Research Repository UCD

Title	Peat slope failure in Ireland
Authors(s)	Boylan, Noel, Jennings, Paul, Long, Michael (Michael M.)
Publication date	2008-02
Publication information	Boylan, Noel, Paul Jennings, and Michael (Michael M.) Long. "Peat Slope Failure in Ireland" 41, no. 1 (February, 2008).
Publisher	Geological Society of London
Item record/more information	http://hdl.handle.net/10197/3096
Publisher's version (DOI)	10.1144/1470-9236/06-028

Downloaded 2023-04-07T10:52:29Z

The UCD community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters! (@ucd_oa)

© Some rights reserved. For more information

Journal: Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology

Title of paper: Peat failures in Ireland

Names of authors: Noel Boylan¹, Paul Jennings² and Michael Long¹

Affiliation of authors: 1: School of Architecture, Landscape and Civil Engineering,
University College Dublin (UCD), Earlsfort Terrace, Dublin 2, Ireland.

2: Applied Ground Engineering Consultants Ltd. (AGEC), Bagenalstown, Carlow, Co.
Carlow, Ireland.

Corresponding author contact address: Michael Long, Department of Civil
Engineering, University College Dublin (UCD), Earlsfort Terrace, Dublin 2, Ireland.

Phone: +353-1-7167333

Fax: +353-1-7167399

e-mail: Mike.Long@ucd.ie

Date paper revised: May 2007

Key words: peat; landslides; hazard; risk assessment; shear strength; slope stability

Title: Peat failures in Ireland

by Boylan, Jennings and Long

Abstract:

Recent peat failures in Ireland in the autumn of 2003 at Pollatomish, County Mayo and Derrybrien, County Galway have focused attention on such events. However, peat failures are not a recent phenomenon with possible evidence of peat failures in Ireland having been identified as far back as the Early Bronze Age. This paper summarises the issues surrounding peat failures in Ireland that would be of interest to an engineer/engineering geologist assessing this geohazard. The distribution of peat throughout Ireland, its formation, and its typical characteristic properties are discussed. A review of historical failures shows that there is a relationship between run out distance and failure volume and that the majority of the failures are clustered at slope angles between 4° and 8°. It seems that the risk of fatalities from peat slides is relatively low. The likely casual factors attributed to peat failures are presented using examples of failure, including the recent failures at Pollatomish and Derrybrien both of which have been investigated by the authors. Particular attention is paid to shear strength properties of peat and the applicability of traditional soil mechanics. Given the uncertainties which exist about peat strength, a cautious approach to slope stability assessment is advocated together with identification of potential causal factors to mitigate against this geohazard.

INTRODUCTION

Peat, or bog as is it commonly referred to is a material which consists of partly decomposed or undecomposed organic material derived from plants. Recent peat failures in the autumn of 2003 at Pollatomish, County Mayo (see Figure 1) (Long and

Jennings, 2006; Dykes and Warburton, 2007) and Derrybrien, County Galway (AGEC, 2004) have focused attention on such events and the risk of future failures. However, peat failures are not a recent phenomenon with possible failures in Ireland being dated as far back as the Early Bronze Age at about 4200 BP (Murray, 1997), and with written accounts of peat failures in Ireland having been traced back to the 1400's (Feehan and O'Donovan, 1996). There are over 70 reported events of peat failures in Ireland, and more than likely a significant number of unreported events. The Geological Survey of Ireland Landslides Working Group has recently prepared a nationwide database of landslide events, which includes peat failures (Creighton et al., 2006). An indication of the distribution of reported peat failures is shown in Figure 2. The objective of this paper is to summarise the issues surrounding peat failures in Ireland that would be of interest to an engineer/engineering geologist assessing this geohazard. A review of previous failures is undertaken and the range of likely causal factors discussed. Particular attention is paid to the shear strength properties of peat and the assessment of slope stability.

CHARACTER OF PEATLAND IN IRELAND

Distribution and types of peat

Three types of peatland occur in Ireland namely upland blanket bog, lowland (or oceanic) bog and raised bog. Peatland is generally found in areas of high rainfall under conditions of poor drainage. According to a 1980 study about 17% of the Republic of Ireland is covered in peatland (An Foras Taluntais, 1980). More recently Connolly et al. (2007) have revised this estimate using a rule based methodology and digital data (e.g. analysis automatically removes areas with slopes greater than 25° and industrial peatlands where peat deposits have been removed) and have concluded

that 13.8% of Ireland is overlain by peatland. Their map is reproduced on Figure 3 and has a stated reliability of 75% which is higher than the previous peatland maps.

The extent of each type of bog is controlled to a degree by rainfall and elevation. Upland blanket bog covers large expanses of most of the mountainous areas. In the west, due to the wet climate (typically rainfall > 1250 mm per year compared to 750 mm to 1000 mm in raised bog areas), blanket bog occurs down to sea-level; and this explains why low-lying bog is also referred to as oceanic bog. Raised bogs are commonly found in the Midlands, and are so called because of their growth above the level of the horizontal water table. Details of formation of bogs are provided in numerous publications (Feehan and O'Donovan, 1996; Moore and Bellamy, 1974; Tallis, 1998).

Raised bogs generally tend to be 3 m to 12 m thick, with an average of about 7 m. Blanket bogs can be up to 5 m but are typically be about 3 m thick, but as the underlying surface is irregular, locally thicker deposits of bog are commonly present. Blanket bog thickness typically thins at greater elevations. Peat can form on slopes up to 20° to 25° (Tallis, 1998) although the authors have observed small localised deposits of peat on slopes as steep as 32° .

Peat Formation

Peat formation started in Ireland following the end of the Ice Age, some 10,000 years BP (An Foras Taluntais, 1980). Initially peat formed in waterlogged hollows and developed into raised bogs across the low-lying Midlands. The spread of upland blanket bog appears to have accelerated following the appearance of farming some 3,000 to 4000 years BP. As bogs form over previously existing ground surfaces it is therefore not uncommon to find evidence of relict topsoil horizons particularly under

blanket bog. Other evidence of previous ground surface includes remnant plant life, notably tree stumps, and more rarely human occupation. Typically mineral soils below peat include glacially derived soils, lacustrine deposits and weathered rock.

PROPERTIES OF PEAT

Classification of Peat

Various definitions exist throughout the world differentiating peat from other soils (Carlsten, 1988) but for geotechnical purposes Landva et al. (1983) defines peat as a soil with an ash content $< 20\%$. As peat exists in various stages of decomposition, further classification of peat deposits can be carried out by describing the constituents of the peat and the level of decomposition (von Post et al., 1926; Radforth, 1969). In physical terms, decomposition in peat is the break down of the structure of organic plant materials and transformation into amorphous material. Large fibres are broken down and the pore space decreases while the proportion of fine material increases (Blackford & Chambers, 1993). The method of von Post et al. (1926) which is the most widely used, classifies peat using a simple hand squeeze test and examination of the material to describe the wetness, level of decay as well as fibre and shrub constituents. The level of decomposition of the material is classified by determining a humification number (H) between 1 and 10, where H1 refers to a peat which has undergone no decomposition and H10 is a peat which is completely decomposed. While the term humification strictly means the content of humic substances in peat, it is taken to mean in this context, the same thing as decomposition. Hobbs (1986) further extended this method to include properties such as tensile resistance, organic content, plasticity and smell. Figure 4a shows a profile of decomposition with depth for a lowland blanket bog in western Mayo using the method of von Post et al. (1926).

