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The Stromelysin-1 catalytic domain (SCD) is stable for at least 16 hours at pHs 6.0-8.4. At pHs 5.0 and 9.0 there is exponential irreversible denaturation with half lives of 38 and 68 min respectively. At pHs 4.5 and 10.0 irreversible denaturation is biphasic. At 25°C, C-terminal truncation of stromelysin-1 decreases the stability of the stromelysin-1 catalytic domain at pH values > 8.4 and < 6.0. We describe the conversion of the carboxylate group of (βR)-β-[[[(1S)-1-[[[(1S)-2-Methoxy-1-phenylethyl]amino]carbonyl]-2,2-dimethylpropyl]amino]carbonyl]-2-methyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-hexanoic acid (UK-370106-COOH) a potent inhibitor of the metalloprotease stromelysin-1 to a glyoxal group (UK-370106-CO\textsuperscript{13}CHO). At pH 5.5 - 6.5 the glyoxal inhibitor is a potent inhibitor of stromelysin-1 (K\textsubscript{i} = ~1 µM). The aldehyde carbon of the glyoxal inhibitor was enriched with carbon-13 and using Carbon-13 NMR we show that the glyoxal aldehyde carbon is fully hydrated when it is in aqueous solutions (90.4 ppm) and also when it is bound to SCD (~92.0 ppm). We conclude that the hemiacetal hydroxyl groups of the glyoxal inhibitor are not ionised when the glyoxal inhibitor is bound to SCD. The free enzyme pK\textsubscript{a} values associated with inhibitor binding were 5.9 and 6.2. The formation and breakdown of the signal at ~92 ppm due to the bound UK-370106-CO\textsuperscript{13}CHO inhibitor depends on pK\textsubscript{a} values of 5.8 and 7.8 respectively. No strong hydrogen bonds are present in free SCD or in SCD-inhibitor complexes. We conclude that the inhibitor glyoxal group is not directly coordinated to the catalytic zinc atom of SCD.
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Abbreviations: UK-370106-COOH, (βR)-β-[[[(1S)-1-[[[(1S)-2-Methoxy-1-phenylethyl]amino]carbonyl]-2,2-dimethylpropyl]amino]carbonyl]-2-methyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-hexanoic acid; ZBG, zinc-binding group; SCD, stromelysin-1 catalytic domain; MCA, (7-methoxycoumarin-4-yl)acetyl; Dnp, 2,4 dinitrophenyl.
1. Introduction

Specific peptide-derived glyoxal inhibitors have been shown to be potent inhibitors of the serine proteases [1-5], the cysteine proteases [5-8] and the aspartyl proteases [9, 10]. Glyoxal inhibitors are most effective with the cysteine proteases and their active site thiol group has been shown to form a thiohemiacetal with the glyoxal aldehyde group [6]. The aspartyl proteases bind the fully hydrated glyoxal, which mimics the tetrahedral intermediate thought to be formed during catalysis [9]. In the serine proteases the active site serine hydroxyl group has been shown to form a hemiketal with the keto-carbon of the glyoxal group, this hemiketal mimics the tetrahedral intermediate formed during catalysis [1, 2, 11]. In serine protease-glyoxal inhibitor complexes it has been shown that the pKₐ values of both the glyoxal hemiketal and hemiacetal hydroxyl groups have been reduced by 5-7 pKₐ units [1, 3]. The primary cause of the reductions in the pKₐ values of the hydroxyl-groups is thought to be due to the presence of the positively charged active site histidine [1, 3, 4, 12].

In the metalloproteases an active site zinc atom is thought to play a similar role by lowering the pKₐ of the hydroxyl groups of a bound water molecule and by stabilizing the oxyanion of the catalytic tetrahedral intermediate [13-15]. As both the keto and aldehyde groups of the glyoxal group of peptide glyoxals are readily hydrated [1, 11] we expected that the hydroxyl groups of the hydrated glyoxal would be coordinated to the active site zinc atom of a metalloprotease such as stromelysin-1 (E.C. 3.4.24.17). This coordination to the positively charged zinc atom should lower the pKₐ values of the hydroxyl groups of the hydrated glyoxal promoting the coordination of the oxyanion to the catalytic zinc and allowing the glyoxal group to function as an effective zinc binding group (ZBG).

Metalloprotease inhibitors can be classified as being designed to bind in the left hand side (P₃-P₂-P₁-ZBG) or the right hand side (ZBG-P₁'-P₂'-P₃') of the active site or on both sides (P₃-P₂-P₁'-ZBG-P₁'-P₂'-P₃'). Inhibitors binding on the right hand side of the active site are usually the most potent metalloprotease inhibitors [16]. Chemists at Pfizer have synthesized ([βR]-β-[[[(1S)-1-[[[(1S)-2-Methoxy-1 phenylethyl]amino]carbonyl]-2,2 dimethylpropyl]amino]carbonyl]-2-methyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-hexanoic acid (UK-370106-COOH) (Scheme 1) a potent right hand side inhibitor of the
metalloprotease stromelysin-1 with a carboxylate zinc-binding group [17, 18]. We have converted the carboxyl zinc binding group of this inhibitor to a glyoxal group with $^{13}$C-enrichment in the glyoxal aldehyde carbon (UK-370106-CO$^{13}$CHO). This allows us to use $^{13}$C-NMR to determine whether there is oxyanion stabilisation within the stromelysin-1-UK-370106-CO$^{13}$CHO inhibitor complexes and $^1$H-NMR to determine if there are any low barrier hydrogen bonds present. Our catalytic and NMR studies at different pHs require that stromelysin-1 be stable during data acquisition. Therefore we have undertaken a study of the pH stability of stromelysin-1 to ensure that there is no significant irreversible denaturation during our experiments. In order to facilitate our NMR studies all this work has been carried out using the stromelysin-1 catalytic domain containing residues 83-247.

The stromelysins are metalloproteases which include stromelysin-1 (MMP-3), stromelysin-2 (MMP-10) and stromelysin-3 (MMP-11). Matrilysin (MMP-7) is closely related to the stromelysin-1 but it lacks its C-terminal domain and so it is similar to the C-terminal truncated stromelysin-1 (residues 83-247) examined in the present work [16]. As all these metalloproteases have similar active sites we would expect their catalytic domains to undergo similar interactions with glyoxal inhibitors. Therefore our studies with the stromelysin-1 catalytic domain (residues 83-247) should provide important insights into how glyoxal inhibitors interact with the stromelysins and similar metalloproteases such as matrilysin. However, with less closely related metalloproteases there may be significant differences in their interactions with glyoxal inhibitors.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

The inhibitor UK-370106-COOH (βR)-β-[[1(1S)-1-[[1(1S)-2-Methoxy-1-phenylethyl]amino]carbonyl]-2,2-dimethylpropyl]amino]carbonyl]-2-methyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-hexanoic acid) was obtained from Tocris Bioscience, Tocris House, IO Centre, Moorend Farm Avenue, Avonmouth, Bristol BS11 0QL, UK. The fluorescence substrate (7-methoxycoumarin-4-yl)acetyl-L-arginyl-L-prolyl-L-lysyl-L-prolyl-L-valyl-L-glutamyl-L-norvalyl-L-tryptophyl-L-
arginyl-N^e-(2,4-dinitrophenyl)-L-lysine amide was obtained from Peptanova Gmbh, Keplerstr. 26, 69207 Sandhausen, Germany. The thiopeptolide substrate Acetyl-Proline-Leucine-Glycine-[2-mercapto-4-methyl-pentanoyl]-Leucine-Glycine-OC\_2H\_5 was obtained from Enzo Life Sciences (UK) Ltd., Palatine House, Matford Court, Exeter EX2 8NL, UK. The Macro-Prep High Q Support anion exchange column was obtained from Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc. Life Science Group 2000 Alfred Nobel Drive, Hercules, CA 94547, USA. A pET3a plasmid containing the recombinant stromelysin-1 catalytic domain (SCD) containing residues 83-247 was a generous gift from Professor Hideaki Nagase. All other materials were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co., Gillingham, Dorset, U.K.

