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1. Introduction and rationale for the study

Speleothems are increasingly regarded as valuable archives of past climatic conditions. Unlike most other continental palaeoclimate archives, they can be dated precisely by U-series methods, facilitating comparisons and correlations with independent records such as ice cores. However, as discussed in recent reviews (McDermott, 2004; White, 2004; McDermott et al., 2006; Fairchild et al., 2006; 2007; Lachniet, 2009; Fairchild and Treble, 2009), the response to climatic conditions of some commonly measured parameters in speleothems (e.g. $\delta^{18}O$) can be influenced by regional and site-specific factors. It is now well understood that the links between the $\delta^{18}O$ of speleothem calcite ($\delta^{18}O_{\text{spe}}$) and specific climate variables such as mean annual air temperature (MAAT), precipitation amount and humidity can vary in space and time, and that the correct interpretation of $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spe}}$ typically requires additional data and information.

In some climate zones, the interpretation of $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spe}}$ in terms of climatic variables is relatively straightforward because the climate related signals are strong. Thus, in regions influenced by strong monsoonal activity, high amplitude changes in $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spe}}$ predominantly reflect insolation-driven changes in monsoon strength (e.g. Fleitmann et al. 2003, 2004; Wang et al. 2001; Cheng et al., 2009) and/or meridional shifts in the position of the ITCZ (Cruz et al., 2006). Similarly, in low- to mid-latitude caves, where speleothem deposition can continue during glacials, the first-order glacial/interglacial transitions can be discerned unambiguously in the $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spe}}$ data, facilitating climatic interpretations (e.g. Bar-Matthews et al. 2000, Genty et al., 2003; 2006; Wainer et al., 2009; Williams et al., 2005). It is also
increasingly clear that the relatively large amplitude changes in $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{spel}}$ that often accompany major glacial/interglacial transitions, interstadial events and changes in monsoon strength are too large to ascribe solely to changes in mean annual air temperature (MAAT), and additionally must reflect shifts in precipitation amount or the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of precipitation as a result of climate-driven changes in vapour sources and moisture transport pathways.

By contrast with the regions mentioned above, Holocene speleothems from the mid- to high-latitude temperate zones of the northern hemisphere (e.g. N.W. Europe) typically exhibit relatively small ranges in $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{spel}}$, reflecting weaker climate signals and the well-documented competing influences on $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{spel}}$ (e.g. McDermott, 2004; Fairchild et al., 2006). Thus, $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{spel}}$ in mid-latitude European Holocene speleothems typically varies by approximately ±1‰ around the long-term mean, in datasets with a decadal-scale resolution. A more robust interpretative framework is required therefore for these regions, and in particular a methodology is required to distinguish the relatively weak regional scale climate signals from local site-specific ‘noise’ (e.g. changes in speleothem $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ that might arise from local hydrological routing effects, evaporation of water at or near the surface and/or non-equilibrium depositional effects (Baker and Bradley, 2009).

With few exceptions (e.g. Lachniet et al., 2007) studies of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ variability in speleothems have focused on reconstructing time-series records for individual stalagmites, but relatively little attention has been paid to the $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{spel}}$ variations in coeval stalagmites from the same region. This is somewhat surprising given (i) the tight chronological control on most $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{spel}}$ time-series datasets that permits such comparisons, and (ii) the need for better inter-site replication to distinguish regional-scale climate signals from local site-specific noise. In this study, the available O isotope time-series records for European Holocene speleothems have been compiled, better to understand the spatial patterns and continent-scale gradients in $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{spel}}$ through time since the early Holocene. Because the conclusions drawn are based on several independently studied speleothems, this approach has the potential to provide robust insights, and to test interpretations that were based solely on single time-series records.

It is well known that $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in meteoric water ($\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{mw}}$) varies spatially as a function of latitude, altitude, continentality, mean annual temperature and rainfall amount on the scale of the European continent in
the present day (e.g. Rozanski et al., 1982; Rozanski et al., 1993; Bowen and Wilkinson, 2002). Ignoring local orographic effects, such variations in δ¹⁸Oₘₜ are characterised by relatively smooth meridional and zonal gradients, reflecting gradual and progressive rainout from air masses with decreasing MAATs, and increasing continentality respectively. Crucially, as more speleothem O isotope records are published, the spatial density of the European Holocene speleothem δ¹⁸O dataset is now beginning to rival that of the Global Network of Isotopes in Precipitation (GNIP) network within mainland Europe and the surrounding circum-Mediterranean region.

δ¹⁸Oₘₜ is influenced by both the δ¹⁸O value of the cave dripwater from which it is deposited (δ¹⁸O_drip) and the cave air temperature. In many, but not all caves, cave air temperatures closely approximate the MAATs of the region above the cave (Wigley and Brown, 1976). Exceptions include cave systems that exhibit cold-trap behaviour and/or strong seasonal ventilation effects (e.g. Spötl et al. 2005). Several drip-water monitoring studies have shown that the aquifer residence time of cave drip waters that are supersaturated with respect to calcite, and are therefore capable of precipitating speleothems, usually exceeds one year (Baldini 2004; Fuller et al., 2008). As a result, δ¹⁸O_drip variations in drips that deposit speleothems are normally strongly attenuated with respect to the seasonal range in δ¹⁸Oₘₜ (Yonge et al., 1985; Caballero et al., 1996) and tend to preserve annual average rather than seasonal δ¹⁸Oₘₜ signals.

Additionally, it has been shown that speleothem calcites are seldom deposited precisely at the expected equilibrium value (δ¹⁸O_equil) with respect to their drip waters (Mickler et al., 2004; McDermott et al., 2006), and that kinetic effects are important (Coplen, 2007; Dietzel et al., 2009). In general, δ¹⁸O_spel tends to be offset by 1.0±0.5 ‰ compared with that predicted by both the Kim and O’Neil (1997) and Coplen (2007) equations, reflecting a degree of disequilibrium (McDermott et al., 2006). Here we refer to this disequilibrium as Δ_dis, where Δ_dis is defined as the difference between the observed and calculated equilibrium speleothem δ¹⁸O value (δ¹⁸O_spel - δ¹⁸O_equilibrium). The magnitude of Δ_dis is discussed in more detail below.

With some assumptions about the magnitude of Δ_dis and the likely (relatively small) low-frequency changes in MAAT during the Holocene in Europe (e.g. Mayewski et al., 2004; Wanner et al., 2008),
interpolations based on the published Holocene $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}}$ dataset can be used to infer past $\delta^{18}O_{\text{mw}}$ gradients. These isotope gradients could in turn be used to: (i) recognise anomalous $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}}$ values that could reflect local site-specific effects and/or systematic seasonal biases, (ii) provide comparisons with past $\delta^{18}O_{\text{mw}}$ values reconstructed from speleothem fluid inclusion studies and (iii) provide ‘baseline’ $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}}$ values against which higher frequency (decadal to centennial scale) $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}}$ variability can be compared. Inferences about gradients in Holocene speleothem $\delta^{18}O$ can also be checked against those inferred from other secondary carbonates (e.g. tufa deposits and lake marls) to improve the reliability of speleothem-based palaeoclimate interpretations, and to isolate local departures from the expected smooth regional scale gradients (e.g. due to evaporative and/or hydrological effects).

The primary aim of this study is to provide a preliminary assessment of the spatial gradients in $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}}$ within Europe through the Holocene, and to identify temporal changes in these gradients that could reflect changes in climatic conditions and/or vapour source changes. In future, the inferred isotope gradients can also be compared with the output of ‘isotope enabled’ general circulation models (GCMs) to test and validate their physics and assumptions.

