Research Repository UCD

Title	Applying DTI white matter orientations to finite element head models to examine diffuse TBI under high rotational accelerations
Authors(s)	Colgan, Niall C., Gilchrist, M. D., Curran, Kathleen M.
Publication date	2010-12
Publication information	Colgan, Niall C., M. D. Gilchrist, and Kathleen M. Curran. "Applying DTI White Matter Orientations to Finite Element Head Models to Examine Diffuse TBI under High Rotational Accelerations" 103, no. 2-3 (December, 2010).
Publisher	Elsevier
Item record/more information	http://hdl.handle.net/10197/4620
Publisher's statement	This is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology (103, 2-3, (2010)) DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pbiomolbio.2010.09.008
Publisher's version (DOI)	10.1016/j.pbiomolbio.2010.09.008

Downloaded 2023-04-07T10:52:29Z

The UCD community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters! (@ucd_oa)

© Some rights reserved. For more information

Applying DTI white matter orientations to finite element head models to examine diffuse TBI under high rotational accelerations

Niall C. Colgan^{a,b}, Michael D. Gilchrist^{b,c}, Kathleen M. Curran^{*,a}

^a*Diagnostic Imaging, University College Dublin, Belfield, D4, Ireland*

^b*Mechanical Engineering, University College Dublin, Belfield, D4, Ireland*

^c*School of Human Kinetics, University of Ottawa, Ontario K1N 6N5, Canada*

Abstract

The in-vivo mechanical response of neural tissue during impact loading of the head is simulated using geometrically accurate finite element (FE) head models. However current FE models do not account for the anisotropic elastic material behaviour of brain tissue. In soft biological tissue, there is a correlation between internal microscopic structure and macroscopic mechanical properties. Therefore, constitutive equations are important for the numerical analysis of the soft biological tissues. By exploiting diffusion tensor techniques the anisotropic orientation of neural tissue is incorporated into a non-linear viscoelastic material model for brain tissue and implemented in an explicit FE analysis. The viscoelastic material parameters are derived from published data and the viscoelastic model is used to describe the mechanical response of brain tissue. The model is formulated in terms of a large strain viscoelastic framework and considers non-linear viscous deformations in combination with non-linear elastic behaviour. The constitutive model was applied in the University College Dublin brain trauma model (UCDBTM) (i.e. three-dimensional finite element head model) to predict the mechanical response of the intra-cranial contents due to rotational injury.

Key words: Nonlinear elasticity, Anisotropy, Impact biomechanics, Traumatic brain injury

*Corresponding author

Email address: Kathleen.Curran@ucd.ie (Kathleen M. Curran)

1. Introduction

Nearly two million traumatic brain injuries (TBIs) occur annually in the United States and it is the leading cause of death and disability in 15-24 year-olds (Langlois et al., 2004, Sorenson and Kraus, 1991). TBI also accounts for one million hospital admissions annually in the European Union (Bowen et al., 1997). The past two decades have seen a large increase in the use of computational biomechanical models as investigative tools in head injury research (Johnson and Young, 2005; Muller and Ruegsegger, 1995; Ruan et al., 1991, 1994, 1993; Kleiven, 2002; Kleiven and Holst, 2002; Horgan and Gilchrist, 2003, 2004; Miller and Chinzei, 1997). These models use either finite element methods or multi-body dynamic simulations to suggest the tolerance levels for the occurrence of head injury using various measured mechanical parameters and the probability of specific head injury sequelae that are associated with TBI (Auer et al., 2001; Doorly and Gilchrist, 2006; Baumgartner et al., 2001; Willinger and Baumgartner, 2003).

Diffuse white matter damage is associated with a large fraction of those patients with poor neurological outcome in adult and paediatric survivors of brain injury, ranging from subtle behavioural changes to significant neurological deficits (Colgan et al., 2010). Biomechanical analyses of high rotational acceleration/deceleration associated with diffuse axonal injury (DAI) suggest a link between brain material response (strain) and the orientation of the associated injured white matter (Halabieh and Wan, 2008; Parizel et al., 1998).

