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**Abstract**—In this paper, we study the possible output of the pulsed digital oscillator (PDO) with multiple resonant modes of the mechanical resonator in the feedback loop. PDOs are simple circuits that allow linear resonators to maintain self-sustained oscillations and can work as mass-change resonant sensors. For a resonant sensor, activation of higher vibration modes of a mechanical resonator can be a way to improve its performance. We present experimental results in [9]. In this work, we focus on the particular problem of how the location of the sensing/actuation system affects the output and can enhance higher mechanical modes.

---

**I. INTRODUCTION**

Most of the methods that have been proposed to improve the sensitivity of gravimetric resonant sensors are based on increasing their operating frequency. For instance, parametric resonance amplification is an example of an efficient technique [1] that allows the excitation of the same mechanical structure at a higher frequency to improve sensitivity. The use of higher order modes generally serves to increase the sensitivity to mass changes, whereas it is desired in gyroscopes to avoid the activation of certain resonant modes of the inertial mass [2]. Depending on the specific application, the selective activation of different spatial vibration modes of a mechanical resonator can be one way to improve performance for a large number of MEMS sensors [3], [4].

The aim of this paper is to examine the output of the PDO [5]–[7], working with multiple resonances of the mechanical structure (see fig. 1). Recently, we have shown that this topology is capable of actuating various spatial modes of the mechanical resonator, depending on the sampling frequency and the number of delays in the feedback loop [8] and presented experimental results in [9]. In this work, we focus on the particular problem of how the location of the sensing/actuation system affects the output of the PDO. We consider that the beam is excited by the external force $F(\xi, \tau)$. The equation and the boundary conditions that describe the transverse vibrations of the beam in dimensionless form are as follows [10]:

$$\frac{\partial^4 u}{\partial \xi^4} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \tau^2} + \gamma \frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} = F(\xi, \tau) ,$$

(1)

$$u(0, \tau) = \partial u(0, \tau)/\partial \xi = 0 \text{ and } \partial^2 u(1, \tau)/\partial \xi^2 = \partial^3 u(1, \tau)/\partial \xi^3 = 0 .$$

with the dimensionless variables and parameters $\xi = x/l$, $\tau = (t/l^2)\sqrt{E/A\rho}$ and $\gamma = c\ell^2/\sqrt{E\rho A\ell^3}$. In (1), $u(x, t)$ is the transverse displacement at the position $\xi$ and time $\tau$, $E$ is the Young’s modulus, $I$ is the moment of inertia of the cross-section (for beams with a rectangular cross-section $I = bh^3/12$), $\rho$ is the density, $A$ is the area of the cross-section, $c$ is the dissipation coefficient, $\gamma$ is the dimensionless dissipation parameter, $l$ is the length of the beam, $b$ is its width and $h$ is its thickness.

Next, we discretise the continuous equation (1) by using a truncated set of linear mode shapes [10], [11]

$$u(\xi, \tau) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \xi_i(\tau) \psi_i(\xi) .$$

(2)

For a clamped-free beam, these functions are $\psi_i(\xi) = c\text{osh}(\Omega_i \xi) - \text{cosh}(\Omega_i \xi) + \nu_i\text{si}(\Omega_i \xi) - \text{sih}(\Omega_i \xi))$, where $\nu_i = (\text{cos} \Omega_i + \text{cosh} \Omega_i)/(\text{sin} \Omega_i + \text{sinh} \Omega_i)$, and $\Omega_i$ are the roots of the equation $\cos \Omega \cosh \Omega = -1$.

Substituting (2) into (1), multiplying the latter equation by $\psi_j$, integrating over the beam domain and taking into account the orthogonality of the functions $\psi_i$, we obtain a system of ordinary differential equations with the functions $u$: $\xi_i(\tau)$.
$F_t(\tau) = \int_0^1 \psi_i(\xi)F_i(\xi, \tau) d\xi$ in the right hand of the equations. These functions are the 'projections' of the external force to each eigenmode and define what quantity of the force is transmitted to excite the oscillations of a mode.

The external driving for the PDO has the following form:

$$F_i(\xi, \tau) = -\sigma F_0 \sum_n \text{sign}(u(\xi_n, \tau_n)) \delta(\xi - \xi_n) \delta(\tau - \tau_n),$$

where $L + 1$ is the number of delays in the feedback, $\tau_n = nT_s$ is the instants of time at which we apply the force pulse, $T_s = 1/f_s$ are the dimensionless time between two impulses, $\delta(x)$ is the Dirac delta function and $\text{sign}(x)$ is the signum function. In eq. (3), $\xi$ is the point of the microcantilever at which the sensing system measures the resonator position and $\xi_a$ is the point at which the actuating system applies the external driving in order to maintain the oscillations.