Our approach necessarily requires temporal averaging of the $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}}$ time-series data and a consequent loss of temporal resolution, but it is likely to provide a more robust view than that based on single sites considered in isolation, especially if key variables (e.g. temporal changes in MAAT) can be estimated independently (e.g. by the European pollen database). A secondary aim of this study is to distinguish between sites in Europe that are influenced primarily by either Atlantic or Mediterranean moisture sources, and to assess how the relative importance of these sources may have changed during the course of the Holocene.

2. The dataset and methodology
The published and available unpublished oxygen isotope data for European (sensu-lato) Holocene speleothems have been compiled (Table 1). Only those speleothems for which reliable thermal ionisation mass-spectrometric (TIMS) or multi-collector inductively coupled plasma mass-spectrometry (MC-ICP-MS) U-series chronologies were available were included in the compilation; speleothems that were dated exclusively by alpha-spectrometry or by $^{14}C$ were excluded, because of their unacceptably large age uncertainties. Only calcite speleothems were included. Aragonite speleothems were omitted to avoid possible biases in $\delta^{18}O$ due to mineralogical effects on the stable isotope fractionation factors
(Grossmann and Ku, 1986). This resulted in a dataset of 53 (discontinuous) Holocene speleothem records from 37 cave sites (Table 1), that extend from southern Spain to northern Norway, and from S.W. Ireland to Israel (Figure 1). The emphasis in this paper is on delineating the first-order low frequency spatial gradients in European speleothem $\delta^{18}$O through time, and for this reason our focus is on short ‘snapshots’ (50 year averages of $\delta^{18}$O$_{spel}$) taken at 1000 year intervals through the Holocene (Table 1). Whenever possible, the averages were calculated from available time-series digital data files, but in some cases where it was not possible to obtain the original data files, these values were estimated visually from published time-series graphs. Table 1 lists the latitude, longitude and altitude of each cave site as well as the calculated mean $\delta^{18}$O for each 50 year snapshot at 1,000 year intervals from 12 ka to the present-day. Table 2 provides a summary of the data sources for each site.

3. Results from the compiled datasets

In Figure 1, contours of present-day $\delta^{18}$O$_{spel}$ values reveal several important spatial trends. The major trend is one of gradually decreasing $\delta^{18}$O$_{spel}$ in an east-northeasterly direction from the oceanic western margin (S.W. Ireland), into continental Europe, south of c.56°N (Trend 1, Figure 1). North of 56°N, $\delta^{18}$O$_{spel}$ values also decrease progressively northwards from Scotland through Scandinavia, reflecting a latitudinal effect (Trend 2, Figure 1). Whereas previous spatial analyses of $\delta^{18}$O$_{mw}$ on a global scale have emphasised the meridional trends that reflect gradual rainout from northerly advected atmospheric moisture (e.g. Rozanski, 1993; Bowen and Wilkinson, 2002), the European speleothem data examined here exhibit much more striking zonal trends, probably reflecting the spatial distribution of the data in relation to the predominant Atlantic moisture source and the strength of the continentality effect in this latitudinal band.

$\delta^{18}$O$_{spel}$ values in the circum-Mediterranean region are elevated relative to other sites at similar longitudes and this effect is particularly evident in the eastern Mediterranean (Israeli and Lebanese sites). Additionally, Alpine sites in S. Germany, Austria and N. Italy exhibit markedly lower $\delta^{18}$O$_{spel}$ values ($< -6 \%o$) compared with other European sites at similar longitudes (Figure 1), reflecting a clear altitudinal effect. On the basis of the spatial patterns in Figure 1 we therefore distinguish four important influences on the pattern of $\delta^{18}$O$_{spel}$ variability in the present-day, namely trends 1 and 2 delineated in
Figure 1, an altitudinal effect (lower $\delta^{18}O_{spel}$) and a Mediterranean Sea vapour source influence (higher $\delta^{18}O_{spel}$).

Figure 2 shows $\delta^{18}O$ values for the tops of actively growing speleothems (recently deposited calcite), plotted against the longitude of each cave site. High $\delta^{18}O$ values occur at or close of the North Atlantic margin (e.g. Crag Cave, Ireland, Refugio and La Garma caves in Spain), and values decrease systematically across Europe. At several sites, two or more present-day calcite $\delta^{18}O$ values are available (e.g. Crag cave, Cuevo de la Garma, Grotte de Clamouse, Grotta di Ernesto, Spannagel cave, Soreq cave). In general, the values are in agreement with each other to within approximately 0.8‰, presumably reflecting relatively small intra-site differences in drip-water $\delta^{18}O$ (e.g. Baker and Bradley, 2009), air temperature and/or isotope disequilibrium effects ($\Delta_{dis}$). Such sub-permil differences in $\delta^{18}O_{spel}$ are relatively unimportant in the context of the large (c. 7‰) first-order longitudinal trends in speleothem $\delta^{18}O$ examined here.

The compiled data also show that $\delta^{18}O_{spel}$ values for samples from high-elevation cave sites (e.g. Alpine sites with elevations of >400 metres above sea level) are strongly displaced to more negative values, and plot well below the main data trend (open symbols, Figure 2), consistent with the well known altitude effect on $\delta^{18}O_{mw}$. Similarly, data from the high latitude cave sites (e.g. Rana, Norway and Koralgrotten, Sweden and Uamh an Tartair, N. Scotland, Figure 1) are displaced to low $\delta^{18}O$ values (rectangular box, and labelled point for Tartair in Figure 2), reflecting progressive depletion in the $\delta^{18}O$ of northerly advected moisture and precipitation derived therefrom (rainout effect). Modern $\delta^{18}O_{spel}$ values for the Soreq and Nahel Qanah cave sites (Bar-Matthews et al., 2003; Frumkin et al., 1999) are clearly displaced well above the main European data array in Figure 2 reflecting their proximity to the Mediterranean Sea.

The magnitude of possible isotope disequilibrium effects ($\Delta_{dis}$) are considered before attempting to establish links between speleothem $\delta^{18}O$ values and the isotopic composition of the waters from which they were deposited. Taking the Kim and O’Neil (1997) equation for example, and making the approximation that $\delta_A - \delta_B \approx 1000 \times \ln(\alpha_{A-B})$, it can be shown that $\delta^{18}O_{spel} = \delta^{18}O_{mw} + (18.03*(1000/T)-32.17) + \Delta_{dis}$, where T is cave air temperature in Kelvin (Kim and O’Neil equation as
revised by Coplen, 2007). In order to assess the magnitude of $\Delta_{\text{dis}}$, calcite $\delta^{18}$O values have been calculated for each of the European cave sites considered here (Table 1) for which drip-water data have been reported (Figure 3a). Importantly, calculated calcite $\delta^{18}$O values using the Kim and O’Neil equation are typically lower (more negative) than actual observed values in modern speleothems. A similar calculation using the Coplen (2007) equation yields values that are typically too high (Figure 3a). Thus, the Kim and O’Neil equation yields temperatures that appear to be too low, whereas Coplen’s equation yields values that are too high. Given the current uncertainty about the true value of the relevant isotope fractionation factors, and the likelihood of kinetic effects (Dietzel et al., 2009), we simply note that the natural speleothem calcites plot along trends sub-parallel to the expected equilibrium values, with offsets that depend on the choice of equation. Overall, the calculated calcite $\delta^{18}$O values are in approximate agreement with the observed values for modern calcite at each site (typically ±1 ‰ depending on the choice of equation).