Neuronal/axonal injury has been implicated as the leading pathologic lesion of TBI, with secondary damage resulting from numerous neurodegenerative cascades (Smith and Meaney, 2000). Traumatic axonal injury, attributed to shear and tensile stresses, typically occurs in the white matter of the cerebral hemispheres, corpus callosum, and brain stem, especially in severe TBI (Hurley et al., 2004). Diffuse axonal injury, associated with high rotational acceleration/deceleration, often results in apoptosis at sites distal to the centre of rotation of the head (Conti et al., 1998; Holmin et al., 1998; Williams et al., 2006).

Based on the involvement of complex neurocognitive pathways of varying orientations, we hypothesise that the predicted severity and location of the sites of injury are influenced by the orientation of the neuronal fibres in the reference frame of the applied global forces in the FE simulation. The objective of this study is to establish the orientation of the neural fibres

1 within the brain using diffusion tensor imaging and apply these orientations
2 into the 3D UCDBTM FE model (Horgan and Gilchrist, 2003, 2004) to assess
3 the effects of anisotropy on simulated results of a high rotational TBI.

4 **2. Materials and methods**

5 The method used to define the orientation of the fibres within the brain
6 is magnet resonance diffusion tensor imaging (DTI). Diffusion Tensor Imag-
7 ing (Basser et al., 1994) is an MRI technique that measures the diffusion
8 orientation of water in tissue. Using the measured diffusion of water within
9 highly directional tissue, for example neuronal white matter, it is possible
10 to extract information relating to the trajectory of axonal fibres within the
11 brain. DTI essentially provides two distinct sets of information based on the
12 Brownian motion of water in the specific tissue being scanned;

- 13 1. anisotropy of the diffusion (e.g. fractional anisotropy (FA))
- 14 2. direction in which the diffusion is occurring

15 By assuming that the largest principal axis of the diffusion tensor aligns
16 with the predominant fibre orientation in an MRI voxel, we can obtain 2D
17 or 3D vector fields that represent the fibre orientation at each voxel. The 3D
18 reconstruction of tract trajectories, or tractography, is a natural extension
19 of such vector fields. This is the simplest method of tract generation and is
20 used in this current study, however this method can be prone to error.

21 The normalised DTI volume used is an example file from Fiber Viewer
22 (Goodlett et al., 2005). The image set voxel size of 2x2x2 mm isotropic and
23 the averaged diffusion vector of the water molecules contained in each voxel
24 is based on six principal diffusion direction gradients. The voxel size is small
25 enough to distinguish white and grey matter (Figure 1 A). The white matter
26 consists of tracts that run along various directions and are large enough to
27 discern visually (Figure 1 A and B). The image resolution is sufficiently high
28 for the white matter tracts to span several voxels. The white matter tracts,
29 in turn, consist of densely packed axons (neuronal projections) in addition
30 to various types of neuroglia and other small populations of cells. Inside
31 the voxel, water molecules are distributed between these cell types and the
32 extracellular space (80–85% are intracellular). Assuming that the orientation
33 of the largest component of the diffusion tensor represents the orientation of
34 dominant axonal tracts, DTI can provide a 3D vector field, in which each
35 vector represents the fibre orientation denoted by λ (Figure 1 C and Figure

2). Currently, there are several different approaches to reconstruct white

Figure 1: Typical DTI volume (taken from Fiber Viewer Goodlett et al, 2005) (A) FA map of the mid-transverse slice of the human head (B) FA map overlaid with the vectormap of the diffusion tensor (C) Zoomed view of the anterior corpus callosum outlined in B showing the 2D vector orientations (D) The 3D axonal tract representation of the corpus callosum and the corona radiata.

1
2 matter tract representations, which can be broadly classified into two types:
3 deterministic and probabilistic. Techniques classified in the first category are
4 based on line propagation algorithms that use local tensor information for
5 each step of the propagation. The main differences among techniques stems
6 from the way information from neighbouring pixels is incorporated to define
7 smooth trajectories or to minimize noise contributions. The second type of

