Research Repository UCD

Title	Two-Weight Codes, Graphs and Orthogonal Arrays
Authors(s)	Byrne, Eimear, Sneyd, Alison
Publication date	2015
Publication information	Byrne, Eimear, and Alison Sneyd. "Two-Weight Codes, Graphs and Orthogonal Arrays" 79, no. 2 (2015).
Publisher	Springer
Item record/more information	http://hdl.handle.net/10197/6304
Publisher's statement	The final publication is available at www.springerlink.com : https://doi.org/10.1007/s10623-015-0042-1
Publisher's version (DOI)	10.1007/s10623-015-0042-1

Downloaded 2023-04-07T10:52:29Z

The UCD community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters! (@ucd_oa)

© Some rights reserved. For more information

Two-Weight Codes, Graphs and Orthogonal Arrays

Eimear Byrne · Alison Sneyd

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract We investigate properties of two-weight codes over finite Frobenius rings, giving constructions for the modular case. A δ -modular code [15] is characterized as having a generator matrix where each column g appears with multiplicity $\delta|gR^\times|$ for some $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}$. Generalizing [10] and [5], we show that the additive group of a two-weight code satisfying certain constraint equations (and in particular a modular code) has a strongly regular Cayley graph and derive existence conditions on its parameters. We provide a construction for an infinite family of modular two-weight codes arising from unions of submodules with pairwise trivial intersection. The corresponding strongly regular graphs are isomorphic to graphs from orthogonal arrays.

Keywords ring-linear code · finite Frobenius ring · orthogonal array · strongly regular graph · homogeneous weight · two-weight code · modular code

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 05E30 · 94B25 · 94B60 · 94B99 · 94B05

1 Introduction

Homogeneous weights have been widely studied in the context of linear codes over finite rings and modules [3, 4, 5, 12, 14, 18, 24]. They were first proposed for the integer residue rings in [18]. The concept was generalised in different ways in [12, 24]. We follow the definition given in [12], which requires such a weight on a ring R to be both invariant under the action of the unit group $R^\times$ and to yield the same average value on every principal ideal. Finite Frobenius rings are central to ring-linear coding, allowing the generalisations of many classical results [12, 27]. It was shown in [3] that regular, projective codes over finite Frobenius rings with two non-zero homogeneous weights yield strongly regular graphs. Honold asserted that

Research supported by Science Foundation Ireland Grant 08/RFP/MTH1181

Eimear Byrne · Alison Sneyd
School of Mathematical Sciences, University College Dublin, Ireland
E-mail: alison.sneyd@ucd.ie

this result extended to the case of modular two-weight codes [15], which includes the class of regular, projective codes. In [5], generalising techniques of Delsarte [10], new relationships between the parameters of a projective, regular two-weight code and the eigenvalues of the corresponding strongly regular graph were established.

In this paper, we extend techniques used in [5, 10] to describe the parameters of two-weight codes over finite Frobenius rings, whose corresponding Cayley graphs are strongly regular. As in [5], relationships between the eigenvalues of a two-weight code and its Cayley graph yield existence criteria for such objects.

We provide a construction of an infinite family of modular two-weight codes over a finite Frobenius ring R that arise from unions of submodules of R_R^k (see also [6]) and characterize all codes formed in this way. This establishes the existence of modular two-weight codes of any square order. This is the only known construction for an infinite family of two-weight codes over rings of non-prime-power order.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Orthogonal Arrays and Strongly Regular Graphs

We recall some elementary properties of orthogonal arrays and strongly regular graphs.

Let $s, \kappa \geq 2$. An *orthogonal array* with parameters s and κ , denoted $OA(s, \kappa)$, is an $s^2 \times \kappa$ array with entries from an s -set S , such that in any two columns of the array, each ordered pair of symbols from $S \times S$ occurs exactly once. Orthogonal arrays have a variety of applications such as in the design of experiments (where each row represents a test to be performed) and for constructions of authentication codes for cryptography. They also have strong connections to error correcting codes. The reader is referred to [9, 13, 25] for further details on orthogonal arrays, their generalisations and their relations to other structures.

An $OA(s, \kappa)$ is equivalent to a set of $\kappa - 2$ mutually orthogonal latin squares (MOLS) of side s . Other than obtaining new constructions of infinite families of orthogonal arrays, a classical problem of the theory is to know the maximum value of κ for which an $OA(s, \kappa)$ exists (often denoted in MOLS terminology by $N(s)$). A well-known upper bound is given by $N(s) \leq s + 1$, which is tight if s is a prime power [9, Chapter III]. If s is not a power of a prime, little is known and there is no known value of s for which the maximum value of $\kappa = s + 1$ is attained. In fact, an $OA(s, s + 1)$ exists if and only if a finite projective plane of order s exists. MacNeish [23] showed there exists an $OA(s, p_1^{d_1} + 1)$ for $s = p_1^{d_1} \dots p_r^{d_r}$, where $p_1, \dots, p_r$ are primes and $p_1^{d_1} < \dots < p_r^{d_r}$ so in particular we have the lower bound $p_1^{d_1} + 1 \leq N(s)$ for such s .

There is an extensive literature on strongly regular graphs. See for example, [8, 9, 11]. A K -regular graph Γ that is neither empty nor complete on N vertices is called *strongly regular* with parameters (N, K, λ, μ) if every pair of adjacent vertices have λ common neighbours and every non-adjacent pair have μ common neighbours. Equivalently, its adjacency matrix A satisfies the equation

$$AJ = JA = KJ \text{ and } A^2 - (\lambda - \mu)A - (K - \mu)I = \mu J. \quad (1)$$

An eigenvalue of A is then called an eigenvalue of Γ . Strongly regular graphs have three eigenvalues, say $\rho_1 \leq -1 < \rho_2 \leq K$. If Γ is disconnected, $\rho_1 = -1$ and

$\rho_2 = K$. If Γ is connected, the three eigenvalues are distinct. In fact, any connected graph with three distinct eigenvalues is strongly regular. The eigenvalue $\rho_2 = 0$ if and only if the complement of Γ is disconnected. Γ is called *imprimitive* (or *trivial*) if either Γ or its complement is disconnected. If Γ is not imprimitive, Γ is called *primitive*. Provided Γ is primitive, unless the ρ_i occur with the same multiplicity, they are integers of opposite sign satisfying $K > \rho_2 > -1 > \rho_1$.

The following construction of strongly regular graphs will be used later. Let B be an $OA(s, \kappa)$. Then B determines a strongly regular graph $\Gamma(B)$ by taking its s^2 rows as vertices and joining two vertices if they have a common entry in a column of B . $\Gamma(B)$ has parameters

$$(s^2, \kappa(s-1), s-2 + (\kappa-1)(\kappa-2), \kappa(\kappa-1)).$$

In the case that $\kappa = 2$, this graph is often called the s^2 graph or lattice graph associated to B . Given a strongly regular graph Γ , if there exists some $OA(s, \kappa)$ B such that Γ is isomorphic to $\Gamma(B)$, we will call Γ an $OA(s, \kappa)$ -type graph.

2.2 Homogeneous Weights and Frobenius Rings

We discuss some properties of finite Frobenius rings that will be used later. For a thorough discussion of ring theory, see [20]. A detailed treatment of (finite or infinite) Frobenius rings can be found in [19], while [14, 27] discuss the finite case. Let R be a finite ring with identity. We denote the group of units of R by $R^\times$. Let $\chi : R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a character of $(R, +)$. Let $\hat{R} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ denote the group of characters of R . $\hat{R}$ is an (R, R) -bimodule, given by $\chi^r(x) = \chi(rx)$ and ${}^r\chi(x) = \chi(xr)$, for all x, r in R , and for all χ in $\hat{R}$.

