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ABSTRACT
The demography of bovine infections caused by Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis (MAP) in Ireland is poorly defined. The objective of this study was to describe the demographics of cattle positive to MAP on faecal culture, based on submissions to the Cork Regional Veterinary Laboratory (Cork RVL) from 1994 to 2006. The study focused on all available faecal samples from adult cattle with non-responsive chronic diarrhoea that were submitted by private veterinary practitioners to Cork RVL for MAP culture. For each MAP-positive by faecal culture animal, data were collated from Cork RVL and Cattle Movement Monitoring Scheme (CMMS) records. Johne’s disease (JD) was confirmed in 110 animals from 86 herds by the Cork RVL between 1994 and 2006, with a rate of positive cases between 15% and 18% over last four years of the study. Two breeds (Holstein/Friesian or Limousin) made up 78% of submissions. Movements were assessed for the 57 study animals with available movement information, 90% died within one year of the test and 26% tested positive in the herd they were born into. The study provides preliminary information about movement trends and demographics of animals with MAP positive submissions. Although the study area is restricted, it includes the most intensive (and economically-important) dairy region in Ireland. The demographics of JD infection from the study area are in agreement with international reports. Further work is required to determine demographic trends, incidence and prevalence of JD throughout Ireland. It is hoped this work may contribute to the development of a surveillance strategy for MAP by regional veterinary laboratories.
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Demography of bovine infections caused by Mycobacterium avium subspecies paratuberculosis (MAP) is poorly defined in Ireland. Disease caused by MAP infection is commonly referred to as Johne’s disease (JD) which can affect farm performance through reduced milk production and increased culling (Ott et al. 1999; Lombard et al. 2005). Potential links
between JD in cattle and Crohn’s disease in humans could lead to international barriers to trade in milk and milk products for countries with poor surveillance and control of the disease which has caused concern in Ireland (Stabel 2000; O’Reilly et al. 2004). Between 1932 and 1992, 92 MAP cases were reported sporadically in Ireland, primarily in imported animals (Barrett et al. 2006). Following the removal of pre-importation test certification and post-importation quarantine as a consequence of the introduction of the single European market in 1992, there was a dramatic increase in the number of cattle imported from countries where MAP is endemic within the EU. Between 1992 and 2004, 85,000 cattle were imported into Ireland from continental Europe (Barrett et al. 2006). In comparison, between 1979 and 1990 only 1,194 potential breeding animals were imported from these countries (Trade Statistics, Central Statistics Office, Ireland 2008). Results from Irish surveys by O’Doherty et al. (2002) identified MAP in 36% of the 36 surveyed herds in 1997, and Barrett et al. (2006) reported 232 MAP infected animals in 106 surveyed herds between 1995 and 2002. These results are in agreement with international studies (Hayton 2007; Khol et al. 2007) which suggest that the prevalence of paratuberculosis is increasing in a number of countries and that earlier warnings have not been taken seriously enough (Chiodini et al. 1984). Despite this, there have been no studies published on the temporal trends of MAP infections in Irish herds.

Regional veterinary laboratories (RVLS) of the Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (DAFF) are used in Ireland to analyse samples for the presence of MAP. Samples with accompanying animal and herd details are submitted by private veterinary practitioners to their local RVL for testing. The Cork RVL is located in and services the most intensive dairying region of Ireland, Munster, from which 61% of the national dairy calves originated in 2006 (CMMS 2006). Faecal culture is accepted internationally as the confirmatory test for detection of MAP in cattle (Collins 2003). The test sensitivity increases with the rate of bacterial shedding of the animal, whereas specificity is generally considered to be 100% (Whitlock et al. 2000).

Numerous risk factors have been identified which are associated with the likelihood of an animal testing positive to MAP. One of the most important is age: infected animals are most likely to test positive from 2.5 to 5.5 years (Nielsen and Ersbøll 2006) or from third lactation onwards (Tavernianich et al. 2006), due to the chronic nature of JD. Secondly, cattle breed may be a factor. In a Belgium study using a commercial ELISA test, dairy animals were found more likely to test positive than beef animals (Boelaert et al. 2000). While no genetic susceptibility has been conclusively demonstrated within dairy breeds, Jersey cattle have been found more likely to test positive than beef animals (Boelaert et al. 2000). Comparative studies on such risk factors in Irish cattle have not been published.