The studied site was underlain by about 1.8 m of H₄ to H₅ (somewhat to moderately humified) peat, over about 0.6 m of H₆ (moderately humified) peat, which in turn overlies a thin 0.2 m layer of H₇ to H₈ (fairly well to well humified) material. Fibres and roots are still easily identifiable. They comprise a mixture of coarse and fine elements and are orientated and spaced randomly. The material shows little evidence of plasticity. Weathered rock was encountered at 2.7 m. The level of decomposition can provide an indication of the likely engineering properties with shear strength and permeability typically reducing with increasing decomposition (Helenlund, 1980; Baden & Eggelsman, 1963). Due to the subjective nature of these classifications, results can vary widely and can only be treated as an indication. Quantitative measurement of the fibre content of peat (Lévesque & Mathur, 1979) and measurement of the extracted humic substances (Schnitzer, 1967; Rochus & Sipos, 1976) have been noted as the most reliable indicators of peat decomposition.

Fabric of Peat

Before looking at the engineering properties of peat, it is important to consider the nature of the peat fabric is and how it compares to mineral soils (e.g. clay, silt & sand) for which many geotechnical theories have been developed. Figure 5a shows a typical scanning electron microscope image of peat from a blanket bog site in western Ireland. The material is moderately to strongly decomposed (H7 on the VonPost scale). The overall fabric is an assemblage of decaying plant cellular structures interconnected with frequent fibre and large leaves in a less decayed state. Closer examination of the micro-fabric in Figure 5b shows the connectivity between the elementary structures creating the open cellular structure. Pore spacing is large with regular pores up to 10µm evident in these images reflecting the high permeability of

the material. Particle thickness range from 0.03-0.2 μ m which is at the lower end of the range when compared to kaolinite clay particles which lie between 0.05-2 μ m (Mitchell, 1993). Peat has significant fabric differences to mineral soil such as (i) elementary particles are connected to each other unlike the frictional contacts of mineral soils and (ii) elementary particles of the decaying plant material are most likely to be compressible (iii) plant material is continually decaying with time.

Hydrological Properties

Peatland hydrology will be shown later in this paper to play a significant role in many of the peat failures which have occurred in Ireland. To understand the hydrological processes in peat, a diplotelmic system of an upper acrotelm layer and lower catotelm layer is used (Holden & Burt, 2003; Ingram, 1978, 1983). The active acrotelm is affected by a fluctuating water table, a high hydraulic conductivity and a variable water content. The transition with the lower catotelm occurs at the lowest level of the water table beneath which anaerobic conditions exist. Water contents are static with time and hydraulic conductivities tend to be small. Macropores (pores with diameter greater than 1mm) and soil pipes (larger and more continuous forms of macropores) have also been shown to exist in peatlands and provide pathways for significant amounts of runoff (Holden & Burt, 2003). Holden (2006) provides review of all aspects of peatland hydrology and the role played by macropores and soil pipes.

Geotechnical Index Properties

Moisture content of peat can be more than 900 %, yet given the small amount of solid plant matter that is present the peat possesses relatively significant shear strength. The water present within peat is considered to be held in three states (MacFarlane and

Radforth, 1964), namely (a) free water in large cavities in the peat, (b) capillary water in narrow cavities within plant matter, or (c) water bound (adsorbed) physically/chemically. Most water is contained within states (a) and (b), with water under state (a) removed by drainage, and water under state (b) removed by consolidation. A profile of moisture content with depth for the lowland blanket bog study site is shown in Figure 4b. Moisture contents range from 800% to 1300% with some variation occurring at the transition in decomposition at 1.8m. Typically moisture content decreases with increasing humification (Hobbs, 1986), and in blanket bogs the humification generally increases with depth but variations do occur due to preferential path flows and geomorphological variations in the peat mass.

The application of Atterberg limit concepts to peat is doubtful. Plastic limits are difficult to obtain for peat and are generally not reported. The liquid limit strictly describes, for fine grained soils, the water content at which the particles lose contact and behave like a liquid. As the contacts between particles in peat are connections and peat frequently occurs intact above its liquid limit, this concept does not seem appropriate to peat. In spite of this, various researchers have reported liquid limit values for peat (Hobbs, 1986; Skempton & Petley, 1970). Linear shrinkage values determined from intact peat samples seem to provide an indication of changes in decomposition. Figure 4e shows linear shrinkage values from the study site which reduce from close to 50% at 0.75 m to about 40% at 2 m depth possibly reflecting the reduction in fibre content with depth and an increasing degree of decomposition. Moisture content at the shrinkage limit is about 10% confirming the large potential for shrinkage in the material.

Bulk density of peat is typically similar or less than that of water. The low bulk density is attributed to the presence of entrapped gases in the peat (Hobbs, 1986).

Values of bulk density tend not to vary with the peat properties and instead remain relatively constant (1.03 Mg/m^3 in this case). Instead dry density is often a more useful parameter to reflect variations in moisture content and degree of humification, see Figure 4c.

Organic content (by loss on ignition at 440°C for 5 hours (Arman, 1971) of peat can be used as measure of the purity of peat, for example a peat that is completely free of extraneous mineral matter may have an organic content in excess of 98 % (See Figure 4d). Mineral matter can find its way into peat by several ways, for example bogs formed in basins will be subject to runoff which will carry mineral soil particles, similarly natural drainage pipes, streams or up-welling waters will also carry mineral soil particles (Lefebvre et al, 1984). Atmospheric dust, volcanic dust (Clymo, 1983) or grazing and burning of a bog over a period of time (Pearsall, 1950) will all contribute to mineral matter content of peat.

TYPES OF PEAT FAILURE

Peat failures are known to occur throughout the world but 80% of reported events have occurred in the British Isles (Dykes and Kirk, 2006). Countries such as Russia and Canada have extensive peatland areas, and it is considered very likely that many peat failures occur in these places but due to remoteness and sparse populations such events go largely unreported. Notwithstanding the above, there appears to be more reported peat failures from Ireland than other countries. Failures have been reported from both blanket and raised bogs .

There are a number of mass movement classifications which include a description of peat failure (Hutchinson, 1988; Dykes and Warburton, 2006). Hutchinson (1988) defines two types of failure in peat namely bog flow (or more commonly referred to as bog burst) and peat slide. Bog flow involves large quantities of water and peat

debris that flow down-slope usually following existing surface water channels. Large-scale bog flows are usually associated with raised bogs where there is an upper fibrous layer over a lower body of weak amorphous peat. It appears that the peat is in a near-fluid state prior to failure, possibly due to build-up of hydrostatic pressure within the peat mass. (Colhoun et al., 1965; Alexander et al., 1986)

Peat slides comprise a mass of intact peat that moves bodily down-slope, usually over comparatively short distance. Slides occur on a discrete shear plane usually located at depth and generally close to or at the base of the peat. The peat above the shear plane moves as an intact mass, which usually breaks into smaller pieces. Records indicate that slides usually affect blanket bogs. (Dykes & Kirk, 2001; Mitchell, 1938)

Some early accounts of peat failures tended not to differentiate between bog burst and slide so it is not possible to determine with accuracy the actual mode of failure from them (i.e. Kinahan, 1897). Several relatively recent reports described bog flows (for example Alexander et al., 1986; Tomlinson 1981) based on post failure landforms. However it is considered in many bog flows there was likely an initial shear failure on a discrete sliding surface prior to the failed peat mass breaking-down into slurry, following loss in mass strength due to disturbance, and developing into a flow. For example, inspection of the scar left by the 1896 reported bog flow at Knocknageeha Bog, County Kerry (Sollas *et al.* 1897) clearly shows large detached rafts at the edge of the failure which have moved as translational slides. As such, in the authors' opinion many of the historical large-scale peat failures are likely to have originated as slides, with the sliding mass becoming disaggregated and breaking into successively smaller pieces as it moved downslope. To observers downslope the failed peat mass would appear as a flow of thick viscous fluid of peat slurry within which were pieces of intact peat. A recent example of this is the Derrybrien failure in 2003 (AGEC,

2004) which originated as a slide but degraded into a debris flow as it moved downslope. Figure 6 shows the basal failure surface of the failure at Derrybrien where it originated as a slide.