A finite ring R is a *Frobenius ring* if it satisfies any of the following equivalent conditions (or their right counterparts) [14]:

1. ${}_R R \cong {}_R \hat{R}$,
2. ${}_R (R/\text{Rad}(R)) \cong \text{Soc}({}_R R)$,
3. The socle of ${}_R R$, $\text{Soc}({}_R R)$, is a principal left ideal.

Examples of finite Frobenius rings include finite fields and the integer residue rings. If R is Frobenius so is $M_n(R)$, the ring of $n \times n$ matrices over R . The ring of 2×2 upper triangular matrices over a finite field is not Frobenius. For more examples, see [19].

A character χ of R is called a *left (resp. right) generating character* if the map $\phi : R \rightarrow \hat{R}$, $\phi(r) = {}^r\chi$ is an isomorphism of left R modules. Every Frobenius ring possesses a left (which is also a right) generating character [27].

We now introduce the homogeneous weight on finite rings.

Definition 1 ([12]) A map $w : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a (left) *homogeneous weight* if $w(0) = 0$ and the following hold:

- (i) If $Rx = Ry$, then $w(x) = w(y)$ for all x, y in R .
- (ii) There exists a real number $\gamma \geq 0$ (independent of R) such that

$$\sum_{y \in Rx} w(y) = \gamma |Rx|, \text{ for all } x \in R \setminus \{0\}.$$

A left homogeneous weight exists on all finite rings and it is unique up to the choice of γ . Right homogeneous weights are defined similarly. If R is Frobenius, the right and left homogeneous weights coincide [24]. If $\gamma = 1$, we say the homogeneous weight is *normalized*.

Let q be a prime power. Over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, the Hamming weight is homogeneous with $\gamma = \frac{q-1}{q}$. Over $\mathbb{Z}_4$, the Lee weight is given by $w(0) = 0, w(1) = w(3) = 1, w(2) = 2$. It is homogeneous with $\gamma = 1$. If $R = \mathbb{F}_2 \oplus \mathbb{F}_2$, the normalized homogeneous weight is given by $w(0,0) = w(1,1) = 0, w(1,0) = w(0,1) = 2$. Observe the non-zero ring element $(1,1)$ has weight zero. We will call a ring R *proper* if the only ring element of weight 0 in R is the zero element.

We now discuss two well-known characterisations of the homogeneous weight.

Let μ denote the Möbius function on the poset of principal left ideals of R partially ordered by set inclusion (cf. for example [21]). It is the integer-valued function implicitly defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(Rx, Rx) &= 1, \text{ for all } x \in R, \\ \mu(Ry, Rx) &= 0, \text{ if } Ry \not\leq Rx, \text{ and} \\ \sum_{Ry \leq Rz \leq Rx} \mu(Rz, Rx) &= 0, \text{ if } Ry < Rx. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2 ([12]) *Let R be a finite ring, $x \in R$ and $\gamma \geq 0$ be a real number. Then the homogeneous weight of x is given by:*

$$w(x) = \gamma \left(1 - \frac{\mu(0, Rx)}{|R^\times x|} \right).$$

Theorem 3 ([14]) *Let R be a finite Frobenius ring with generating character χ . Then for a real constant $\gamma > 0$ and $x \in R$, the homogeneous weight of x is given by:*

$$w(x) = \gamma \left(1 - \frac{1}{|R^\times|} \sum_{u \in R^\times} \chi(ux) \right). \quad (2)$$

From now on, we assume R is Frobenius with generating character χ and we let w denote the homogeneous weight on R of average value γ .

2.3 Codes over Rings

A (left) linear code $C \leq {}_R R^n$ is a submodule of ${}_R R^n$. The elements of C are called codewords. For $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in C$, the homogeneous weight of c is given by $w(c) := \sum_{i=1}^n w(c_i)$. A code C is called *proper* if the only codeword of weight 0 in C is the zero codeword.

A pair of vectors $y, z \in R^k$ are called (*right*) *projectively distinct* if $yR \neq zR$ as right R -modules, otherwise we say that y and z are in the same (right) projective class (which holds if and only if $y = za$ for some $a \in R^\times$).

For the remainder we fix the following notation. For any $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in R^n$, we have $x \cdot y := \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$. We let $C \leq {}_R R^n$ denote a proper left linear code generated by the rows of a $k \times n$ matrix $G = [g_1, \dots, g_n]$ over R that has exactly r projectively distinct columns, indexed by a subset $\mathcal{I} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ of size r . Furthermore, we assume the following:

1. $[i] := \{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : g_i R = g_j R\}$, for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$;
2. η_i columns of G are in the same projective class as g_i , for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$;
3. $\delta_i := \frac{\eta_i}{|g_i R^\times|}$, for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$;
4. for each $j \in [i]$, write $g_j = g_i \tau_{ij}$ for some $\tau_{ij} \in R^\times$, for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$;
5. $G^\perp := \{x \in R^k : x \cdot g_i = 0 \ \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$;
6. $M_G := \sum_{j=1}^r g_j R$;
7. for each $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, column g_j is non-zero.

For each $y \in R^k$ we write $\text{ann}_R(y) := \{s \in R : ys = 0\} \leq R_R$.

A code over a finite Frobenius ring R is called *projective* if $\eta_i = 1$ for all i and is called *regular* if $\{x \cdot g_j : x \in R^k\} = R$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Lemma 4 *Let $y \in R^k$ and $\nu \in R^\times$. Then $R^\times \cap (1 + \text{ann}_R(y))$ is a subgroup of $R^\times$ and $|R^\times \cap (\nu + \text{ann}_R(y))||yR^\times| = |R^\times|$.*

Proof The subgroup property is easy to check. Now $R^\times$ acts on R^k by right multiplication. The orbit of any element $y \in R^k$ is given by $yR^\times$ and its stabilizer is $R^\times \cap (1 + \text{ann}_R(y))$, which has order $|R^\times|/|yR^\times|$, by the Orbit-Stabilizer Theorem. The result now follows since for any $\nu \in R^\times$ the map $\nu + a \mapsto 1 + a\nu^{-1}$ is a bijection from $R^\times \cap (\nu + \text{ann}_R(y))$ onto $R^\times \cap (1 + \text{ann}_R(y))$. $\square$

Definition 5 ([15]) *We say that C is a δ -modular code if $\delta_i = \delta$ for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$.*

Example 1 A projective code over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ is $\frac{1}{q-1}$ -modular. A projective, regular code over a finite Frobenius ring R is $\frac{1}{|R^\times|}$ -modular. Over $\mathbb{Z}_6$, the code generated by $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ is modular and the code generated by $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ is not modular.

Lemma 6 *Let C be δ -modular. If $\gamma = \delta^{-1}$, then $w(c) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $c \in C$.*

Proof Let $c \in C$. Then $w(c) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \eta_i w(c_i)$. By hypothesis and Theorem 2 we have

$$\eta_i w(c_i) = \frac{\eta_i}{\delta} \left(1 - \frac{\mu(0, c_i R)}{|c_i R^\times|} \right) = |g_i R^\times| \left(1 - \frac{\mu(0, c_i R)}{|c_i R^\times|} \right).$$

Let $x \in R^k$ such that $c = xG$. Then $c_i = x \cdot g_i$, $\text{ann}_R(g_i) \subset \text{ann}_R(x \cdot g_i)$ and $R^\times \cap (1 + \text{ann}_R(g_i))$ is a subgroup of $R^\times \cap (1 + \text{ann}_R(x \cdot g_i))$. The result now follows by Lemma 4. $\square$

Definition 7 *The code C is called a two-weight code if for all $c \in C$, $w(c) \in \{0, w_1, w_2\}$ for some $0 < w_1 < w_2$.*

If C is a two-weight code, we define a graph $\Gamma(C)$ as follows: The codewords of C are the vertices of $\Gamma(C)$ and two codewords $c, c' \in C$ are adjacent in $\Gamma(C)$ if and only if $w(c - c') = w_1$. $\Gamma(C)$ is the Cayley graph generated by set of codewords of C of weight w_1 . Observe that changing the value γ of w does not affect $\Gamma(C)$. In certain cases, $\Gamma(C)$ is a strongly regular graph, in which case we will call C *primitive* if $\Gamma(C)$ is primitive.