The objective of this study was to describe the demographics of cattle positive to MAP on faecal culture, based on submissions to the Cork Regional Veterinary Laboratory from 1994 till 2006.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

General

A retrospective study was conducted on laboratory data collected by the Cork Regional Veterinary Laboratory (Cork RVL) from 1994 to 2006. The study was purely descriptive and did not involve demographic comparisons between animals with and without MAP. The study included all faecal samples from adult cattle with non-responsive chronic diarrhoea that were submitted by private veterinary practitioners to Cork RVL for MAP testing from 1994 (when faecal culture testing for JD began to be established in Ireland) till 2006. The Cork RVL, located in Cork city, County Cork, Ireland, provides a diagnostic service to private veterinary practitioners in County Cork, and some parts of the bordering counties of Clare, Kerry, Waterford, Limerick and South Tipperary. Throughout the study period, submitted faecal samples were cultured for MAP using Herrold’s egg yolk agar (HEY) as described previously (Egan et al. 1999). MAP was considered to be present if the culture yielded acid-fast bacilli that required mycobactin J for growth and took at least five weeks to grow. There was no retesting of faecal positive animals. Each MAP-positive animal was subsequently termed a “study animal”.

Data collection and management

For each study animal, data were collated from Cork RVL and Cattle Movement Monitoring Scheme (CMMS) records and managed using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA). The CMMS system, which is managed by DAFF, captures all movements of cattle within Ireland and has been in operation since January 1, 2000, although dates of birth were not recorded for pre-1996 born animals. The following CMMS data were used: tag number, date of birth, breed, animal type, exit date (date of death), life status, dam tag number, the date and type of movement, and the origin and destination of movement. Animal type referred to age and gender categories including bull (un-castrated male), bullock (castrated male), cow (female post-parturition), and heifer (female pre-parturition). Animal ‘life status’ was categorised as dead, alive, unknown or unconfirmed dead (where animals were suspected dead, but death was not confirmed in the system, as may occur when the animal has died on-farm). Type of movement was private sale, through a mart, to a knackery, factory or an on-farm death. The origin and destination of movement was a herd, mart, abattoir (referred to in Ireland as a ‘factory’), knackery or unknown. The following Cork RVL data were used, when available: laboratory reference number, test date (the date the sample submission was received by the Cork RVL), type of test and species tested, herd location and general comments (including age, breed, and animal tag number, as well as other background information, such as whether the animal had been imported). Animals coded as either ‘Holstein’ or ‘Friesian’ were grouped together as ‘Holstein/Friesian’ in this study.
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Data analysis
Analyses were conducted using Excel with three different subsets of the data: A, B and C. Each section had a differing number of submissions or animals available for analysis, as follows:

Part A: All faecal submissions from cattle from 1994 to 2006 for which MAP culture was requested
Descriptive analyses were conducted to determine the total number of submissions and the number positive on MAP culture, in total and by year.

Part B: The study animals (all animals positive on faecal culture) from 1994 to 2006
The location of herds in which all study animals were located, and of the Cork RVL, was mapped using ArcVIEW 9.1 (ERSI, Redlands, CA, USA). To ensure farm anonymity, the location of each herd was represented at the centroid of the relevant district electoral division. Descriptive analyses were conducted to describe the percentage of imported study animals, number of study animals per farm, and the distribution of study animals by animal type, breed and year. An imported animal was defined as an animal that was recorded as imported by the laboratory records or had a non-Irish Tag Number.

Part C: All study animals for which CMMS data were available
Descriptive analyses were conducted to determine the ‘current’ life status of each animal as on July 5, 2007, age at test and time from sample submission to death (for animals that died).

