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ABSTRACT
Bovine tuberculosis is an ongoing problem in Ireland, and herd incidence has remained at approximately 5% for some years. Spillover of infection from cattle to people remains an ever-present possibility, given the ongoing pool of infection in the Irish cattle population. This paper describes an outbreak of tuberculosis affecting cattle and people on a dairy farm in southeastern Ireland following the consumption of milk from a seven-year-old cow with tuberculous mastitis. Twenty-five of 28 calves born during autumn 2004 and spring 2005 were subsequently identified as TB reactors, and five of six family members were positive on the Mantoux test. During 2005, milk from this cow had mainly been used to feed calves, and was added only occasionally to the bulk tank. Therefore, the calves each received infected milk on an almost continuous basis between birth and weaning. The family collected milk from the bulk milk tank, and consumed it without pasteurisation. This case highlights the risks associated with the consumption of raw milk. In this family, TB has had a very significant impact on the health of two young children. These risks are well recognised, and relevant information for farmers is available. It is of concern, therefore, that raw milk consumption remains prevalent on Irish farms. New strategies are needed, in partnership with industry, to address this important issue.
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Bovine tuberculosis (TB; infection with *Mycobacterium bovis*) has been an ongoing problem in Ireland for many years. Prior to national control measures, disease in cattle was common. From 1929 to 1938, it was estimated that gross pathology consistent with tuberculosis was present in 31-33% of cattle slaughtered at the city abattoirs in Dublin (Cotter et al. 1996). A national eradication programme commenced in 1954, in part as a consequence of public health concerns, leading to a substantial reduction in disease prevalence by the mid 1960s (More and Good 2006). Although subsequent progress has slowed, herd incidence has remained at approximately 5% for some years. The eradication programme is complex (More and Good 2006), but includes annual testing of all Irish cattle with the single intradermal comparative cervical tuberculin (SICCT) test.

There have been substantial changes in the epidemiology of human tuberculosis in Europe, including Ireland. Throughout the 19th and early 20th century, a substantial proportion of human tuberculosis cases were caused by infection with *M. bovis* (Thoen et al. 2007), generally linked to the consumption of raw cows’ milk (de la Rua-Domenech 2006; Quinn et al. 2006). In recent years, however, human infection with *M. bovis* has become very uncommon, accounting for between 1% and 4% of culture-confirmed tuberculosis cases (that is, cases attributed to infection with organisms of the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex, of which *M. bovis* is a member) in Ireland between 2000 and 2004 (Jackson et al. 2007; Anon. 2008).

Spillover of infection from cattle to people remains an ever-present possibility, given the ongoing pool of infection in the Irish cattle population. This paper describes an outbreak of tuberculosis affecting cattle and people on a dairy farm in 2005, following the consumption of raw milk from a cow with tuberculous mastitis.

**CASE HISTORY**

**The farm**

The 41 ha. farm (‘the case farm’) was located in the south east of Ireland. It was divided into four non-contiguous fragments, including three (Fragments 1, 2 and 4), which were grazed by cattle each spring and summer (Figure 1). A final fragment (Fragment 3), of 3.5 ha., was used exclusively for tillage. The farm was managed solely by the herd owner. Although the farm was an operating dairy, the farmer also fattened home-bred steers for sale. In March 2005, there were 100 cattle on the farm, including 38 cows, 32 steers, eight heifers and 22 calves. The farmer had no other commercial livestock, such as goats or deer, and no cats. A dog had been present on the farm, however in February 2005, this animal was treated for suspected paraquat poisoning and subsequently died after a road traffic accident.