3 Two-Weight Rings

We call a finite Frobenius ring R a *two-weight ring* if for all $r \in R$, $w(r) \in \{0, w_1, w_2\}$ for some $0 < w_1 < w_2$ and there exist some $u, v \in R$ such that $w(u) = w_1$ and $w(v) = w_2$ (that is to say that R has exactly two non-zero homogeneous weights). For the remainder of this section, we assume all two-weight rings are proper and that the homogeneous weight is normalised. If R is a two-weight ring, then $\Gamma(R)$, the Cayley graph of the set of ring elements of weight w_1 in R is a strongly regular graph (cf. [3, 5]). We now show that any two-weight ring is isomorphic to one of the following:

1. Let R be a local ring with residue field of order q . Then for all non-zero $x \in R$,

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} w_1 = 1, & \text{if } x \notin \text{Soc}(R), \\ w_2 = \frac{q}{q-1}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The local R has a non-trivial socle if and only if R is not a finite field, in which case it is a two-weight ring. If R is a finite field it is not a two-weight ring and every non-zero element has weight $\frac{q}{q-1}$.

2. Let $q > 2$. Then $R = \mathbb{F}_q \oplus \mathbb{F}_q$ is a two-weight ring: for all non-zero $x \in R$,

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} w_1 = \frac{q(q-2)}{(q-1)^2}, & \text{if } x \in R^\times, \\ w_2 = \frac{q}{q-1}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

3. $M_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is a two-weight ring: for all non-zero $x \in R$,¹

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} w_1 = \frac{q(q^2-q-1)}{(q^2-1)(q-1)}, & \text{if } x \in R^\times, \\ w_2 = \frac{q^2}{q^2-1}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let R be a two-weight ring with non-zero weights $w_1 < w_2$. It can easily be deduced from [3, 5] that $w_1 \leq 1 < w_2$, with equality if and only if $\Gamma(R)$ is imprimitive. It follows that for any non-zero $x \in R$, $w(x) = w_2$ if and only if $\mu(0, Rx) < 0$ and $w(x) = w_1$ if and only if $\mu(0, Rx) \geq 0$.

Lemma 1 *Let R be a two-weight ring. Then $\Gamma(R)$ is imprimitive if and only if R is a local ring.*

Proof For a non-zero $x \in R$, $w(x) = w_1 = 1$ if and only if $\mu(0, Rx) = 0$. Since $\text{Soc}({}_R R)$ is principal, this holds if and only if $\text{Soc}({}_R R)$ is simple. Since R is Frobenius, we have ${}_R(R/\text{Rad}(R)) \cong \text{Soc}({}_R R)$. $\square$

Recall that a semi-simple ring is one satisfying $\text{Rad}(R) = \{0\}$ (cf. [20]).

Lemma 2 *Let R be a two-weight ring. Then if $\Gamma(R)$ is primitive, R is semi-simple.*

Proof Since $\text{Soc}({}_R R)$ is principal, if $\text{Soc}(R) \neq R$, there exists $a \notin \text{Soc}(R)$ such that $w(a) = 1$. This contradicts the assumption $\Gamma(R)$ is primitive. Applying the identity ${}_R(R/\text{Rad}(R)) \cong \text{Soc}({}_R R)$ gives $\text{Rad}(R) = \{0\}$. $\square$

¹ It is well-known that $M_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ determines a strongly regular graph by taking the ring elements as vertices and joining two vertices if their difference has rank 2 (cf. [9]); this is the same relation as induced by the homogeneous weight.

Observation 8 In [5, Corollary 15], it was shown that for a proper regular, projective, two-weight code C , with homogeneous weights $0 < w_1 < w_2$ that $(w_2 - w_1)|R^\times|$ is an integral divisor of $|C|$. Then in particular if R is a two-weight ring we see that $(w_2 - w_1)|R^\times|$ is an integral divisor of $|R|$.

Observation 9 It is well-known that all finite semi-simple rings are isomorphic to direct sums of matrix rings over finite fields.

We will use these observations in the proof of the following classification result.

Theorem 10 Let R be a two-weight ring with $\Gamma(R)$ primitive. Then R is isomorphic to either $\mathbb{F}_q \oplus \mathbb{F}_q$, $q > 2$, or to $M_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Proof Since R is semi-simple, we may write $R \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^t M_{n_i}(\mathbb{F}_{q_i})$ for some prime powers q_i and positive integers n_i . Observe all minimal (left) ideals Rx in R have the same order $m = \frac{w_2}{w_2-1}$, since any generator x of such an ideal satisfies $\mu(x) = -1$ and $w(x) = w_2 = \frac{|Rx|}{|Rx|-1}$. Now let $R_j = M_{n_j}(\mathbb{F}_{q_j})$. For $x_j \in R_j$, we let $\bar{x}_j \in R$ have x_j in the j^{th} coordinate and zeroes elsewhere. If $R_j x_j$ is minimal of order m_j , it follows that $R\bar{x}_j$ is also minimal of order $m_j = m$ (thus m_j is independent of j).

Suppose for the sake of contradiction that $t > 2$. Then for $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, let $x_j \in R_j$ such that $R_j x_j$ is minimal of order m . Then $x = \bar{x}_1 + \bar{x}_2 + \bar{x}_3 \in R$ satisfies $\mu(x) = -1$. Therefore $w(x) = 1 + \frac{1}{(m-1)^3} \neq w_2$, unless $m = 2$. If $m = 2$, R is not proper.

Let $t = 2$ and without loss of generality, suppose $n_1 > 1$. Then $m = q_1^{n_1}$. Let $A \in M_{n_1}(\mathbb{F}_{q_1})$ have $a_{11} = a_{22} = 1$ and all other coordinates equal to zero. Then $\bar{A} \in R$ satisfies $\mu(\bar{A}) = q_1$, $|R^\times \bar{A}| = q_1(q_1^{n_1} - 1)(q_1^{n_1-1} - 1)$ and $w(\bar{A}) = w_1 = 1 - \frac{1}{(q_1^{n_1}-1)(q_1^{n_1-1}-1)}$. Now for $j = \{1, 2\}$, let $x_j \in R_j$ such that $R_j x_j$ is minimal. Then $\mu(\bar{x}_1 + \bar{x}_2) = 1$ and $w(\bar{x}_1 + \bar{x}_2) = 1 - \frac{1}{(q_1^{n_1}-1)^2} \neq w_1$ as required.

Finally, suppose $t = 1$ and $R = M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that $n \geq 3$. Since $m = q^n$, $w_2 = \frac{q^n}{q^n-1}$, and using the same notation as above, $w(A) = w_1 = 1 - \frac{1}{(q^n-1)(q^{n-1}-1)}$. As $|M_n(\mathbb{F}_q)^\times| = q^{n(n-1)/2} \prod_{i=1}^n (q^i - 1)$, it follows by [5, Corollary 15] that

$$|R^\times|(w_2 - w_1) = q^{n(n-1)/2+n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} (q^i - 1)$$

is an integral divisor of $|R| = q^{n^2}$. This only happens if $n = 3$ and $q = 2$, in which case, $R = M_3(\mathbb{F}_2)$ and $w_2 = \frac{8}{7}$. It can be checked that $\mu(0, RI) = -8$ and $w(I) = \frac{22}{21} \neq w_2$. The result follows. $\square$

4 The Order of Linear Codes

We establish results on the order of linear codes over finite Frobenius rings that will be needed later. First, we again fix some notation.