In Figure 3b, the measured $\delta^{18}$O values in drip-waters for 23 modern calcite/drip-water pairs for which data have been reported are compared with the Kim and O’Neil (1997) calculated equilibrium values, taking account of the reported cave air temperatures. It is clear that $\Delta_{\text{dis}}$ is typically about 1‰, with the calculated drip water values displaced to lower $\delta^{18}$O values compared with the actual measured values (Figure 3b). Such disequilibrium effects should be considered when calculating equilibrium temperatures from modern drip-water and calcite values, or in calculating the $\delta^{18}$O values for hypothetical cave drip-waters, assuming a given cave temperature.

While knowledge of $\Delta_{\text{dis}}$ is important for absolute temperature calculations, the fact that the present-day speleothem calcites define an array that is sub-parallel to the expected 1:1 equilibrium line (Figure 3a) suggests that inter-site differences in $\Delta_{\text{dis}}$ are relatively small (c.1 ‰) compared with the overall range (c. 7‰) in $\delta^{18}$Ospec in the European speleothems considered here.

In Figure 4, calculated $\delta^{18}$O values for hypothetical cave drip-waters (in equilibrium with the same modern speleothem carbonate samples that were shown in Figure 2), are plotted as filled circles, based on the assumption of isotopic equilibrium (Kim and O’Neil, 1997), between the drip-waters and the modern cave carbonates and the present-day mean annual air temperature value for each cave. Also shown in Figure 4 (open circles) are weighted mean $\delta^{18}$O values for modern rainfall derived from
European sites in this longitudinal band from the Global Isotopes in Precipitation (GNIP) database. While the GNIP and cave sites are not, of course, geographically coincident, there is a broad agreement between the weighted mean $\delta^{18}$O values derived from the GNIP dataset and the calculated $\delta^{18}$O$_{mw}$ values based on modern calcite precipitates from similar longitudes. Alpine and central European GNIP sites with elevations > 400 metres above sea level are delineated in the labelled field in Figure 4, and this field encompasses the alpine cave sites with low $\delta^{18}$O$_{spel}$ (< -6‰) identified in Figures 1 and 2. Similarly, the drip-water $\delta^{18}$O value calculated to be in isotopic equilibrium with the modern Uamh an Tartair speleothem plots below the main data array, reflecting its high latitude. In Figure 4, it is also evident that calculated $\delta^{18}$O values for drip-waters in equilibrium with modern speleothem carbonate from Soreq and Nahel Qanah cave are indistinguishable from each other, and plot near the top of the data field for GNIP stations close to the eastern Mediterranean and the Black Sea (Rabba, Bet Dagan, Andana and Kar Kna’an). Inferred drip-water $\delta^{18}$O values for cave sites that could plausibly be influenced in part by Mediterranean or Black Sea moisture sources (e.g. Sofular cave, Turkey; Ceremosjna cave, Serbia; Grotte di Carburangeli, Sicily; Savi, Italy and Grotte de Clamouse, S.E. France) tend to be relatively high (Figure 4). The $\delta^{18}$O values for hypothetical cave drip-waters (filled symbols, Figure 4) were calculated using the Kim and O’Neil equation and these would be expected to be displaced upwards on the diagram by about 1‰ (to heavier values) as a result of $\Delta_{dis}$, discussed above. This accounts for the observed displacement on Figure 4 of the values for the hypothetical cave drip-waters towards somewhat heavier values, compared with the GNIP precipitation values at a given longitude. Taking the magnitude of $\Delta_{dis}$ into account (c. 1‰) it can be seen that the present-day cave calcites closely reflect the weighted mean $\delta^{18}$O values for precipitation predicted by the GNIP dataset for any given longitude.

In principle, possible seasonal biases in $\delta^{18}$O$_{spel}$ as a function of latitude could be evaluated by comparing the $\delta^{18}$O$_{spel}$ data for modern speleothem carbonates with winter and summer $\delta^{18}$O data for precipitation at GNIP sites in the same regions, but in practice the differences are too small to evaluate in the light of uncertainties about the appropriate isotope fractionation factors. Seasonal biases could arise from several factors: (i) preferential infiltration of winter rainfall, compensated in some cases by recharge of ($^{18}$O-enriched) summer rainfall and (ii) preferential growth of speleothems in one season, typically winter, from drips that are not well mixed over the annual cycle.
Figures 5 and 6 show all δ^{18}O_{spel} data for 1 ka time-slices from 0 ka (present-day) to 12 ka after filtering the data to exclude high latitude sites (Scandinavian sites and Uamh an Tartair, N. Scotland) as well as the high elevation sites distinguished in Figure 3. In addition to these high latitude, high elevation sites, those within 80 km of the Mediterranean Sea coast (Soreq and Nahel Qanah caves, Israel; Jeita cave, Lebanon; Corchia cave, Italy) were excluded from the regression calculations in Figures 5 and 6. The objective of these δ^{18}O_{spel} vs. longitude diagrams is to delineate the zonal trends and to explore how these may have changed through time. Individual data points represents a c. 50 year average of δ^{18}O_{spel}, centred on each 1000 year interval. The most important result is that the progressive zonal decreases in δ^{18}O_{spel}, discussed above for the modern speleothem calcites, appear to persist through time, although data are sparser for the early Holocene compared with the late Holocene.

Extrapolation of the regression lines to 10^\circ W for each time slice indicates that in the early Holocene (e.g. at 11 ka), δ^{18}O_{spel} was higher than in the present day by approximately 0.9‰ in the west, but was simultaneously 1.5‰ lower in the east (e.g. at 30^\circ E). Thus, the European δ^{18}O_{spel} zonal gradient (dδ^{18}O_{spel}/dx) is markedly steeper in the early Holocene (approaching -0.2‰/ degree longitude) compared with the mid- to late-Holocene (c. -0.12‰/ degree longitude).

Figure 7 illustrates the extent to which the first-order δ^{18}O_{spel} trends, calculated on the basis of the 1 ka time-slice δ^{18}O_{spel} vs. longitude regressions, match the detailed records from a range of sites. Overall, there is good agreement between the predicted first order trends and the individual time-series data trends, reflecting the switch from heavier early Holocene δ^{18}O_{spel} values in the western end of the transect (e.g. Crag cave, La Garma cave) to lighter early Holocene δ^{18}O_{spel} values in the east (e.g. Poleva and Sofular). As discussed below, deviations above and below the first-order trends can reflect more regional or even local effects that are not explicable by the superimposed continent-scale air temperature and δ^{18}O_{mw} fields.

In Figure 8, the regression line intercepts at 10^\circ W and 30^\circ E as well as the zonal gradients (regression line slopes) for each 1 ka time-slice have been plotted against time. The isotope gradient (dδ^{18}O_{spel}/dx) decreases smoothly between c. 12 ka and 5 ka, but is more variable thereafter (Figure 8a). Importantly, the decrease in dδ^{18}O_{spel}/dx through the Holocene reflects both elevated δ^{18}O_{spel} values in the early
Holocene on the westerly end of the transect (Figure 8b) and simultaneously lower values of $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}}$ on the eastern margin of the transect (Figure 8c). Thus, the value of the intercept at 10°W decreases smoothly through time from approximately $-2.1 \pm 0.5$ ‰ in the early Holocene to $-3.6 \pm 0.25$ ‰ after 5 ka (Figure 8b), while that at 30°W increases from c. $-11.25$ to $-8.5 \pm 0.25$ ‰ over the same time interval (Figure 8c). While data from different cave sites contribute to the calculated regression lines through the Holocene, the easterly sites (Sofular, Turkey and Poleva cave, Romania) are present in all of the regressions, as are the westerly sites of Crag cave (S.W. Ireland) and La Garma cave (N. Spain) indicating that the trends are robust and that the gradients (and calculated intercepts at 10°W and 30°W) are also features of the data for sites through central Europe, west of 25°E.