1 approach is based on global energy minimization to find the energetically
2 most favourable path between two predetermined pixels. The most intuitive
3 way to reconstruct a 3D trajectory from a 3D vector field is to propagate a
4 line from a seed point by following the local vector orientation (Figure 1D)
5 where the mean diffusivity is represented by λ' which is generated from the
6 principal eigenvalues ($\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$) by the equation

$$\lambda' = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)/3 \quad (1)$$

7 The FA index measures the fraction of the magnitude of the effective diffusion
8 tensor that is ascribed to the anisotropic diffusion. FA is quantitative and
9 dimensionless. For an isotropic medium, $FA = 0$ and for a cylindrically
10 symmetric anisotropic medium (i.e., $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2, \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$), $FA = 1$ (Basser and
11 Pierpaoli, 1996). The anisotropy (FA) of the voxel containing the diffusion
12 tensor is generated by the equation

$$FA = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2 + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_3)^2 + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)^2}}{\sqrt{((\lambda_1)^2 + (\lambda_2)^2 + (\lambda_3)^2)}}} \quad (2)$$

13 The linear propagation approach, which was dubbed FACT (fibre assignment
14 by continuous tracking) (Mori et al., 1999), was used to reconstruct the tract
15 trajectories. The vector information contained at each voxel may not fully
16 reflect the propagation of all the neurons within each voxel but instead it
17 reflects the principal orientation of the fibres within each voxel. This is due
18 to the resolution capabilities of the MRI. The average central nervous system
19 axon is approximately $1\mu m$ in diameter and the voxel size in this study is
20 $2x2x2$ mm and regions where fibres cross maybe represented as isotropic (eq.
21 2) but may in fact, contain two distinct tensor propagations (Tuch et al.,
22 2002). However, the tensor information that is used in the FE model is
23 fitted to the elements with an approximate size of $10mm^3$ and the distinct
24 tensors that define each voxel region are again averaged to give the gross
25 representation of the fibre orientations of each element in the UCDBTM
26 head model.

27 *2.1. Mesh morphing to apply orientations*

28 The location and orientation of the tensor information and the FA is
29 mapped to each element by registering the elements that comprise the brain
30 in the 3D FE model to the 3D FA volume of the brain. Registration of DT
31 images requires optimisation of tensor reorientation (Alexander et al, 2001;

Curran and Alexander, 2003, 2004; Zhang et al, 2006). However, the FE elements were mapped to the FA volume negating the requirement for tensor reorientation. Creation of another subject specific FE model of the entire head was considered to be beyond the scope of this present work. Instead the existing validated model was morphed to the 3D MR volume using a thin-plate splines method to compute the full-field transformations between source (FE model) and target (FA volume). This has the basis that a plate with isotropic properties (eg. steel) can be morphed to fit a homologous shape (a shape that can be formed from the original without joining, crossing or tearing the original shape) by means of applying forces and constraints to bend the plate to the new desired shape. Instead of writing a program to perform this morphing, a combination of Matlab subroutines and ABAQUS software was used instead to reshape the model. A coarse surface grid mesh of the brain FE model was constructed and this was then warped (simple scaling in the X, Y and Z domains) to fit the global x, y and z dimensions of 3D FA Volume (Mendis et al., 1995).

Vectors were constructed by using the node points on the coarse grid and matching landmark points based on the shortest distance from the node grid positions to the surface of the FA volume, until every point on the coarse grid had a displacement vector associated with it. A static displacement analysis, using all of these displacement vectors as input, was then carried out on this coarse grid (giving it isotropic properties). Using Matlab the resultant vector plot was transformed into a continuous spatial displacement field. This new continuous displacement field was then applied to the projection mesh model at the dura and a new static displacement analysis was carried out on the entire projection mesh model (again giving all elements identical isotropic properties). This then forced the intracranial shape to move from its original state to match that of the new intracranial shape. Finally the analysis results were used to create an applied spatial transformation in Matlab which moved the original nodal positions, strain free, to those of the deformed positions. This process was used to find the principle orientation of each element in the original FE space; the anisotropy from DTI was then integrated with the model (Figure 2 A and B).