Definition 11 Let S be a non-empty subset of R^k .

$$\begin{aligned} S^\perp &:= \{x \in R^k : x \cdot s = 0 \text{ for all } s \in S\} <_R R^k, \\ C(S) &:= \{(x \cdot s)_{s \in S \setminus \{0\}} : x \in R^k\} <_R R^{|S \setminus \{0\}|}, \\ M_S &:= \sum_{s \in S} sR < R_R^k. \end{aligned}$$

Let $S_1, \dots, S_t$ be non-empty subsets of R^k . We denote by $C(S_1, \dots, S_t)$ the left R -linear code of length $\sum_{i=1}^t |S_i \setminus \{0\}|$ defined by

$$C(S_1, \dots, S_t) := \{((x \cdot s_1)_{s_1 \in S_1 \setminus \{0\}}, \dots, (x \cdot s_t)_{s_t \in S_t \setminus \{0\}}) : x \in R^k\}$$

Given a $k \times n$ matrix $Y = [y_1, \dots, y_n]$ over R with each y_i non-zero, we write $C(Y)$ in place of $C(\{y_1\}, \dots, \{y_n\})$. Then with respect to this notation, we have $C = C(G)$.

Lemma 12 Let $S_1, \dots, S_t$ be non-empty subsets of R^k . Then the codes $C(S_1, \dots, S_t)$ and $C(\sum_{i=1}^t M_{S_i})$ are isomorphic as left R -modules. In particular, $|C(S_1, \dots, S_t)| = |C(\sum_{i=1}^t M_{S_i})|$.

Proof Clearly, we have the left R -module isomorphisms

$$C(S_1, \dots, S_t) \cong R^k / \bigcap_{i=1}^t S_i^\perp \text{ and } C\left(\sum_{i=1}^t M_{S_i}\right) \cong R^k / \left(\sum_{i=1}^t M_{S_i}\right)^\perp.$$

The result now follows from the fact that $\left(\sum_{i=1}^t M_{S_i}\right)^\perp = \bigcap_{i=1}^t M_{S_i}^\perp$ and that for each i , $S_i^\perp = M_{S_i}^\perp$. $\square$

Since R is Frobenius, for any left or right ideal I of R , the average value of the homogeneous weight of its elements is also constant, that is, $\sum_{x \in I} w(x) = \gamma|I|$ [12, 14]. This gives the following two results.

Lemma 13 (See also [26, Lemma 15]) Let $M < R_R^k$. Then every non-zero code-word $c \in C(M)$ satisfies $w(c) = \gamma|M|$.

Lemma 14 ([12]) For each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $\sum_{c \in C} w(c_i) = \gamma|C|$.

Lemma 15 Let $M \leq R_R^k$. Then $|C(M)| = |M|$.

Proof We count the total weight of the codewords of $C(M)$ in two ways. Applying Lemmas 13 and 14 gives $(|C(M)| - 1)\gamma|M| = (|M| - 1)\gamma|C(M)|$. $\square$

Corollary 16 $|C| = |M_G|$.

Proof $C = C(G) \cong C(M_G)$ by Lemma 12. From Lemma 15 we then get $|C| = |C(M_G)| = |M_G|$. $\square$

5 Two-Weight Codes and Graphs

We determine relations between the parameters of a two-weight code and its corresponding Cayley graph. We will use the concept of the distance matrix of a code [5, 10].

Definition 17 *The distance matrix of C is the $|C| \times |C|$ matrix D with rows and columns indexed by the elements of C and whose (u, v) -th entry is $D_{uv} = w(u - v)$ for $u, v \in C$. For each $i \in \mathcal{I}$, the i^{th} coordinate distance matrix of C is the $|C| \times |C|$ matrix D_i defined by $(D_i)_{uv} = w(u_i - v_i)$.*

Definition 18 ([5, 10]) *We denote by $\mathcal{X}$ the complex $|C| \times |R^\times|n$ matrix whose components satisfy $(\mathcal{X})_{c,(\lambda,i)} := \chi(c_i\lambda)$ for each $c \in C$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\lambda \in R^\times$. For each $i \in \mathcal{I}$ we let $\mathcal{X}_i$ be the $|C| \times |R^\times|\eta_i$ submatrix of $\mathcal{X}$ whose columns are those indexed by (j, λ) for $j \in [i]$ and $\lambda \in R^\times$.*

Given a complex matrix X , we write X^* to denote the conjugate transpose matrix of X . We let J denote the $|C| \times |C|$ all-ones matrix.

Theorem 19

- (i) $DJ = \gamma n|C|J$ and
- (ii) $D^2 = \gamma|C| \left(\gamma \left(n^2 + \gamma \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \delta_i \eta_i \right) J - \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \delta_i \eta_i D_i \right)$.

Proof The proof of (i) follows immediately from Lemma 14 since

$$(DJ)_{uv} = \sum_{x \in C} w(u - x) = \sum_{x \in C} w(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{x \in C} w(x_i) = \gamma n|C|,$$

for any $u, v \in C$.

For fixed $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\lambda, \tau \in R^\times$, define

$$\Lambda : R^n \longrightarrow R : c = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \mapsto c_j\tau - c_i\lambda.$$

Then Λ is the zero map on C if and only if $g_j\tau - g_i\lambda = 0$, in which case $j \in [i]$ and $g_j = g_i\tau_{ij}$, which holds if and only if $\tau_{ij}\tau - \lambda \in \text{ann}_R(g_i)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{X}^* \mathcal{X})_{(i,\lambda),(j,\tau)} &= \sum_{c \in C} \chi(c_j\tau - c_i\lambda) \\ &= \begin{cases} |\ker \Lambda \cap C| & \text{if } \Lambda(C) = \{0\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} |C| & \text{if } j \in [i] \text{ and } \lambda \in \tau_{ij}\tau + \text{ann}_R(g_i), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then from Lemma 4,

$$((\mathcal{X}^* \mathcal{X})_{(i,\lambda),c}) = |C| \sum_{j \in [i]} \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \tau_{ij}\tau \\ + \text{ann}_R(g_i)}} \bar{\chi}(x \cdot g_i\lambda) = |C| |R^\times| \frac{\eta_i}{|g_i R^\times|} \bar{\chi}(x \cdot g_i\lambda).$$

It is straightforward to show that $\mathcal{X}^*J = 0$, $\mathcal{X}_i\mathcal{X}_i^* = |R^\times|\eta_i(J - \gamma^{-1}D_i)$ and $\mathcal{X}\mathcal{X}^* = |R^\times|(nJ - \gamma^{-1}D)$. It follows that

$$\mathcal{X}^*(\mathcal{X}\mathcal{X}^*) = |R^\times|\mathcal{X}^*(J - \gamma^{-1}D) = -|R^\times|\gamma^{-1}\mathcal{X}^*D,$$

and so for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$, $|C||R^\times|\frac{\eta_i}{|g_iR^\times|}\mathcal{X}_i^* + |R^\times|\gamma^{-1}\mathcal{X}_i^*D = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= |C||R^\times|\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \delta_i \mathcal{X}_i \mathcal{X}_i^* + |R^\times|\gamma^{-1}\mathcal{X}\mathcal{X}^*D, \\ &= |C||R^\times|\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \delta_i \eta_i (J - \gamma^{-1}D_i) + |R^\times|\gamma^{-1}(nJ - \gamma^{-1}D)D. \end{aligned}$$

The result now follows from (i). $\square$

The proof of the following corollary uses arguments similar to those in [5, 10].