Thus the system that represents each spatial resonant mode in the PDO as the mass-spring-damper system with appropriate parameters such as the dissipation parameter $\gamma$ and the temporal frequency $\omega_m = \Omega_i^2/\Omega_m$ (where $\Omega_m$ are solutions of the corresponding equation) has the following form:

$$\ddot{x}_i + \gamma \dot{x}_i + \Omega_i^2 x_i = -\sigma F_{0i} \sum_n b_{n-L-i} \delta(\tau - \tau_n),$$

where $F_{0i} = F_0 \psi_i(\xi_a)$. Based on eq. (2) and on condition that $\psi_1(\xi_a) > 0$, one can write that

$$b_n = \text{sign}\{x_1(\tau_n) + \beta_2 x_2(\tau_n) + \ldots + \beta_M x_M(\tau_n)\}, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\beta_i = \psi_i(\xi_a)/\psi_1(\xi_a). \quad (6)$$

Formula (6) introduces a family of parameters in order to avoid always operating in terms of the spatial functions $\psi_i$. The parameters $\beta_i$ now define the “geometry” of the sensing system and the contribution of the $i$th spatial mode to the overall position of the resonator.

In the same manner, we can introduce the parameters $\mu_i$ that define the “geometry” of the actuating system and the impact of the external driving on the $i$th mode excitation:

$$\mu_i = \psi_i(\xi_a)/\psi_1(\xi_a), \quad F_{0i} = \mu_i F_{01}. \quad (7)$$

The examined system is subjected to pulsed excitation. If we define the sequence $\{x_{i,n}, \dot{x}_{i,n}\} = \{x_i(\tau_n), \dot{x}_i(\tau_n)/d\tau\}$, that is the sequence of the sampled ‘position’ and ‘velocity’ taken from the mass-spring-damper equations (4) for each $i$th spatial mode, we will be able to formulate iterative equations that describe the dynamics of the system in terms of the introduced variables.

The set of iterative equations has the following form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{i,n+1} \\ \dot{x}_{i,n+1} \end{pmatrix} = \alpha_i R(2\pi f_i) \begin{pmatrix} x_{i,n} \\ \dot{x}_{i,n} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} b_{n-L}, \quad (8)$$

where $\psi_{i,n} = -\rho_i x_{i,n} + \delta_i x_{i,n}/(\Omega_i^2)(\sqrt{1 - \rho_i^2})$ and $R(\theta) = (\cos \theta \ - \sin \theta \ \sin \theta \ \cos \theta)$. In the set (8), we introduced the following dimensionless parameters: the dimensionless damping parameter $\rho_i = \gamma/(2\Omega_i^2)$, is the normalised frequency (or normalised sample ratio in terms of the paper [12])

![Fig. 2. Regions of the normalised frequencies $f_{1,2,3}$ that correspond to the oscillation and anti-oscillation regimes of the first (a), the second (b) and the third longitudinal spatial modes. Anti-oscillation regions are shown by the white colour.](image)

A. Oscillations and Anti-oscillations

Let us consider the first three longitudinal spatial modes with the lowest frequencies: $\Omega_1 = 1.8751$, $\Omega_2 = 2.69409$ and $\Omega_3 = 7.8547$. Evolution of each mode is defined by the iterative equation (8) with appropriate parameters. The overall position of the resonator is described as $u(\xi, \tau_n) = \psi_1(\xi_a)x_{n,1} + \beta_2 x_{n,2} + \beta_3 x_{n,3}$.

In [8], [13], the dynamics of the PDO with one resonance and multiple delays in the feedback loop has been considered. We observed the normal oscillation mode (large amplitude oscillations) and anti-oscillation mode (very small amplitude oscillations).
oscillations in terms of (8]) depending on values of the normalised frequency, the sign of the feedback loop and the number of delays.

Let us introduce new oscillations and anti-oscillations for the set of equations (8). The basic parameters in our problem are the parameters that relate to the the first (fundamental) mode. Using them, we can express parameters for the higher order modes (the second, etc.). Figure 2 shows oscillations and anti-oscillations for all three modes where oscillations are shown by the grey colours and anti-oscillations by blank areas.

B. Planes of parameters

Extensive numerical simulations have shown that the output of the system displays oscillations that can be associated with only one of spatial modes while the other mode will be “suppressed”. For instance, where the amplitude of the first mode is large, the amplitudes of the second and third mode are very small.

To illustrate the dynamics of the system, we consider the plane spanned by the control parameters — dissipation $\gamma$ and the normalised frequency $f_1$. Figure 3 represents distributions of the amplitude of the three spatial modes in different colours in that plane. Firstly, it is defined which mode the output corresponds to (the “dominant” mode with the largest amplitude) at the given $\gamma$ and $f_1$. Secondly, we represent the amplitude of this mode using a shade of the chosen colour (shades of the blue colour show the first longitudinal spatial mode, shades of the red show the second and shades of the green the third mode). Higher the amplitude of oscillations, the darker the shade of the colour that represents the corresponding point in the plane. Note that all amplitudes that are more than $A^*$ (see the figure) will be represented with the same shade. The plane has been calculated with the zero initial conditions $x_{0,3} = y_{0,3} = 0$ and at the position of sensing/actuating system at $\xi_s = \xi_a = 1$.