2.2. Material model

A constitutive model with transversely isotropic hyperelastic mechanical behaviour of a family of collagen fibres for the arterial wall has been developed Gasser et al.,(2006) and Holzapfel et al.,(2000). Therefore, this model

Figure 2: A 3D eigenvector representation of the diffusion direction and arbitrary unit direction vector $\vec{M}$ in terms of Eulerian angles

1 provides a good bases from which to develop a similar hyperelastic model for
 2 anisotropic regions of brain tissue. It is assumed that there is only one family
 3 of axonal fibre bundles and these axonal fibres are embedded in an isotropic
 4 incompressible matrix. These axonal fibres are distributed uniaxially in an
 5 inferior-superior direction. For the purpose of simplification, the preferred
 6 fibre direction vector, $\vec{n}_0$, is aligned within a local rectangular cartesian coor-
 7 dinate system and the orientation density function is independent of Eulerian
 8 angle, Φ , as defined in the DTI tensor. Therefore, the orientation density
 9 function, $\rho(\vec{M}(\Theta, \Phi))$, becomes $\rho(\vec{M}(\Theta))$.

$$\alpha_{11} = \alpha_{22} = k, \alpha_{33} = 1 - 2k, k = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^\pi \rho(\vec{M}(\Theta)) \sin^3 \Theta d\Theta \quad (3)$$

10 where the term k has been introduced to represent the fibre distribution
 11 and describes the degree of anisotropy. Consequently, the generalized second
 12 order structure tensor, H , can be written in compact form.

$$H = kI + (1 - 3k)\vec{n}_0\vec{n}_0 \quad (4)$$

where $\mathbf{I}$ is the identity tensor. Hence, $\mathbf{H}$ depends only on the single dispersion structural parameter k ($k \in [0, 1/3]$). $k=0$ describes the full alignment of axonal fibres and $k = 1/3$ describes the isotropic distribution of axonal fibres. The continuum representation of the axonal fibre orientation forms the foundation for an anisotropic hyperelastic formulation. In order to derive the anisotropic hyperelastic strain energy potential W for the brain, it is assumed that it can be separated into an isotropic strain energy potential of the matrix, W_m and an anisotropic strain energy potential of axonal fibers, W_f . Therefore, the anisotropic strain energy potential function is

$$W(\bar{\mathbf{C}}, H_i) = W_m(\bar{\mathbf{C}}) + \sum_{i=1}^N W_{fi}(\bar{\mathbf{C}}, H_i(\vec{n}_{oi}, k)) \quad (5)$$

where the general second order structural tensor $H_i(\vec{n}_{oi}, k)$ is defined according to equation (4), N is the number of fibre families and $\bar{\mathbf{C}}$ is the isochoric part of the right Cauchy-Green strain tensor $\mathbf{C}$.

The matrix material is modelled as an incompressible isotropic neo-Hookean model (Ning et al., 2006, Hrapko et al., 2008, Van Dommelen et al., 2008, Prange and Margulies, 2002), i.e.

$$W_m = C_{10}(\bar{I}_1 - 3) + \frac{1}{D_1}(J - 1)^2 \quad (6)$$

where $\bar{I}_1$ denotes the first invariant of $\bar{\mathbf{C}}$, J is the volume ratio, C_{10} and D_1 are neo-Hookean coefficients. These neo-Hookean coefficients can be related to the initial shear modulus G_0 and the bulk modulus K_0 as follows

$$C_{10} = \frac{G_0}{2}, D_1 = \frac{2}{K_0} \quad (7)$$

The additional contribution of the anisotropic strain energy potential for the i th family of axonal fibres is

$$W_{fi}(\bar{\mathbf{C}}, H_i) = \frac{k_1}{2k_2} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(e^{k_2 \bar{E}_i^2} - 1 \right) \quad (8)$$

where $k_1 > 0$ is a stress parameter to quantify mechanical tensile strength of the axonal fibres and $k_2 > 0$ is a dimensionless parameter. The important fundamental hypothesis of the Holzapfel-Gasser-Ogden model (Abaqus, 2007) is that the axonal fibres cannot support any compression and would buckle

under compressive load, i.e, fibres contribute only their mechanical strength during tension. The strain energy potential function of the isotropic matrix and the contribution from the anisotropic axonal fibre reinforcements is given by

$$W = C_{10} (\bar{I}_1 - 3) + \frac{1}{D_1} \left(\frac{J^2 - 1}{2} - \ln J \right) + \frac{k_1}{2k_2} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(e^{k_2 \langle \bar{E}_i \rangle^2} - 1 \right) \quad (9)$$

This Holzapfel-Gasser-Ogden material definition was used to model the white matter tracts using the direction dependant material properties in the literature (Arbogast and Margulies, 1999; Prange and Margulies, 2002; Ning et al., 2006), as summarised in Table 1.