More recently, Dykes and Warburton (2006) have reviewed numerous peat failures and suggested a formal classification scheme which breaks up peat failures into six distinct types based on several failure and morphological characteristics.

In this paper a peat failure is defined as failure within or at the basal interface of peat. There are many recorded 'peat failures' where failure has not occurred in the peat but within the soil beneath the peat, this includes for example most of the failures that occurred at Pollatomish in 2003 (Long & Jennings 2006) and the 7 failures in the Slieve an Orra hills, Co. Antrim in 1982 (Tomlinson & Gardiner, 1982).

HISTORICAL REVIEW

General

Some of the earliest possible peat failures recorded in Ireland have been dated to the Early Bronze Age at about 4200 BP (Murray, 1997) and reports in historical literature of peat failures date back as far as the 1400's, see Colhoun et al (1965), Feehan & O'Donovan (1996). The early reports of failures were mostly subjective descriptions of the event and provide generally little useful scientific fact. From about 1800s onwards there was an increase in reported failures with an accompanying improvement in the factual and scientific reporting.

The aim of this review is to assess the hazard and risk that peat failures present in terms of frequency of occurrence, failure volumes and run-out distances. The review is based on 70 reported events. There are considered to be many more unreported

events, and indeed many of the reports refer to previous incidents at the site of a failure.

Occurrence and scale of peat failures

Figure 7 shows the number of peat failures from 1600 to the present. Historical records show up to 70 failures in last 400 years, which is probably an underestimate of the actual number. There is a notable increase in reported failures from about 1800. This may in part be due to an increased awareness of the problem as development possibly encroached further into peatland. An increase in high intensity rainfall events, known to be a primary trigger of landslides, may also be associated with the greater number of events particularly towards the latter part of the 1900s. The high incidence of failures in 2003 includes the 11 peat failures at Pollatomish where failure occurred within the peat mass; alternatively this could be considered as one event. Figure 8 shows the monthly distribution of failures up to 1985 where information on the month of occurrence is reported (from Alexander et al., 1985). It can be seen that the majority of failures on blanket bogs occurred during the wetter autumn and winter months, while failures on raised bogs are more evenly distributed throughout the year. Over the period 1600 to the present the estimated number of fatalities are 36 (see Figure 7), which equates to a probability of 0.1 fatalities per year. The number of fatalities has been estimated, as it is unclear from early records as to the exact number of deaths. The risk of a fatality associated with peat failure in Ireland, taking into account the exposure of the population likely to be at risk, is of the order of a probability of 10^{-7} fatalities per year. In developed countries where there are landslide problems the acceptable risk threshold is typically 10^{-3} to 10^{-4} fatalities per year

(Reeves et al., 1999; Fell and Hartford, 1997). At lower risk values intervention would be required to mitigate the landslide risk.

Figure 9 shows the scale of peat failures measured in failure volume from 1600 to the present. The failure volumes are based on accounts given in the relevant reports, of which some at best are indicative. In some cases the credibility of accounts has been considered dubious and the failure volume not included. As can be seen there are 4 notably large failures which occurred in 1708, 1821, 1873 and 1896. The largest failure occurred at Knockmageeha, northeast of Killarney (Sollas et al, 1897), where a bog flow inundated a house with the death of 8 people. Fatalities are generally associated with larger failures.

Mobility of peat failures

The mobility of peat failures is well documented with recorded instances of peat debris travelling many kilometres from the failure source. For example peat debris from the 1896 failure at Knockmageeha was recorded in excess of 15 km from the failure source. Following initial failure, peat debris tends to rapidly break down into slurry, which behaves as a viscous fluid. In many cases peat debris becomes confined and flows within a drainage line. Once peat debris has entered a drainage line it mixes with any water that may be present and becomes diluted which further increases its mobility.

Run-out distance versus failure volume for 44 reported peat failures is shown in Figure 10. Run-out distance is defined as the horizontal distance from the down-slope edge of the failure scar to the down-slope limit of failure debris. The relationship between run-out distance and volume is based in part on information contained in historical publications which were not subjected to the same level of scientific

scrutiny that applies today. As evidence of down slope extent of historical peat failures, and to a lesser degree failure scars, has long since been removed by nature it is not possible to verify this data. The objective is not to make definitive conclusions but to indicate likely trends. It is recognised that mobility is affected by many factors such as topography, degree of confinement (ranging from open slope to steep-sided gully), water content, slope inclination, roughness of travel path (Hunter & Fell, 2003). The historical records generally do not report these factors and as such the affect of these factors is not included in the relationship. Furthermore failure volume is based on the size of the failure scar and it is assumed that all debris evacuates the scar; this may not be the case. As peat debris is notably mobile and can be relatively easily transported in water it is difficult in many peat failures to define exactly the limit of the down-slope debris.

There is a general trend showing that run-out distance increases with failure volume, though there is a large scatter of results at larger failure volumes. Larger failure volumes seem to be associated with failures at raised bog sites which is consistent with the fact that raised bogs tend to be deeper and contain large volumes of peat. Further scrutiny of historical failure records would possibly identify other factors that could have affected mobility and allow an improvement in the relationship.

LIKELY CAUSAL FACTORS

The occurrence of peat failure can in many cases but not always be explained by the presence of trigger factors such as intense rainfall, loading of the peat surface or excavation of peat deposits and the presence of pre-existing factors such as morphological, geomorphological, hydrological and geological characteristics.

High intensity rainfall or periods of prolonged rainfall are the most common cited causal factor for peat failures. The recent failures that occurred at Pollatomish Co. Mayo and the Shetland Islands on the same night in September 2003 occurred during a period of intense localised rainfall (Long & Jennings, 2006; Dykes & Warburton, 2007). Shrinkage and cracking of the peat surface as a result of the dry summer beforehand may also predisposed the location to failure by providing pathways for the rainfall to the base of the peat. Colhoun et al. (1965) reports a failure that occurred in county Antrim when 5.5cm of rain fell in a 24-hour period.

Sudden loading of the peat surface has been a trigger factor for peat failure in Ireland (AGEC, 2004) and also in Canada (Hung & Evans; 1985). Failure is initiated by a bearing type failure beneath the loaded area resulting in development of shear planes within the peat mass below the loaded area. The failed peat effectively loses strength and increases the active pressure on the peat downslope. This leads to a progressive failure of the peat down-slope and in some cases can lead to an escalating and runaway failure. At Derrybrien (AGEC, 2004), the placement of a relatively small load on the peat surface led to a failure involving 450,000m³ of peat.

Excavation into peat is a common practice carried out mostly for either drainage or extraction of peat for fuel. Sollas *et al.* (1897) and Praeger (1897) describe the tragic failure in Co. Kerry in which eight people perished when a 3m high turf cutting gave way after a heavy downpour of rain. Natural excavation of peat due to stream undercutting has also been cited as a contributory factor in failure (Delap *et al.* 1932; Mitchell 1935). Tomlinson (1981) describes a failure in Co. Fermanagh where a 1m deep ditch intersects the source area of the slide. It was considered likely that the ditch created a weakness and encouraged water to flow and eventually the failure.

