Direct evidence of mesoscopic dynamic heterogeneities at the surfaces of ergodic ferroelectric relaxors
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Spatial variability of polarization relaxation kinetics in the relaxor ferroelectric 0.9Pb(Mg1/3Nb2/3)O3-0.1PbTiO3 is studied using time-resolved piezoresponse force microscopy at room temperature. Both the statistical principal component and correlation function analysis and the stretched exponent fits of relaxation curves illustrate the presence of mesoscopic “fast” and “slow” 100–200 nm regions. The spatial distribution of activation energies is reconstructed using a neural-network-based inversion of the relaxation data. The results directly prove the presence of mesoscopic heterogeneities associated with static and dynamic components of the order parameter on the surfaces of ferroelectric relaxors in the ergodic phase.

I. INTRODUCTION

Phase separation in strongly correlated oxides underpins unique magnetotransport properties in doped manganites, Mott metal-insulator transitions in vanadium oxides, ultra-high electromechanical coupling in ferroelectric relaxors, and superconductivity in doped cuprates. The study of these phenomena by microscopic methods has established one of the most exciting paradigms in condensed-matter physics, allowing direct imaging of static nanoscale behavior through the detection of the response coupled to the corresponding order parameter or structure.2–5

Similarly to other phase separated materials, the unique electromechanical and dielectric properties of relaxors have made them the materials of choice for numerous applications.6 A gamut of complex temperature-dependent dynamic behaviors and phase transitions related to nanophase static and dynamic inhomogeneities in relaxors constitute one of the most challenging subjects in the physics of ferroelectrics.7 At high temperatures, both relaxors and normal ferroelectrics exist in a nonpolar paraelectric state. Ferroelectrics transform into a ferroelectric phase below the Curie temperature \(T_C\) while relaxors undergo a transition to an ergodic relaxor state at the Burns temperature, near which polar nanoregions (PNRs) with random dipole moment directions appear.8 With decreasing temperature, the dynamics of PNRs slow down until they become frozen and the relaxor transforms into a nonergodic state that lacks long-range ferroelectric order and resembles a dipolar glass state. Alternatively, the transition from an ergodic relaxor to a ferroelectric state may occur at \(T_C\) in some relaxors.2,9

The link between the PNRs and the unusual properties of relaxors has stimulated a number of spatially-resolved studies of mesoscopic polarization distributions using piezoresponse force microscopy (PFM).10 Several studies11,12 have reported the presence of nanoscale domains in relaxors such as \((1-x)\text{Pb}(\text{Mg}_{1/3}\text{Nb}_{2/3})\text{O}_3-x\text{PbTiO}_3\) both below and well above \(T_C\).13 Even though the spatial resolution of PFM is significantly larger than the estimated size of the PNRs (2–10 nm), these static studies provide insights into the relationship between disorder and mesoscopic (∼100 nm) polar structure. Despite this progress, the unique dynamic behavior in relaxors remains puzzling. While macroscopic techniques such as dielectric spectroscopy,14 light scattering,15 and nuclear-magnetic-resonance (NMR) probing of spin-lattice relaxation16 unambiguously indicate a broad distribution of relaxation times, the variation in local degrees of freedom of relaxation in space has remained an enigma.17 This dearth of knowledge is especially striking in comparison with the multitude of theoretical studies of dynamic heterogeneities in relaxors and other disordered systems.18,19

Here, we report on the direct measurements of the dynamic heterogeneity on the nanoscale in relaxor 0.9Pb(Mg1/3Nb2/3)O3-0.1PbTiO3 (PMN-10PT) crystals using spatially and time-resolved PFM. The spatial correlations in the relaxation behavior are explored using the combination of principal component analysis (PCA) and spatial correlation function analysis, yielding the characteristic length scale. Both statistical and functional fit analysis of the data demonstrate the presence of mesoscopic “fast” and “slow”
regions of 100–200 nm size. Finally, we develop a pathway for the reconstruction of local activation-energy disorder. This study proves the presence of both static and dynamic mesoscopic disorder in the surfaces of ergodic ferroelectric relaxors.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