Several methods were used to assess movement records. Movement data were summarised (average number of movements per animal) prior to and following test submission date. A social network diagram was developed using Ucinet software (Borgatti et al. 2002) to represent lifetime movement patterns. Network analysis is used to explore the characteristics of sub-groups and individuals that make up a network. Animals with no recorded date of birth or source herd had date of birth or herd recorded as ‘Unknown’. The number and longevity of recorded offspring from all female animals was determined, as was the life status of these offspring as on July 5, 2007.

RESULTS
Part A: Submissions to Cork RVL for MAP faecal culture (1994-2006)
At the Cork RVL, reliable records on the total number of submissions for MAP culture and the number of MAP-positive submissions were available from 1997 to 2006 and 1994 to 2006, respectively. From 1997 to 2006, 547 faecal samples were submitted to Cork RVL for MAP faecal culture (Figure 1). Total numbers of faecal samples submitted each year for testing has steadily increased...
From 1994 to 2006, 110 MAP-positive samples were detected (Figure 1): the first in 1994. The percentage of submissions per year that were MAP-positive from 1997 until 2006 and fluctuated between 8% and 30% with a mean annual percentage of 18%. Less variation occurred from 2003 through to 2006 where the mean annual percentage of positive submissions was 17% with a range of 15-18% (Figure 2).

Part B: Study animals (animals MAP positive on faecal culture) from 1994 to 2006

Of 110 study animals, 10% were reported as having been imported. In total, 109 study animals were identified from 86 different herds (Figure 1). The location of these herds, and of the Cork RVL, is presented in Figure 3. Thirteen (15% of the 86) herds were reported with more than one study animal: eight of these herds had multiple positive submissions (from two to four animals) taken from the herd within the same year, five had multiple positive submissions (from two to four animals) from the herd over a period of two to five years. Of 104 study animals with type recorded, 34% were bulls, 1% bullocks, 61% cows and 4% heifers.

Table 1 shows a breakdown of study animals by breed. Of 82 animals with breed recorded, 64 (78%) animals were Holstein/Friesian or Limousin. A further four animals were Limousin cross, whereas no Holstein/Friesian cross animals were reported. The number of Limousin and Holstein/Friesian study animals by year is presented in Figure 4. The first recorded confirmed case of JD at Cork RVL (in 1994) was a Limousin cow, and there has been a regular detection of MAP-positive Limousin animals subsequently. In contrast, reported MAP-positive submissions from Holstein/Friesian cows have been more sporadic, and appeared to increase in number over time. Among the MAP-positive Limousins, 62.5% (25/40 Limousins) were bulls and among the MAP-positive Holstein/Friesians, 95.8% (23/24 Holstein/Friesians) were cows.

Part C: Study animals for which CMMS records were available

From the 110 study animals, there were 57 for which CMMS records were available (Figure 1). At the time of analysis (July 5, 2007), 50 (87.7%) of these animals were dead, three (5.3%) were alive, one (1.8%) had an unknown status, and three (5.3%) were unconfirmed dead. The average age at test was four years (SD=1.6 years). As an estimate of survival, within 50 and 100 days of the submission date, 52% and 70% of study animals, respectively, had died or were slaughtered. Within 12 and 18 months of the sample submission date, 90% and 98% of study animals, respectively, had died or

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breed</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Breed</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(AU) Aubrac</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>(JEX) Jersey cross</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CH) Charolais</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>(LM) Limousin</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CHX) Charolais cross</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>(LMX) Limousin cross</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(HF) Holstein/Friesian</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>(NOX) Normande cross</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(JE) Jersey</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>(SI) Simmental</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
were slaughtered. One Holstein/Friesian cow survived for four years post submission date.

Movement data were available from 1999 to 2007 for 54 study animals (Figure 1). From this data 118 movements were recorded (92 were ‘live’ movements and 26 ‘dead’: the latter refers to the movement of dead animals directly to knackeries from herds). Most animals had two movements recorded over their lifetime, one movement before, and one movement after the test was submitted to the lab. There were 14 animals (26%) diagnosed with MAP in their herd of origin (source herd), whereas 74% tested positive in secondary or later herds. Movement count data was skewed to the right due to one animal having a total of nine movements, eight prior to testing, and one after. In total, 48% of animals with movement data were sent to knackeries which mean these study animals all died on-farm.