Corollary 20 *Let C be a proper two-weight code with non-zero weights $w_1 < w_2$. Then $\Gamma(C)$ is strongly regular if and only if there exist some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \delta_i \eta_i D_i = \alpha D + \beta(J - I)$, in which case the eigenvalues K, ρ_1, ρ_2 of $\Gamma(C)$ satisfy*

- (i) $(w_2 - w_1)K = w_2(|C| - 1) - \gamma n|C|$,
- (ii) $(w_2 - w_1)\rho_1 = -w_2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\gamma|C|\alpha - \sqrt{\gamma^2|C|^2\alpha^2 + 4\gamma|C|\beta} \right)$,
- (iii) $(w_2 - w_1)\rho_2 = -w_2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\gamma|C|\alpha + \sqrt{\gamma^2|C|^2\alpha^2 + 4\gamma|C|\beta} \right)$,
- (iv) $|R^\times|^2|C|^2\alpha^2$ and $|R^\times||C|\beta$ are integers and $\sqrt{|R^\times|^2|C|^2\alpha^2 + 4|R^\times||C|\beta}$ is an integer divisible by $\rho_2 - \rho_1$.

Proof The adjacency matrix A of $\Gamma(C)$ satisfies $(w_2 - w_1)A = w_2(J - I) - D$. Then from Equation (1), $\Gamma(G)$ is strongly regular if and only if D^2 is an $\mathbb{R}$ -linear combination of D, J and I . Suppose there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \delta_i \eta_i D_i = \alpha D + \beta(J - I)$. Then any restricted eigenvalue ρ of A satisfies $(w_2 - w_1)\rho = -w_2 - \theta$, where θ is a restricted eigenvalue of D . From Theorem 19, such θ are roots of the polynomial $x^2 + \gamma|C|\alpha + \gamma|C|\beta \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ which gives (ii) and (iii). Part (iv) follows since the homogeneous weight is integer-valued for $\gamma = |R^\times|$ and so, using (ii) and (iii), we get $(w_2 - w_1)(\rho_2 - \rho_1) = \sqrt{|R^\times|^2|C|^2\alpha^2 + 4|R^\times||C|\beta} \in \mathbb{Z}$. The rest can be deduced from (ii). $\square$

Example 2 Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_6$ and let C be the code generated by $[2 \ 3 \ 2 \ 2 \ 2 \ 3 \ 3]$. C is a two-weight code of order 6 with normalized weights $w_1 = 6, w_2 = 12$. Then $\mathcal{I} = \{1, 2\}$, $\delta_1 = 2, \delta_2 = 3$, $\eta_1 = 4$ and $\eta_2 = 3$. Then $\Gamma(C)$ is strongly regular if and only if there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $8w(c_1) + 9w(c_2) = \alpha w(c) + \beta$ for all non-zero $c \in C$. It is easy to check that no such α and β exist.

Example 3 Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_4$ and $M_1 = \mathbb{Z}_4(0, 1), M_2 = \mathbb{Z}_4(1, 0) \leq \mathbb{Z}_4^2$. Then $C = C(M_1, M_2, \mathbb{Z}_4^2)$ is a non-modular two-weight code with normalized weights $w_1 = 20, w_2 = 24$. As $\alpha = 3, \beta = -24$ satisfy $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \delta_i \eta_i w(c_i) = \alpha w(c) + \beta$ for all non-zero $c \in C$, $\Gamma(C)$ is strongly regular (in fact $\Gamma(C)$ is an $OA(4, 2)$ -type strongly regular graph).

These existence criteria are greatly simplified for the case $\beta = 0$, in which case the eigenvalues of D are 0 and $\gamma|C|\alpha$.

Corollary 21 *Let C be a proper two-weight code with non-zero weights $w_1 < w_2$ and suppose that $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \delta_i \eta_i D_i = \alpha D$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\Gamma(C)$ have restricted eigenvalues $\rho_1 < \rho_2$. Then*

- (i) $\rho_2 - \rho_1$ is an integral divisor of $|R^\times||C|\alpha$;
- (ii) $w_1 = \frac{\gamma|C|(\rho_1 + 1)\alpha}{(\rho_1 - \rho_2)}$ and $w_2 = \frac{\gamma|C|\rho_1\alpha}{(\rho_1 - \rho_2)}$;
- (iii) the multiplicities m_1 and m_2 of ρ_1 and ρ_2 , respectively, satisfy

$$m_1 = |C| - 1 - \frac{n}{\alpha} \text{ and } m_2 = \frac{n}{\alpha}.$$

In particular, if C satisfies the hypothesis of Corollary 21, then $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Corollary 22 *Let C satisfy the hypothesis of Corollary 21. Then $\Gamma(C)$ is imprimitive if and only if $w_2 = \gamma\alpha|C|$.*

Proof Using Part (ii) of Corollary 21 we see that $w_2 = \gamma\alpha|C|$ if and only if $\rho_2 = 0$, in which case the complement of $\Gamma(C)$ is disconnected. If $\Gamma(C)$ itself is disconnected then we have $\rho_1 = -1$, which, again from Part (ii), yields $w_1 = 0$, giving a contradiction. $\square$

6 Modular Two-Weight Codes

The code C is modular if for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$, $\delta_i = \delta = \alpha$ and $\beta = 0$. We have the following result.

Corollary 23 *Let C be a δ -modular code. Then*

$$D^2 + \gamma|C|\delta D = n\gamma^2|C|(\gamma\delta + n)J.$$

In particular, if C is a proper two-weight code, then $\Gamma(C)$ is strongly regular.

Proof This follows immediately from Theorem 19, since $\delta_i = \delta$ for each i and $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \eta_i = n$. $\square$

We remark that it was already known that a proper modular two-weight code has a strongly regular Cayley graph: Honold asserted this in [15]. A proof may be read in [16, Theorem 12], which appeared on arXiv.org during the review of this paper. An important component of our approach is that Corollaries 21 and 23 yield explicit relations between the weights of a proper modular two-weight code C and the eigenvalues of $\Gamma(C)$ in the form of Corollary 26. This provides useful existence criteria for modular two-weight codes and their corresponding strongly regular Cayley graphs.

We now consider existence questions for modular two-weight codes. We first show that we may assume $\delta = 1$ without loss of generality.

Lemma 24 *Let C be a δ -modular two-weight code with non-zero weights $0 < w_1 < w_2$. Then there exists a 1-modular two-weight code $C' \leq_R R^{n'}$ of order $|C'| = |C|$ and length $n' = n\delta^{-1}$ with non-zero weights $w'_1 = w_1\delta^{-1}$ and $w'_2 = w_2\delta^{-1}$ such that $\Gamma(C)$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma(C')$.*

Proof Let G' be the $k \times \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} |g_i R^\times|$ matrix formed by replacing, for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$ the η_i columns that are unit multiples of g_i with some (not necessarily distinct) $|g_i R^\times|$ unit multiples of g_i . Then $C' = C(G')$ has length $n' = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} |g_i R^\times| = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \frac{\eta_i}{\delta} = \frac{n}{\delta}$ and is 1-modular. The order of C' is $|C'| = |M_{G'}| = |M_G|$ by Lemma 16 and so there is a one-to-one correspondence between the words of C and C' . Explicitly, given any $c = xG \in C$, let $c' = xG'$ be the corresponding codeword in C' . Clearly for any $i \in \mathcal{I}$, $w(c'_i) = w(c_i)$, so that

$$w(c') = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} |g_i R^\times| w(c'_i) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} |g_i R^\times| w(c_i) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \frac{\eta_i}{\delta} w(c_i) = \delta^{-1} w(c).$$

Then C' is a 1-modular two-weight code with $\Gamma(C')$ isomorphic to $\Gamma(C)$. $\square$

We now show that if C is a 1-modular two-weight code, there exists a 1-modular two-weight code C' such that $\Gamma(C')$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma(C)^c$, the complement of $\Gamma(C)$.