A. Materials

The PMN-10PT crystals are grown as described elsewhere. The material undergoes a macroscopically cubic to rhombohedral ferroelectric phase transition on cooling at \( T_C \approx 280 \) K. In the crystals studied in this work, the dielectric susceptibility maximum occurs at \( T_{\text{max}} \approx 310 \) K (at 1 kHz), the Burns temperature is \( \sim 650 \) K, and the freezing temperature \( T_f \) (determined using Vogel-Fulcher fitting of dielectric spectra) is 270 K. The glassy state below \( T_f \) in classical relaxors is nonergodic (as is the ferroelectric state existing in some relaxors below \( T_C \)). Accordingly, the state existing above \( T_f \) (or \( T_C \)) is conventionally called the “ergodic relaxor phase.” The absence of macroscopic piezoelectric effects and aging suggests that the room-temperature state in PMN-10PT is really ergodic. Our x-ray diffraction and PFM data (see Sec. IV for discussion) are in agreement with this assumption. The surface structure is verified on the mirror-polished (001) cut of the crystal using a combination of focused monochromatic and polychromatic depth-resolved x-ray experiments on beamline 34-ID at the Advanced Photon Source as described by Larson et al.

B. PFM and relaxation measurements

The PFM measurements are performed using a commercial atomic force microscope (AFM) (Veeco MultiMode with Nanonis controller). In PFM, a high-frequency (10 kHz–1 MHz) bias is applied to a conducting AFM probe in contact with the surface and the resulting surface displacements (electromechanical response) provide a measure of local polarization and domain structure. Typically, the spatial resolution of PFM is on the order of 5–50 nm, providing an estimate of the interaction volume.

To probe local relaxation in the time domain, dc bias pulses of specified magnitude and duration (1 s for single-point measurements and 50 ms for imaging) are applied to the conducting AFM tip in contact with the sample and the resulting vertical electromechanical response is measured as a function of time for a specified duration (100 s for single point and 0.3 s for mapping). The relaxation curves at each pixel are fitted to a specific relaxation law, \( R = R_0 + R_1 f(t) \). Here, \( R \) is the measured PFM signal. The offset, \( R_0 \), and amplitude, \( R_1 \), correspond to nonrelaxing (within the measurement time scale) and relaxing polarization components, respectively. The function \( f(t) \) is chosen as Debye, \( f(t) = \exp(-t/\tau_D) \), stretched exponential or Kolrasch-William-Watts (KWW), \( f(t) = \exp[-(t/\tau_{\text{KWW}})^\beta] \), fractional power or Curie-von Schweidler (CVS), \( f(t) = (t/\tau_{\text{CVS}})^\alpha \), or logarithmic, \( f(t) = \ln(t) \). After least-squares fitting, the model-dependent parameters (e.g., \( R_0, R_1, \tau_{\text{KWW}}, \) and \( \beta \)) are fitted to a specific relaxation law, \( R = R_0 + R_1 f(t) \).

The PFM measurements are performed using a commercial atomic force microscope (AFM) (Veeco MultiMode with Nanonis controller). In PFM, a high-frequency (10 kHz–1 MHz) bias is applied to a conducting AFM probe in contact with the surface and the resulting surface displacements (electromechanical response) provide a measure of local polarization and domain structure. Typically, the spatial resolution of PFM is on the order of 5–50 nm, providing an estimate of the interaction volume.