Farm management was consistent from year to year (Figure 2). The milking cows remained on the home farm (Fragment 1) throughout the year, including a period of housing each winter (from November to March: adult winter housing). The cows calved in either spring or autumn, and the calves were held with their dam for approximately one week following birth. Autumn-born and early spring-born calves were housed (in designated calf housing in batches of three to four similar-aged calves, well-separated from other

![Figure 1: The farm fragments which were grazed by cattle at ‘the case farm’.](image)
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animals) from soon-following-birth until late April/early May, then turned out to pasture on Fragment 1 (May to September, designated pasture separate from the milking cows) and Fragment 4 (October to November, post-silage production). Late spring-born calves were added to this group during late spring and summer. During housing and prior to weaning, calves were fed hay, and approximately 1 kg of calf concentrate ration and five litres of ‘discard’ milk per day (from cows immediately post-calving, those with mastitis or high somatic cell count, and those with milk withheld due to medicine withhold requirements). Yearling animals were also held in adult cattle housing on Fragment 1, but grazed pasture on Fragment 2 during spring and summer. Older (two-year-old) steers were housed for fattening. During winter housing, adult cattle were fed silage and rolled barley (each home-grown) and housed in a line of pens (in order: two-year-old steers, yearlings, dry cows, milking cows) under a common roof. There was ready contact between animals in adjacent pens, but not otherwise.

The farm was maintained as a closed unit. There had been no cattle introductions for six years prior to 2005, and all cows were artificially inseminated. The farm was well fenced and there was no history of contact with any animals from other herds. Animals were moved between Fragments 1 and 2, and between Fragments 1 and 4, on foot and via farm vehicle, respectively. Water was sourced from either a deep sealed well (the southern part of Fragment 1) or a mains supply (all other areas), and supplied to water troughs (some less than 28 inches high, not all free-standing). No machinery, housing, crushes or other facilities were shared with other farms, and all slurry and manure spreading was conducted by the herd owner. Liquid milk was stored in a bulk tank, before collection for processing by a local milk cooperative. Raw (unpasteurised) milk from the bulk tank was used routinely by the farm family. A total of six people lived on the farm, including the farmer and his wife, a grandparent, and three children (aged four, seven and 14).

**Tuberculosis in animals**

The tuberculosis testing history on the case farm is presented in Figure 3. Between 1989 and 2004, the farm had been restricted during 1993 (with one reactor), 1995 (5), 2003 (1) and 2004 (1): in the latter three years with confirmed infection (that is, with TB-lesions in at least one reactor at post mortem). The herd experienced a significant TB breakdown, following initial detection on March 12, 2005, with 38 tuberculin reactors including seven cows, two-year-old animals (three steers), one-year-old animals (two steers, one heifer) and calves (13 autumn-born, 12 spring-born). At the tests in March and June 2005, TB-like lesions

![Figure 2: The annual management of cattle on the case farm.](image-url)
were detected on routine gross post mortem examination in 13 (48%) of these reactors, including two (67%) two-year-old animals and eight (80%) autumn-born calves and three (75%) spring-born calves. The 11 reactors detected in September 2005 were removed alive as part of an unrelated tuberculin assay trial. They were subsequently slaughtered in July 2006. At post mortem, TB-like lesions were detected in eight of these animals. Tuberculous mastitis was diagnosed in a seven-year-old cow (no. 044) on July 7, 2005, following the isolation of M. bovis from a milk sample obtained from a mastitic quarter, after culture on Bactec 460 liquid culture system (Becton Dickinson Biosciences, Sparks, MD, USA) and isolate identification by AccuProbe (Gen-Probe Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) and GenoType MTBC (Hain Diagnostika, Nehren, Germany). M. bovis was not isolated from the three unaffected quarters. The 2005 lactation commenced, at calving, on January 12, 2005. Previously, the 2003/04 lactation extended from August 18, 2003 (calving) to November 15, 2004 (drying-off). As a result of chronic mastitis in one quarter (and an associated high somatic cell count; Figure 4), this cow’s milk was mainly withheld from the bulk tank and fed to calves without treatment (that is, it was neither boiled nor pasteurised). The quarter was hard on palpation, with the consistency of the milk changing over time between thick and watery. However, on an intermittent basis (cumulatively for approximately three weeks) during the 2005 lactation, milk from cow no. 044 was added to the bulk tank. This cow had been negative to the SICCT on each of seven occasions since 2003, but was positive to an antibody-based anamnestic ELISA (Costello et al. 1997) using bovine purified protein derivative (PPD) antigen on July 7, 2005. The ELISA was done because it is a suitable test for the detection of anergic animals and there was a suspicion that cow no. 044 was such an animal. The test is used infrequently.