Brain	G_0	C_{10}	K_0	D_1	k_1	k_2	k
	[Pa]	[Pa]	[GPa]	[1/GPa]	[Pa]		
Brain	315.17	157.88	2	1	3013.30	0.00001	FA
Brainstem	12.7	6.35	2	1	121.2	01	FA

Table 1: Material properties of the brain

The dispersion parameter k is defined based on the average fractional anisotropy equation (2) of the fibres for each element group. The material properties of the brain stem are highly anisotropic in nature and are defined separately to the remaining brain tissue that constitute the elements of the cerebrum. The material properties for the remaining parts of the model, i.e., the cortical and trabecular bone, scalp and intracranial membranes were taken from the literature (Horgan and Gilchrist, 2003).

The UCDBTM, which is a freely available, validated 3D finite element model of the head, can simulate the effect of the overall head movement on the cranial contents, so the local deformation parameters within the brain tissue can be examined and compared to the observed clinical results. The analysis of the model boundary conditions and mesh sensitivity have been previously assessed (Horgan and Gilchrist, 2003, 2004) while validating the model against linear and rotational impact cadaver tests.

An experimental study of high rotational acceleration/deceleration on monkeys (Gennarelli et al., 1982) reported the conditions required to produce diffuse axonal injury (DAI). At levels of acceleration below 175 krad/s^2

Material	Young's modulus (MPa)	Poisson's ratio	Density (kg/m ³)
scalp	16.7	0.42	1000
Cortical bone	15000	0.22	2000
Trabecular bone	1000	0.24	1300
Dura	31.5	0.45	1130
Pia	11.5	0.45	1130
Falx and Tentorium	31.5	0.45	1130
Facial Bone	5000	0.23	2100

Table 2: Material properties of the remaining head structures

1 and pulse duration below 5ms cerebral concussion was present and it was
2 proposed that a shear strain of 0.05 was necessary to cause concussion (Mar-
3 gulies and Thibault, 1994). This rotational acceleration was applied in the
4 sagittal plane around the centre of mass of the present 3D model with both
5 the anisotropic material properties (i.e., $k = 0$) and isotropic material prop-
6 erties (i.e., $k = 1/3$) from the literature (Table 1). Elements were segmented
7 manually and grouped together to represent specific structures and regions
8 based on the segmented fibre bundle representations in the DTI data set.
9 The gross orientations were overlaid onto the FE head model.

10 3. Results

11 The predicted regions affected by axonal injury were based on the shear
12 strain response of each material model and the results of which are presented
13 in Figure 3. The model predicted a statistically significant difference between
14 homogenous and anisotropic material definitions at both the brainstem and
15 the corona radiata ($p < 0.05$) regions. However, within the midbrain, corpus
16 callosum and grey matter regions, no significant difference was predicted by
17 using either isotropic or anisotropic properties.

18 These two regions where differences were observed are highly anisotropic
19 with a median fractional anisotropy (FA) value of 0.7 with a standard devia-
20 tion of 0.003. The grey matter however does not have a principle orientation

Figure 3: The mean values and standard deviations observed in the simulations for strain based measures

1 and has a max FA of less than 0.1. No statistically significant difference
2 was found between the shear strain of the anisotropic grey matter and the
3 homogenous grey matter ($p < 0.01$). Similarly too, no statistically significant
4 difference in axonal injury was predicted between the material models for the
5 Corpus Callosum and the Midbrain. These regions have FA values of 0.8 and
6 0.7 respectively and are highly anisotropic and a larger variation between
7 the material responses was expected. This may be due to the location of
8 the centre of mass around which the rotation takes place and the principle
9 orientations of the white matter in these regions lie along the axis of rotation.
10 This would result in a reduction in the shear forces acting in these fibres.