2.2. NMR spectra of the (3R)-3-\{(1S)-1-\{(1S)-2-Methoxy-1-phenyl-1-ethyl\}carbamoyl-2, 2-dimethyl-1-propyl\}carbamoyl-6-(2-methyl-1, 1'-biphenyl-4-yl) Hexanoic Acid (UK-370106-COOH) inhibitor

The \(^1\text{H}\)-NMR and \(^13\text{C}\)-NMR spectra of this compound at 400 MHz have been reported but only the \(^1\text{H}\)-NMR spectrum was partially assigned at 400MHz [17]. We have fully assigned both the \(^1\text{H}\)-NMR and the \(^13\text{C}\)-NMR spectrum at 500 MHz. \(^1\text{H}\) NMR (500 MHz, \[^{2}\text{H}\]chloroform) \(\delta\) 1.04 (9H, s, CHC(CH\_3)_3), 1.32-1.71 (4H, m, CH\_2CH\_2CH\_2C\_6H\_5), 2.20 (3H, s, C\_6H\_3CH\_3), 2.33-2.81 (5H, m, HOOCCH\_2CH and CH\_2CH\_2CH\_2C\_6H\_5), 3.36 (3H, s, CH\_2OCH\_3), 3.61-3.70 (2H, m, CH\_2OCH\_3), 4.55 (1H, d, J=9.7 Hz, CHC(CH\_3)_3), 5.15-5.23 (1H, m, C\_6H\_3CHCH\_2OCH\_3), 6.8-7.44 (15H, m, 2CH\_3, C\_6H\_3, CH\_2, 2NH). \(^13\text{C}\)-NMR (\[^{2}\text{H}\] chloroform) \(\delta\) = 20.43 (1C, C\_6H\_3CH\_3), 26.62 (3C, CHC(CH\_3)_3), 28.76 (1C, CH\_2CH\_2CH\_2C\_6H\_5), 32.28 (1C, CH\_2CH\_2CH\_2C\_6H\_5), 34.76 (1C, CHC(CH\_3)_3), 35.19 (1C, CH\_2CH\_2CH\_2C\_6H\_5), 36.62 (1C, HOOCCH\_2CH), 42.38 (1C, HOOCCH\_2CHCO), 52.71 (1C, C\_6H\_3CHCH\_2OCH\_3), 58.9 (CH\_2OCH\_3), 60.76 (1C, CHC(CH\_3)_3), 74.9 (1C, CH\_2OCH\_3), 125.71-130.39 (13C, CH=CH), 135.11-141.93 (5C, CH=C=), 170.55-175.59 (2C, CONH, and 1C, COOH).

2.3. Synthesis of UK-370106-CO\(^{13}\)CHO
UK-370106-COOH was converted into UK 370106-CO^{13}CHN_{2} using a procedure modified from that described by Cesar at al. [19]. N-[^{13}C]Methyl-N-nitroso-toluene-p-sulfonamide was used to generate {^{13}C}-enriched diazomethane. Isobutylchloroformate (0.11 mmol) in anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (0.5 mL) was added, under a N_{2} atmosphere, to the solution of the carboxylic acid (UK-370106-COOH) (0.1 mmol) and N-ethyldiisopropylamine (0.1 mmol) in tetrahydrofuran (5 mL) at -15 °C and the mixture was stirred for 30 minutes at -5°C. A solution of the {^{13}C}-enriched diazomethane (100 mmol) in diethyl ether (30 mL) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at +4 °C. The formation of the diazoketone was monitored by thin layer chromatography (ethyl acetate/ petroleum ether /dichloromethane = 4/4/2). When the reaction was complete the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate and washed with 10% aqueous citric acid, saturated aqueous NaHCO_{3} and saturated aqueous NaCl. The organic layer was dried over MgSO_{4} and the solvent evaporated. The diazoketone was purified by silica gel chromatography using ethyl acetate / petroleum ether / dichloromethane = 4/5/1 as an eluent.

The diazoketone (UK-370106-CO^{13}CHN_{2}) was oxidised to the glyoxal using a procedure modified from that of Ihmels et al. [20]. 20 mg (0.034 mmol) of UK-370106-CO^{13}CHN_{2} was oxidised by dissolving it in a 20 mL solution of 0.1 M dimethylidioxirane in acetone and stirring for ~ 2h at 0°C. The reaction mixture was then moistened, and the acetone removed by evaporation under reduced pressure. The moist compound was then dissolved in d_{6}-DMSO. In d_{6}-DMSO there were two {^{13}C}-NMR signals, one small signal at 188.1 ppm and another larger signal at 90.8 ppm due to the {^{13}C}-enriched glyoxal aldehyde carbon and its hydrate respectively. In water one signal at 90.4 ppm was observed due to the {^{13}C}-enriched hydrated aldehyde carbon of the glyoxal group.

2.4. Isolation of the stromelysin-1 catalytic domain (residues 83-247).

The proMMP-3 catalytic domain complex was purified from E. coli (strain BL21(DE3)) that had been transformed with the pET-3A plasmid containing the gene for the proMMP-3 catalytic domain (residues 83-247). Cells were incubated at 37 °C to an optical density of A_{590} = 0.4 – 0.5 and induced with 0.4 mM isopropyl β-thio-galactoside and then incubated at 37 °C for 4 hours. The cells were
centrifuged and suspended in 500 mL of 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer at pH 8 containing, 100 mM NaCl and 0.27 g/litre lysozyme. It was then stirred overnight at room temperature. 0.625 g of deoxycholate was added and the solution was stirred for 1-2 hours. 0.5 mL of DNase 1 (1 mg/mL) was added and the solution was stirred for 1-2 hours. The solution was centrifuged and the pellet was washed three times with 50 mM Tris-HCl at pH 8 containing 100 mM NaCl and 0.5% (v/v) Triton-X100.

The pellet was dissolved in 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer at pH 8.6 containing 8 M urea, 20 mM 1, 4-dithiothreitol and 50 μM ZnCl₂ and then applied to a Macro-Prep High Q Support anion exchange column which had been equilibrated in 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer at pH 8.6 containing 8 M urea and 50 μM ZnCl₂. The column was washed with the same buffer, and the pro-stromelysin-1 was then eluted with the same buffer containing 1.5 M NaCl. The eluted protein was pooled and then dialyzed 3 x against 2 litres of 50 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.5 containing 0.15 M NaCl, 10 mM CaCl₂, 50 μM ZnCl₂ and 0.02% (w/v) NaN₃. It was then dialyzed 2x against 2 litres of 50 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.5 containing 10 mM CaCl₂, 50 μM ZnCl₂ and 0.02 % NaN₃ (w/v). Pro-stromelysin-1 was concentrated using an Amicon PBGC-10 membrane and activated by reacting with 1 mM 4-aminophenylmercuric acetate at 37 °C for 16 hours [21]. ~50 mL of the activated stromelysin-1 was dialyzed against 2 litres of 50 mM MOPS buffer at pH 7.5 containing 10 mM CaCl₂ and 50 μM ZnCl₂. It was then dialyzed against 2x 1 litre 20 mM MOPS buffer at pH 7.5 containing 10 mM CaCl₂, and 50 μM ZnCl₂.