Figure 3: The testing history for tuberculosis on the case farm during 1989 to 2006. The test types include the round test (T1), the inconclusive re-test (T3), the reactor re-test (T4), the high incidence area test (T5C), the private test (T6), the post de-restriction test (T7B), the contiguous herd test (T8) and the factory lesion test (T9A). In this figure, the number of conclusive skin reactors (R), inconclusive skin reactors (I) and lesions detected (L) at each test are also presented. The herd was unable to trade, due to tuberculosis, during the periods encompassed by the red dashed line. The presence of unconfirmed and confirmed reactors is indicated by the dashed black and solid blue box, respectively.
in the management of Irish reactor herds, usually when anergic cases are suspected. This cow was slaughtered on August 12, 2005. No detailed post mortem was conducted. No lesions were detected in lymph nodes, including the supramammary lymph nodes, during routine abattoir inspection. The mammary gland was not examined. M. bovis was subsequently cultured from a supramammary lymph node.

The locality surrounding the case farm was not considered problematic for tuberculosis. A total of six cattle farms (Farms A-F) had land directly contiguous to Fragments 1, 2 and/or 4 of the case farm (Figure 1). Since 1998, there had been two TB breakdowns on Farm F (one confirmed reactor in 1998/99; one unconfirmed reactor in 2003/04), one on Farm B (three unconfirmed reactors in 2001/02) and none on the remainder.

Badgers were captured in this locality within a radius of approximately two kilometers of Fragments 1, 2 and 4 on two occasions, in October 2005 and February 2006. A total of 41 badgers were removed from an area of 16.77 sq. kilometres (20 in 2005, 21 in 2006), and all were subjected to post mortem examination. One of these animals had gross lesions consistent with TB. Of 22 badgers examined histopathologically, five (including two captured in 2005 and three in 2006) were positive. Of 18 badgers examined microbiologically, eight (including five in 2005 and three in 2006) were positive. In total, based on results from histopathology and/or microbiology, 11 of 32 (34.4%; including 35.0% in 2005 and 33.3% in 2006) badgers had evidence of M. bovis infection.

**Tuberculosis in people**

Six family members (grandmother, father, mother, 14-year-old girl, two boys aged seven and four) lived on the farm. The father, mother and 14-year-old girl had previously been vaccinated using BCG.

In June 2005, Mantoux testing was conducted on all family members, using two tuberculin units injected intradermally. The results of this test were as follows: grandmother (no induration), father (14 mm diameter induration), mother (12 mm), 14-year-old (8 mm), seven-year-old (12 mm) and four-year-old (14 mm). Chest x-rays on all individuals showed no abnormalities.

The seven-year-old boy had no evidence of clinical TB and was treated as latent TB with prophylactic isoniazid initially, then rifampicin for a total of six months.

The four-year-old boy had two enlarged (approximately 5 mm diameter) lymph nodes in the right anterior triangle of the neck. Biopsy revealed acid-fast bacteria in these lymph nodes, but TB culture was negative. Pathological examination of the lymph nodes on microscopy showed partially opened cystic lesions measuring 1.5cm in maximum diameter, which contained creamy friable material. There were further features of caseating granulomas and some lymphoid tissue on microscopy, which were highly suspicious of tuberculosis. This child was considered an active case of TB. The initial drug choice (ethambutol, pyrazinamide, rifampicin and isoniazid) was modified to ethambutol and rifampicin, once antibiotic sensitivity results (highlighting partial resistance to isoniazid and pyrazinamide) became available from the culture of milk from cow no. 044.