11 4. Discussion

12 In current research, finite element modelling is the primary tool used to
13 investigate the mechanics of TBI. However the material models are crucial
14 in accurately predicting the circumstances that cause TBI. The approach of

1 combining in-vivo measurements of the orientations of normal healthy ax-
 2 onal fibre bundles with FE models could improve the predictive capabilities
 3 using FE simulations in DAI. The current practice of using homogenous ma-
 4 terial properties may lead to erroneous results in the reported values of injury
 5 in numerical accident reconstruction. The initial quality of the elements in
 6 the present model was assessed and confirmed to be adequate and the to-
 7 tal energy remained constant while the hourglassing energy was insignificant
 8 compared to the total energy in the calculations ($<10\%$). However, one pos-
 9 sible limitation of the present model is the relatively low mesh density of the
 10 head model in comparison to the DTI data. This limits the geometrical de-
 11 tail that would be required to model each individual axonal tract, especially
 12 the interface between grey and white matter tracts and the boundaries of
 13 the ventricular system and white matter. Another limitation of the model is
 14 the orientation of the tensors within each element. The registration method
 15 may not fully map each voxel to its exact location within each element and
 16 the method of generating the tracts could also lead to errors in the ellipsoid
 17 placement. However subject specific models with a mesh density of $1mm^3$
 18 along with higher order tensor data and noise reduction methods would im-
 19 prove the FE predictions but would also increase the computation time of
 20 each simulation.

21 **5. Conclusion**

22 The FE model predictions illustrate the importance of the orientation
 23 of the material structure in diffuse axonal injury. The anisotropic model
 24 predicted a greater level of injury at sites distal to the centre of rotation
 25 than a simple isotropic model. These findings illustrate that the anisotropic
 26 model shows a variation in the predicted apoptosis at sites distal to the centre
 27 of rotation in diffuse axonal injury simulation.

1 ABAQUS, version 6.9-2, (2007) ABAQUS analysis: user's manual, Dassault
2 systemes.

3 ALEXANDER, D.C., PIERPAOLI, C., BASSER P.J., GEE J.C. (2001) Spa-
4 tial transformations of diffusion tensor magnetic resonance images. IEEE
5 transactions in medical imaging. 20, 1131-1139.

6 AUER, C., SCHONPFLUG, M. & BEIER, G. (2001) An analysis of brain
7 injuries in real world pedestrian traffic accidents by computer simulation
8 reconstruction. Proceedings of the International Society of Biomechanics
9 XVIIIth Congress.

10 BASSER, P. J., MATTIELLO, J. & LEBIHAN, D. (1994) MR diffusion ten-
11 sor spectroscopy and imaging. Biophysical Journal, 66, 259-267.

12 BASSER, P. J. & PIERPAOLI, C. (1996) Microstructural and physiological
13 features of tissues elucidated by quantitative-diffusion-tensor MRI. Journal
14 of Magnetic Resonance, Series B, 111, 209-219.

15 BAUMGARTNER, D., WILLINGER, R., SHEWCHENKO, N. & BEUSEN-
16 BERG, M. (2001) Tolerance limits for mild traumatic brain injury derived
17 from numerical head impact replication. Proceedings of the 2001 Interna-
18 tional IRCOBI Conference on the Biomechanics of Impacts, 353-355.

19 BOWEN, J. M., CLARK, E., BIGLER, E. D., GARDNER, M., NILSSON,
20 D., GOOCH, J. & POMPA, J. (1997) Childhood traumatic brain injury:
21 neuropsychological status at the time of hospital discharge. Developmental
22 Medicine and Child Neurology, 39, 17-25.

23 COLGAN, N.C., CRONIN, M.M., GOBBO, O.L., OMARA, S.M., OCON-
24 NOR, W.T. & GILCHRIST, M.D. (2010) Quantitative MRI analysis of the
25 experimental evolution of mild and severe TBI. Journal of Neurotrauma,
26 27(7): 1265-1274

27 CONTI, A. C., RAGHUPATHI, R., TROJANOWSKI, J. Q. & MCINTOSH,
28 T. K. (1998) Experimental brain injury induces regionally distinct apoptosis
29 during the acute and delayed post-traumatic period. Journal of Neuroscience,
30 18, 56-63.

31 CURRAN, K. M. & ALEXANDER, D. C. (2003) Diffusion tensor orientation
32 matching for image registration. Proceedings of SPIE International Society
33 for Optical Engineering. Medical Imaging 2003: Image Processing; 5032:
34 149-156.

35 CURRAN, K. M. & ALEXANDER, D. C. (2004) Orientation coherence op-
36 timisation in tensor image registration, Proceedings of the 13th International
37 Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine.

38 DOORLY, M. C. & GILCHRIST, M. D. (2006) The use of accident recon-

struction for the analysis of traumatic brain injury due to head impacts
arising from falls. *Computer Methods in Biomechanics and Biomedical En-*
gineering, 9, 371-377.