Slope inclination is a significant factor in controlling the occurrence of peat failure. Further analysis of the peat failures reported in the historical review (see Figure 11) shows a general trend of increasing peat thickness with reduction in slope angle. The vast majority of the failures are clustered between 4° and 8°. This may correspond to the slope angles that allow a significant amount of peat to develop that over time becomes potentially unstable. Mitchell (1938, p.54) suggests that “in all cases where bursts in mountain bogs have been investigated there are indications that growth had rendered the bog unstable, and that the burst acted as a safety valve to restore equilibrium”. There are a number of failures at high slope angles (above 20°) but based on the authors inspection of such failures, peat cover is generally thin and failure tends to involve underlying mineral soils.

Slope morphology has been shown to provide possible initiation points for failures. Convex break in slope has been cited as a feature in a number of failures (Tomlinson, 1981, Bishop & Mitchell, 1946; Mitchell, 1935). It is postulated that the peat upslope of the convex slope is notably thicker and weaker than the well-drained peat below the break. In the event of rupture at the break this leads to a retrogressive failure of peat upslope with little passive resistance provided by the lower slopes. At the other extreme, concave slopes have been noted at failure locations (Mitchell, 1938; Alexander et al., 1986) but the failures are characteristically different. Mitchell (1938, p.52) describes a failure in Co. Wicklow, where the peat on the upper slope “may have tended to slide slowly downhill, and as this movement was checked by the flatter slope of the spur, the peat may have welled up into a ridge”. It is postulated that tearing at the base of the ridge allowed the upper peat to move up on top of the intact peat surface below the concave break and the failure evolved. Evidence of possible

earlier failures further down slope may have been the causal factor rather than the concave break in slope.

A common feature of many failures is for slides to be initiated in depressions and watercourses of rivers (AGEC, 2004; Delap et al., 1932). During the early stages of peat development, peat formed first in waterlogged depressions and in channels where water flowed. This peat formed under high nutrient conditions from rainfall and the contribution from the surrounding mineral soils causing an increased level of humification in these depressions. The degradation of peat strength with increased decomposition may make these locations more prone to failure. The susceptibility of these channels to failure would be exacerbated by the concentration of runoff waters within the peat mass at these location.

The hydrology of blanket bogs and interference with it has been seen to be significant in a vast majority of peat failures. With the permeability of peat being relatively low in the range of 10^{-5} to 10^{-10} m/s decreasing significantly with humification (Ingram, 1983), blanket bogs use a network of macropores and pipes to transport water within the peat mass (Holden, 2006). The presence of natural pipes at the level of the failure surface is a common feature of slides, most recently at Pollatomish (Long & Jennings, 2006; Dykes and Warburton 2007) where, Murphy (2004) used ground penetrating radar (GPR) to identify pipes at the level of a failure surface. Warburton et al. (2004) who reviewed a number of hydrological aspects of peat mass movements concluded that a better understanding of the basic hydrology of peat and peat slopes is still required before it can be realistically modelled.

The influence of the underlying mineral soil on the occurrence of peat failures is generally studied from the point of view of its permeability. Alexander et al. (1986) describes at Straduff, Co. Sligo where the impermeable clay rich drift underlying the

peat is identified as a potentially significant factor. With many failures occurring at or close to the interface between the peat and the mineral soil, the interaction between both may be a significant factor in many failures. Warburton et al. (2004) observed various types of contacts at the peat – mineral soil interface ranging from a sharp contact to a complex connection. Many of the mineral failures at Pollatomish, Co. Mayo described by Long & Jennings (2006) occurred on a plane of organic material beneath the mineral soil (see Figure 12). Humic acids in the peat would have leached the mineral soil of soluble minerals and organic material by a process known as podzolisation creating well defined horizons of depletion and accumulation (Tan, 1994). The leached humus and minerals would have translocated to create the layer of organic material and poorly developed iron pan observed at the failure scars. The excess pore water pressure from the extreme rainfall event would have easily dissipated through and eroded this weak organic layer, mobilising the failures. It is also postulated that the impermeability of the poorly developed iron pan made these locations susceptible to failure (Dykes and Warburton, 2007). The formation of this podzol profile would have been facilitated by the alternate wetting and drying cycles (O'Dubhain, 1978) encountered on the thinly covered steep slopes of Pollatomish. Callier & Visser (1988) studied the effect of humic substances in peat on clay-sand mixtures and found varying types of aggregation depending on the type of clay particle. Aggregation may have positive benefits for soil, strengthening bonds and increasing shear strength. Söderblom (1974) conversely reports varying amounts of dispersion for a number of humic substances, which reduces the effective contact between soil particles and as a consequence soil strength is reduced. Pusch (1973) points out that the composition of humic substances suggests various types of interaction with clay particles and both dispersion and aggregation takes place

depending on the chemical composition of the environment. This is an area that deserves consideration in failures that occur at or below the peat – mineral interface.

SHEAR STRENGTH OF PEAT

A primary controlling factor in peat failures is the shear strength of peat. An understanding of the strength variation through a peat mass will provide an indication of the likely stability of the peat.

Classical soil mechanics and peat

Although peat is a decaying mass of soft organic material, soil mechanics strength models that were developed for mineral soils are routinely applied to peat. Figures 5a and 5b have shown that the fabric of peat is significantly different to mineral soils which makes the direct application of these classical soil mechanics models doubtful.

Drained strength

The drained strength of a soil refers to the shear strength of a soil when the pore pressures generated by shearing dissipate rapidly or are not present at all. It is usually determined using the Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion by Equation 1:

$$\tau = c' + \sigma' \tan \phi' \quad [1]$$

where τ = shear strength, c' = apparent cohesion and ϕ' = effective angle of shearing resistance. The effective stress (σ') is the portion of stress in the soil mass carried by the solid skeleton and is determined from the effective stress principal (Terzaghi, 1923) using Equation 2:

$$\sigma' = \sigma - u \quad [2]$$

where σ = total stress in the soil mass and u = pore water pressure.

Bishop & Eldin (1950) have shown for Equation 2 to be valid, it is required that the particles are incompressible which is unlikely to be the case in peat. Furthermore, the contacts between particles in peat are connections rather than the frictional contacts which make it unlikely that an effective angle of shearing resistance (ϕ') exists in peat. The combination of these two factors makes the applicability of Equation 1 in its present form to peat soils questionable.

In any case, effective friction angles (ϕ') for peat are regularly reported in literature with value ranging from less than 18° to as high as 58° (Hanrahan et al., 1967; Farrell & Hebib, 1998; Den Haan et al. 1995) with apparent cohesion values (c') occupying a equally scattered range. Landva (1980a) found from ring shear tests that at low normal stress levels, the value of ϕ' tended to decrease while c' values increased, possible due to fibre entanglement in the peat. At higher stress levels c' values reduced and ϕ' value increased. The type of laboratory test apparatus used has been shown to have a significant affect on the measured effective strength properties (Landva et al, 1986; Farrell & Hebib, 1998). The high effective friction angles are believed to be due to the reinforcing effects of the predominantly horizontally aligned fibres and attempts have been made to unravel their contribution by using a combination of tests utilising different modes of deformation (Landva & Rochelle, 1983) and calculating the part of the friction angle due to the fibres (Long & Jennings; 2006). Cola and Cortellazzo (2005) have attempted to measure the contribution of fibres by carrying out tests on intact and reconstituted samples without fibres.