Treatment continued for 13 months. There were no liver or ocular complications from the treatment. Four months after this boy had stopped treatment, a swelling recurred in the same site on the right side of his neck. On excision biopsy, caseating necrosis was seen in a lymph node with surrounding lymphohistiocytic granulomatous reaction. There was no evidence of acid-fast bacteria and TB culture was negative. Full immunological assessment was normal. A differential diagnosis of re-infection, relapse of either M. bovis, M. tuberculosis, atypical mycobacteria or delayed immune reconstitution syndrome was made. Atypical mycobacteria were essentially excluded by a positive blood quantiferon test (Mahomed et al. 2006), which would confirm the diagnosis of TB but would not discriminate between M. bovis or M. tuberculosis. Treatment was restarted with azithromycin.
Three different VNTR profiles were identified (i: 11 4 6 5 organisms were not cultured from the human cases. February 2006, VNTR typing is not available. Mycobacterial no. 044 in August 2005 and a further three badgers in and VNTR1895 and using primers and PCR conditions as described by Roring et al. (2004). Although M. bovis was isolated from the supramammary lymph node from cow no. 044 in August 2005 and a further three badgers in February 2006, VNTR typing is not available. Mycobacterial organisms were not cultured from the human cases. Three different VNTR profiles were identified (i: 11 4 6 5 4 4 based on the earlier loci sequence, ii: 11 4 7 6 3 4, iii: 10 3 7 5 4 4). The isolates from the milk and from two badgers (captured at setts J and S, [Figure 1] ) were each VNTR profile i. Different VNTR profiles (ii and iii) were found in two badgers captured at sett N. VNTR profile iii was also identified in a badger captured at sett H.

DISCUSSION
This paper reports an outbreak of bovine TB in cattle and people following the consumption of raw milk on an Irish dairy farm, which clearly demonstrates the infection risk for calves and people associated with a case of tuberculous mastitis. A wide range of measures are in place to minimise transmission between animals and people (More and Good 2006), and infection is now very rare. However, these measures do not address practices such as on farm pasteurisation, which remain the responsibility of individual farmers. The case highlights the importance of this on farm measure. During 2005, a substantial number of calves and people became infected following the consumption of milk from cow no. 044 (there is no evidence suggesting additional cases of tuberculous mastitis on the case farm). Of 16 and 12 calves born during autumn 2004 and spring 2005, 13 (81.3%) and 12 (100%), respectively, were subsequently identified as TB reactors between June and September 2005. Similarly, five of six family members were positive on the Mantoux test in June 2005. Although it is likely that some of these people were infected with M. bovis, bacteriology was negative, both on biopsy and sputum samples. The 2005 spring- and autumn-born calves each received infected milk on an almost continuous basis between birth and weaning. Due to milk quota restrictions, extended feeding of whole milk was undertaken in this herd. Generally, two cows (including cow no. 044) contributed to the discard milk, and calves were weaned at various ages between five and eight months. On June 10, 2005, lesions were detected in three spring-born calves (at most, four-months-of-age), which highlights the degree to which this milk was infectious. These findings are consistent with earlier work by Rentería Evangelista and Hernández De Anda (1996), who examined the role of colostrum and milk management in modifying M. bovis exposure risk in calves in TB-infected herds. They are also supported by information from the human cases. The family collected milk from the bulk milk tank, and consumed it without pasteurisation. During 2005, they will have had only very limited exposure to the milk from cow no. 044, because it was rarely added to the bulk tank, and will have been substantially diluted in any case. It is not possible to determine when cow no. 044 first became infected, although it should be noted that this cow had been consistently negative when tested using the SICCT on seven occasions since 2003. Although a substantial increase in the somatic cell count was observed during the latter third of the 2003/04 lactation (Figure 4), there is no indication that this cow was infectious until her 2005 lactation.