GASSER, T. C., OGDEN, R. W. & HOLZAPFEL, G. A. (2006) Hyperelas-
tic modelling of arterial layers with distributed collagen fibre orientations.
Journal of the Royal Society Interface, 6, 15-35 .

GENNARELLI, T. A., THIBAUT, L. E., ADAMS, J. H., GRAHAM, D.
I., THOMPSON, C. J. & MARCINCIN, R. P. (1982) Diffuse axonal injury
and traumatic coma in the primate. *Annals of Neurology*, 12, 564-574.

GOODLETT, C., COROUGE, I., JOMIER, M. & GERIG, G. (2005) A
quantitative DTI fiber tract analysis suite, in MICCAI Workshop on open
source software. Palm Springs, CA USA.

HALABIEH, O. & WAN, J. (2008) Simulating mechanism of brain injury
during closed head impact. *Biomedical Simulation*, 3, 107-118.

HOLMIN, S., S'DERLUND, J., BIBERFELD, P. & MATHIESEN, T. (1998)
Intracerebral inflammation after human brain contusion. *Neurosurgery*, 42,
291-298

HOLZAPFEL, G. A., GASSER, T. C. & OGDEN, R. W. (2000) A new con-
stitutive framework for arterial wall mechanics and a comparative study of
material models. *Journal of elasticity*, 61, 1-48.

HORGAN, T. J. & GILCHRIST, M. D. (2003) The creation of three-dimensional
finite element models for simulating head impact biomechanics. *International*
Journal of Crashworthiness, 8, 353-366.

HORGAN, T. J. & GILCHRIST, M. D. (2004) Influence of FE model vari-
ability in predicting brain motion and intracranial pressure changes in head
impact simulations. *International Journal of Crashworthiness*, 9, 401-418.

HRAPKO, M., VAN DOMMELEN, J. A. W., PETERS, G. W. M. & WIS-
MANS, J. (2008) The influence of test conditions on characterization of the
mechanical properties of brain tissue. *Journal of Biomechanical Engineering*,
130, 31-38.

HURLEY, R. A., MCGOWAN, J. C., ARFANAKIS, K. & TABER, K. H.
(2004) Traumatic axonal injury: novel insights into evolution and identifica-
tion. *Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, 16, 1-17.

JOHNSON, E. A. C. & YOUNG, P. G. (2005) On the use of a patient-specific
rapid-prototyped model to simulate the response of the human head to im-
pact and comparison with analytical and finite element models. *Journal of*
Biomechanics, 38, 39-51.

KLEIVEN, S. (2002) Finite element modeling of the human Head. Depart-

1 ment of Aeronautics, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden.

2 KLEIVEN, S. & HOLST, H. V. (2002) Consequences of head size following
3 trauma to the human head. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 35, 153-160.

4 LANGLOIS, J. A., RUTLAND-BROWN, W. & THOMAS, K. E. (2004)
5 Traumatic brain injury in the United States: emergency department visits,
6 hospitalizations, and deaths. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and
7 Prevention, National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, 1-68.

8 MATLAB version 6.5.1. Natick, Massachusetts: The MathWorks Inc., 2003.

9 MARGULIES, S. S. & THIBAUT, L. E. (1992) A proposed tolerance crite-
10 rion for diffuse axonal injury in man. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 25, 917-923.

11 MENDIS, K. K., STALNAKER, R. L. & ADVANI, S. H. (1995) A constitu-
12 tive relationship for large deformation finite element modeling of brain tissue.
13 *Journal of Biomechanical Engineering*, 117, 279-286.

14 MILLER, K. & CHINZEI, K. (1997) Constitutive modelling of brain tissue:
15 experiment and theory. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 30, 1115-1121.

16 MORI, S., CRAIN, B. J., CHACKO, V. P. & VAN ZIJL, P. C. M. (1999)
17 Three-dimensional tracking of axonal projections in the brain by magnetic
18 resonance imaging. *Annals of Neurology*, 45, 265-269.

