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Our starting point is an appendix to Raymond C. Gourlay’s recent work on...
II

The English model was introduced in 1838. Ireland was divided into new administrative units called Poor Law Unions, and a valuation system similar to those in Scotland became the rule. As in Scotland, various future revisions and extensions were made, and the figures shown below are derived from the Small and Large Poor Law Tables. The figures are shown in the following table (pages 379-384).

The table is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1836</td>
<td>8.419</td>
<td>359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1837</td>
<td>8.402</td>
<td>357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1838</td>
<td>8.401</td>
<td>357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1839</td>
<td>8.404</td>
<td>356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1840</td>
<td>8.405</td>
<td>356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1841</td>
<td>8.406</td>
<td>355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1842</td>
<td>8.407</td>
<td>354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1843</td>
<td>8.408</td>
<td>353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1844</td>
<td>8.409</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1845</td>
<td>8.410</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1846</td>
<td>8.411</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1847</td>
<td>8.412</td>
<td>349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1848</td>
<td>8.413</td>
<td>348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1849</td>
<td>8.414</td>
<td>347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1850</td>
<td>8.415</td>
<td>346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1851</td>
<td>8.416</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1852</td>
<td>8.417</td>
<td>344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1853</td>
<td>8.418</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1854</td>
<td>8.419</td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1855</td>
<td>8.420</td>
<td>341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1856</td>
<td>8.421</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1857</td>
<td>8.422</td>
<td>339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1858</td>
<td>8.423</td>
<td>338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1859</td>
<td>8.424</td>
<td>337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1860</td>
<td>8.425</td>
<td>336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1861</td>
<td>8.426</td>
<td>335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1862</td>
<td>8.427</td>
<td>334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1863</td>
<td>8.428</td>
<td>333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1864</td>
<td>8.429</td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1865</td>
<td>8.430</td>
<td>331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1866</td>
<td>8.431</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1867</td>
<td>8.432</td>
<td>329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1868</td>
<td>8.433</td>
<td>328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1869</td>
<td>8.434</td>
<td>327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1870</td>
<td>8.435</td>
<td>326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1871</td>
<td>8.436</td>
<td>325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1872</td>
<td>8.437</td>
<td>324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1873</td>
<td>8.438</td>
<td>323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1874</td>
<td>8.439</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1875</td>
<td>8.440</td>
<td>321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1876</td>
<td>8.441</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1877</td>
<td>8.442</td>
<td>319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1878</td>
<td>8.443</td>
<td>318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1879</td>
<td>8.444</td>
<td>317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1880</td>
<td>8.445</td>
<td>316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1881</td>
<td>8.446</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1882</td>
<td>8.447</td>
<td>314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1883</td>
<td>8.448</td>
<td>313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1884</td>
<td>8.449</td>
<td>312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1885</td>
<td>8.450</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1886</td>
<td>8.451</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1887</td>
<td>8.452</td>
<td>309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1888</td>
<td>8.453</td>
<td>308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1889</td>
<td>8.454</td>
<td>307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1890</td>
<td>8.455</td>
<td>306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1891</td>
<td>8.456</td>
<td>305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1892</td>
<td>8.457</td>
<td>304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1893</td>
<td>8.458</td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1894</td>
<td>8.459</td>
<td>302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1895</td>
<td>8.460</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1896</td>
<td>8.461</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1897</td>
<td>8.462</td>
<td>299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1898</td>
<td>8.463</td>
<td>298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1899</td>
<td>8.464</td>
<td>297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>8.465</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table is continued on page 384.
would be of the order of:

\[
\text{valuation was 25 to 60 in the \$1 under listing value, the current rental, calculated}
\]

both valuations—and also allow for the judgment that the incorrect, temporary

be a part of the process.—we are concerned with the rental element in

Substructures in the landlords’ accounts are those of poor-law, etc., and the

would be: one of Griffith, as far as the poor-law valuation, while Pittman, one of Griffith,

amount to two-thirds of the poor-law valuation, while Pittman, one of Griffith’s

would be. The scale of fixed prices was used by the Griffith valuations, whereas the poor-law

most important elections, which were, of course, closer to the Griffith valuations, whereas the poor-law

the results of the poor-law valuation, for the whole county

Here, \( \text{valuation \times 0.79 \times 1.12 = \$1.17 million} \)

when the calculations are as follows:

This being said, the Griffith’s valuation figure, the Griffithian land rent can

non-estate property are unvaluable for 1875, so the proportions obtained in 1875

which distinguishes between those parts of the valuation attributable to land and to

well distinguished, this figure is taken to be satisfactory for our purposes. Each

five per cent on costs which are found to be reasonable in arriving at the

irrelevant assumptions were made, and obtained an average Si 39.9%. Stamp combined with these figures with the best possible estimate for each

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
  \hline
  County & 13 & 9 & 6 & 8 & 4 & 5 & 10 \\
  \hline
  Value & 20 & 20 & 20 & 20 & 20 & 20 & 20 \\
  \hline
  Percentage & 15-20 & 10-15 & 5-10 & 10 & 10 & 10 & 10 \\
  \hline
  \end{tabular}

Letting value—\$ 2 per cent greater than valuation

Valuation equals letting value

\( \text{valuation equals letting value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)

\( \text{valuation equals listing value} \)
£8.5 million. Griffith went on to say that the average letting value had fallen to under £1 million. His figures were based on a study of the market and not on any theoretical calculations. Griffith assumed that the 1930-31 slump in the real estate market had its effect on the letting market. He estimated that the average letting value had fallen to under £1 million in 1932. This was compared with £10 million in 1930. Griffith believed that the fall in the real estate market was due to the economic crisis of the 1930s and not to any theoretical calculations. He noted that the market for land was affected by the economic crisis, and that the fall in the letting market had its effect on the real estate market. Griffith believed that the market for land was affected by the economic crisis, and that the fall in the letting market had its effect on the real estate market.
The output and input levels combined seem to suggest that average non-landed

The results are presented in Table 2, and only a few very tentative observations are offered here. It would be rash to seek a standard of living or income solely in the figures.

In this context, it may be asked to understand the procedure and operation of the technique of this landings in

The results are presented in Table 2, and only a few very tentative observations are offered here. It would be rash to seek a standard of living or income solely in the figures.

Irish landlords, unlike many of their English counterparts, were not enthusiastic

This substantial increase was not the result of a ready-rising landlord policy.

Sawoem estimate.

increase in terms announced to 33.7 per cent, more or less in line with the stamp

in terms announced to 33.7 per cent, more or less in line with the stamp

Paribas L. Sawoem, have shown that they had risen by at least thirty per cent by
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