To probe local relaxation in the time domain, dc bias pulses of specified magnitude and duration (1 s for single-point measurements and 50 ms for imaging) are applied to the conducting AFM tip in contact with the sample and the resulting vertical electromechanical response is measured as a function of time for a specified duration (100 s for single point and 0.3 s for mapping). The relaxation curves at each pixel are fitted to a specific relaxation law, \( R = R_0 + R_1 f(t) \). Here, \( R \) is the measured PFM signal. The offset, \( R_0 \), and amplitude, \( R_1 \), correspond to nonrelaxing (within the measurement time scale) and relaxing polarization components, respectively. The function \( f(t) \) is chosen as Debye, \( f(t) = \exp(-t/\tau_D) \), stretched exponential or Kolrasch-William-Watts (KWW), \( f(t) = \exp[-(t/\tau_{\text{KWW}})^\beta] \), fractional power or Curie-von Schweidler (CVS), \( f(t) = (t/\tau_{\text{CVS}})^\alpha \), or logarithmic, \( f(t) = \ln(t) \). After least-squares fitting, the model-dependent parameters (e.g., \( R_0, R_1, \tau_{\text{KWW}}, \) and \( \beta \)) are fitted to a specific relaxation law, \( R = R_0 + R_1 f(t) \).
layer. Thus the trained network serves as a universal interpolator that allows the \( \{ \beta_m, \tau_m \} \) parameters of a KWW model in the selected time interval to be related to a corresponding activation energy cutoff, \( E_{\text{max}} \). On the analysis stage, the experimental KWW parameters are fed into the neural net to extract \( E_{\text{max}}(x,y) \). This scheme allows effective minimization of model-dependent errors and can be directly extended to high-dimensionality material models. This approach also avoids uncertainties related to the choice of the fitting time interval. The software is implemented using Neural Network Toolbox for MatLab.

### III. RESULTS

#### A. Depth-resolved x-ray diffraction

Shown in Fig. 1(a) is the (006) x-ray diffraction intensity as a function of depth below the PMN-10PT surface obtained using a monochromatic microbeam (12,307 keV). The peak intensity lies along a horizontal line, indicating a constant value for the c-lattice parameter within the error bar of \( \Delta d/d \leq 10^{-4} \). These data are also plotted in Fig. 1(b), along with results from fitting depth-resolved, polychromatic (8–23 keV) Laue diffraction patterns (strain resolution \( \Delta d/d \sim 10^{-4} \) and micron-scale angle \( \Delta \alpha \sim 0.03^\circ \)). These data demonstrate that the average micron-scale crystal lattice remains cubic from the surface down to \( \sim 20 \ \mu \text{m} \) below the surface.

#### B. Domain imaging and local relaxation measurements

 Typical surface topography and polarization domain patterns of the (001) PMN-10PT crystal surface are shown in Fig. 2. The labyrinthine domain pattern prior to the switching experiment is indicative of the local deviation of surface symmetry from cubic and is often observed on ergodic relaxor surfaces. The presence of induced polarization was established using a PFM switching experiment [Figs. 2(d)–2(f)], illustrating that a uniform domain pattern can be created on a surface.

The measured dielectric spectra, averaged over the bulk of the PMN-10PT crystal are shown in Fig. 3(a). To probe local relaxation [Fig. 3(b)], dc bias pulses of specified magnitude and duration (10 V, 50 ms for mapping and 1 s for single point) are applied to the conducting AFM tip in contact with the sample and the resulting vertical electromechanical response is measured as a function of time for a specified duration (100 s for single point and 0.3 s for imaging). To prove the detection signal does not affect the relaxation, measurements are performed for several \( V_{\text{ac}} \) amplitudes (1–3, 10V_{pp}), and the relaxation is found to be similar.

The first insight into local relaxation dynamics can be obtained from single-point relaxation data collected in the time interval from 10 ms to 100 s [Fig. 3(c)]. The relaxation can be well described by the KWW law, \( R=R_0+R_1 f(t) \), where \( R_0 \) and \( R_1 \) are the nonrelaxing and relaxing polarization components, and \( f(t) = \exp\left[-(t/\tau_{\text{KWW}})^\beta\right] \). The local KWW exponent is \( \beta \approx 0.4 \), much larger than the macroscopic value determined from the dielectric spectra (\( \beta \approx 0.09 \)). Note that relaxation curves acquired at different spatial location show clear variability in dynamic behavior. Power-law and exponential relaxation curve fitting could not fit the data.

#### C. Relaxation mapping

To study spatial variability of the relaxation behavior, the measurements are performed on a closely (50 nm) spaced 40 × 40 grid. A setting pulse of 10 V amplitude is applied to the probe for 50 ms and then the bias is turned off for the following 300 ms. The measurements are performed at 1.1 MHz to minimize the influence of probing bias on the relaxation process. The relaxation curve is sampled with \( 10^3 \) time points and the results are averaged over three repetitions.