The pattern of lifetime movements for the 54 animals is presented in Figure 5. In network analysis a component is each group of connected nodes (data points). The ‘main’ component of a social network is much larger than the next largest component (Network Analysis Course 2006), and in this analysis a main component was identified, linking 39 of the study animals and included 91% of recorded animal movements in the data set. Limousin animals were the predominant breed in the main component of the social network. The remaining 15 animals not in the main component were linked either through smaller components (two groups of three linked animals, two groups of two linked animals) or did not have any movements in common with other study animals (five animals). In Figure 5, three reference groups which highlight movements of interest in the network are outlined; the outward movement of multiple positive animals from a single source herd (A), the movement of positive animals from the source herd into a subsequent herd where they tested positive and then moved to a factory or knackery (B: this pattern was frequently observed), and imported animals entering the network of Irish herds before testing positive (C: this was observed on five occasions in the network as the remaining seven imported animals either did not have tags reported, or traceable tags). Many of the study animals were connected through outward movements from two source herds and through inward movements to two knackeries and a factory. Few animals were diagnosed at their herd of origin.

Data were available on 117 offspring from 33 of the study animals (an average of 3.5 offspring per dam), including 27 (23%) that were alive on July 5, 2007. In total, 40 female and 16 male offspring from culture positive dams lived for two years or more.

DISCUSSION

This paper describes the demographics of cattle positive to MAP on faecal culture, based on submissions to the Cork Regional Veterinary Laboratory during 1994 to 2006. The study has provided a valuable insight into aspects of JD epidemiology in dairy and beef populations in the south and southwest of Ireland.

In the Cork RVL, JD was first diagnosed by culture in 1994, two years after Irish importation policies were changed for cattle from the EU. This is coincident with the widespread view that these international policy changes adversely affected the JD status of Irish cattle herds (O’Reilly et al. 2004). There is evidence in this study that imported animals have played a role in JD epidemiology in Ireland. Among the study animals 10% originated from outside of Ireland. This suggests that imported animals were highly over represented in the study
considering the maximum possible percentage of imports present in the national cattle herd in 2004 was 1.3% (CMMS report 2004; Trade Statistics, Central Statistics Office, Ireland; personal communication 2008). This figure is likely to be lower given deaths in imported animals. This over representation may be due to veterinary treatment being more readily given to imported stock, but also could indicate a higher incidence of JD in this group.

This study found that 15% of herds had multiple submissions and 91% of study animals with movement data had movements in common with other study animals. These findings suggest both spatial and temporal clustering, as would be expected given that JD is considered to be readily spread to susceptible animals (Nielsen et al. 2002). The average age of test-positive animals was four years (SD 1.6 years), which is a similar age to that observed in previous JD studies (Chiodini et al. 1984; Nielsen and Erbsboll 2006). The majority of reported study animals were either Holstein/Friesian cows or Limousin bulls. These breeds are economically significant in Ireland, and have been associated with the disease in the past, both in Ireland and abroad (Pavlik et al. 2000; Barrett et al. 2006). Dairying predominates in the study area, hence the large number of Holstein/Friesian cows represented. Similarly, the 2006 CMMS Report identifies the general popularity of the Limousin breed in Ireland. Nationally, Limousins were the third most recorded sire breed after Friesian and Charolais in 2005, where 19.1% of 2.15 million calves born in 2005 were to Limousin sires, and 5.6% of all calves born were pure bred Limousin calves (CMMS report 2006). However, as the study area was not predominantly a beef farming region the high number of Limousins (particularly bulls) among the study animals may reflect a higher JD prevalence in this breed, possibly due to a high level of movement between limousin herds as suggested by the network study or a higher breed susceptibility. Susceptibility of a breed (i.e., Jersey) to JD could be an issue for importing and promoting certain susceptibility. Susceptibility of a breed (i.e., Jersey) to JD herds as suggested by the network study or a higher breed possibly due to a high level of movement between Limousin bulls. These breeds are economically significant in Ireland and have been associated with the disease in the past, both in Ireland and abroad (Pavlik et al. 2000; Barrett et al. 2006). Dairying predominates in the study area, hence the large number of Holstein/Friesian cows represented. Similarly, the 2006 CMMS Report identifies the general popularity of the Limousin breed in Ireland. Nationally, Limousins were the third most recorded sire breed after Friesian and Charolais in 2005, where 19.1% of 2.15 million calves born in 2005 were to Limousin sires, and 5.6% of all calves born were pure bred Limousin calves (CMMS report 2006). However, as the study area was not predominantly a beef farming region the high number of Limousins (particularly bulls) among the study animals may reflect a higher JD prevalence in this breed, possibly due to a high level of movement between limousin herds as suggested by the network study or a higher breed susceptibility. Susceptibility of a breed (i.e., Jersey) to JD could be an issue for importing and promoting certain breeds in herds that already have reported cases of JD.