Undrained Strength

Undrained shear strength (s_u) refers to the strength of soil in situations where the excess pore water pressures developed during shearing cannot dissipate and failure takes place. In mineral soils, failure occurs due to a reduction in effective stress brought about by the increase in pore water pressure. The fact that the very application of the effective stress principle in its classical form to peat is doubtful, makes the application of undrained shear strength correspondingly doubtful. Undrained shear strengths (s_u) have been reported to vary from 20 kPa in fibrous peat to below 4 kPa in more humified peats (Long, 2005). s_u been shown to vary with several factors, such as degree of humification and water content (see Figure 13, from Helenlund, 1980). Undrained strength ratios (s_u/σ_{vo}) are generally higher than mineral soils with values scattered between 0.3 and 0.8 in the normally consolidated range (Edil, 2001; Carlsten, 2000) with values less scattered for laboratory tests compared to field vane testing. Lechowicz (1994) found that s_u/σ_{vo} values observed a bilinear relationship with effective stress level with a marked increase in the over-consolidated region. The high permeability of peat makes it uncertain whether undrained conditions would exist for many insitu strength tests and design situations.

Shear strength testing

In-situ vane

In-situ vane testing is commonly used to obtain undrained strength values of soft soils and has been used in many investigations of peat in Ireland (Farrell and Davitt, 1996; Loughrey, 1996). Although the vane is routinely used in design, interpretation of results is complicated by the presence of fibres. Landva (1980b) studied the deformation of peat during shearing by the vane and noted a void opening up behind

the blade into which the compressed peat drained. The measured strength was also found to decrease with increasing vane size due to the fibre action relative to the size of the vane. It was concluded that the in-situ vane test in peat is of little engineering use and that it can in fact be directly misleading. Hanrahan (1994) acknowledged the limitation of the vane, but feels that it still remains a useful method to assess the variability with depth and presence of soft layers, see for example Piggot et al (1992). In the opinion of the author's in-situ vane strength values are of use only as an "index" property of the peat.

Cone penetration testing

Cone penetration testing with pore pressure measurements (CPTU) is routinely used to assess the undrained shear strength variation of soils by correlating tip resistance profiles with laboratory strength tests or in-situ vane tests. The use of CPTU in peat is complicated by the presence of fibres that cause scatter in the profile and difficulties in measuring resistance in very soft peat due to resistances being close to the accuracy of the equipment. Factors such as the variation of temperature between the surface and in the ground can have a significant influence on the operation of the cone due to the small resistances being measured (Lunne et al., 1986). Landva (1986) studied the deformation pattern of model cones in the laboratory and found it to be one of varying compression and tearing which does not resemble any real mode of deformation under structures on peatland, concluding that the CPTU test was of little engineering use. From the authors experience, pore pressure parameter (B_q) values from the CPTU in Irish peat are generally less than 0.3 which would indicate that the material behaves in a partially drained to drained manner (Schnaid et al., 2004). The CPTU can however provide a good vertical profile of the peat which can detect general variations in peat

condition and layering using the friction ratio (R_f) and pore pressure parameter (B_q) (Long, 2005).

Advanced penetrometers

Uncertainty about the deformation around the CPTU cone and the level of corrections to be applied to results has led researchers to look at larger full flow penetrometers such as the T-bar and Ball penetrometer (Chung & Randolph, 2004). These devices have the advantages of a greater bearing area, very little correction of data and closely bracketed plasticity solutions. Recent research (Boylan & Long, 2006) has shown that these penetrometers give a much more defined measure of the resistance than the CPTU probe in peat (see Figure 14) and problems associated with the CPTU measuring negative resistance due to temperature variations are eradicated due to the larger bearing areas of the T-bar and Ball. The T-bar and Ball were found to yield a narrower range of factors compared to the CPTU correlating measured resistance to undrained shear strength from other laboratory and in-situ tests. Further research is required to understand the failure mechanism of these penetrometers in structurally anisotropic soils, relate measured resistance to shear strength parameters obtained by other test methods and establish whether the use of advanced penetrometers in peat has practical merit.

Laboratory testing

Laboratory testing methods to test for the shear strength of peat are generally the same as for traditional soils. Triaxial compression (TC), direct shear (DS), direct simple shear (DSS) and ring shear (RS) have been used to measure undrained and effective

strength properties. Laboratory testing of peat strength properties is complicated by several factors;

- (a) Difficult to obtain and prepare samples due to the high water content and fibres;
- (b) Difficulties in maintaining and measuring small stresses on samples in order to model in-situ conditions;
- (c) Corrections due to apparatus compliance and membrane stiffness can be a large percentage of the measured strength;
- (d) Interpretation of actual failure is difficult due to large strains involved and excessive deformation; and
- (e) Structural anisotropy due to presence of fibres within peat can cause artificial reinforcement of sample.

Farrell and Hebib (1998) carried out a detailed study of the shear strength of peat using various laboratory tests. Effective friction angles (ϕ') measured in triaxial compression (TC) were found to be higher than those measures in either the direct shear (DS), ring shear (RS) or direct simple shear (DSS). It is thought the difference reflects the orientation of the fibres in relation to the direction of shearing. The undrained strength ratio (s_u/σ'_{vo}) was comparable for both triaxial compression and direct simple shear testing.

Landva et al. (1986) found that standard triaxial testing of peat is not particularly useful with samples deforming without reaching any maximum stress value. Direct shear testing (DS) was not recommended either owing to the uncertain stress distribution and mode of deformation. Ring shear (RS) testing was found to be useful to eliminate the effect of fibres and study peat at large strains. Direct simple shear (DSS) testing of peat has been used routinely by a number of researchers (Farrell &

Hebib, 1998; Farrell et al. 1999; Carlsten, 2000) and it is believed that its results are relevant to potential sliding surfaces in a layered soil such as peat. Long (2005) has carried out a detailed review of the problems associated with laboratory testing of peat and the trends reported by various researchers and concluded that ring shear and direct simple shear tests are the most useful laboratory tests for understanding the behaviour of peat in landslides.

SLOPE STABILITY ANALYSIS

Peat failures in upland blanket bogs for the most part resemble translational planar slides and several researchers (e.g. Long and Jennings, 2005; Hendrick, 1990; Dykes and Kirk, 2001; Warburton et al. 2003) have used a relatively simple infinite slope analysis in order to back analyse these slides. Other approaches to slope stability which search for curved and wedge type failure surfaces can result in over conservative results due to the dominant failure in peat being planar. Assumptions about inter slice forces in limit equilibrium analyses may also serve to make analyses overly conservative.

According to Haefli (1948) and subsequently Skempton and DeLory (1957), the factor of safety (FOS) for a planar translation slide, if the peat is assumed to behave in an undrained manner is given by Equation 3.

$$FOS = \frac{s_u}{\gamma z \sin \beta \cos \beta} \quad [3]$$

where s_u = undrained shear strength of peat, γ = bulk unit weight, β = slope angle on base of sliding and z = depth of failure surface.