In summary, the important results of this compilation of speleothem $\delta^{18}O$ data are (i) identification of systematic west-to-east (zonal) decreases in $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}}$ across Europe that persist throughout the Holocene, (ii) a clearly identifiable Mediterranean source effect characterised by relatively high $\delta^{18}O$ for a given value of longitude at some circum-Mediterranean cave sites (e.g. Corchia and Buca della Renella, Italy; Soreq and Nahel Qanah caves in Israel) and (iii) a clear altitude-related shift to lower $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}}$ at some Alpine and German sites that have elevations of > 800m (e.g. Spannagel, Austria; Hölloch Cave, S. Germany; Grotta di Ernesto, N. Italy), (iv) low $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}}$ in the small number of high latitude Scandinavian cave sites (e.g. Rana, Norway; Labyrintgrotten and Korallgrotten, Sweden) in the dataset and (v) systematic temporal changes in the zonal $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}}$ gradient that appears to reflect both a decrease with time in $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}}$ in the westerly sites (Figure 8b), and a simultaneous increase in $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}}$ in the easterly sites from the early to late Holocene.

4. Comparison of speleothem $O$ isotope gradient with other archives

In a compilation of $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{2}H$ data for groundwaters from the U.K., France, Germany, Austria, Hungary, Poland and Romania, Rozanski (1985) demonstrated that the $\delta^{2}H$ of both modern and ‘palaeowaters’ decrease in an easterly direction across Europe. Inspection of their $\delta^{2}H$-longitude diagram indicates a $\delta^{2}H$-distance slope of approximately 1.05‰ per degree longitude between 10°W and 30°E. Assuming a $\delta^{2}H$- $\delta^{18}O$ relationship similar to that of the modern global meteoric water line, this corresponds to a $\delta^{18}O$ gradient of c. 0.13‰ per degree longitude, similar to that exhibited by the modern and 1 ka $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}}$ data compiled here (Figure 5). Darling (2004) also showed that late Pleistocene
groundwaters in the interior of the European continent (Austria and Hungary) exhibited much lower (c. 3.5‰) \( \delta^{18}O \) values than coeval groundwaters from the western margin of Europe (Portugal). Importantly, this inferred isotope gradient was significantly steeper than that preserved in present-day groundwaters from the same regions, consistent with our observations.

Additional constraints on possible \( \delta^{18}O \)-distance gradients are provided by stable isotope studies on European tufa deposits. Based on a limited stable isotope dataset for European Holocene tufas, Andrews (2006) demonstrated a progressive shift to lower \( \delta^{18}O \) in tufa carbonate (\( \delta^{18}O_{\text{tufa}} \)) in an easterly direction across Europe that he attributed primarily to progressive rainout due to the continentality effect. In this relatively small dataset of seven early Holocene tufas from sites in the U.K., Belgium, Czech Republic, Poland and Belarus, Andrews (2006) identified a c. 5‰ decrease in \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{tufa}} \) in an easterly direction from the U.K. to Belarus (c. 3°W to 25°E). This implies an isotope gradient of 0.18‰ per degree longitude, very similar to the value of 0.19‰ per degree longitude calculated independently here on the basis of the c. 10 ka old European speleothems (Figure 6), suggesting that temporal changes in the zonal gradients of \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}} \) inferred here are robust.

It is noteworthy that the data for the 12 ka time-slice are broadly a continuation of the isotope gradient and intercept trends observed in the early Holocene (Figure 8), because this time is within the Younger Dryas cold interval. An even steeper zonal gradient in \( \delta^{18}O \) was inferred for glacial conditions in Europe (52-24 ka) on the basis of O-isotope data from mammoth tooth enamel samples and a compilation of data for ‘palaeogroundwaters’ (Arppe and Karhu, 2010).

Data from speleothem fluid inclusions can provide additional constraints and independent checks on spatial gradients in \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}} \). In a preliminary study of the isotopic composition of fluid inclusions from Holocene stalagmites from GB cave, S.W, England (longitude 2.3°W), Dennis et al. (2001) concluded that the \( \delta D \) values of meteoric water from speleothems deposited since c. 4 ka are broadly similar to those of present-day precipitation. Assuming that constancy in \( \delta D \) is also reflected in \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{mw}} \), this is consistent with our observation that \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}} \) values have remained relatively constant since c. 4 ka (e.g. Figure 8b), with little systematic change in \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}} \) values during this time interval. Taking into account
the longitude of the GB site (2.3°W) and the regression equations for 4 ka to the present-day (Figure 5), changes of only ± 0.25 ‰ in δ¹⁸O_{spe} are predicted at this longitude during the past 4 ka.

5. Interpretations and discussion

Trends to lower δ¹⁸O_{spe} across Europe, evident in all of the 1 ka time-slices, are interpreted to reflect progressive distillation and rainout of easterly advected Atlantic-derived water vapour. The persistence of these first-order trends through the Holocene indicates that easterly advection of Atlantic-derived moisture was an enduring feature of atmospheric circulation in this latitudinal band, at least on the millennially spaced 50 year time-slices considered here. Importantly, the relatively good fit of data points to the regression lines indicates that non-equilibrium effects were either relatively small or remained fairly constant spatially and through time. Large variable disequilibrium effects (>1‰) that would significantly disrupt the δ¹⁸O_{spe} - longitude correlations and are not apparent, suggesting that speleothems can reliably record changing conditions (δ¹⁸O_{mw} and cave air temperature) through time. The temporal changes in longitudinal isotope gradients thus reflect a combination of variations in the superimposed European δ¹⁸O_{mw} and air temperature fields through the Holocene.

Two aspects of the δ¹⁸O_{spe} - longitude correlations merit further discussion. First, the cause(s) of the markedly higher early Holocene δ¹⁸O_{spe} values on the western margin (intercept at 10°W) compared with present-day values (Figures 5 and 6) need to be explained. Second, the steeper δ¹⁸O_{spe} - longitudinal gradients in the early Holocene compared with the late Holocene and present-day must be accounted for.

An important factor in explaining the high early Holocene δ¹⁸O_{spe} values on the western margin of Europe is that the δ¹⁸O of the dominant Atlantic vapour source was substantially higher than at present. Much of this difference can be accounted for by the effect of the residual (isotopically depleted) Laurentide and Fennoscandian ice sheets on the isotopic composition of the oceanic vapour source. Post-glacial eustatic sea-level rise in the early Holocene, indicative of the rate of ice sheet decay, can be used to reconstruct temporal changes in the δ¹⁸O of the oceanic source. Using a scaling factor of 0.011‰ per metre change in sea-level (Fairbanks, 1989), the eustatic sea-level curve (Wanner et al.,
2008) indicates that \( \delta^{18}O \) in open ocean sources would have been 0.6-0.7 \(^{\circ}\) higher in the early Holocene (at c.11 ka) and approximately 0.33\(^{\circ}\) heavier at 9 ka (Le Grande and Schmidt, 2009) compared with the present. The extrapolated \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}} \) values at a longitude of 9.44°W (Crag cave, S.W. Ireland) are somewhat greater than this; c. 0.73 \(^{\circ}\) higher than present at 11 ka, and c. 0.47\(^{\circ}\) higher than present at 9 ka, indicating that much, but perhaps not all of the shift to higher \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}} \) values in the early Holocene can be accounted for by the ice volume effect. Similarly, in speleothem LH-70s-1 from Lancaster Hole in the U.K. (2.1 °W), average \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}} \) values are approximately 0.8\(^{\circ}\) higher at 11 ka and 0.5\(^{\circ}\) higher at 9 ka compared with the late Holocene when deposition of this speleothem ceased (at c. 4 ka), again slightly more than can be attributed solely to the ice volume effect. One explanation is that \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{mw}} \) on the Atlantic margin was higher than that predicted by the ice volume effect alone because of strong early Holocene warming and a positive correlation between \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{mw}} \) and air temperature (Ahlberg et al., 2001). This inference is consistent with data from independent biological climate proxies (e.g. pollen, chironomid and coleoptera) that indicate rapid early Holocene onset of warm conditions, especially in summer, on the Atlantic western Europe (e.g. Atkinson et al., 1987; Davis et al., 2003; Gandouin et al., 2007; Ponel et al., 2007).

