ is a Kv3-type potassium current, $I_A$ is a voltage dependent A-type potassium current, $I_L$ is an L-type long lasting calcium current, $I_{Cal}$ is a calcium activated potassium current, and $I_{syn}$ are synaptic currents. Further details can be found in [14].

C. Globus Pallidus and Thalamus

The models used to simulate GPe, GPi, and thalamic neurons are based on those presented by Rubin and Terman in [15]. The membrane potential of a GP neuron is described by

$$C_m \frac{dv_m}{dt} = -I_l - I_{Na} - I_K - I_T - I_{Ca} - I_{AHP} - \sum_k I_{syn}^k \quad (3)$$

Where $C_m$ is the membrane capacitance, $I_l$ is the leak current, $I_{Na}$ is the sodium current, $I_K$ is a potassium current, $I_{Na}$ is a sodium current, $I_T$ is a low-threshold T-type calcium current, $I_{Ca}$ is a voltage-dependent after hyperpolarization potassium current, and $I_{syn}$ are synaptic currents.

Thalamic neurons were modelled similarly, with the exception of excluding $I_{Ca}$ and $I_{AHP}$ in the thalamic model. Further details regarding the GPe, GPi, and thalamus models can be found in [15].

D. Synapses

Individual synaptic currents, $I_{syn}^k$, were described by

$$I_{syn}^k = R_k(V_m - E_{rev}) \quad (4)$$

Where $I_{syn}^k$ is the $k^{th}$ synaptic current, $R_k$ represents the kinetics of the onset and decay of current following a presynaptic spike for synapse $k$, and $E_{rev}$ is the reversal potential for the appropriate type of synapse. Further details regarding the synaptic models can be found in [16].

E. Application of DBS and LFP Simulation

The extracellular potential due to a current source, $I_s$, at time $t$ was calculated as
\[ V_x(t) = \frac{I_x(t)}{4\pi\sigma r_x} \] (5)

Where \( \sigma \) is the conductivity of a homogenous, isotropic medium representing brain tissue. The distance from a point in extracellular space to the current source \( I_x \), or vice versa, is given as \( r_x \).

For simulating the voltage applied to cortical collaterals due to a monopolar stimulation electrode, \( r_x \) was the distance between each collateral segment and the stimulation electrode, while \( I_x \) was a square wave current source with 130 Hz frequency, 60 s pulse width and varying amplitude. Cortical collaterals were assigned a random position in a 2 mm radius of extracellular space around the stimulation electrode.

To simulate the recording of the LFP using a differential recording electrode, STN neurons, like the cortical collaterals, were assigned positions in a 2 mm radius of extracellular space around the stimulation electrode. Each recording electrode was positioned 1.365 mm away from the stimulation electrode, with each recording electrode being placed either side of the stimulation electrode. The LFP recorded at each recording electrode was then calculated as the summation of the total extracellular voltages due to each STN neuron’s synaptic currents in the extracellular space, where \( I_x \) corresponds to the synaptic currents of an STN neuron of distance \( r_x \) away from one of the recording electrodes.

### F. LFP Sample Entropy

Sample Entropy was calculated as the negative natural logarithm of the estimated conditional probability that two sequences similar for \( m \) points remain similar at the next point, where self-matches are not included in calculating the probability [17]. It is defined as

\[
SampEn(m, r, N) = - \ln \left[ \frac{A^{m+1}(r)}{A^m(r)} \right] \tag{6}
\]

Where \( A^{m+1}(r) \) represents the number of vector pairs (within the time series) of length \( m + 1 \) whose mutual distance is less than a tolerance \( r \), and \( A^m(r) \) equals the number of vector pairs (within the time series) of length \( m \) whose mutual distance is less than \( r \). Here the length of the vector pairs, \( m \), denotes the embedding dimension. The mutual distance between the vector pairs was calculated using the Chebyshev distance between the pairs, with \( m \) and \( r \) set to 4 and 20% of the standard deviation of the data respectively.

### G. Firing Pattern Entropy
The firing pattern entropy of a spike train was calculated by binning the inter spike intervals of the train in logarithmic time, as in [18]. The leftmost and rightmost bin edges were set just below, or just above, the smallest and largest inter spike intervals observed, respectively, in each population. The entropy of the spike train was then calculated using Shannon Entropy

\[ H(X) = - \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} P_{ISI_i} \log_2(P_{ISI_i}) \]  

Where \( H \) is the entropy of spike train X, \( P_{ISI_i} \) is the probability of inter spike interval \( i \) occurring in the spike train, and \( N \) is the number of inter spike interval bins.

**H. Simulation Details**

The model was implemented in Python using the API package PyNN [19] with NEURON v7.6.5 as the model simulator. A timestep of 0.01 ms was used for simulations. Post-processing was done using custom scripts in MATLAB (The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA). To examine LFP sample entropy the LFP was first down-sampled and low-pass filtered at 100Hz to remove stimulation artifact. To examine the magnitude of beta-band oscillations in the LFP the LFP was band-pass filtered between 10 and 35 Hz, full-wave rectified and averaged by low-pass filtering at 2 Hz.

**Results**

**A. LFP Sample Entropy**

The effect of varying stimulation amplitude on the STN LFP sample entropy was investigated using a fixed frequency and pulse width of 130 Hz and 60 µs, respectively, Fig. 2 (a). A progressive increase in the sample entropy was observed as the stimulation amplitude increased. For comparison, the corresponding magnitude of beta-band oscillations in the LFP is given in Fig. 2 (b).
Figure 2. Normalized STN LFP (a) sample entropy and (b) beta-band oscillation power as a function of DBS amplitude.

B. Firing Pattern Entropy

The effect of varying stimulation frequency on the firing pattern entropy of GPe and GPi neurons was investigated using a fixed amplitude and pulse width of 3 mA and 60 µs, respectively. Fig. 3 shows the cumulative distribution of the firing pattern entropy for each population. Firing pattern entropy was reduced following the application of high frequency stimulation (HFS), with a frequency of 130 Hz, and increased following the application of low frequency stimulation (LFS), with a frequency of 20 Hz.
Figure 3. Cumulative distributions of the firing pattern entropy for the (a) GPe and (b) GPi neuron populations due to high frequency and low frequency stimulation.

Discussion & Conclusion

The aim of this study was to investigate changes in neuronal entropy due to extracellular DBS in a computational model of the cortico-basal ganglia network during PD. The model includes extracellular stimulation of cortical afferent fibers projecting to the STN and simulation of the
resulting LFP. This allows for comparison with clinical and experimental results which have previously investigated entropy changes in the cortico-basal ganglia network during PD. Sample entropy was observed to have an inverse relationship with beta-band oscillation power, Fig. 2. In [7], an inverse relationship was observed between beta-band sample entropy and beta-band oscillation power taken from STN LFP recordings in freely moving patients during three movement tasks. Here, a distinction was not made between frequency bands when calculating sample entropy. However, effective DBS did result in similar behaviour, with beta-band power decreasing, and sample entropy increasing in the LFP for increasing DBS amplitude.

Firing pattern entropy in GP neurons decreased during HFS, and increased during LFS of the STN, Fig. 3. These results agree with those presented in [4]–[6] and support the hypothesis that effective DBS regularizes firing patterns in GP neurons. The computational model presented displays changes in neuronal entropy consistent with those presented in clinical and experimental literature. These results suggest that investigation into basal ganglia entropy changes during PD and DBS may elucidate the relationship between network entropy and oscillation power during disease progression. Moreover, these results support further investigation of the utilization of entropy-based measures in closed-loop DBS strategies.
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