Equation 4 is used for effective stress strength analysis, assuming steady seepage of groundwater parallel to ground level.

$$FoS = \frac{c'}{\gamma z \cos \beta \sin \beta} + \frac{\left(\gamma - \gamma_w \left(\frac{z_w}{z} \right) \right)}{\gamma} \frac{\tan \phi'}{\tan \beta} \quad [4]$$

where c' = apparent drained cohesion of peat, ϕ' = effective angel of shearing resistance, γ_w = bulk unit weight of water and z_w = height of water table measured from the failure surface upwards. Equations 3 and 4 both ignore the passive resistance provided by material at the toe of the failure surface but this becomes less significant as the length of failure over depth ratio increases.

Figure 15 shows the sensitivity analysis of the c' and Z_w parameters on the calculated FOS for various bulk unit weights (γ) using Equation 4. It can be seen that for low bulk unit weights, the value of c' and Z_w play an increasing significant role in the calculated FOS. For a typical blanket bog site where the unit weight of peat is close to that of water ($\gamma \approx 10\text{kN/m}^2$) and the water table is high ($Z_w/Z \approx 1$), the c' parameter essentially dictates the resulting FOS. In these circumstances, Equations 3 and 4 are largely the same and results are dependent on the values of c' and s_u .

As discussed earlier in the section on shear strength of peat, the applicability of both the undrained and drained strength methods to peat is highly questionable. In purely drainage terms it is likely that the mode of failure is in reality partially drained. Given the high degree of uncertainty associated with such analyses current practice in Ireland, for an indication of the risk of peat slides, is to utilise a pessimistic s_u value (e.g. the lowest value measured) and a relatively high safety factor (e.g. 1.4). The difficulties in determining a c' values mentioned earlier make Equation 3 a much more

practical option. In-situ vane tests are typically used to derive an s_u value, but given the effects of fibres on the vane and the mode of deformation, this test can be directly misleading. Even with the doubts about undrained shear strength, the value of s_u should at least be from a test where the mode of deformation is comparable to the situation under analysis (i.e. direct simple shear for peat failures).

Rigorous finite element techniques which allow for 3 dimensional (3D) analysis of the peat mass, modelling of the hydrological processes and accurate simulation of the stress paths followed by elements of peat during different events (i.e. elevated pore pressures, loading, excavation) could lead to a better understanding of peat failures and the risk of them occurring. Before this can happen however, fundamental research on peat strength and how it is derived needs to be carried out and specific material models for peat developed.

SUMMARY

The paper has examined the topic of peat failures in Ireland with emphasis on the areas that would be of interest to an engineer\engineering geologist assessing this geohazard. The distribution of peat throughout Ireland, its formation, and its typical characteristic properties have been discussed. A review of historical failures is given and an assessment made of the hazards and risks associated with peat failures. Hazard and risk assessment of peat failures in Ireland shows that:

1. Historical records show up to 70 failures in last 400 years, which is an underestimate of the actual number. There has been an increase in reported peat failures from about 1800 onwards. This is possibly due to an increased awareness of failures with an associated increased development in peatland areas.

2. Failure volumes up to about 5 million cubic metres have been recorded. However most failures are generally much smaller, with in most cases smaller failures unlikely to be reported.
3. There is a general trend of increasing peat thickness with reduction in slope angle. The majority of the failures are clustered between 4° and 8°. This may correspond to the slope angles that allow a significant amount of peat to develop that over time becomes potentially unstable.
4. There are a number of failures at high slope angles (above 20°) but based on the author's inspection of such failures, peat cover is generally thin and failure tends to involve underlying mineral soils.
5. Run-out distance is variable ranging from a few hundred metres up to many kilometres. In general larger failure volumes travel the greatest distances, with failure volumes in excess of 1 million m³ likely travelling in excess of 4 km.
6. Over the period 1600 to the present day the estimated number of fatalities are 36, which equates to a probability of 0.1 fatalities per year. Fatalities are generally associated with larger failures.
7. Risk of a fatality associated with peat failure, taking into account the exposure of the population likely to be at risk, is of the order of a probability of 10⁻⁷ fatalities per year. In developed countries where there are landslide problems the acceptable risk threshold is typically 10⁻³ to 10⁻⁴ fatalities per year.

Likely causal factors for peat slides have been reviewed. Understanding the reasons for past slides and prediction of future slides is a complex issue but the most significant factors appear to be the possibility of intense rainfall and the local morphology, geomorphology and hydrology.

The issue of peat strength has been discussed and is clearly an area where more fundamental research is required. Peat has significant fabric and structural differences which make the direct application of traditional soil mechanics strength models doubtful. Furthermore there are many uncertainties and difficulties about the use of standard laboratory and in situ shear strength test methods.

The huge areas of uncertainty that exist about peat strength and causal factors of failure mean that slope stability analyses in peat can not be relied on and only used as an indication of stability. Current practice is to use cautious infinite slope stability methods with low values of undrained shear strength (s_u) and high factors of safety. The presence of any causal factors should also be identified in any stability assessment.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first author would like to acknowledge RPS Consulting Engineers and the Geotechnical Trust Fund of Engineers Ireland from whom he has received scholarships. The first author is also grateful for the support of the Environmental RTDI Programme 2000-2006, financed by the Irish Government under the National Development Plan and administered on behalf of the Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government by the Environmental Protection Agency.

REFERENCES

- Alexander , R., Coxon, P. and Thorn, R. T. (1985) Bog Flows in South-east Sligo and South-west Leitrim. IQUA Field Guide No. 8
- Alexander, R. W., Coxon, P. and Thorn, R.H. (1986) A bog flow at Straduff town land, County Sligo, *Proceeding of the Royal Irish Academy*, B, **86**, 107-119.
- An Foras Taluntais (1980). *The Peatlands of Ireland*, Second Edition.
- Applied Ground Engineering Consultants (AGEC) (2004) Final Report on Derrybrien Wind Farm Post-Landslide Site Appraisal.
- Arman (1971) Discussion on Skempton and Petley (1970), *Géotechnique*, **21**(4), 418 – 421.
- Baden, W. and Eggelsman, R. (1963) Zur Durchlässigkeit der Moorboden. *Zeitschrift für Kulturtechnik*, **4**, 226-254.
- Bishop, A. W., Eldin, G. (1950) Undrained triaxial tests on saturated sands and their significance in the general theory of shear strength, *Géotechnique*, **2**(1), 13-32.
- Bishop, D. W., Mitchell, G. F. (1946) On a recent bog-flow in Meenacharvy townland, Co. Donegal, *Scientific Proceeding, Royal Dublin Society*, **24**, 151-156.
- Blackford, J. J., Chambers, F. M. (1993) Determining the degree of peat decomposition for peat based palaeoclimatic studies, *International Peat Journal*, **5**, 7-24.
- Boylan, N. and Long, M. (2006) Characterisation of peat using full flow penetrometers. *4th International conference on soft soil engineering (ICSSE)*, Vancouver. October, Chan and Law eds. Published by Taylor and Francis, London, 403 – 414.