Evidence for early Holocene warming in the west is also preserved in lake sediment records. In many lake sediment cores from Ireland and Britain the transition from the Younger Dryas to the early Holocene is marked by an abrupt lithological change, marking a rapid transition from predominantly clay-rich detrital inputs in the cold Younger Dryas interval to authigenic carbonate production and organic-rich inputs in the early Holocene (O’Connell et al., 1999; Marshall et al., 2002). Authigenic carbonates from lake sediment cores retrieved from Lough Gur (southwestern Ireland) by Ahlberg et al. (2001) exhibit \( \delta^{18}O \) values that are c. 2\(^{\circ}\) higher in the early Holocene than the mid-Holocene (c. 6 ka). This is broadly in line with the decrease in \( \delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}} \) inferred from the spatial correlations for the western end of the speleothem transect presented here (Figure 6b). Similarly, in a study of late Glacial to Holocene lake carbonates from Lough Inchiquin (western Ireland), Diefendorf et al. (2006) demonstrated that \( \delta^{18}O \) values in authigenic calcites increased rapidly at c. 10.5 ka, interpreted to reflect the onset of Boreal warming in western Ireland in the early Holocene.
The first-order $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}}$-longitude trends across Europe can be explained by a Rayleigh distillation model (Siegenthaler and Matter, 1983) of progressive rainout of easterly advected moisture bearing air masses. A quantitative rainout model constructed here to investigate the effects of several possible variables on the observed spatio-temporal trends considers an oceanic (Atlantic) moisture source region with a $\delta^{18}O$ value close to zero (the source is given higher values in the early Holocene to reflect the presence of continental ice-sheets as discussed above). The temperature at which evaporation of this oceanic source occurred was also allowed to vary, but this has a negligible effect on the $\delta^{18}O$ of the initial vapour. In the model, the vapour was then advected north-easterly and allowed to progressively rainout over the European continental land mass. Standard equations and isotope fractionation factors (Rozanski et al., 1982; Gonfiantini, 1986; Gat, 1996; Lachniet, 2009) were used to model the oxygen isotopic composition of the vapour phase and its subsequent rainout over Europe. In this simple rainout model, $R_c$ is the isotope ratio (e.g. $^{18}O/^{16}O$) of the condensate ($\delta^{18}O_{\text{ppton}}$), $\alpha$ is the fractionation factor between the condensate and vapour, $R_{\text{VO}}$ is the isotope ratio of the initial vapour and $F$ is the mass fraction of vapour remaining. This simple model in which $F$ changes from 0.8 close to the Atlantic coast (e.g. Crag cave site, S.W. Ireland) to 0.5 in east-central Europe can account for the observed c. 6‰ decrease in $\delta^{18}O$ for waters in isotopic equilibrium with present-day speleothem deposits (0 ka $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}}$ gradient in Figure 5). This calculation is insensitive to the choice of calcite-water isotope fractionation factors, as these affect only the magnitude of the offset between $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}}$ and $\delta^{18}O_{\text{mw}}$, but not the rainout slope. As discussed by Siegenthaler and Matter (1983), the dependence of $\alpha$ on temperature is weak, but air temperature exerts a crucial influence on the degree of rainout (1-$F$) as moisture bearing air masses rain out. Specifically, the temperature difference between the oceanic vapour source and that of the site of condensation (above a cave site), determines the degree of rainout, with higher degrees of rainout (a warmer western margin and a cooler continental interior), giving rise to steeper $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}}$ – longitude gradients. A further result from this simple rainout calculation is that the required change in $F$ (0.8 to 0.5), as a function of longitude across Europe must be relatively steep to account for the slope of the $\delta^{18}O_{\text{ppton}}$ data inferred to be isotopic equilibrium with the modern $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}}$ data (Figure 5). Importantly, the relationship between $F$ and longitude required to model these data is close to the present-day winter $F$ vs. longitude relationship for Europe employed in the model of Rozanski et al. (1982), and it contrasts with their shallower summertime rainout slope. One implication is that the $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}}$ data may predominantly reflect winter precipitation in Europe; summer-time rainout slopes (Rozanski et al., 1982)
would produce δ¹⁸O_{spel}-longitude trends that are too shallow. It should also be noted that the simple Rayleigh distillation model employed here cannot adequately capture the effects on δ¹⁸O_{mw} of moisture recycling and convective rainfall discussed below.

As discussed, possible explanations for the higher early Holocene δ¹⁸O_{spel} values in the west include (i) an important component from the ice volume effect and (ii) higher δ¹⁸O_{mw} caused by differential early Holocene warming, with a steeper mean annual temperature gradient between the Atlantic margin and the continental interior in the early Holocene, compared with the present day. This explanation assumes a positive correlation between δ¹⁸O_{mw} and condensation temperatures. One possibility that insolation driven changes in the latitude of moisture evaporation from the Atlantic Ocean, led to more proximal sources of Atlantic moisture in the early Holocene. The latter effect would generate higher values of δ¹⁸O_{mw} arriving at the western margin of Europe because moisture-bearing air masses would have undergone less rainout (higher values of F and therefore higher δ¹⁸O_{mw} in the west).

Invoking an insolation-driven change in Atlantic moisture sources (more proximal sources) is speculative, but the isotope gradients and intercepts broadly resemble changes in June insolation between 30 and 60°N (Figure 8) until c. 4 ka. Long term cooling through the Holocene, in parallel with decline in summer insolation is found in several studies that employ summer-temperature sensitive biological proxies (Naughton et al., 2007; Seppa et al., 2009).

In summary, the steeper gradient in δ¹⁸O_{spel} in the early Holocene likely reflects cooler early Holocene climatic conditions in continental Europe relative to the western Atlantic margin, resulting in lower δ¹⁸O_{mw} in central and eastern Europe as a consequence of both lower moisture condensation temperatures and a greater degree of rainout (lower F values) from cooler moisture bearing air masses. Greater contributions from moisture recycled from the surface of the European continent through convective rainfall (e.g. Koster et al., 1993) may also have played a role in generating the steeper early Holocene δ¹⁸O_{spel} gradients. Convective rainfall typically leads to lower δ¹⁸O values in rainfall (Vuille et al., 2003). More intense convective rainfall could result from greater summer heating of the European land surface as a result of higher summer insolation in the early Holocene (Figure 8), and as discussed above, this could also explain, at least in part, the simultaneously higher δ¹⁸O_{spel} values on the western
A similar effect was described recently in Amazonia (van Breukelen et al., 2008). In the latter study, gradually increasing wet-season (January-February) insolation was linked to enhanced convective rainfall and progressively lower $\delta^{18}$O rainfall (and speleothem) values through the course of the Holocene. In the case of the European dataset, higher summer insolation in the early Holocene could simultaneously drive more proximal derivation of Atlantic moisture and more intense convective rainfall within the European continental landmass, leading to lower $\delta^{18}$O in rainfall and a steeper isotope gradient eastwards into the continent. This effect would decrease gradually through the course of the Holocene as summer insolation values declined. Colder winter conditions within continental Europe due to lower winter insolation values may also have been a factor in lowering $\delta^{18}$O in the early Holocene in central Europe.