As highlighted in the network analysis, there were two examples of study animals from a single infected herd spreading to multiple herds. In each case, the study animals were Limousin bulls. Such spread from a single herd of infected animals that are likely to be retained on the farm for some time (either to breed from or fatten) may highlight the potential pool of JD for herds sourcing untested bulls. Prior to this study, it was generally accepted that JD was introduced into dairy herds by beef bulls; however, there were few links between beef and dairy herds in the network which raises questions about the source of infection in dairy herds. It should be noted that most study animals had few movements over their lifetime (generally one before and one after their test date), and therefore spread via cattle movements is likely to be slow, but incremental as few new herds would be exposed per infected study animal. Following diagnosis (faecal culture positive), it is likely that most study animals were highly infectious during the subsequent period prior to culling. It is of concern that one study animal was retained for some years following diagnosis.

It is of concern that there was no apparent follow-up of JD infection in the offspring of study animals. Offspring of confirmed MAP infected animals represent a potential source of infection if retained or sold to other farms. Research has shown that vertical cow-calf transmission of JD readily occurs (Stabel 2000; Weber 2006) as does horizontal calf-calf transmission thought to occur when MAP ‘passes though’ the gut of infected calves in the first few weeks post infection, thus causing the newly-infected calf to in turn be infectious to other co-habiting young calves (van Roermund et al. 2007). This highlights the infection risk associated with the offspring of MAP-positive dams. In this study, 34% of female offspring lived longer than two years, suggesting that many may have had an opportunity to spread infection within their home premises. These results suggest a widespread lack of JD awareness and/or concern. In total, 26 (48%) of the Part C study animals died on farm. These situations represent a substantial loss of income (foregone income from sale, additional cost associated with carcass collection by knackeries) and a welfare issue.

There are several questions arising from this research that require further data to clarify. One approach to
There are a number of limitations to this study, which need to be acknowledged for its wider application. Within-herd transmission risk from a study animal could be assessed if test results were obtained from offspring or herd. This data would provide insights into the reproductive rate (R₀) in cattle populations. A range of factors influence the decision of farmers and veterinarians to submit to RVLs, including attitudes and physical location, as well as their knowledge and personal interest in JD. Some data (for example, breed, type etc.) were not available, and as a result, some study animals were excluded from some analyses.

Network analysis is an established method used to describe and analyse complex movement data. This approach is particular relevance to animal disease control, providing insights into a range of issues including investigating the basic reproductive number for an infectious disease (Christley et al. 2005), investigating patterns of animal movements during the 2001 foot-and-mouth disease outbreak (Ortiz-Pelayo et al. 2006) and predicting tuberculosis transmission patterns in possums (Corner et al. 2003). The method proved useful in this study for examining trends in the movement of animals over time. Johne’s disease was regularly confirmed by the Cork RVL between 1994 and 2006, particularly so in the last four years of the study. The study provides preliminary information about trends in MAP submissions and animals with MAP positive submissions which are consistent with current international understanding of the disease in cattle herds. Although the study area is restricted, it includes the most intensive (and economically-important) dairy region of Ireland. Further work is required to determine the demographics, spread and prevalence of JD in Ireland.
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