Lemma 25 *Suppose C is a primitive, proper 1-modular two-weight code with non-zero normalized weights $0 < w_1 < w_2$. Then $C' = C(M_G \setminus \cup_{i=1}^n g_i R^\times)$ is a proper, 1-modular two-weight code of order $|C'|$ with non-zero normalized weights $w'_1 < w'_2$ where $w'_1 = |C| - w_2$ and $w'_2 = |C| - w_1$. Moreover, $\Gamma(C)^c$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma(C')$.*

Proof As C is 1-modular, C' is 1-modular. Now if $xG = 0$ then $x \in M_G^\perp$ and $xG' = 0$. By Lemma 13, for any $x \in R^k$ such that $xG \neq 0$, $w(xG') + w(xG) = |M_G| = |C|$. As Γ is primitive, Corollary 22 implies $w_2 < |C|$ and $|C| - w_2 > 0$. Then C' is a two-weight code with the weights claimed. Further, if $w(xG') = 0$, $xG = 0$ (else we would have $w(xG) = |C|$) and so $xG' = 0$. It follows that C' is proper and $|C| = |C'|$. Finally, as $w(xG) = w_1$ if and only if $w(xG') = w'_2$, $\Gamma(C)^c$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma(C')$. $\square$

Corollary 26 *Let Γ be a strongly regular graph with parameters (N, K, λ, μ) and restricted eigenvalues $\rho_1 < \rho_2$ of multiplicities m_1, m_2 respectively. Then Γ is the Cayley graph of a δ -modular two-weight code over R if and only if Γ is isomorphic to $\Gamma(C)$, where C is a 1-modular two-weight code with non-zero weights w_1, w_2 such that the following hold.*

- (i) $|C| = N$ and $\rho_1 - \rho_2$ is an integer dividing N .
- (ii)

$$w_1 = \frac{(\rho_1 + 1)N}{\rho_1 - \rho_2} \text{ and } w_2 = \frac{\rho_1 N}{\rho_1 - \rho_2}.$$

- (iii) The length of C is given by $n = m_2$.
- (iv) $C' = C(M_G \setminus \cup_{i=1}^n g_i R^\times)$ has length $n' = m_1$, two non-zero weights $w'_1 = N - w_2$, $w'_2 = N - w_1$ and $\Gamma(C')$ is a strongly regular graph with parameters $(N, N - K - 1, N - 2K + \mu - 2, N - 2K + \lambda)$.

This result can be used to analyse a feasible parameter set (N, K, λ, μ) [2] of a strongly regular graph that might arise from a modular two-weight code C , or conversely to check the existence of a modular two-weight code. Moreover, if a strongly regular graph is the Cayley graph of a modular two-weight code, so is its complement, thus it suffices to check parameters up to complements only. For

example, the first constraint “ $\rho_1 - \rho_2$ divides N ” shows that at most 732 of the 2140 feasible parameters sets (counted up to complements) for graphs on at most 1300 vertices listed in [2] could be the parameters of a strongly regular graph $\Gamma(C)$ for a modular two-weight code C . Among these there are 1514 putative parameter sets, for which graph existence is not known, and only 433 of these could come from a modular two-weight code.

A search was carried out in [5] for the sub-class of regular projective two-weight codes. There are significant differences between this and the more general case of modular codes. In the first instance, the complement of a strongly regular graph $\Gamma(C)$ coming from a two-weight code C does not necessarily arise from a regular-projective two-weight code, so any search cannot exclude complementary parameters. Secondly, in the projective, regular case, $N = |C|$ is an upper bound on $|R|$. It is therefore often possible to produce an exhaustive list of candidate coefficient rings over which search can be conducted. A further complication in both cases is that canonical descriptions of generator matrices for rings that are not direct products of chain rings are not known in general, making a complete search a very difficult task. We remark that in the regular projective case, out of the 2956 (up to complements 1514) putative parameter sets for which the existence of a graph is not yet known, there remain only 82 open cases. All regular projective two-weight codes found by the search had order the square of a prime power.

Example 4 The parameter set $(64, 36, 20, 20)$ corresponds to a graph with eigenvalues $-4, 4$ and respective multiplicities 27, 36. Any 1-modular two-weight code determining a graph with these parameters has order 64, length 27 and normalized weights $w_1 = 24, w_2 = 32$. Over $R = M_2(\mathbb{F}_2) \oplus \mathbb{F}_4$, we suppose C is generated by a single codeword c and the identity element $(I, 1)$ of R is a coordinate of c . Then 18 entries of c are units in R and they contribute weight 20 to c . By examining the weights of the ring elements, we see the remaining nine coordinates of c must have total weight 12 and therefore be ring elements of the form $(A, 0)$, where A has rank 1 in $M_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$. It can be checked that the following vector generates a $\frac{1}{3}$ -modular version of the required code:

$$\left[(I, 1), (I, 1), (I, 1), (I, 1), (I, 1), (I, 1), (I, 1), \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, 0 \right), \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, 0 \right), \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, 0 \right) \right]$$

Example 5 Consider the feasible parameter set $(96, 45, 24, 18)$. Suppose that a strongly regular graph Γ for such parameters exists, in which case it has eigenvalues $-3, 9$, with multiplicities 75 and 20, respectively. Suppose that C is a two-weight code over $R = \mathbb{F}_{32} \oplus \mathbb{F}_3$ satisfying $\Gamma = \Gamma(C)$. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and let $g \in R^k$ have $(u, a) \in R$ in some coordinate, with $u \neq 0$. Then as $|gR^\times| \geq 31$, if the vectors in the projective class of g are columns in G , $n \geq 31$. This contradicts $n = m_2 = 20$. It follows that every coordinate of every vector of R_R^k that is a column of G must be of the form $(0, a)$ and thus $|C| = 3^b$, for some $b \in \mathbb{N}$, giving a contradiction.

7 Codes, Arrays and Graphs

In the following section we show that modular two-weight codes can be constructed by taking unions of submodules of R_R^k with pairwise trivial intersection. We thus

illustrate connections between two-weight codes, orthogonal arrays and partial congruence partitions.

These examples establish the existence of primitive (those codes C such that $\Gamma(C)$ is primitive) modular two-weight codes over any finite Frobenius ring R and of any square order $N > 4$. No regular, projective two-weight codes of non-prime-power order are known to exist and their existence has been excluded for numerous non-prime-power square orders [5]. In fact, this construction is the only known infinite family of primitive two-weight codes over rings whose orders are not all prime powers.

We first show certain (not necessarily two-weight) codes can be used to construct orthogonal arrays and strongly regular graphs. We will use the following result.

Lemma 27 *Let $S_1, \dots, S_t \subseteq R^k$, $t \geq 2$. Then for every $x \in R^k$, $|\{i : x \in S_i^\perp\}| \in \{0, 1, t\}$ if and only if for all distinct $i, j \in \{1, \dots, t\}$, $M_{S_i} + M_{S_j} \supseteq M_{S_i}$ for all $l \in \{1, \dots, t\}$.*

Proof We have $M_{S_i} + M_{S_j} \supseteq M_{S_i}$ if and only if $(M_{S_i} + M_{S_j})^\perp \subseteq M_{S_i}^\perp$ which holds if and only if $M_{S_i}^\perp \cap M_{S_j}^\perp \subseteq M_{S_i}^\perp$. Since $S_i^\perp = M_{S_i}^\perp$, any $x \in R^k$ is contained in exactly one, none or all $S_i^\perp$. $\square$

Let $S_1, \dots, S_t \subseteq R^k$. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ and $c \in C(S_1, \dots, S_t)$, let $\Pi_i(c) \in C(S_i)$ be the projection of c onto the coordinates indexed by the elements of S_i . Define a graph $H(S_1, \dots, S_t)$ whose vertices are the codewords of $C(S_1, \dots, S_t)$ and where two vertices c, c' are adjacent if and only if $\Pi_i(c) = \Pi_i(c')$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$.