2.5. Catalytic activity and inhibition of SCD

The catalytic activity of SCD was determined by its ability to catalyze the hydrolysis of the thiopeptolide Acetyl-Proline-Leucine-Glycine- [2-mercapto-4-methyl-pentanoyl]-Leucine-Glycine-OC₂H₅ that was developed for assaying collagenase activity [22]. A 1000 μl assay contained 50 mM MOPS buffer at pH 6.0, 10 mM CaCl₂, 100 μM thiopeptolide substrate, 1 mM 5, 5'-dithiobis(2,2'-nitrobenzoic acid) and 3.3 % (v/v) dimethyl sulfoxide. The rate of cleavage of the thioester bond was determined by the reaction of 5,5'-dithiobis(2,2'-nitrobenzoic acid) with the thiol group formed on
hydrolysis of the thioester bond in the thiopeptolide substrate. The increase in absorbance at 412 nm due to the formation of 2-nitro-5-thiobenzoic acid was monitored on a Varian Cary 50 UV/Visible spectrophotometer at 25 °C and the amount of 2-nitro-5-thiobenzoic acid was determined using $\varepsilon_{412} = 13600 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ [23].

The fluorescent substrate MCA-Arg-Pro-Lys-Pro-Val-Glu-Nva-Trp-Arg-Lys (Dnp)-NH$_2$ is one of the few fluorescent synthetic substrates specific for stromelysin-1 [24] and it was used to determine $K_i$ values at different pHs. The SCD catalyzed hydrolysis of MCA-Arg-Pro-Lys-Pro-Val-Glu-Nva-Trp-Arg-Lys (Dnp)-NH$_2$ and its inhibition at a range of pH values was studied at 25 °C using a 100 µl assay volume. The assays contained a 50 mM buffer (sodium acetate pH 5.0 -5.5, MES pH 6.0 - 6.50, MOPS pH 7.0 - 7.5), 0.15 M NaCl, 10 mM CaCl$_2$ and 3.3% (v/v) dimethyl sulfoxide. Stock solutions of substrates and inhibitors were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide. Fluorescence assays were carried out using a Spectramax M2 fluorescence spectrophotometer with excitation and emission wavelengths of 325 nm and 393 nm respectively at 25 °C.

$K_M$ values for the fluorescent substrate MCA-Arg-Pro-Lys-Pro-Val-Glu-Nva-Trp-Arg-Lys (Dnp)-NH$_2$ were estimated by initial rate measurements with substrate concentrations within the range 0.5-200µM. The initial rates obtained were fitted to the hyperbolic form of the Michaelis-Menten equation [25]. At pHs of 5.0, 6.0, 7.5 and 8.1 $K_M$ values of 29.8 ± 3.4, 23.8 ± 2.9 µM, 45.8 ± 8.7 µM and 45.4 ± 5.2 µM respectively were estimated.

$K_i$ values were estimated using a substrate concentration of 2 µM. Therefore $[S_0] << K_M$ and the equation for competitive inhibition $d[P]/dt = k_{cat}*[E]*[S]/([S]+ K_M*(1+[I]/ K_i))$ reduces to $d[P]/dt = (k_{cat}/K_M)*[E]*[S]/([I]+ K_i)$. $K_i$ values were estimated by using a nonlinear least squares regression program [26].

2.6. Concentrations of SCD

Enzyme concentrations were determined using the $\varepsilon_{280}$ value of 28700 M$^{-1}$cm$^{-1}$ determined in the Results section.
NMR spectra at 11.75 T were recorded with a Bruker Avance DRX 500 standard-bore spectrometer operating at 125.7716 MHz for $^{13}$C-nuclei. 5 mm-diameter Shigemi NMR tubes containing 0.32-0.34 mL samples were used for $^{13}$C-NMR spectroscopy. The $^{13}$C-NMR spectral conditions for the samples of the UK-370106-CO$^{13}$CHO inhibitor with or without SCD were: 8192 time domain data points; spectral width 236.6 ppm; acquisition time 0.138 s; 0.65 s relaxation delay time; 90° pulse angle; 4096 transients recorded per spectrum. Waltz-16 composite pulse $^1$H decoupling of 0.4 watts was used which was reduced to 0.006 watts during the relaxation delay to minimize dielectric heating but maintain the Nuclear Overhauser Effect. Unless stated otherwise all spectra were transformed using an exponential weight factor of 20 Hz.

$^1$H-NMR spectra were obtained at 500 MHz using 5 mm-diameter Shigemi NMR tubes and containing 0.32-0.34 mL samples. The $^1$H-NMR spectral conditions for the samples of free SCD and SCD inhibited by UK-370106-COOH or UK-370106CO$^{13}$CHO at 11.75 T were: 32768 time-domain data points; spectral width 40 ppm; acquisition time 0.82 s; 1.0 s relaxation delay time; 90° pulse angle; 256 transients were recorded per spectrum. Water suppression was achieved using the Watergate W5 pulse sequence with gradients [27]. Spectra were transformed using an exponential weighting factor of 50 Hz. $^{13}$C-NMR spectra confirming the formation of the SCD inhibitor complex with the UK-370106-CO$^{13}$CHO glyoxal inhibitor were obtained prior to $^1$H-NMR studies.

Both $^1$H and $^{13}$C chemical shifts are quoted relative to tetramethylsilane at 0.00 ppm. The chemical shift of d$_6$-dimethyl sulfoxide at 38.7 ppm was used as a secondary reference in aqueous solutions. Aqueous samples contained 10% (v/v) $^2$H$_2$O to obtain a deuterium lock signal. Due to the susceptibility of SCD to acid or alkaline denaturation we could not adjust sample pHs using NaOH or HCl. Therefore all pH adjustments of NMR samples containing stromelysin-1 were made using small amounts of 1M acetate, MOPS, MES or HEPES buffers.
3. Results

3.1 Properties of the Stromelysin-1 catalytic domain 83-247

The protein concentration of the unfolded stromelysin-1 catalytic domain 83-247 in 6 M guanidine hydrochloride containing 0.02 M phosphate buffer at pH 6.5 was estimated using an \( \varepsilon_{280} \) value of 27310 M\(^{-1}\)cm\(^{-1}\) calculated from the expected extinctions of the 3 tryptophan and 8 tyrosine residues [28] of the stromelysin-1 catalytic domain 83-247. This extinction was use to determine the concentration of a sample of the active SCD when it was dissolved in 6 M guanidine hydrochloride containing 0.02M phosphate buffer at pH 6.5. By measuring absorbance of the same amount of the active stromelysin-1 catalytic domain 83-247 in water at pH 7.5 we estimate that in water at pH 7.5 the native stromelysin-1 catalytic domain 83-247 has an \( \varepsilon_{280} \) value of 28700 ± 900 M\(^{-1}\)cm\(^{-1}\) (Mean of 6 determinations). This is in good agreement with the values of 28790 and 28460 M\(^{-1}\)cm\(^{-1}\) determined previously [29, 30] for the stromelysin-1 catalytic domain containing the residues 83-256. As both these catalytic domains contain the same number of tryptophan, tyrosine and cysteine residues they are expected to have the same extinction coefficients at 280 nM [28].

Using MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry the stromelysin-1 catalytic domain 83-247 had a \( M_r \) value of 18572.5, which is in good agreement with the value of 18574.8 expected on the basis of its amino acid sequence. On SDS-PAGE the stromelysin-1 catalytic domain 83-247 migrated as if it had a larger \( M_r \) value of 21,500. A similar result was obtained with the stromelysin-1 catalytic domain 83-255 (\( M_r \) 19395.6) which migrated on SDS-PAGE as if it had an even larger \( M_r \) value of 23,500[31].