- Caillier, M. and Visser, S. A. (1988) Observations on the dispersion and aggregation of clays by humic substances, II. Short-term effects of humic rich peat water on clay aggregation, *Geoderma*, **43**, 1-9.
- Carlsten, P. (1988) Peat - Geotechnical properties and up-to-date methods for design and construction. *Swedish Geotechnical Institute*, Varia 215, Linköping.
- Carlsten, P. (2000). Geotechnical properties of some Swedish peats. *NGM - 2000, Nordiska Geoteknikermötet*, **13**, Helsinki, 51-60.
- Chung, S. F. and Randolph, M. F. (2004) Penetration resistance in soft clay for different shaped penetrometers, *Proceedings ISC-2 on Geotechnical and Geophysical Site Characterization*, 671-677.
- Creighton, R. et al. (2006). Landslides in Ireland. Published by *Geological Survey of Ireland*.
- Cola, S. and Cortellazzo, G. (2005) The shear strength behaviour of two peaty soils. *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*, **23**(6), 679-695.
- Colhoun, E. A., Common, R. and Cruickshank, M. M. (1965). Recent bog flows and debris slides in the north of Ireland. *Sci. Proc. R. Dubl. Soc. A*(2), 163-74.
- Connolly, J., Holden, N. M. and Ward, S. M. (2007) Mapping peatlands in Ireland using a rule-based methodology and digital data. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, **71**(2), 492-499.
- Clymo, R. S. (1983). Peat. *Ecosystems of the world 4A. Mire: Swamp, Bog, Fen and Moor*. Elsevier.
- Delap, A. D. Farrington, A., Praeger, R. Li. and Smyth, L. B. (1932) Report on the Recent Bog Flow at Glencullin, Co. Mayo. *Scient. Proc. Royal Dubl. Soc.* **20** (17), 181-92.

- Den Haan, E. J., Uriel, A. O. and Rafnsson, E. A. (1995) Theme report 7, special problem soil / rocks". *Proc. XI ECSMFE*, Copenhagen, **9**, 9.139 - 9.189.
- Dykes , A. P. and Warburton, J. (2007) Significance of geomorphological and subsurface drainage controls on failures of peat-covered hillslopes triggered by extreme rainfall. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*. DOI: 10.1002/esp.1499
- Dykes, A. P. and Kirk K. J. (2006) Slope instability and mass movements in peat deposits. *Peatlands: Evolution and Records of Environmental and Climate Changes*, Martini I. P. Martínez Cortizas A. Chesworth W (Eds.), Elsevier, Amsterdam, 377-406.
- Dykes A. P. and Warburton J. (2006) Mass movements in peat: a formal classification scheme. *Geomorphology*. DOI: 10.1016/j.geomorph.2006.08.009
- Dykes A. P. and Kirk K. J. (2001) Initiation of a multiple peat slide on Cuilcagh Mountain, Northern Ireland. *Earth Surf. Proc. Land.*, **26**, 395-408.
- Edil, T.B. (2001). Site characterisation in peat and organic soils. *Proc. Int. Conf. on In Situ Measurement of Soil Properties and Case Histories*, Bali, Indonesia, 49 – 59.
- Farrell, E and Davitt, S. (1996) Bunratty Embankment – Overview of Performance. In *Road Embankments on Soft Ground in Ireland*. Geot. Soc. Ireland / Inst. Eng. Ireland, March 1996, 28-42.
- Farrell, E. R. and Hebib, S. (1998) The determination of the geotechnical parameters of organic soils. *Proceedings of International Symposium on Problematic Soils, IS-TOHOKU 98*, Sendai, Japan, 33-36.
- Farrell, E.R., Jonker, S.K., Knibbeler, A.G.M. and Brinkgrieve, R.B.J. (1999) Use of direct simple shear test for the design of a motorway on peat. *Proc. 12th*

- European Conference on Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering*, Amsterdam, 1027-1033.
- Feehan, J. and O'Donovan, G. (1996) *The Bogs of Ireland – An Introduction to the Natural, Cultural and Industrial Heritage of Irish Peatlands*. UCD Environmental Institute.
- Fell, R. and Hartford, D. (1997) Landslide risk management. In *Landslide Risk Assessment* (eds. D. Cruden and R. Fell). Balkema, Rotterdam, 51-108.
- Haefli, R. (1948) The stability of slopes acted on by parallel seepages. *Proc. 2nd ICFSMFE*, **1**, 134-148.
- Hanrahan, E.T. (1994) Discussion on Major canal reconstruction in peat by Pigott et al., *Proceeding Institute of Civil Engineers, Water Maritime & Energy*, **106**, 299-403.
- Hanrahan, E.T., Dunne, J.M. and Sodha, V.G. (1967) Shear strength of peat, *Proc. Geotech. Conf. Oslo*, **1**, 193-198.
- Helenlund, K. V. (1980). Geotechnical properties and behaviour of Finnish peats, *Valtion Teknillinen Tutkimuskeskus, VTT symposium 8*, reprint, 85-107.
- Hendrick, E. (1990) A Bog Flow at Bellacorrick Forest, Co. Mayo. *Irish Forestry*, **47**(1), 32-44
- Hobbs, N. B. (1986) Mire morphology and the properties and behaviour of some British and foreign peats. *Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology*, London, **19**, 7-80.
- Holden, J. (2006) Peatland Hydrology. *Peatlands: Evolution and Records of Environmental and Climate Changes*, Martini I. P. Martínez Cortizas A. Chesworth W (Eds.), Elsevier, Amsterdam, 319-346.

- Holden, J. and Burt T. P. (2003) Hydrological studies on blanket peat: the significance of the acrotelm-catotelm model. *Journal of Ecology*. **91**, 86-102.
- Hungr, O. & Evans, S. G. (1985). An example of a peat flow near Prince Rupert, British Columbia. *Can. Geotech. Jnl.*, **22**, 246-249.
- Hunter, G. and Fell, R. (2003). Travel distance angle for rapid landslides in constructed and natural slopes. *Can. Geotech. Jnl*, **40**, 1123-1141.
- Hutchinson, J. N. (1988). General report: Morphological and geotechnical parameters of landslides in relation to geology and hydrogeology. *Proc. 5th Int. Symp. Landslides, Switzerland*, **1**, 3-35.
- Ingram, H. A. P. (1978) Soil layers in mires: function and terminology. *Journal of Soil Science*. **29**, 224-227
- Ingram, H. A. P. (1983). Hydrology. *Ecosystems of the World 4A. Mires: Swamp, Bog, Fen and Moor*. Elsevier, Oxford.
- Kinahan, G. H. (1897) Peat bogs and debacles. *Trans. Inst. Civ. Eng. Ireland*. **26**, 98-123.
- Landva, A.O. (1980a) Geotechnical Behaviour and Testing of Peat, *PhD thesis*, Laval University, Quebec.
- Landva, A. O. (1980b). Vane testing in peat. *Canadian Geot. Jnl.*, **17**(1), 1-19.
- Landva, A.O. (1986). In situ testing of peat, *ASCE Special Geotechnical Publication*, **6**, 191-205.
- Landva, A. O., Korpijaakko, E. O. and Pheeney, P. E. (1983). Geotechnical classification of peats and organic soils. *Testing of Peats and Organic Soils*, ASTM STP 820, P.M. Jarrett Ed., 37-51.