19 MORI, S., KAUFMANN, W. E., DAVATZIKOS, C., STIELTJES, B., AMODEI,
20 L., FREDERICKSEN, K., PEARLSON, G. D., MELHEM, E. R., SOLAIYAP-
21 PAN, M., RAYMOND, G. V., MOSER, H. W. & ZIJL, P. C. M. V. (2002)
22 Imaging cortical association tracts in the human brain using diffusion-tensor-
23 based axonal tracking. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*, 47, 215-223.

24 MULLER, R. & RUEGSEGG, P. (1995) Three-dimensional finite element
25 modelling of non-invasively assessed trabecular bone structures. *Medical En-
26 gineering and Physics*, 17, 126-133.

27 NING, X., ZHU, Q., LANIR, Y. & MARGULIES, S. S. (2006) A trans-
28 versely isotropic viscoelastic constitutive equation for brainstem undergoing
29 finite deformation. *Journal of biomechanical engineering*, 128, 925-933.

30 OZTURK, A., SASSON, A. D., FARRELL, J. A. D., LANDMAN, B. A.,
31 DA MOTTA, A. C. B. S., ARALASMAK, A. & YOUSEM, D. M. (2008)
32 Regional Differences in Diffusion Tensor Imaging Measurements: Assessment
33 of Intrarater and Interrater Variability. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*, 29, 1124-
34 1127.

35 PARIZEL, P. M., ZSARLAK, ., VAN GOETHEM, J. W., VAN DEN HAUWE,
36 L., DILLEN, C., VERLOOY, J., COSYNS, P. & DE SCHEPPER, A. M.
37 (1998) Imaging findings in diffuse axonal injury after closed head trauma.
38 *European Radiology*, 8, 960-965.

1 PRANGE, M. T. & MARGULIES, S. S. (2002) Regional, directional, and
2 age-dependent properties of the brain undergoing large deformation. *Journal*
3 *of Biomechanical Engineering*, 124, 244-252.

4 RUAN, J. S., KHALIL, T. & KING, A. I. (1991) Human Head Dynamic
5 Response to Side Impact by Finite Element Modeling. *Journal of Biome-*
6 *chanical Engineering*, 113, 276-283.

7 RUAN, J. S., KHALIL, T. & KING, A. I. (1994) Dynamic response of the
8 human head to impact by three-dimensional finite element analysis. *Journal*
9 *of Biomechanical Engineering*, 116, 44-50.

10 RUAN, J. S., KHALIL, T. B. & KING, A. I. (1993) Finite element modeling
11 of direct head impact. 37th Stapp Car Crash Conference Proceedings. SAE
12 International.

13 SMITH, D. & MEANEY, D. (2000) Axonal damage in traumatic brain in-
14 jury. *The Neuroscientist*, 6, 483-495

15 SORENSON, S. B. & KRAUS, J. F. (1991) Occurrence, severity, and out-
16 comes of brain injury. *The Journal of Head Trauma Rehabilitation*, 6, 1-10

17 TUCH, D. S., REESE, T. G., WIEGELL, M. R., MAKRIS, N., BELLIVEAU,
18 J. W. & WEDEEN, V. J. (2002) High angular resolution diffusion imaging
19 reveals intravoxel white matter fiber heterogeneity. *Magnetic Resonance in*
20 *Medicine*, 48, 577-582.

21 VAN DOMMELEN, J. A. W., HRAPKO, M. & PETERS, G. W. M. (2008)
22 Mechanical properties of brain tissue: Characterisation and constitutive Mod-
23 elling. *Mechanosensitivity of the Nervous System*. A. Kamkin and I. Kiseleva
24 (eds.) Springer, 249-279.

25 WILLIAMS, A. J., HARTINGS, J. A., LU, X. C. M., ROLLI, M. L. &
26 TORTELLA, F. C. (2006) Penetrating ballistic-like brain injury in the rat:
27 differential time courses of hemorrhage, cell death, inflammation, and remote
28 degeneration. *Journal of Neurotrauma*, 23, 1828-1846.

29 WILLINGER, R. & BAUMGARTNER, D. (2003) Human head tolerance
30 limits to specific injury mechanisms. *International Journal of Crashworthi-*
31 *ness*, 8, 605-618.

32 ZHANG, H., YUSHKEVICH, P. A., ALEXANDER, D. C. and GEE, J. C.
33 (2006) Deformable registration of diffusion tensor MR images with explicit
34 orientation optimization. *Medical Image Analysis*. 10, 764-785.