When SCD was assayed using the thiopeptolide substrate Ac-Pro-leu-Gly-thioester-Leu-Leu-Gly-OC\(_2\)H\(_5\) at 25°C and pH 6.0 the estimated catalytic parameters \( k_{cat} \) and \( K_M \) were 2.60 ± 0.13 s\(^{-1}\) and 395 ± 54 \( \mu \)M respectively. These values are in reasonable agreement with earlier values obtained for \( k_{cat} \) and \( K_M \) respectively for full length stromelysin-1 and for the stromelysin-1 catalytic domain 83-256 (Table 1). The stoichiometry of the \(^{13}\)C-NMR titration of SCD with UK-370106-CO\(^{13}\)CHO confirmed that our SCD preparations were fully active.

3.2. \(^{13}\)C-NMR of the UK-370106-CO\(^{13}\)CHO inhibitor in \( d_6 \)-dimethyl sulfoxide and aqueous solutions
In d$_6$-dimethyl sulfoxide the UK-370106-CO$^{13}$CHO inhibitor (Scheme 1) gave two signals: one large signal at 90.8 ppm ($J_{CH}$ 161.3 ± 0.1 Hz) due to the sp$^3$ hybridized hemiacetal carbon of the hydrated glyoxal aldehyde carbon (Structures (a) or (b) in Scheme 2) and a smaller signal at 188.1 ppm. ($J_{CH}$ 187.7 ± 0.1 Hz) due to the sp$^2$ hybridized aldehyde carbon of the non-hydrated glyoxal (Structure (c) in Scheme 2). The hydration constant of the glyoxal keto group in peptide glyoxals is 70 x less than that of the glyoxal aldehyde group [11]. Therefore we expect that species (b) and (c) in Scheme 2 will be the major species in d$_6$-dimethyl sulfoxide. In aqueous solutions containing 20-30% d$_6$-dimethyl sulfoxide a single signal at 90.4 ppm ($J_{CH}$ 163.0 ± 0.1 Hz) was observed (Fig. 3b) showing that the glyoxal aldehyde carbon was fully hydrated in aqueous solutions (Structures (a) and (b) in Scheme 2). In aqueous solutions the aldehyde carbon of a peptide glyoxal is usually approximately 100% hydrated ($K_{HYD}=[HYDRATE]/[NONHYDRATE] = 89.3$) and the keto carbon is approximately 50% hydrated ($K_{HYD} = 1.3$) [11]. Therefore the hydrated aldehyde carbon of the glyoxal gives signals at ~90.2 ppm and ~88.7 ppm when the glyoxal keto carbon is hydrated (Structure (d) in Scheme 2) and non-hydrated (Structure (e) in Scheme 2) respectively[1-4, 6, 9, 12]. As only one signal is observed when the UK-370106-CO$^{13}$CHO inhibitor is dissolved in aqueous media we can conclude that either $K_{HYD} >> 1$ or $K_{HYD} << 1$ and either the glyoxal keto carbon predominates (Structure (b) in Scheme 2) or the hydrated keto carbon (Structure (a) in Scheme 2) predominates. The chemical shift value of 90.4 ppm for the UK-370106-CO$^{13}$CHO inhibitor is similar to that observed (~90.2 ppm) for the hydrated aldehyde carbon of fully hydrated peptide glyoxals (Structure (d) in Scheme 2) suggesting that the fully hydrated form of the UK-370106-CO$^{13}$CHO inhibitor (Structure (a) in Scheme 2) predominates in aqueous solutions.

Stability of the stromelysin-1 catalytic domain at pH 7.5.

In 10 mM MOPS buffer at pH 7.5 containing 10 mM CaCl2 the enzyme lost 40% of its catalytic activity after freezing and thawing, repeating the process results in the original catalytic activity being reduced by 70%. Therefore we did not freeze stromelysin-1 solutions. At 4 °C stromelysin-1 retained 95% of its catalytic activity after 102 days. Therefore the enzymes was stored at 4 °C before being used.
3.3. Effect of pH on the stability of SCD at 25 °C

At pHs 7.0 (Fig. 1A) and 8.0 (Fig. 1B) there was no decrease in catalytic activity with time. There was an initial 6-9% decrease in activity at pHs 6.0 (Fig. 1A) and 8.4 (Fig. 1B) but then the catalytic activity was stable. At pH 5.5 there was an initial 6% decrease in catalytic activity followed by a linear decrease in catalytic activity (Fig. 1A). There was an exponential decrease in catalytic activity at pH values of 5 (Fig. 3A) and 9 (Fig. 1B). At pHs 4.5 (Fig. 1A) and 10.0 (Fig. 1B) the decrease in activity was biphasic there was rapid exponential loss of the first 60-66% of catalytic activity but after that the exponential decrease in catalytic activity was 10-40 times slower.

At pHs 6-8 SCD samples lost less than 10% of their catalytic activity in 960 min (Fig. 1A,B). In order to observe the $^{13}$C-NMR signal from the $^{13}$C-enriched carbon of UK-370106-CO$^{13}$CHO bound to SCD, NMR spectra were accumulated over a 54 minute period. After 1 hour at pH 5.5 SCD samples retained 90% of their catalytic activity but at pH 5.0 only 36% of their catalytic activity was retained after 1 hour (Fig. 1A). Therefore our NMR studies were restricted to pH values of 5.5 or higher (Fig. 4 & 5). After 1 hour at pH 8.4 SCD samples retained 89% of their catalytic activity but at pH 9.0 only 52% of their catalytic activity remained after one hour (Fig. 1B). Therefore our NMR studies were restricted to pH values of 8.4 or less (Fig. 4 & 5). For initial rate measurements at pHs 5-9 there was no detectable loss of catalytic activity over a 5 minute period. However at pH 4.5 initial rates were measured over a 2 minute period during which there was no more than a 10% loss of catalytic activity. The rapid rate of acid denaturation at pH 4 and below prevented studies at pH 4 or lower.

3.4. Effect of pH on the binding of UK-370106-CO$^{13}$CHO to SCD

$K_i$ values were minimal at pHs 5.5-6.5 but increased at lower or higher pHs (Fig. 2A). A plot of $1/K_i$ versus pH (Fig. 2B) was bell shaped and the fitted $pK_a$ values were $5.9 \pm 0.4$ and $6.2 \pm 0.4$ for $pK_1$ and $pK_2$ respectively. These free enzyme $pK_a$ values are very similar to the values of 5.4-5.8 and 6.0-6.3 obtained from plots of $1/K_i$ versus pH with other inhibitors [32]. Plots of $k_{cat}/K_M$ versus pH give similar free enzyme $pK_a$ values for $pK_1$ and $pK_2$ and they also give an additional $pK_a$ of 8.5-9.9 [32,
3. From the pH dependence of the $K_i$ values (Fig. 2A) it was estimated the increases in $K_i$ at acid and alkaline pHs depended on $pK_a$ values of $4.4 \pm 1.5$ and $7.5 \pm 0.2$ respectively. Due to the large error associated with the value of $pK_1$ ($4.4 \pm 1.5$) obtained from the pH dependence of $K_i$ we do not know if $pK_1$ is significantly perturbed by inhibitor binding. The $pK_a$ of 7.5 suggests that inhibitor binding increases $pK_a$ by 1.3 $pK_a$ units.