1. **Spatial correlations in relaxation behavior**

The spatially-resolved relaxation measurements provide a 3D array \( PR(x,y,t) \) of relaxation curves at each spatial grid point. To establish the presence of spatial correlations in the data without \textit{a priori} assumptions on the functional form of the rela

![Image](image-url)
We use PCA to analyze the data. The scree plot of $\lambda_i$ [Fig. 4(a)] illustrates that the first six components contain the most statistically significant information in the image. The corresponding eigenvectors [Fig. 4(b)] represent the time-dependent spectral components. The third and fourth eigenvectors are dominated by (spatially uncorrelated) 60 Hz noise. The real-space images [Figs. 4(e)–4(g)] and spatial correlation functions [Fig. 4(c)] of the $a_i(x,y)$ maps illustrate that the first two components contain data with clear spatial correlations. The characteristic decay length of spatial correlation functions for PCA 1 and 2 is identical and corresponds to ~200 nm. Remarkably, the correlation functions for the full measurement interval, $T$, and the first segment of 0.1 T are virtually identical, suggesting the observed behavior is stationary in time [Fig. 4(d)]. The combined PCA and correlation function analysis allow the presence of spatial correlations in the spatially-resolved relaxation data to be established unambiguously without making any assumptions about the physical mechanisms of relaxation. In particular, it indicates the presence of a characteristic mesoscopic length scale on which relaxation parameters are correlated.

It should be emphasized that the dimensions of the static labyrinthine domains and the dynamic fast and slow regions as well as the size of regions probed in a single-point experiment (~30 nm) are much larger than the expected PNR size (~2 nm). Hence, the measured data are the result of averaging over several PNRs. At the same time, the measured “hot” and “cold” spots with varying relaxation behavior are significantly larger than the tip size, suggesting the presence of a mesoscopic disorder that controls the relaxation behavior in PMN-10PT. These maps thus provide direct experimental evidence for the presence of dynamic heterogeneity on the surfaces of ergodic relaxors.

2. Functional fits

The alternative approach to analyze the data is a fit using a defined functional form of the relaxation law, similar to the well-adopted approach in macroscopic relaxation studies in the time domain. Here, the electromechanical response is fitted using the KWW model. The resulting parameter maps are shown in Figs. 5(a)–5(c). In the writing process [Figs. 5(a)–5(c)], the induced polarization, $R_1$, shows large-scale
two additional relaxation spectra which have been fitted to the KWW relaxation law showing variation in $\beta$ and $\tau_{KWW}$ between dissimilar points on the sample surface.

### D. Macroscopic relaxation measurements using dielectric spectroscopy

To complement microscopy studies, the macroscopic relaxation behavior was studied using dielectric spectroscopy. The measured dielectric spectra of the PMN-10PT crystal are shown in Fig. 3(a). To determine the parameters of macroscopic relaxation these spectra are fitted to the KWW relaxation pattern which is valid for local relaxation in PMN-10PT (see Sec. III B), as well as for macroscopic (dielectric) relaxation in pure PMN crystals. To perform fitting, the frequency-domain dependencies of real and imaginary parts of the complex dielectric permittivity, $\varepsilon' - i\varepsilon''$, are represented as the Fourier transform of the derivative of the stretched exponential function, $\exp[-(t/\tau_{KWW})^g]$ (for a detailed description of the fitting procedure, see Ref. 14). The best-fit values of parameter $\beta$, which is related to the width of frequency-domain relaxation-time distribution and the characteristic relaxation time, $\tau_{KWW}$, are found to be equal to 0.09 and 0.2 s, respectively.

### IV. DISCUSSION

To interpret the local relaxation behavior in terms of effective material properties, we consider a local distribution of relaxation times, $g(\tau) = g(\tau,x,y)$, or, equivalently, activation energies, $G(E) \sim \tau_g(\tau)$, at each point of the surface. The local relaxation time depends on activation energy, $E$, in accordance with the Vogel-Fulcher relationship, $\tau(\tau) = \tau_0 \exp[E/(T-T_0)]$. Assuming Debye dynamics $dP/dt = -P/\tau$ for each relaxation time, $\tau$, the overall local relaxation law is

$$
\langle P \rangle = P_0 \int_{E_{\text{min}}}^{E_{\text{max}}} dE G(E) \exp \left[ -\frac{t}{\tau(E)} \right].
$$