Although beyond the scope of this study, strong deviations to higher $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{spe}}$ at a given longitude permit identification of sites at which Mediterranean moisture sources were important on the relatively low-frequency timescales examined here (e.g. Corchia cave, Italy, Israeli and Lebanese cave sites). For example, part of the shift to relatively high $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{spe}}$ in the late Holocene in speleothem CL26 from Clamouse cave, S. France could reflect greater advection of isotopically heavier Mediterranean-derived moisture. Similarly, in the speleothem from Savi cave (SV-1), the markedly higher $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{spe}}$ values prior to 10 ka may reflect higher $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{mw}}$ (Frisia et al., 2005) as a result of greater inputs of Mediterranean-derived moisture. Data for speleothem So-1 from Sofular cave in northwestern Turkey tend to plot on or close to the $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{spe}}$ vs. longitude regression lines (Figures 5, 6 and 7), despite the likely input of Black Sea derived moisture (Fleitmann et al., 2009). However, omission of the Sofular data point does not materially affect our conclusions regarding temporal changes in the oxygen isotope gradients through the Holocene. In detail, the isotope gradients are somewhat lower in the early Holocene (slopes of -0.195 and -0.191 for 11 ka and 10 ka respectively without the Sofular data point) compared with -0.218 and -0.221 for 11 ka and 10 ka when the Sofular data are included (Figure 6).

The isotope gradients and intercepts change markedly between 4 ka and 3 ka (Figure 8), perhaps implying a shift in atmospheric circulation in the late Holocene. After c. 4 ka, the isotope gradient increases again because $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{spe}}$ values appear to increase more in the west than in the east (Figure 8).
This effect is seen clearly in the individual records plotted in Figure 7 as increases in $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}}$ in the speleothems from Crag cave (CC3), La Garma cave (Gar-01) and Clamouse (CL26).

6. Conclusions

$\delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}}$ values for European Holocene speleothems decrease as a function of distance from Atlantic Ocean (longitude) when the data are filtered to exclude (i) high elevation Alpine sites (>600 m), (ii) sites close to (<80 km) the Mediterranean Basin coastline and (iii) high latitude sites (>56°N). $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}}$ values, filtered to exclude the three effects listed above, have been calculated at 1 ka intervals through the Holocene based on c. 50 year averages. These data exhibit define tight linear correlations with longitude, but the $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}}$ vs. longitude gradient changes with time from steeper gradients in the early Holocene to shallower gradients in the mid to late Holocene. While the ice volume effect undoubtedly played an important role in defining the value of $\delta^{18}O_{\text{mw}}$ arriving at the western European margin, it cannot by itself account for steeper isotope gradients in the early Holocene. The steeper early Holocene gradient reflects higher $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}}$ values on the western end of the transect, and simultaneously lower $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}}$ values in the east. Lower early Holocene $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}}$ values in the east (central Europe) and associated steeper zonal isotope gradients are attributed to a combination of differential early Holocene warming from west to east, and more intense insolation-driven summer convective rainfall over the continental landmass. This effect decreased during the course of the Holocene as summer insolation declined. After c. 4 ka, the pattern of gradual changes in the O isotope gradient and intercepts in the west and east was interrupted, possibly reflecting a regional-scale reorganisation of atmospheric circulation.

Overall, the data indicate that westerly-derived (Atlantic) moisture sources persisted throughout Holocene, with no major long-term (>50 year) changes in the atmospheric circulation pattern with the latitudinal band considered here. Importantly, the preservation of tight linear correlations between $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}}$ and longitude indicates that speleothem calcite $\delta^{18}$O values were not unduly affected by site-specific or variable disequilibrium effects, at least on the multi-decadal timescales considered here (50 year averages).
Finally, we note that because the direction of the transect studied here, constrained by the geographical distribution of European cave sites, is not parallel to the steepest ENE-gradient observed in present-day European precipitation, the O isotope gradients in an ENE direction across Europe may have been somewhat steeper at any given time in the past than implied by the sites considered here.
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Figure Captions

Figure 1: Map showing locations of cave sites from which speleothem records covering part or all of the Holocene were compiled. Contours are based on $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}}$ values for recently deposited (zero-age calcite) at these cave sites. Trends 1 and 2 are described in the text. The altitudinal effect on $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}}$ in the region surrounding the Alps is clearly visible.

Figure 2: Present-day $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}}$ values against the longitude of each cave site. Open data symbols denote high elevation Alpine sites. The data points enclosed by the rectangular box are from the high latitude Scandinavian sites. Data sources are listed in Table 2 (Supplementary material).

Figure 3: (a) Calculated $\delta^{18}O$ values (closed symbols, Kim and O’Neil, 1997; open symbols, Coplen, 2007) vs. present-day (zero age) actual $\delta^{18}O_{\text{spel}}$ values for cave sites for which both drip-water $\delta^{18}O$ and average air temperatures are known or have been reported in the literature (Table 1). The solid (1:1) line is the locus of points in perfect isotopic equilibrium. The dashed lines are offset by 1‰ above and below (left and right) the 1:1 line. The mean value of $\Delta_{\text{dis}}$ for all the cave calcites for which all of the required data are available is c. 0.97 ‰ and an arrow with a length of 1‰ is used in subsequent diagrams to denote $\Delta_{\text{dis}}$. (b) Calculated cave drip-water $\delta^{18}O$ values (solid symbols) that would be in equilibrium with the $\delta^{18}O$ values measured in modern (zero age) calcite precipitates in caves, taking account of actual cave temperatures, based on the Kim and O’Neil temperature equation. These values (y-axis), are plotted against actual measured drip-water $\delta^{18}O$ values in the same caves (x-axis). Caves are a sub-set of those in Table 1, selected on the basis that complete drip-water $\delta^{18}O$, modern precipitate $\delta^{18}O$ and cave temperature data were published.

Figure 4: Calculated $\delta^{18}O$ in hypothetical drip-waters (filled symbols) that would be in isotopic equilibrium with modern carbonate at each of the cave sites shown in Figure 2. Calculations are based on the equilibrium fractionation factors of Kim and O’Neil (1997), using the specific mean annual temperature of each cave site. Also shown for comparison are $\delta^{18}O$ data for precipitation in Europe (open symbols) as a function of longitude from the GNIP dataset. The arrow labelled $\Delta_{\text{dis}}$ denotes the
sense and magnitude of disequilibrium when the Kim and O’Neil equation is used to calculate the $\delta^{18}$O values in hypothetical drip-waters (filled symbols).

Figure 5: $\delta^{18}$O$_{spel}$ vs. longitude for eight 1 ka time-slices in the later Holocene. The data have been filtered for latitude, altitude and proximity to the Mediterranean Sea as explained in the text. Error bars are 1 standard deviation around the plotted mean value for each 50 year time slice. In some cases it was not possible to calculate standard deviations either because the original digital data were unavailable or because too few data points were available for each time slice (e.g. a single measurement of $\delta^{18}$O$_{spel}$ for modern calcite at some sites).