The consumption of raw milk does not fully explain the transmission of infection among cattle within the case herd. In addition to the 25 milk-fed calves, there were a further 13 SICCT reactors among the older animals, including milking cows (seven animals), steers (three two-year-old animals, two one-year-old animals) and a heifer (one-year-old). Cow no. 044 may have been the index case during this outbreak. Pulmonary lesions were not detected, however, only a very limited examination is possible at abattoir post mortem inspection. The immunological profile of high antibody levels and an absence of skin reactivity to tuberculin has been associated with progressive disease and possible respiratory shedding of M. bovis (Welsh et al. 2005). This animal remained with each of the other milking cows (including six animals that subsequently reacted to the SICCT) throughout the year, but did not have direct contact with the younger stock, either whilst grazing or in housing. It is very unlikely that the younger animals had been infected during a previous lactation (for example, in 2004) since other cases would have been expected; further, extensive testing had been conducted during this period. Other alternatives are also feasible (for example, a number of animals infected independently from a common source). Although the initial transmission components remain uncertain, cow no. 044 played a key role in the later transmission of infection to the calves and the family. No other cows in the herd were screened for M. bovis in milk, nor were subjected to the anamnestic ELISA. No further cases occurred in calves in the herd after the animals that had been fed untreated milk from cow no. 044 were removed.

The TB outbreak during 2003 to 2005 in the case herd was the result of either residual infection or introduction from wildlife, although the latter seems more likely. The case farm had last experienced a confirmed TB outbreak in 1995, and it is possible that one or more animals, including the aged cow with lesions detected at slaughter in 2004, may have been infected (but remained anergic).
during the intervening period. Note that cow no. 044 was born in 1998, three years after this earlier outbreak. Similarly, a diverse range of M. bovis strains, suggesting complex infection dynamics, are prevalent in local wildlife. Three different M. bovis strains were found in the locality, including strains with two different VNTR profiles in badgers from the same sett. The M. bovis isolate was identical from cow no. 044 and from badgers from two setts, including one adjacent to Fragment 1 (the home farm). This information provides no indication of the direction of transmission (badgers to cattle, cattle to badgers), nor can it distinguish whether both species were exposed to an independent common source. Nonetheless, earlier work has highlighted the importance of badgers in the epidemiology of bovine tuberculosis in Ireland (Griffin et al. 2005). There is no evidence to support introduction of infection through purchased infection and as a result of spread from neighbouring farms. No cattle had been introduced onto the case farm for six years prior to 2005. Furthermore, there was a high level of biosecurity on the case farm, and no opportunity for direct contact with cattle on neighbouring farms. The area was not a TB ‘hot-spot’. This herd experienced a further TB breakdown in 2007, following a positive herd test on August 16, 2007. At this test, four SICCT reactors were identified, including one cow with miliary TB. It is currently unclear if the 2007 breakdown is due to residual recrudescence or further wildlife involvement.

Bovine infection with M. bovis has been eliminated or substantially reduced throughout Europe, and, as a consequence, is generally not considered a substantial zoonotic risk. Nonetheless, potential exposure to infected milk remains a hazard for the population, and particularly for some occupational groups. Public health concerns have recently been raised in the UK following the resurgence of M. bovis infection in cattle (de la Rua-Domenech 2006; Evans et al. 2007; Jalava et al. 2007), and it is recognised as an ongoing zoonotic risk in other industrialised countries, including Canada (Fanning et al. 1991; Liss et al. 1994) and New Zealand (Baker et al. 2006). Although M. bovis remains prevalent in the Irish cattle population (More and Good 2006), many measures are in place to limit exposure to the human population. In particular, the majority of infected cattle are removed early in their clinical course as a consequence of annual tuberculin skin testing. Furthermore, an administrative ban on the sale of raw milk was introduced in Ireland in 1997 and remained in place until the EU hygiene package was introduced in 2006. Under this package, the sale of raw cow’s milk for direct human consumption in Ireland is now affected by herd TB-status. Regulation (EC) No 853/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council (including successive amendments and corrigenda). We gratefully acknowledge the help of the family throughout this investigation. We also thank Joanne McLernon and Daniel Collins, who completed the VNTR typing and mapping, respectively. We acknowledge assistance from personnel at the Kilkenny Regional Veterinary Laboratory and the Irish Equine Centre.
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