In the above case, the position of the sensing/actuating system is chosen in such a way that the contribution of all modes in the sequence of signs (5) is of the same order since $\beta_2 = -1$ and $\beta_3 = +1$. However, the portions of the external driving differ due to the ratio of the frequencies: $\zeta_2 \approx \mu_2(\Omega_1/\Omega_2)^2\zeta_1$ and $\zeta_3 \approx \mu_3(\Omega_1/\Omega_2)^2\zeta_1$. Therefore, higher modes (the second and the third) receive lower portions of external driving and the first mode dominates over all regions of its oscillations. In this case, the PDO displays the second or third modes strictly on condition that the first mode is in anti-oscillations.

Since the ratios $(\Omega_1/\Omega_2)^2$ and $(\Omega_1/\Omega_3)^2$ are fixed, it is natural to consider different $\mu_2$ and $\mu_3$ in order to enhance higher modes and to suppress the fundamental one, i.e., to consider other positions of the sensing/actuating system. The next example is shown in fig. 5 at $\xi_s = \xi_a = 0.5$. At this location of the sensing system, the function $\psi_\delta(\xi)$ has a maximum, $\psi_1(\xi)$ has a small value and $\psi_3(\xi)$ is close to zero. As can be seen from the figure, oscillations corresponding to the second mode are significant at some value of $f_1$ where in the previous case we observed oscillations of only the first mode. In addition, the bands showing oscillations of the second are more defined and intense compared to fig. 3.

In order to obtain more intense oscillation of the third mode, we consider the case $\xi_s = \xi_a = 0.3$, i.e. the sensing system is located at the maximum of $\psi_3(\xi)$. The amplitudes of oscillations of all modes are significantly smaller, however, one can see many regions of $f_1$ where the PDO demonstrates the output that correspond to the second and the third modes.

C. “Multistability” of the modes in the PDO.

Figure 2 shows that at the same value of the normalised frequency $f_1$ there can be simultaneous oscillations of multiple spatial modes. In the case when two or more modes are in oscillation regimes, the output of the PDO depends on the initial conditions (initial deformation of the cantilever). The arbitrary initial deformation can be presented in the form

$$f_1 = \frac{f_3}{f_2}$$
calculated at the third mode in the initial detection of the beam. Figure 7 shows typical deformations of the cantilever which lead to a particular oscillation. Figure 8 is similar to plot 7 but considers the normalized frequency and the number of delays, the output of the PDO depends on the position of the sensing/actuating system. The location of the latter system may enhance higher-order modes and improve the sensitivity of the device. In the case when the sampling frequency is chosen in such a way that two or more modes are in oscillations, the output of the circuit depends on initial conditions, i.e., on the initial deformation of the beam.

\[ u(\xi, 0) = x_0^{(1)} \psi_1(\xi) + x_0^{(2)} \psi_2(\xi) + x_0^{(3)} \psi_3(\xi) \]

where \( x_0^{(i)} \) are considered as the initial conditions for maps 8. Figure 6 illustrates that the output mode and the cantilever profile depends on \( x_0^{(i)} \).

We choose the normalised frequency value \( f_1 = 0.1845 \) at which all three modes are in an oscillation region. Figure 7 shows the plane spanned by the initial conditions \( (x_0^{(1)}, x_0^{(2)}) \) and the fixed value of \( x_0^{(3)} = 0, f_1 = 0.1845, \gamma = 0.001 \) and \( \xi_0 = \xi_0 = 0.3 \). Note that the scale of the axes corresponds to very small initial deformations of the beam (typically, the amplitudes of oscillations \( \sim 1000 \)). In the figure, the blank area corresponds to the second mode of the PDO output. The very small grey region around the origin corresponds to the third mode (this mode one observes if zero initial conditions are chosen, for instance, as for the planes 3–4). Insets in the figure show typical deformations of the cantilever which lead to a particular oscillation. Figure 8 is similar to plot 7 but calculated at \( x_0^{(3)} = 10 \), i.e., with a small component of the third mode in the initial deflection of the beam.

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

The PDO is capable of selective excitation of various spatial modes of the mechanical structure in the feedback loop. We have shown that besides such control parameters as the normalized frequency and the number of delays, the output of the PDO depends on the position of the sensing/actuating system. The location of the latter system may enhance higher-order modes and improve the sensitivity of the device. In the case when the sampling frequency is chosen in such a way that two or more modes are in oscillations, the output of the circuit depends on initial conditions, i.e., on the initial deformation of the beam.
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