Figure 6: $\delta^{18}$O$_{spel}$ vs. longitude for five 1 ka time-slices in the early Holocene. The data have been filtered for latitude, altitude and proximity to the Mediterranean Sea as explained in the text. Error bars are 1 standard deviation around the plotted mean value for each 50 year time slice. In some cases it was not possible to calculate standard deviations either because the original digital data were unavailable or because too few data points were available.

Figure 7: Comparison of long-term, low frequency time-series trends inferred from the regression equations of Figure 5 and 6 with the detailed records from several selected Holocene speleothems to illustrate the extent to which the simple longitudinal-based regression can predict the first-order features of the observed time-series data. Typical 1σ variability around the regression-inferred $\delta^{18}$O$_{spel}$ values (thick curves) is ±0.3 ‰. Data from sites in western Europe plot close to the top of the diagram and those from eastern Europe plot close to the bottom of the diagram.

Figure 8: Plot showing (a) the $\delta^{18}$O$_{spel}$ gradient calculated by linear regression of data from low elevation, mid-latitude European cave sites, (b) the $\delta^{18}$O$_{spel}$ intercept at 10°W and (c) the $\delta^{18}$O$_{spel}$ intercept at 30°E. $\delta^{18}$O$_{spel}$ values are clearly more depleted in the early Holocene on the eastern margin of the transect compared with the late Holocene. By contrast, $\delta^{18}$O$_{spel}$ values at the western end of the transect (10°W), calculated from the regression lines are clearly heavier in the early Holocene. Thus, the gradient in $\delta^{18}$O$_{spel}$ was markedly higher in the early Holocene. Also shown are the June insolation
curves for 60°N (dashed lines) and 30°N (dot-dashed lines) after Berger and Loutre, 1991. Error bars are standard errors on the 1 ka time-slice regressions and intercepts.

**Table 1**
Compiled O isotope data for European Holocene speleothems. δ¹⁸O values are 50 year averages centered on 1 ka intervals.

**Table 2**
Sources of the data used in this study. Sites are numbered according to the subscripts in the 3rd column of Table 1.
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Figure 3

(a) Calculated δ¹⁸O calcite (VPDB) vs. Actual δ¹⁸O calcite (VPDB)

(b) Calculated δ¹⁸O drip-water (VSMOW) vs. Actual δ¹⁸O drip-water (VSMOW)
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- **Speleothem calcite at 8 ka**
  \[ y = -0.1509x - 4.6413 \]
  \[ r^2 = 0.9426 \]

- **Speleothem calcite at 11 ka**
  \[ y = -0.2177x - 4.1986 \]
  \[ r^2 = 0.9711 \]

- **Speleothem calcite at 9 ka**
  \[ y = -0.1640x - 4.6553 \]
  \[ r^2 = 0.9334 \]

- **Speleothem calcite at 12 ka**
  \[ y = -0.2208x - 4.613 \]
  \[ r^2 = 0.9316 \]

- **Speleothem calcite at 10 ka**
  \[ y = -0.1868x - 4.5592 \]
  \[ r^2 = 0.9776 \]
Figure 7

The graph shows the variation of δ18O per mille with age (ka) for different sites:

- **Sofular - SO-1**
- **Poleva - PP10**
- **Savi - SV1**
- **Crag - CC3**
- **Garma - GAR01**
- **Clamouse - CL26**

The x-axis represents age in ka (thousand years ago), and the y-axis represents δ18O per mille.
Figure 8

(a) Isotope Gradient

(b) Intercept at 10° W

(c) Intercept at 30° E

Δδ¹⁸O per mil/degree long.

δ¹⁸O per mil (VPDB)

Age (ka)

Insolation W/m²
| Country | Cave                  | Speleothem | Lat (N) | Long (E) | Elev. | T (°C) | Drip | 0ka | 1ka | 2ka | 3ka | 4ka | 5ka | 6ka | 7ka | 8ka | 9ka | 10ka | 11ka | 12ka |
|---------|-----------------------|------------|---------|----------|-------|--------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| Italy   | Carburangeli         | CR1        | 38.15   | 13.20    | 22    | 19.4   | -6.00| -6.70| -5.50| -5.50| -6.20| -5.25|     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Spain   | Pindal               | Cand/Maria | 43.23   | -4.30    | 24    | 13.0   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Ireland | Crag                 | CC3        | 52.23   | -9.44    | 60    | 10.4   | -5.60| -3.80| -3.20| -3.20| -4.20| -3.60| -2.90| -3.60| -2.80| -3.00| -3.00|     |     |
| Ireland | Crag                 | Bilbo      | 52.23   | -9.44    | 60    | 10.4   | -5.60| -3.54|     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| France  | Clamouse             | CL26       | 43.7    | 3.60     | 75    | 14.5   | -6.20| -4.95| -4.89| -4.79| -4.48| -4.64| -4.76| -4.76| -4.78| -5.00| -4.98| -5.02| -4.94|
| France  | Clamouse             | CL27       | 43.7    | 3.60     | 75    | 14.5   | -6.20| -5.50| -5.70| -5.25|     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Spain   | Garma                | Gar-02     | 43.43   | -3.66    | 75    | 13.0   | -5.40| -4.48| -4.47|     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Lebanon | Jeita                | Je-G-Stm-1 | 32.93   | 35.64    | 100   | 22.0   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| England | Brown's Folly        | Boss, BFM9 | 51.38   | 2.30     | 180   | 10.0   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| France  | Villars              | Vil-11     | 45.5    | 0.50     | 175   | 12.2   | -6.33|     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Belgium | Pere Noel            | PN95       | 50.13   | 5.16     | 180   | 9.6    | -5.50|     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Belgium | Han-sur-Lesse        | Prosperine | 50.13   | 5.16     | 180   | 9.0    | -7.50| -5.50| -6.00| -6.20|     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Belgium | Han-sur-Lesse        | Han-stm5b  | 50.13   | 5.16     | 180   | 8.9    | -7.50| -5.55|     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Germany | B-7                  | B7-115     | 49      | 7.00     | 185   | 9.4    | -8.37|     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Germany | B-7                  | B7-516     | 49      | 7.00     | 185   | 9.4    | -8.37| -5.70| -5.80| -5.85| -6.05| -6.00| -6.05| -5.80| -5.75| -6.00| -6.00|     |
| Germany | B-7                  | B7-717     | 49      | 7.00     | 185   | 9.4    | -8.37| -5.50| -5.90| -6.00| -6.10| -6.10| -5.30| -5.10| -5.20| -5.20|     |     |
| France  | Chauvet              | Chau-stm6  | 44.23   | 4.26     | 240   | 13.2   | -6.80|     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Israel  | Nahal Qanah          | NQ382      | 32.15   | 35.1     | 260   | 19.0   | -5.00| -5.30| -5.30| -5.10| -5.80| -5.40| -6.25| -5.90|     |     |     |     |
| Norway  | Rana                 | SG93       | 67.54   | 13.0     | 280   | 2.8    | -10.0| -7.57| -7.50| -7.63| -7.80| -7.65| -7.45| -7.38| -7.40|     |     |     |
| Norway  | Rana                 | SG95       | 67.54   | 13.0     | 280   | 2.8    | -10.0| -7.33| -7.25| -7.20| -7.35| -7.33|     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Norway  | Rana                 | SG92-4     | 67.54   | 13.0     | 280   | 2.8    | -10.0|     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Italy   | Buca de Renella      | RL4        | 45.0    | 10.0     | 300   | 12.0   | -4.25| -3.75| -3.60| -3.30| -3.60| -3.85| -3.75|     |     |     |     |
| England | Lancaster Hole       | LH70       | 54.1    | 2.10     | 294   | 10.0   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | -4.60| -4.36| -4.59| -4.62| -3.72| -4.13| -3.94| -3.64| -3.82|
| Scotland| Uamh an Tartair      | SU---      | 58.15   | 4.98     | 220   | 7.2    | -7.1 | -5.20| -4.20| -5.00| -4.82|     |     |     |     |     |     |     |