3.5. $^{13}$C-NMR of SCD inhibited by UK-370106-CO$^{13}$CHO

On adding UK-370106-CO$^{13}$CHO (Fig. 3b) to SCD (Fig. 3a) at pH 7.0 a new signal at 92.0 ppm was detected (Fig. 3c). Carbons with directly bonded protons relax predominantly by dipolar relaxation and linewidths are directly proportional to $M_r$ values provided the carbon is rigidly bound [34, 35]. When the fully hydrated inhibitor (Structure (a) in Scheme 2, $M_r$ 620) binds to the SCD ($M_r$ 18575) we expect an increase in linewidth of 20-35 Hz [34, 36]. The observed increase in linewidth was $20 \pm 7$ Hz confirming that the UK-370106-CO$^{13}$CHO inhibitor is tightly bound to SCD. The chemical shift value of 92.0 ppm confirms that the inhibitor glyoxal aldehyde carbon is $sp^3$ hybridised and therefore the glyoxal aldehyde group must be fully hydrated when it is bound to SCD. The UK-370106-COOH is a potent inhibitor of SCD (Table 2) and so it should displace the less tightly bound glyoxal inhibitor UK-370106-COCHO inhibitor (Table 2). Adding approximately equimolar UK-370106-COOH led to loss of the signal at 92.0 ppm due to the bound glyoxal inhibitor and re-appearance of the signal at 90.4 ppm due to the free glyoxal inhibitor (Fig. 3d). This confirms that the glyoxal inhibitor is reversibly bound at the active site of SCD.

3.6. Effect of pH on the $^{13}$C-NMR signal from the $^{13}$C-enriched carbon of UK-370106-CO$^{13}$CHO inhibitor bound to SCD

The chemical shift of the signal due to the $^{13}$C-enriched carbon of the bound inhibitor did not change significantly from pH 5.52 to 8.44 (only changed 0.1 ppm, Fig. 4). However, the intensity of this signal changed significantly with pH (Fig. 4) and showed a bell shaped pH dependence (Fig. 5) with signal intensity increasing according to a $pK_a$ of 5.8± 0.2 to a maximum value at pH 6.75 then decreasing in intensity according to a $pK_a$ of 7.8 ± 0.2 at alkaline pHs.
Based on the experimentally determined $K_i$ value of 0.81 $\mu$M at pH 5.53 we estimate that 99.4% of SCD will be saturated with the glyoxal inhibitor at this pH. This, plus the fact that no free inhibitor signals at 90.4 ppm were detected at low pH, confirms that the decrease in signal intensity at low pH (Fig.s 4 & 5) cannot be attributed to the disassociation of the SCD-UK-370106-CO$^{13}$CHO inhibitor complex. The $pK_a$ of 7.8 ± 0.2 for the decrease in signal intensity at alkaline pH values is very similar to the $pK_a$ of 7.6 ± 0.2 for the increase in $K_i$ values at alkaline pHs (Fig. 2a). This suggests that the decrease in the intensity of the signal from the UK-370106-CO$^{13}$CHO inhibitor bound to SCD is due to the disassociation of the inhibitor complex at alkaline pHs. This was confirmed by the fact that the release of free inhibitor at 90.41 ppm was observed at alkaline pHs (Fig. 4e, f). Both bound and free inhibitor were observed in our $^{13}$C-NMR experiments at pHs 7.54 (Fig. 4e) and 7.96 (Fig. 4f). These signals were lost at higher pHs (Fig. 4g) due to breakdown of the free glyoxal inhibitor at high pH [1]. The fact that we can observe clearly resolved signals from both the free (90.4 ppm) and bound (~92.0 ppm) inhibitor when the $K_i$ is approx. 20 $\mu$M shows that the rate of association of SCD and the UK-370106-CO$^{13}$CHO inhibitor must be no greater than $2 \times 10^6$ M$^{-1}$.s$^{-1}$ at pHs 7.5-8.0. If the rate of association were $2 \times 10^7$ M$^{-1}$.s$^{-1}$ the signals due to the free and bound inhibitor would coalesce and a single signal would be observed.

3.7. $^1$H-NMR of the hydrogen-bonded protons of SCD, a SCD-UK-370106-COOH complex, and a SCD-UK-370106-CO$^{13}$CHO complex

Hydrogen bonded protons have chemical shifts in the range 11-22 ppm and with SCD 7 signals were observed with chemical shifts > 11 ppm (Fig. 6A). These signals had chemical shifts of 11.4, 11.6, 11.8, 12.3, 12.9, 13.1 and 13.5 ppm (Fig. 6A). As the pH increased the intensity of the signals at 12.9, 13.1 and 13.5 decreased (Fig.s 6A, spectra 1-6) but these intensities were restored when the pH was lowered to pH 6.7 (spectrum not shown). As the positions of the signals did not change with pH, this decrease in intensity must be controlled by a slow exchange process. The $pK_a$ values of 7.94, 6.67 and 7.49 controlling the decrease in intensity of the signals at 12.9, 13.1 and 13.5 ppm respectively (Table 3), are significantly larger than $pK_1$ (5.4-5.8) and $pK_2$ (6.0-6.3) and smaller than $pK_3$ (8.5-9.9) determined from the pH dependence of $k_{cat}/K_M$ [32, 33]. It is therefore unlikely that
these hydrogen bonded protons play a direct role in catalysis. On binding the UK-370106-COOH (Fig. 6B) or UK-370106-CO\textsuperscript{13}CHO (Fig. 6C) inhibitors the signal at 12.9 ppm was no longer resolved. However the intensity of the signal at 13.1 increased suggesting these signals may have merged.

On adding UK-370106-COOH a new signal at 12.1 ppm was resolved (Fig. 6B, spectrum 4). This signal was not observed when the UK-370106-CO\textsuperscript{13}CHO inhibitor was added to SCD and so it would appear to represent a unique hydrogen bond formed between SCD and the UK-370106-COOH inhibitor. However, we cannot dismiss the possibility that this signal is present in the free enzyme and glyoxal complex but it is merged with other signals and only when the UK-370106-COOH inhibitor binds is the signal at 12.1 ppm resolved. The signal at 11.6 in SCD (Fig. 6A, spectrum 2) and in the SCD-UK-370106-COOH inhibitor complex (Fig. 6B, spectrum 2) was not resolved when the UK-370106-CO\textsuperscript{13}CHO inhibitor was added to SCD (Fig. 6C) which may simply reflect the fact that it may have merged with signal at 11.4 ppm. The binding of inhibitors to SCD increased the pK\textsubscript{a} values controlling the intensity of the signal at 13.1 ppm (Table 3). If the binding of the inhibitors increases the hydrophobicity of the environment of the ionizing group then this increase in pK\textsubscript{a} would suggest that the ionizing group controlling the intensity of the signal at 13.1 ppm is a neutral acid such as a carboxylate group. As inhibitor binding causes the pK\textsubscript{a} controlling the intensity of the signal at 13.5 ppm to stay the same or decrease then this suggests that the ionizing group controlling the intensity of the signal at 13.5 ppm is a cationic acid such as the imidazolium group of a histidine residue.

Strongly hydrogen bonded protons have chemical shifts of 16-20 ppm and are called low-barrier hydrogen bonds [37]. It is clear from our results that there are no low barrier hydrogen bonds in SCD (Fig. 6A) and none are formed when SCD binds the UK-370106-COOH (Fig. 6B) or UK-370106-CO\textsuperscript{13}CHO (Fig. 6C) inhibitors.
Discussion

For most metalloproteinases the pH dependence of $k_{cat}/K_M$ is bell shaped being dependant on three protonic states (EH$_2$, EH and E) with the EH state being the catalytically active form (Scheme 3A) whose formation is governed by pK$_1$ and whose breakdown is governed by pK$_2$ [38]. However, for SCD the pH dependance of $k_{cat}/K_M$ is more complex [32, 33, 38] being dependent on 4 protonic states (EH$_3$, EH$_2$, EH and E) with two catalytically active states (EH$_2$ and EH) whose formation and breakdown are controlled by the free enzyme pK$_8$, pK$_1$, pK$_2$ and pK$_3$ (Scheme 3B). pK$_1$, pK$_2$ and pK$_3$ give free enzyme pK$_a$ values of 5.2-5.8, 6.0-6.3 and 8.7-9.9 respectively [32, 33, 38]. pK$_1$ has been assigned to a Glu-202-zinc-water complex [32, 33, 38] and pK$_3$ is assigned to Tyr-223 [38]. Using site directed mutagenesis pK$_2$ has been shown to be due to His-224 which is thought to stabilize the enzyme structure by hydrogen bonding to a main chain peptide carbonyl group [32, 38]. Replacement of His-224 with a glutamine residue increases catalytic activity and gives a bell shaped pH profile for $k_{cat}/K_M$ showing that ionisation of His-224 decreases catalytic activity[38]. Therefore only pK$_1$ is thought to be directly involved in catalysis while pK$_2$ controls deprotonation of His-224 by reducing but not eliminating catalytic activity, pK$_3$ controls deprotonation of Tyr-223 which eliminates catalytic activity. In both cases (pK$_2$ and pK$_3$) deprotonation is thought to cause conformational changes that reduce catalytic activity.