- Landva, A. O., Pheaney, P.E., LaRochelle, P and Briaud, J.L. (1986). Structures on peatland – geotechnical investigations. *Proc. Advances in Peatlands Engineering*, Ottawa, 31-52.
- Landva, A.O. and La Rochelle, P. (1983). Compressibility and shear strength characteristics of Radforth Peats. *Testing of Peats and Organic Soils*, ASTM STP 820, P.M. Jarrett Ed., 157 – 191.
- Lechowicz, Z. (1994). An evaluation of the increase in shear strength of organic soils. *Advances in the Understanding and Modelling the Mechanical Behaviour of Peat*, ed. Den Hann et al., Balkema, Rotterdam, 167 - 180.
- Lefebvre, G, Langlois, P., Lupien, C. and Lavallee, J (1984). Laboratory testing on in situ peat as embankment foundation. *Can. Geotech. Jnl.*, **21**, 322-337.
- Lévesque, M. P., Mathur, S.P. (1979) A comparison of various means of measuring the degree of decomposition of virgin peat materials in the context of their relative biodegradability, *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, **59**, 397-400.
- Long, M. (2005). Review of peat strength, peat characterization and constitutive modeling of peat with reference to landslides, *Studia Geotechnica et Mechanica*, **27**, 67-90.
- Long, M. and Jennings, P. (2006). Analysis of the peat slide at Pollatomish, Co. Mayo, Ireland. *Landslides*, **3**(1), 51-61.
- Loughrey, L. (1996). Soft ground Issues on the Curlew Mountain Road Project. In: *Road Embankments on Soft Ground in Ireland*. Geot. Soc. Ireland/ Inst. Eng. Ireland, March 1996, 91-105
- Lunne, T., Eidsmoen, T., Gillespie, D. and Howland, J. D. (1986) Laboratory and field evaluation of cone penetrometers. *Proc. of the ASCE Spec. Conf. In Situ '86: Use of In Situ Test in Geotechnical Engineering*, Blacksburg, 714-729.

- MacFarlane, I. C. and Radforth, M. W. (1964). A study of the physical behaviour of peat derivatives under compression. *Proc. 10th Muskeg Conf.*, Technical Memorandum 85, Ottawa.
- Mitchell, G. F. (1935) On a recent bog-flow in the county Clare, *Scientific Proceeding, Royal Dublin Society*, 21, pp. 247-251.
- Mitchell, G. F. (1938) On a recent bog-flow in the county Wicklow, *Scientific Proceeding, Royal Dublin Society*, 22, pp. 49-55.
- Mitchell, J. K. (1993) Fundamentals of soil behaviour. 2nd Edition, Wiley, New York
- Moore, P. D. and Bellamy, D. (1974) *Peatlands*. Elek, London
- Murphy S (2004) A geophysical investigation of a large scale peat slide on Dooncarton Mountain. *Unpublished MSc Thesis*, University of Leeds.
- Murray, C. (1997) Tipperary 1997:539 DER218, Derryfadda. Database of Irish Excavation Reports. <http://www.excavations.ie>
- O'Dubhain, T. (1978). A comparative study of peaty iron pan podzols in the Dublin and Wicklow mountains. *Unpublished MAgSc Degree Thesis*, University College Dublin.
- Pearsall, W. H. (1950). *Mountains and Moorland*. Collins, London.
- Pigott, P. T., Hanrahan, E. T. and Somers, N. (1992) Major canal reconstruction in peat. *Proc. Instn. Civ. Engrs. Water Marit. & Energy*, **96**, 141 – 152.
- Praeger, R. L. (1897). Bog bursts, with special reference to the recent disaster in Co. Kerry, *Irish Naturalist*, **6**, 141-162.
- Pusch, R. (1973). Influence of salinity and organic matter on the formation of clay microstructure, *Proceedings of International symposium on soil structure*, Göteborg, 161-173.

- Radforth, N. W. (1969) Classification of muskeg, *Muskeg Engineering Handbook*, MacFarlane, I. C. (ed.), University of Toronto Press. 31-52.
- Rochus, W., Sipos, S. (1976) Degree of humification and composition of the humus complex from different low bogs, *Proc. 5th International Peat Conference*, Poznan, **2**, 320-328.
- Reeves, A., Ho, K.K.S. and Lo, D.O.K. (1999) Interim risk criteria for landslides and boulder falls from natural terrain. In *Proceedings of Seminar on Geotechnical Risk Management*, Geotechnical Division, Hong Kong Institution of Engineers, 127-136.
- Schnaid, F., Lehane, B. M. and Fahey, M. (2004) In situ test characterisation of unusual geomaterials. *Proc. of 2nd Int. Conf. on Geotechnical and Geophysical Site Characterisation, ISC'2*, Porto, **1**, 49-73. Millpress.
- Schnitzer, M. (1967) Humic-fulvic acid relationships in organic soils and humification of the organic matter in these soils, *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, **47**, 245-250.
- Skempton, A.W. and De Lory, F.A. (1957) Stability of natural slopes in London Clay. *Proc. 4th ICSMFE*, Rotterdam, **2**, 72-78.
- Skempton, A. W. and Petley D. J. (1970) Ignition loss and other properties of peats and clays from Avonmouth, King's Lynn and Cranberry Moss. *Géotechnique*, **20**(4), 343-356.
- Sollas, W. J., Praeger, R.L., Dixon, A.F. and Delap, A. (1897). Report of the Committee appointed by the royal Dublin Society to investigate the recent bog-flow in Kerry. *Sci. Proc. R. Dublin Soc.*, **8**, 475-508.
- Söderblom, R. (1974). Organic matter in Swedish clays and its importance for quick clay formation. *Swedish Geotechnical Institute, Proceedings*, **26**.

- Tallis, J. H. (1998). Growth and degradation of British and Irish blanket mires. *Environmental Reviews*, **6**, 81-122.
- Tan, K. H. (1994) *Environmental soil science*. Marcel Dekker, New York.
- Terzaghi, K. v. (1923) Die Berechnung der Durchlässigkeitsziffer des tones aus dem Verlauf der hydrodynamischen Spannungserscheinungen, *Sitzungber. Akad. Wiss. Wien*, **132**, 125-138
- Tomlinson, R. W. (1981). A preliminary note on the bog-burst at Carrowmaculla, Co. Fermanagh, November 1979. *Irish Naturalists Journal*, **20**, 313-316.
- Tomlinson, R. W. and Gardiner, T. (1982) Seven bog slides in the Slieve-an-Orra hills, County Antrim. *J. Earth Sci. R. Dubl. Soc.*, **5**, 1-9.
- von Post, L. and Granlund, E. (1926) Peat resources in southern Sweden. *Sveriges geologiska undersökning*, Yearbook, 19.2 Series C, **335**, 1 – 127, Stockholm
- Warburton, J., Higgitt, D. and Mill, A. J. (2003) Anatomy of a Pennine Peat Slide, Northern England. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, **28**(5), 457-473.
- Warbuton, J., Holden, J. and Mills, A.J. (2004) Hydrological controls of surficial mass movements in peat. *Earth-Science Reviews*, **67**, 139-156.

Figures for paper: Peat failures in Ireland

by Boylan, Jennings and Long

Fig. 1. Failures at Pollatomish, Co. Mayo - September 2003

Fig. 2. Location of peat failures in Ireland (Creighton et al., 2006)

Fig. 3. Peatland map of Ireland (from Connolly et al., 2007)

Fig. 4. Typical index properties for a lowland blanket bog study site in Co. Mayo: (a) humification, (b) moisture content, (c) dry density, (d) loss on ignition and (e) linear shrinkage.

Fig. 5. (a) SEM of peat micro structure (b) Close-up SEM of microstructure and particle connections

Fig. 6. Basal shear failure surface of Derrybrien peat failure

Fig. 7. Number of peat failures and fatalities in Ireland from 1600 to present

Fig. 8. Reported peat failures per month up to 1985 (Alexander et al., 1985)

Fig 9. Volume of peat failures from 1600 to present

Fig. 10. Failure volume versus run out distance

Fig. 11. Slope angle at failure site against peat thickness

Fig 12. Dislocated organic matter beneath mineral soil - Pollatomish

Fig. 13. Relationship between shear strength, moisture content and degree of humification (Helenlund, 1980)

Fig. 14. Comparison of penetrometers in peat (Boylan and Long, 2006)

Fig. 15. Sensitivity analysis of Equation 4