The distribution functions $G(E) = (T-T_0)^{-1} \langle \tau(E) \rangle$ can be reconstructed from the relaxation-time distribution $g(\tau)$ using an inverse Laplace transformation of the experimental data,

$$
g(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \left[ P(\tau) \exp \left( \frac{t}{\tau} \right) \right].
$$

Practically, the reconstruction of the relaxation-time distribution, $g(\tau)$, or equivalent activation energy distribution $G(E) \sim \tau_g(\tau)$ using Eq. (2) is a typical example of an ill-defined problem, for which direct inversion results in a spurious increase in noise features. The classical approach for solving these problems involves the introduction of regularization constraints (e.g., Tikhonov regularization) that impose requirements on the smoothness of the deconvoluted function.

To interpret the PFM relaxation data and gain insight into local material behavior, we utilize the fact that for a stretched exponential relaxation law with $\beta < 0.5$ the activation energy distribution is almost uniform, $G(E) \approx (E_{\text{max}} - E_{\text{min}})^{-1}$ in the
energy interval $E_{\text{min}} < E < E_{\text{max}}$ [Figs. 3(e)–3(g)]. The observed relaxation behavior with small $\beta$ in PMN-10PT suggests that the local activation energy distribution can be well approximated as uniform and thus the \textit{energy disorder}, $E_{\text{max}}$, can be introduced as a single parameter describing local dynamic heterogeneity in this material and also provide a regularization condition for the inversion of Eq. (2). Physically, $E_{\text{max}}$ can be interpreted as the maximal energy barrier present in the local volume of $\sim 10–30$ nm probed by a PFM tip. This choice of relaxation-time distribution allows regularization of the solution for Eq. (2), avoiding unphysical jumps in the reconstructed $G(E)$.

To extract the energy disorder, $E_{\text{max}}(x, y)$, from the experimental relaxation curve, we utilize the deconvolution method based on combining a nonlinear projection with neural-network interpolation, as shown in Fig. 6. The resulting maps for writing and reading processes are shown in Figs. 7(a) and 7(b). The random spatial distribution of energy barriers during writing and the well-defined areas with high and low maximal activation energies during reading are clearly seen. The characteristic length scale of these features is close to that directly extracted from the statistical analysis and KWW fit of relaxation data.

The labyrinthine domain pattern observed a long period of time after switching off the bias was practically the same as before applying the bias (in agreement with previous PFM experiments of relaxors in an ergodic relaxor phase). The values of $R_A$ in any particular pixel were also practically the same. These observations confirm that the studied state is really ergodic (after any excitation the ergodic system should return to the initial equilibrium state).

The microscopic origin of the observed relaxation can be understood in the framework of a model assuming the existence (in an ergodic relaxor phase) of two types of PNRs: static and dynamic. The PNRs are embedded into a nonpolar matrix and their size is much smaller than the size of the labyrinthine domains observed by PFM before the application of the external field [Figs. 2(b) and 2(c)] and the size of slow and fast relaxation regions [Fig. 5(e)]. Therefore, labyrinthine domains are in fact frozen spatial fluctuations of polarization caused by the density fluctuations of static PNRs having dipole moment directions up or down. Recent switching spectroscopy PFM experiments\cite{35} showed that static PNRs cannot be switched by an electric field of $\sim 10$ V (i.e., by the bias used in our present work). On the other hand, the dynamic PNRs give rise to the observed relaxation. The writing dc pulse triggers the reorientations of the dynamic PNRs and probably the increase in the PNR size. Additional long-living polarization appears as a consequence which makes a significant ($\sim R_1$) contribution to the piezoresponse [Figs. 2(e) and 2(f)]. After switching off the dc field, the dynamic PNRs relax to the initial distribution causing a KWW-type decrease in the PFM signal. Spatial variation in local relaxation parameters may be attributed to random interactions among the dynamic PNRs and random static electric and/or elastic fields inherent in disordered structure. Note that recent NMR experiments also confirmed the existence of static (on the $10^{-2}$ s scale) PNRs along with dynamic ones in the nonergodic relaxor phase.