The binding of inhibitors is dependent on both pK$_1$ and pK$_2$ [32]. These studies [32] used 5,5’-dithiobis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid) to quantify the rate of thiopeptolide substrate hydrolysis which limited them to pHs 5-8 due to the susceptibility of 5, 5’-dithiobis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid) to alkaline hydrolysis and to the decrease in extinction of the 2-nitro-5-thiobenzoic acid at low pH (pK$_a$ 4.5). Our studies with the fluorescent substrate MCA-Arg-Pro-Lys-Pro-Val-Glu-Nva-Trp-Arg-Lys(Dnp)-NH$_2$ were not subject to these limitations and were only limited by the pH stability of SCD and so could be extended to pH 4.5-9 for catalytic measurements. In free SCD pK$_1$ reflects ionization of the bound water in the Glu-202-zinc-water complex. However, when the UK-370106-CO$_3$CHO inhibitor is bound we expected that the zinc bound water would be replaced by the inhibitor glyoxal
The hydroxyl groups of the glyoxal hemiacetal and hemiketal groups are less basic than water by 3.5-6 pK\(_a\) units [1, 3] and so would be expected to have pK\(_a\) values 3.5-6.0 pK\(_a\) units lower than 5.9 (pK\(_a\)) when bound to zinc and so we would expect the glyoxal hemiacetal hydroxyl groups to be fully ionised at pHs 5.5-8.5 if they are coordinated to the active site zinc. However, at pH 5.5-8.5 the hemiacetal carbon of the inhibitor glyoxal group has a chemical shift of 91.89-91.99 ppm (Fig. 4). When glyoxal inhibitors are bound to chymotrypsin ionisation of the glyoxal hemiacetal hydroxyl groups in the presence of the positively charged active site histidine residue produces a titration shift from ~91 to ~97 ppm [1, 4]. Similar titration shifts are therefore expected if the positively charged active site zinc is coordinated to the glyoxal hemiacetal hydroxyl groups. The observed chemical shifts of ~92 ppm (Fig. 4) show that the inhibitor glyoxal hemiacetal hydroxyl groups are not ionised when bound to SCD at pHs 5.52-8.44. Therefore we conclude that we have found no evidence that glyoxal hemiacetal hydroxyl groups are directly coordinated to the active site zinc atom.

If the glyoxal hemiketal hydroxyl groups are coordinated to the active site zinc of stromelysin-1 then the increase in intensity of the signal at 92 ppm with a pKa ~5.8 (Fig. 5) could reflect the binding/ionisation of the glyoxal hemiketal hydroxyl groups when the glyoxal inhibitor binds to stromelysin-1. Ionisation of the hydroxyl groups of the glyoxal hemiketal group are expected to produce titration shifts of ~1.88 ppm (2 x 0.94 ppm, [3]) at the glyoxal hemiacetal carbon. If we assume fast exchange and a titration shift of 90.12 to 92 ppm controlled by a pK\(_a\) of 5.8 then a titration shift of 1.25 ppm would be observed from pH 5.5 to 8.5. The observed titration shift of 0.1 ppm (91.89-91.99) from pH 5.55 to 8.44 (Fig. 4) is negligible and so we cannot assign the pK\(_a\) of 5.8 (Fig. 5) to ionisation of the glyoxal hemiketal hydroxyl groups by fast exchange. If ionisation of the glyoxal hemiketal hydroxyl groups was a slow exchange process then we should have observed a signal at ~90.1 at low pH whose intensity would decrease according to a pK\(_a\) of 5.8 as there was a concommitant increase in the intensity of the signal at 92 ppm also according to a pK\(_a\) of 5.8. No additional signal at ~90.1 ppm was observed at low pH (Fig. 4) confirming that the pK\(_a\) of 5.8 does not reflect the ionisation of the glyoxal hemiketal hydroxyl groups. The pK\(_a\) values of glyoxal hemiketal hydroxyl groups are about 5-6 pK\(_a\) units lower than the pK\(_a\) of the hydroxyl
Therefore if the hemiketal hydroxyl groups interact with active site zinc atom in the same way as the hydroxyl group of water then we would expect that the glyoxal hemiketal hydroxyl groups would have a $pK_a$ value of 2.4 or less and so it is possible that the glyoxal hemiketal hydroxyl groups are ionised and coordinated to the active site zinc atom. However, if the glyoxal group was coordinated to the zinc atom we would expect the glyoxal hemiacetal hydroxyl groups to also be ionised with the hemiacetal carbon having a chemical shift of $\sim$97 ppm which is not observed. We have also shown that when a glyoxal inhibitor binds to pepsin there is an increase in chemical shift of the glyoxal hemiacetal carbon from 89.8 to 90.9 even though both the hemiacetal and hemiketal hydroxyl groups are not ionised in the free and bound glyoxal [9]. The increase in chemical shift of the glyoxal hemiacetal carbon from 90.4 to 92.0 ppm on binding the UK-370106-CO$^{13}$CHO inhibitor to SCD is similar in magnitude and can be attributed to the difference in the environment of the free and bound species.

Therefore all our experimental evidence suggests that the inhibitor glyoxal group is not directly coordinated to the catalytic zinc atom. The fact that the glyoxal inhibitor is a 500-fold weaker inhibitor than its parent carboxylate inhibitor supports this interpretation. Therefore we propose that the glyoxal inhibitor has not displaced the active site water molecule. In this case the $pK_a$ of 5.8 reflects ionisation of this water molecule and binding of the glyoxal inhibitor has not significantly perturbed $pK_1$ from its expected value of 5.4-5.8 in free SCD [32].

$pK_2$ is assigned to His-224 which in its protonated form is thought to stabilise the $S_1'$ subsite of SCD by hydrogen bonding to a peptide carbonyl group [32, 38]. As histidine is a cationic acid its $pK_a$ is not expected to be affected by the changes in the hydrophobicity of its environment due to inhibitor binding. However on binding the UK-370106-CO$^{13}$CHO inhibitor, $pK_2$ is raised from 6.2 to 7.5. This suggests that this $pK_a$ is not due to a cationic group such as the imidazolium group of His-224. However, increases in histidine $pK_a$ values on ligand binding are observed in the serine proteases [4] when the imidazolium ion forms an ion pair with a carboxylate group. Therefore the increase in $pK_2$ suggests that on binding the UK-370106-CO$^{13}$CHO inhibitor the interaction of histidine-224 with a negatively charged group is enhanced. The alternative possibility that the $pK_a$ of
7.5 is due to pK₃ being lowered also requires that pK₂ be suppressed which we consider unlikely. pK₃ is due to the hydroxyl group of the tyrosine-223 residue and as it is a neutral acid its pK₃ is expected to increase if binding of a ligand increases the hydrophobicity of its environment. It is therefore unlikely that pK₃ has decreased to 7.5 on inhibitor binding as this would be expected to increase the hydrophobicity of its environment and so increase its pK₃. Therefore we assign the pK₃ of 7.5 to pK₂.