Theorem 28 *Let $t \geq 2$ and let $S_1, \dots, S_t \subset R^k$ be a family of sets satisfying*

- (i) $|M_{S_i}| = v$ for all i .
- (ii) $M_{S_i} \cap M_{S_j} = \{0\}$ for all i, j .
- (iii) $M_{S_i} + M_{S_j} \supseteq M_{S_i}$ for all $i, j, \ell \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ with $i \neq j$.

Then $H(S_1, \dots, S_t)$ is an $OA(v, t)$ -type graph.

Proof By Lemmas 12 and 15, $|C(S_i)| = |M_{S_i}| = v$ for each i and

$$|C(S_1, \dots, S_t)| = |C(M_{S_1}, \dots, M_{S_t})| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^t M_{S_i} \right| = |M_{S_i} + M_{S_j}| = v^2,$$

for any distinct i and j . We now construct an $OA(v, t)$ from $C(S_1, \dots, S_t)$. Let V be an arbitrary v -set. For each i , let $f_i : C(S_i) \rightarrow V$ be a bijection. Then define maps

$$F : C(S_1, \dots, S_t) \rightarrow V^t : c \mapsto (f_1(\Pi_1(c)), f_2(\Pi_2(c)), \dots, f_t(\Pi_t(c))),$$

$$F_{ij} : C(S_i, S_j) \rightarrow V^2 : c \mapsto (f_i(\Pi_i(c)), f_j(\Pi_j(c))).$$

Arrange $\{F(c) : c \in C\}$ as the rows of an array, A . As $|C(S_i, S_j)| = |M_{S_i} + M_{S_j}| = v^2$ for any distinct i and j , the map F_{ij} is a bijection. Then every element of $V \times V$ occurs exactly once in the i^{th} and j^{th} columns of A , which we then conclude is an $OA(v, t)$.

Finally, we show $H(S_1, \dots, S_t)$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma(A)$. Let $c, c' \in C(S_1, \dots, S_t)$. Now (c, c') is an edge of $H(S_1, \dots, S_t)$ if and only if there exists a unique i such that $\Pi_i(c - c') = 0$, or equivalently, $\Pi_i(c) = \Pi_i(c')$. This holds if and only if $f_i(\Pi_i(c)) = f_i(\Pi_i(c'))$ which is precisely the condition needed for $F(c)$ and $F(c')$ to be adjacent in $\Gamma(A)$. $\square$

We now show that a modular two-weight code can be constructed from any family of subsets of R_R^k satisfying Theorem 28. This generalises a well-known construction for two-weight codes over $\mathbb{F}_q$ that takes unions of subspaces of $\mathbb{F}_q^k$ (cf. [7]).

Corollary 29 *Let $S_1, \dots, S_t, t \geq 2$ be subsets of R_R^k satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 28 with $t < v + 1$. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ write $\bar{M}_i = M_{S_i} \setminus \{0\}$. Let $Y = [(y_1)_{y_1 \in \bar{M}_1}, \dots, (y_t)_{y_t \in \bar{M}_t}]$. Then*

- (i) $C(Y) = C_Y$ is a 1-modular two-weight code of order v^2 with non-zero weights $w_1 = (t - 1)v$ and $w_2 = tv$,
- (ii) $\Gamma(C_Y)$ is an $OA(v, t)$ -type graph.

Proof We first show C_Y is a two-weight code. For each $c \in C_Y$, let $\pi_i(c)$ denote the projection of c onto the coordinates corresponding to the $v - 1$ non-zero elements of M_{S_i} . Let $c = xY \in C_Y$ for some $x \in R^k$. Then $\pi_i(c) = 0$ if and only if $x \in M_{S_i}^\perp$ and so we compute

$$w(xY) = \sum_{i=1}^t w(\pi_i(xY)) = tv - |\{i : x \in S_i^\perp\}|v.$$

It follows by Lemma 27 that C_Y has non-zero weights $w_1 = (t - 1)v$ and $w_2 = tv$ (since $t < v + 1$, C is not a constant weight code). Then C_Y is a 1-modular two-weight code and by Corollary 20, $\Gamma(C_Y)$ is a strongly regular graph with parameters

$$(v^2, t(v - 1), v - 2 + (t - 1)(t - 2), t(t - 1)).$$

It is easy to see that $\Gamma(C_Y)$ is isomorphic to $H(C(S_1, \dots, S_t))$ since $w(c - c') = w_1$ if and only if there exists a unique i such that $\pi_i(c) = \pi_i(c')$. $\square$

Remark 1 Not every modular two-weight code produced by Theorem 28 has Cayley graph $\Gamma(C)$ isomorphic to $H(C)$. Let p be a prime and C the code over $R = \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ generated by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & (p - 1) \end{bmatrix} \in R^{2 \times (p+1)}.$$

Then C satisfies the conditions of Theorem 28 and $H(C)$ is an $OA(p^2, p + 1)$ -type graph. On the other hand, as observed in [3, Proposition 6.2], C is a regular, projective two-weight code and $\Gamma(C)$ has the parameters of the strongly regular graph from an $OA(p^2, p)$.

Remark 2 Corollary 29 Part (i) can also be arrived at by combining [22, Proposition 3.4] and Theorem 17 of the preprint [16] as follows. Let Y and C_Y be as in Corollary 29. Then $\cup_{i=1}^t \bar{M}_i$ is a partial difference set by [22, Proposition 3.4], and so C_Y is a two-weight code by [16, Theorem 17].

Given $S_1, \dots, S_t \subset R^k$ satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 28, the set of modules $\mathcal{M} = \{M_{S_1}, \dots, M_{S_t}\}$ is an example of a *partial congruence partition* [1, Definition 9.3], for the group $(G, +) = (M_{S_i} + M_{S_j}, +)$, or equivalently a *translation net*. If R is a finite field then $\mathcal{M}$ is a *partial-spread*.

Jungnickel characterized all partial congruence partitions in $(\mathbb{Z}_q^k, +)$ [17, Theorem 2.1]. The argument given therein and outlined briefly below extends immediately for submodules of R_R^k and allows us to describe all such sets $\mathcal{M}$.

Let $\mathcal{M} = \{M_1, \dots, M_t\}$ for some $M_i < R_R^k$ such that for some $M < R_R^k$, $M = M_i \oplus M_j$ for each pair of distinct $i, j \in \{1, \dots, t\}$. Then the M_i are all isomorphic as right R -modules. Let $a \in M_i$ for some $i \geq 3$. Then $a = x + y$ for uniquely determined $x \in M_1, y \in M_2$. In particular, there is a bijection $\sigma : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ such that $M_i = \{x + \sigma(x) : x \in M_1\}$ and in fact σ must be a right R -module isomorphism.

We hence give an explicit construction of two-weight codes arising from Corollary 29, which is essentially unique.