The main contribution to the relaxation observed in dielectric spectroscopy experiments in relaxors is also attributed to the reorientation of the PNRs.\cite{7,23} Note that the measured dielectric response [shown in Fig. 3(a)] is averaged over the bulk while PFM examines the surface layer ($\sim 20–50$ nm) of the sample. However, the parameters of dielectric relaxation in the ergodic phase of PMN crystals and thin (200 nm) films have been reported to be very similar,\cite{36} indicating that the same processes are responsible for the relaxation near the surface and in the bulk. In conjunction with surface x-ray data, this suggests that the direct comparison of dielectric (macroscopic) and PFM (local) data is meaningful in this respect.

Besides the probing volume scale difference, the dielectric spectroscopy and PFM data are different in terms of the magnitude of electric field used for excitation. Small-signal dielectric relaxation is compared with the relaxation after switching off the PFM bias. Nonlinear effects may be important in the latter case. Microscopically these effects can be related to a number of mechanisms. For instance, the bias-induced transformation to a metastable ferroelectric phase is possible due to the closeness of the transition temperature $T_C$ (this requires the magnitude and duration of the bias pulse to be large enough). The other possible relaxation mechanism is the field-induced motion of the PNR boundaries (in other words, the variation in PNR size). This is known to give a comparatively small contribution to the small-signal dielectric response of relaxors, which is not described by KWW function.\cite{14,37} However, in large fields it can become significant.

We found that both macroscopic dielectric relaxation and local relaxation observed in PFM experiments follow the
same KWW pattern. Furthermore, the dielectric characteristic relaxation time $\tau_{\text{KWW}} \approx 0.2$ s is close to the mean PFM reading time $\tau_{\text{KWW}} \approx 0.1$ s [see Fig. 5(g)]. This finding is in agreement with the suggestion that both types of relaxation are related to the same process, i.e., flipping of the dipole moments of the dynamic PNRs. In contrast, the dielectric value of $\beta = 0.09$ is significantly smaller (i.e., the distribution of relaxation times is wider) than the PFM values of $\beta = 0.3 - 0.4$ [see Fig. 5(h)]. This is expected because the PFM values are the local parameters which characterize the relaxation-time distribution inside the probed nanoscale regions (with the dimensions of $\sim 30$ nm) and the characteristic relaxation times of these regions are, in turn, widely distributed [see Fig. 5(g)]. However, the influence of nonlinear effects on the values of local relaxation parameters we measured cannot be excluded.

V. SUMMARY

To summarize, we have studied the static and dynamic heterogeneity on the surface of an ergodic PMN-10PT crystal. The measurements over four orders of magnitude in time indicate that local polarization relaxation dynamics follows the KWW law. The macroscopic relaxation spectrum is much wider than the local spectrum due to averaging over mesoscopic spatial inhomogeneities linked to the internal fields, reflected in the difference in KWW exponents. These spectroscopic imaging results provide strong evidence for the simultaneous presence of static and dynamic PNRs at the surfaces of ergodic relaxors. The static PNRs are responsible for the formation of labyrinthine domains (frozen polarization fluctuations) which exist before the application of the external field [Fig. 2(c)] while dynamic PNRs give rise to the observed relaxation. The observed dynamic heterogeneity provides information on random fields caused by quenched disorder and by static PNRs.\(^{38-40}\) The comparison of bulk and thin-film studies and depth-resolved x-ray studies suggests this behavior should be typical for macroscopically thick ($\sim 100$ nm) layers also.

Note that non-Debye relaxation dynamics is common to many systems with structural (glasses and polymers), magnetic (spin glasses), or polar (dipole glasses) disorder. The strong coupling between polarization and strain (reversible lattice deformation) in relaxors allows us to study the dynamics locally using PFM and makes relaxors an ideal model for studying general relaxation principles in disordered systems. The combination of imaging studies and spatially-resolved optical, current, or energy-loss spectroscopy will allow the dynamic heterogeneities in other materials systems to be probed, including bias-induced melting of charge order in doped manganites and local metal-insulator transitions in materials such as vanadium oxides.
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