The association rate constant for glyoxal inhibitors binding to the serine proteases has been shown to be at least 100 x slower than the diffusion controlled rate constant of $10^8 \text{M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ [2, 4, 12]. These slow association rate constants are expected because inhibition of the serine proteases by glyoxal inhibitors involves the reversible formation of a hemiketal adduct between the active site serine hydroxyl group and the keto-carbon of the inhibitor glyoxal group [1]. However, it is surprising that with the aspartyl protease pepsin [9] and with the metalloprotease SCD the association rate constant of glyoxal inhibitors is also at least a 50 x slower than the diffusion controlled rate constant. Hydration and dehydration of carbonyl groups is a slow process [39]. The peptide glyoxal inhibitor is bound to the the aspartyl protease pepsin in its fully hydrated form and so the slow association rate in this case may be due to the slow rate of hydration of the glyoxal keto-carbon. Likewise with SCD the slow association rate could reflect the slow rate of hydration or dehydration of the glyoxal inhibitor. Our NMR results with SCD and the UK-370106-CO\(^{13}\text{CHO}\) inhibitor show that the glyoxal aldehyde carbon is fully hydrated in both the free and the bound inhibitor. Therefore if association involves a slow hydration-dehydration step then this must be at the glyoxal keto-carbon. If, as we argued, the free UK-370106-CO\(^{13}\text{CHO}\) inhibitor is fully hydrated in water then this suggests that the keto-carbon of the glyoxal inhibitor might be dehydrated when it is bound to SCD. It is also possible that the slow association rate could be because inhibitor binding involves a slow conformational change as has been observed with inhibitors binding to other metalloproteinases such as the gelatinases [40].

Prostromelysin-1 is a 57 kDa protein which is activated by removal of 82 amino acid residues from the N-terminus by proteolysis. This produces catalytically active 45 kDa stromelysin-1
containig residues 83-477 [21]. It has been reported [33] that at 25 °C stromelysin-1 (residues 83-477) retains full catalytic activity for 15 hours at pHs 5.5 to 9.5. For stromelysin-1 C-terminal truncated stromelysin-1(residues 83-255) at 37 °C it has been shown that after an initial ~20% decrease in catalytic activity the enzyme is stable at pHs 6-9 for at least 6 hours [41]. The C-terminal truncated stromelysin-1 (residues 83 to 247) used in this work was stable at 25 °C for at least 16 hours from pH 6 to pH 8.4 (Figs.1A,B). Therefore we conclude that at 25 °C, C-terminal truncation decreases the stability of the stromelysin-1 catalytic domain at pH values > 8.4 and < 6.0. However, the active truncated enzyme has essentially the same catalytic properties as the non-truncated enzyme.
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Scheme legends

Scheme 1: Structure of the UK-370106-COOH inhibitor and its glyoxal and hydroxymate derivatives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhibitor</th>
<th>R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UK-370106-COOH</td>
<td>COOH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK-370106-CO(^{13})CHO</td>
<td>COCHO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK-370106-CONHOH</td>
<td>CONHOH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scheme 2. Structure and Chemical Shifts of Glyoxal Inhibitors. The structures and chemical shifts in (a)-(c) are for the UK-370106-CO\(^{13}\)CHO inhibitor, the solvents in which the chemical shifts are measured are in parentheses, the solvents were H\(_2\)O containing 20-30\% (v/v) d\(_6\)-DMSO and DMSO (100\% (v/v). The structures and chemical shifts in (d) and (e) are of peptide glyoxals in H\(_2\)O containing 10\% (v/v) deuterium oxide [1].

Scheme 3. Kinetic Schemes for catalysis and inhibition of metalloproteases. A; Catalysis by most metalloproteases, B; Catalysis and inhibition of SCD by UK-370106-CO\(^{13}\)CHO.
Figure legends

Fig. 1. Effect of pH on the stability of SCD at 25 °C. 12 μM SCD was incubated in 0.25M buffer at 25 °C and 10 μl samples were removed at various times and assayed for catalytic activity using the 1 mL thiopeptolide substrate assay containing 0.15 M MES buffer at pH 6.0. The 0.25 M buffers (pH values are in parentheses) used were sodium acetate (4.5 and 5.0), MES (5.5 and 6.0), MOPS (7.0 and 8.0) and glycine (9.0 and 10.0). The lines at pHs 6.0, 7.0, 8.0 and 8.4 are mean values of 93.7 ± 3.2, 100.2 ± 4.5, 101.7 ± 3.2 and 90.9 ± 4.0 respectively. The solid line at pH 5.5 was calculated using the equation $y = mx + c$ and the fitted parameters $m = -0.0569 ± 0.0038$ and $c = 94.1 ± 1.7$. The solid lines at pHs 5.0 and 9.0 were calculated using the equation $y = a\cdot e^{-kt}$. The fitted parameters were pH 5.0: $k = 0.018 ± 0.002$ s$^{-1}$ and $a = 98.8 ± 3.7 \%$, pH 9.0: $k = 0.010 ± 0.001$ s$^{-1}$ and $a = 99.4 ± 2.9\%$. The solid lines at pHs 4.5 and 10.0 were calculated using the equation $y = a\cdot e^{k_1t} + b\cdot e^{k_2t}$. The fitted parameters were pH 4.5: $k_1 = 0.182 ± 0.077$ s$^{-1}$, $k_2 = 0.0175 ± 0.0117$ s$^{-1}$, $a = 66.0 ± 17.9 \%$ and $b = 33.4 ± 17.7 \%$.

Fig. 2. Effect of pH on the disassociation constants for the SCD-inhibitor complexes formed with the UK370106-CO$^{13}$CHO inhibitor at 25 C. The experimental procedures used to determine the $K_i$ values are described in the "Experimental Procedures". In (a) the continuous line was calculated using the equation $K_{i(\text{obs})} = (K_{i1}[H]^2 + K_{i2}K_{i1}[H] + K_{i3}K_{i2})/([H]^2 + K_{i1}[H] + K_{i2}K_{i3})$ and the fitted parameters $K_{i1} = 25.6 ± 50.4 \mu M$, $K_{i2} = 0.1 ± 2.9 \mu M$ (fixed), $K_{i3} = 26.6 ± 2.7 \mu M$, $pK_{a1} = 4.41 ± 1.52$ and $pK_{a2} = 7.50 ± 0.21$. In (b) the continuous line was calculated using the equation $(1/K_{i(\text{obs})}) = (1/K_{i})_{\text{max}} / (1 + [H]/K_{a1} + K_{a2}/[H])$ and the fitted parameters $pK_{a1} = 6.19 ± 0.43$, $pK_{a2} = 5.85 ± 0.43$ and $(1/K_{i})_{\text{max}} = 6.06 ± 4.76 \mu M^{-1}$.