Table 1. Compilation of speleothem δ^18O for European Holocene stalagmites from the literature and unpublished sources. Data sources are given in Table 2. Latitude and longitude values are in degrees. Elevation values (Elev.) are in metres above sea level. Present-day speleothem δ^18O values are given as 0 ka. The column ‘Drip’ reports the mean δ^18O (V-SMOW) value for present-day drip water at each site. Other data are 50 year average ‘snapshot’ values at 1ka intervals (e.g. the data for 1ka is the mean δ^18O value for time-series speleothem carbonate that was deposited between 1025 and 975 years before present). Superscript numbers in ‘speleothem’ column are linked to data sources given in Table 2.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Cave</th>
<th>Speleothem</th>
<th>Lat (N)</th>
<th>Long (E)</th>
<th>Elev.</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>Drip</th>
<th>0ka</th>
<th>1ka</th>
<th>2ka</th>
<th>3ka</th>
<th>4ka</th>
<th>5ka</th>
<th>6ka</th>
<th>7ka</th>
<th>8ka</th>
<th>9ka</th>
<th>10ka</th>
<th>11ka</th>
<th>12ka</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>Poleva</td>
<td>PP10</td>
<td>44.70</td>
<td>21.73</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>-7.75</td>
<td>-7.63</td>
<td>-7.75</td>
<td>-7.75</td>
<td>-8.13</td>
<td>-8.30</td>
<td>-8.00</td>
<td>-8.75</td>
<td>-8.88</td>
<td>-8.25</td>
<td>-9.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>Poleva</td>
<td>PP9</td>
<td>44.70</td>
<td>21.73</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>10.75</td>
<td>-8.62</td>
<td>-8.30</td>
<td>-7.88</td>
<td>-7.75</td>
<td>-7.63</td>
<td>-7.75</td>
<td>-7.80</td>
<td>-8.30</td>
<td>-8.00</td>
<td>-8.70</td>
<td>-8.88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gibraltar</td>
<td>New St Michael's</td>
<td>Gibo4a</td>
<td>36.15</td>
<td>-5.35</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>18.30</td>
<td>-5.00</td>
<td>-5.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>Soreq</td>
<td>Sample 2-6</td>
<td>31.75</td>
<td>35.02</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>20.30</td>
<td>-5.00</td>
<td>-5.17</td>
<td>-6.70</td>
<td>-7.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>Soreq</td>
<td>Sample 2-N</td>
<td>31.75</td>
<td>35.02</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>20.30</td>
<td>-5.00</td>
<td>-5.40</td>
<td>-5.30</td>
<td>-5.60</td>
<td>-5.40</td>
<td>-5.60</td>
<td>-6.00</td>
<td>-6.20</td>
<td>-6.00</td>
<td>-6.00</td>
<td>-5.50</td>
<td>-4.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Savi</td>
<td>SV1</td>
<td>45.61</td>
<td>13.88</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>12.30</td>
<td>-7.28</td>
<td>-6.10</td>
<td>-6.70</td>
<td>-6.32</td>
<td>-6.62</td>
<td>-6.48</td>
<td>-6.74</td>
<td>-6.53</td>
<td>-6.70</td>
<td>-6.85</td>
<td>-6.81</td>
<td>-6.53</td>
<td>-6.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Romania</td>
<td>(Ursilor Cave)</td>
<td>PU3</td>
<td>46.32</td>
<td>22.25</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>9.81</td>
<td>-10.3</td>
<td>-7.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td>Postojna</td>
<td>Pos-stm4</td>
<td>45.77</td>
<td>14.22</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>-9.20</td>
<td>-6.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serbia</td>
<td>Ceremosjna cave</td>
<td>CC-1</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>-6.60</td>
<td>-7.25</td>
<td>-7.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Korallgrotten</td>
<td>K1</td>
<td>64.88</td>
<td>14.15</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>-8.55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Refugio</td>
<td>REF-01</td>
<td>36.50</td>
<td>-4.67</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>-3.60</td>
<td>-4.40</td>
<td>-4.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Refugio</td>
<td>REF-02</td>
<td>36.50</td>
<td>-4.67</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>-5.0</td>
<td>-4.80</td>
<td>-5.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Refugio</td>
<td>REF-03</td>
<td>36.50</td>
<td>-4.67</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>-4.42</td>
<td>-4.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Refugio</td>
<td>REF-04</td>
<td>36.50</td>
<td>-4.67</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>-4.94</td>
<td>-4.57</td>
<td>-5.26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Labyrinthgrotten</td>
<td>L4</td>
<td>66.05</td>
<td>14.67</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>-0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Kaita cave</td>
<td>LV-5</td>
<td>43.03</td>
<td>-3.65</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>-6.20</td>
<td>-6.38</td>
<td>-6.10</td>
<td>-6.80</td>
<td>-6.00</td>
<td>-6.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>La Mine Cave</td>
<td>Min-stm1</td>
<td>36.03</td>
<td>9.68</td>
<td>975</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>-6.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Ernesto</td>
<td>ER76</td>
<td>45.96</td>
<td>11.65</td>
<td>1165</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>-9.60</td>
<td>-7.80</td>
<td>-7.80</td>
<td>-7.60</td>
<td>-7.60</td>
<td>-7.90</td>
<td>-7.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Ernesto</td>
<td>ER77</td>
<td>45.96</td>
<td>11.65</td>
<td>1165</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>-9.60</td>
<td>-7.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>Corchia</td>
<td>CC26</td>
<td>44.00</td>
<td>10.22</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>-7.40</td>
<td>-4.34</td>
<td>-4.27</td>
<td>-4.30</td>
<td>-4.36</td>
<td>-4.48</td>
<td>-4.49</td>
<td>-4.57</td>
<td>-4.94</td>
<td>-4.46</td>
<td>-4.50</td>
<td>-4.40</td>
<td>-4.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Hölloch Cave</td>
<td>Stal-Hoel-1</td>
<td>47.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>1440</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>-11.8</td>
<td>-7.97</td>
<td>-8.07</td>
<td>-8.22</td>
<td>-8.27</td>
<td>-8.57</td>
<td>-8.00</td>
<td>-8.27</td>
<td>-8.47</td>
<td>-8.47</td>
<td>-8.90</td>
<td>-8.87</td>
<td>-10.87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Spannagel</td>
<td>SPA12</td>
<td>47.09</td>
<td>11.67</td>
<td>2347</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>-11.3</td>
<td>-7.49</td>
<td>-7.47</td>
<td>-7.40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Spannagel</td>
<td>COMNISPA</td>
<td>47.09</td>
<td>11.67</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>-11.3</td>
<td>-7.90</td>
<td>-7.90</td>
<td>-7.60</td>
<td>-7.70</td>
<td>-7.50</td>
<td>-8.00</td>
<td>-7.75</td>
<td>-8.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Katerloch</td>
<td>K1</td>
<td>47.08</td>
<td>15.55</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>-8.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Katerloch</td>
<td>K3</td>
<td>47.08</td>
<td>15.55</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>-8.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Attahohle</td>
<td>AH-1</td>
<td>50.80</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>-8.92</td>
<td>-6.25</td>
<td>-6.20</td>
<td>-6.20</td>
<td>-6.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Okshala</td>
<td>FM3</td>
<td>67.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>-7.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---