Fig. 3. $^{13}$C-NMR spectra of $[1-^{13}C]UK$ 370106-CO$^{13}$CHO before and after addition to SCD at pH 7. Acquisition and processing parameters were as described in the "Experimental Procedures". Sample
conditions were (a) 0.332 mL, 0.536 mM SCD, 7.0 mM MOPS, 7.0 mM CaCl₂, 35.1 μM ZnCl₂, 19.9 % (v/v) d₆-dimethylsulfoxide, 9.9% (v/v) ²H₂O and pH 6.74, (b) 0.502 mL, 0.348 mM [1⁻¹³C]UK 370106-CO¹³CHO, 49.8 mM MOPS, 8.96 mM CaCl₂, 44.8 μM ZnCl₂, 20.3 % (v/v) d₆-dimethylsulfoxide, 10.0% (v/v) ²H₂O and pH 7.03, (c) 0.332 mL, 0.528 mM SCD, 0.543 mM [1⁻¹³C]UK 370106-CO¹³CHO, 22.0 mM MOPS, 6.9 mM CaCl₂, 34.6 μM ZnCl₂, 20.5 % (v/v) d₆-dimethylsulfoxide, 9.8% (v/v) ²H₂O and pH 7.00, (d) 342 mL, 0.520 mM SCD, 0.535 mM [1⁻¹³C]UK 370106-CO¹³CHO, 0.527 mM UK370106-COOH, 21.7 mM MOPS, 6.8 mM CaCl₂, 34.0 μM ZnCl₂, 21.7 % (v/v) d₆-dimethyl sulfoxide, 9.6% (v/v) ²H₂O and pH 7.02. Samples (a), (c) and (d) were in 5mm Shigemi tubes. Sample (b) was in a conventional 5mm NMR tube.

Fig. 4. The effect of pH on the ¹³C-NMR spectra of [1⁻¹³C]UK 370106-CO¹³CHO bound to SCD. Acquisition and processing parameters were as described in the "Experimental Procedures". Sample (d) at pH 7.06 had a volume of 0.332 mL and it contained 0.795 mM SCD, 0.923 mM [1⁻¹³C]UK 370106-CO¹³CHO, 13.8 mM MOPS, 6.9 mM CaCl₂, 34.4 μM ZnCl₂, 10% (v/v) ²H₂O and 21% (v/v) d₆-dimethyl sulfoxide. Samples (c) and (b) with pH values of 6.52 and 6.01 were obtained by adding 7.5 and 17.0 µl of 1M MES pH 5.7 respectively to sample (d). Sample (a) at pH 5.52 was formed by adding 7µl of 1M sodium acetate buffer pH 4.44 to sample (b). Sample (e) at pH 7.54 had a volume of 0.330 mL and it contained 0.67 mM SCD, 0.929 mM [1⁻¹³C]UK 370106-CO¹³CHO, 14.2 mM MOPS, 6.1 mM HEPES, 7.1 mM CaCl₂, 35.5 μM ZnCl₂, 10% (v/v) ²H₂O and 21% (v/v) d₆-dimethyl sulfoxide. Samples (f) and (g) with pH values of 7.96 and 8.44 respectively were obtained by adding 4.0 and 16.0 µl respectively of 1M HEPES pH 8.4 to sample (e).

Fig. 5. Effect of pH on the intensity of the ¹³C NMR signal at 92 ppm from [1⁻¹³C]UK 370106-CO¹³CHO bound to SCD. Acquisition and processing parameters were as described in the "Experimental Procedures". Sample conditions are the same as in Fig. 4. The continuous line was
calculated using the equation $I_{i(\text{obs})} = I_{\text{max}} / (1 + [\text{H}]/K_{a1} + K_{a2}/[\text{H}])$ and the fitted parameters $pK_{a1} = 5.75 \pm 0.22$, $pK_{a2} = 7.78 \pm 0.20$ and $I_{\text{max}} = 125 \pm 19\%$.

**Fig. 6.** The effect of pH on the $^1$H-NMR spectra of the hydrogen bonded protons of SCD and of its complexes with the inhibitors UK370106-COOH and UK370106-CO$^{13}$CHO. Acquisition and processing parameters were as described in the "Experimental Procedures". (A) SCD. Sample conditions were (1) pH 5.99, 0.3325 μl, 0.89 mM SCD, 10% (v/v) $^2$H$_2$O, 20% (v/v) d$_6$-dimethyl sulfoxide, 6.9 mM MOPS, 6.9 mM CaCl$_2$, 7.5 mM sodium acetate and 0.035 mM ZnCl$_2$. 1.5,1.5,1.5,4.0 and 14.0 μl of 1M HEPES buffer, pH 8.6 was added to samples 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 respectively to change the pH as indicated in Fig. 5A. (B) SCD and UK370106-COOH. Sample conditions were (1) pH 6.05, 0.345 μl, 0.80 mM SCD, 0.91 mM UK370106-COOH, 9.6% (v/v) $^2$H$_2$O, 21.7% (v/v) d$_6$-dimethyl sulfoxide, 12.5 mM MOPS, 6.7 mM CaCl$_2$, 11.6 mM sodium acetate and 0.033 mM ZnCl$_2$. To change the pH as indicated in Fig. 5B, 2.5, 3.0, 4.0, 10.0 and 15.0 μl of 1M HEPES buffer, pH 8.6 were added to samples 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 respectively. (C) SCD and UK370106-CO$^{13}$CHO. Sample conditions were (1) pH 6.02, 0.3715 μl, 0.79 mM SCD, 0.74 mM UK370106-CO$^{13}$CHO, 8.9% (v/v) $^2$H$_2$O, 17.8% (v/v) d$_6$-dimethyl sulfoxide, 6.2 mM MOPS, 6.2 mM CaCl$_2$, 39 mM sodium acetate, 60.4 mM HEPES and 0.031 mM ZnCl$_2$. To change the pH as indicated in Fig. 5C, 4.5, 2.0, 2.6 and 2.0 μl of 1M HEPES buffer, pH 8.6 were added to samples 2, 3, 4 and 5 respectively. Also 10 and 40 μl of 1M glycine at pH 9.8 were added to samples 5 and 6 respectively.
Table 1: Catalytic parameters for the stromelysin catalysed hydrolysis of the thiopeptolide substrate Acetyl-Proline-Leucine-Glycine-[2-mercapto-4-methyl-pentanoyl]-Leucine-Glycine-OC$_2$H$_5$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stromelysin</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Ki (uM)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phe$^{83}$ to Gly$^{297}$</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>Present work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe$^{83}$ to Thr$^{255}$</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>[41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe$^{83}$ to Thr$^{255}$</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>[42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full length</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>[42]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2
Disassociation constants for stromelysin-1 inhibitors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhibitor</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>$K_i$ (nM)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UK-370106-CONHOH</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2.0$^a$</td>
<td>[18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK-370106-COOH</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>21.4$^b$</td>
<td>[18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK-370106-CO$^{13}$CHO</td>
<td>7.56</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>10,700</td>
<td>Present work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK-370106-CO$^{13}$CHO</td>
<td>7.56</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>18800</td>
<td>Present work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$: estimated from an IC$_{50}$ value of 2.1 nM [18].

$^b$: estimated from an IC$_{50}$ value of 23 nM [18].
Table 3

pK\textsubscript{a} values determined at 25 °C from pH dependent changes in the intensities of the NMR signals from 11.0 to 14.0 ppm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>ppm of observed signal</th>
<th>12.9</th>
<th>13.1</th>
<th>13.5</th>
<th>pK\textsubscript{a} values\textsuperscript{a}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SCD</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.01±0.16</td>
<td>6.79±0.06</td>
<td>7.50±0.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCD-UKCOOH</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.11±0.09</td>
<td>7.32±0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCD-UKCO\textsuperscript{13}CHO</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.07±0.10</td>
<td>7.02±0.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} The pK\textsubscript{a} values were obtained by fitting intensities of the appropriate signals at the pH values given in Fig. 5 to the equation $I_{\text{obs}} = I_{\text{max}}/(1+K_a/[H])$. 
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(A) Graph showing the relationship between $K_i$ (μM) and pH.

(B) Graph showing the relationship between $1/K_i$ (mM⁻¹) and pH.
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Scheme 1
UK-370106-CO$^{13}$CHO in H$_2$O or DMSO
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