Construction 30 Let $M_1, M_2 < R_R^k$ be isomorphic as right R -modules and have order v . Let $\text{Hom}_R(M_1, M_2)$ denote the additive group of right R -module homomorphisms from M_1 onto M_2 . Let Σ be an m -subset of the isomorphisms of $\text{Hom}_R(M_1, M_2)$ such that $\sigma - \tau$ is an isomorphism for any distinct $\sigma, \tau \in \Sigma$. Then

$$\mathcal{M} = \{M_1, M_2, \{x + \sigma(x) : x \in M_1\} : \sigma \in \Sigma\}$$

forms a set of submodules of R_R^k satisfying the conditions of Corollary 29. Let Y be the $k \times n$ matrix whose columns comprise the non-zero elements in the union of the submodules of $\mathcal{M}$ and let $C = C(Y)$. Then

- C has order v^2 , length $n = (m+2)(v-1)$ and exactly two non-zero normalized homogeneous weights $w_1 = (m+1)v$, $w_2 = (m+2)v$;
- $\Gamma(C)$ is an $OA(v, m+2)$ -type graph.

Construction 30 gives all possible matrices Y and two-weight codes $C(Y)$, formed as in the statement of Corollary 29. Note that orthogonal arrays with the above parameters were known to exist (see for example [23]), independently of these constructions.

Example 6 Let $k = 2\ell$, let R be commutative and let $A \cong R^\ell$. Let Σ be an m -subset of the invertible matrices of $M_\ell(R)$ such that the difference between any pair of elements of Σ represents an element of $\text{Aut}_R(A)$. Then the $k \times n$ matrix Y whose columns comprise the elements of

$$\{(x, 0), (0, x), (x, Lx) : x \in A \setminus \{0\}, L \in \Sigma\} \subset R^k$$

generates a code $C(Y)$ of order $|A|^2$, length $n = (m+2)(|A|-1)$ having two non-zero normalized weights $w_1 = (m+1)|A|$, $w_2 = (m+2)|A|$. It is easy to see that m is less than the size of any minimal left ideal of $M_\ell(R)$.

Example 7 Let $\ell = 2$, let $R = \mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ for primes $p < q$, and let $M = R^2$. For $i \in \{1, \dots, p-1\}$, define $\sigma_i : R^2 \rightarrow R^2, \sigma_i(x) = ix$. Then $\Sigma = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{p-1}\} \subset \text{Aut}(R^2)$, $m = |\Sigma| = p-1$ and $\sigma_i - \sigma_j \in \text{Aut}(R^2)$ for distinct i and j . Σ determines

a two-weight code C of order $p^4 q^4$ and length $(p+1)(p^2 q^2 - 1)$ with $w_1 = p^3 q^2$ and $w_2 = (p+1)p^2 q^2$. $\Gamma(C)$ is an $OA(p^2 q^2, p+1)$ -type graph. C is generated by

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ x \end{pmatrix}_{x \in M \setminus \{0\}} \middle| \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_{x \in M \setminus \{0\}} \middle| \begin{pmatrix} x \\ x \end{pmatrix}_{x \in M \setminus \{0\}} \middle| \cdots \middle| \begin{pmatrix} x \\ (p-1)x \end{pmatrix}_{x \in M \setminus \{0\}} \right].$$

Construction 31 Let $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$. Choose $U \subseteq R^\times$ of order m such that for every distinct $u_i, u_j \in U$, $u_i - u_j$ is a unit. Let

$$\mathcal{M} = \{(1, 0)aR, (0, 1)aR, (1, u_1)aR, \dots, (1, u_m)aR\}.$$

$\mathcal{M}$ forms a set of submodules of R_R^2 as special case of Construction 30 with $\ell = 1$ and $A_1 = A_2 = aR$. Let Y be the $2 \times n$ matrix whose columns comprise the non-zero elements in the union of these submodules and let $C = C(Y)$. Then

- C has order $|aR|^2$, length $n = (m+2)(|aR| - 1)$ and non-zero normalized weights $w_1 = (m+1)|aR|$, $w_2 = (m+2)|aR|$;
- $\Gamma(C)$ is an $OA(|aR|, m+2)$ -type graph;
- $m < \min\{|I| : I \triangleleft R_R\}$.

Example 8 Let $a = 1$ and $U = \{1\}$. The corresponding two-weight code C has length $3|R| - 3$, order $|R|^2$ and non-zero weights $w_1 = 2|R|$, $w_2 = 3|R|$. $\Gamma(C)$ is an $OA(|R|, 3)$ -type graph. G has the structure

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ r \end{pmatrix}_{r \in R \setminus \{0\}} \middle| \begin{pmatrix} r \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_{r \in R \setminus \{0\}} \middle| \begin{pmatrix} r \\ r \end{pmatrix}_{r \in R \setminus \{0\}} \right].$$

Example 9 Let $R = \mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ for primes $p < q$. Then R has the non-trivial proper ideals pR and qR . Let $U = \{1, \dots, p-1\}$. Then $U \subset R^\times$, $m = |U| = p-1$ and the difference between any pair of elements of U is a unit in R . Then U yields 3 two-weight codes and orthogonal arrays with parameters as indicated in the following table.

	n	w_1	w_2	$OA(aR , p+1)$
pR	$(p+1)(q-1)$	pq	$(p+1)q$	$OA(q, p+1)$
qR	$(p+1)(p-1)$	p^2	$(p+1)p$	$OA(p, p+1)$
R	$(p+1)(pq-1)$	$p^2 q$	$(p+1)pq$	$OA(pq, p+1)$

Example 10 Let S be a finite Frobenius ring, $R = M_2(S)$, $U_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $U_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Let $U = \{I, U_1, U_2\}$ and let $a = I$. Then a and U determine a two-weight code C of order $|S|^8$ and length $5(|S|^4 - 1)$ with $w_1 = 4|S|^4$, $w_2 = 5|S|^4$. $\Gamma(C)$ is an $OA(|S|^4, 5)$ -type graph. The structure of a generator matrix for C is given by

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ A \end{pmatrix}_{A \in R \setminus \{0\}} \middle| \begin{pmatrix} A \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_{A \in R \setminus \{0\}} \middle| \begin{pmatrix} A \\ A \end{pmatrix}_{A \in R \setminus \{0\}} \middle| \begin{pmatrix} A \\ U_1 A \end{pmatrix}_{A \in R \setminus \{0\}} \middle| \begin{pmatrix} A \\ U_2 A \end{pmatrix}_{A \in R \setminus \{0\}} \right].$$

Acknowledgement: The authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their comments and suggestions, which has led to a great improvement in the presentation of this paper.

References

1. Beth, T., Jungnickel, D., Lenz, H. (eds.): Design Theory, second edn. Discrete Mathematics and its Applications (Boca Raton). Cambridge University Press (1999)
2. Brouwer, A.E.: Tables of parameters of strongly regular graphs. <http://www.win.tue.nl/~aeb/graphs/srg/srgtab.html>
3. Byrne, E., Greferath, M., Honold, T.: Ring geometries, two-weight codes, and strongly regular graphs. Des. Codes Cryptogr. **48**(1), 1–16 (2008)
4. Byrne, E., Greferath, M., Kohnert, A., Skachek, V.: New bounds for codes over finite Frobenius rings. Des. Codes Cryptogr. **57**(2), 169–179 (2010)
5. Byrne, E., Kiermaier, M., Sneyd, A.: Properties of codes with two homogeneous weights. Finite Fields Appl. **18**(4), 711–727 (2012)
6. Byrne, E., Sneyd, A.: Constructions of two-weight codes over finite rings. Proceedings of the 19th International Symposium on Mathematical Theory of Networks and Systems (MTNS 2010) (2010)
7. Calderbank, R., Kantor, W.M.: The geometry of two-weight codes. Bull. London Math. Soc. **18**(2), 97–122 (1986)
8. Cameron, P.J., van Lint, J.H.: Graphs, codes and designs, *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*, vol. 43. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1980)
9. Colbourn, C.J., Dinitz, J.H. (eds.): Handbook of combinatorial designs, second edn. Discrete Mathematics and its Applications (Boca Raton). Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL (2007)
10. Delsarte, P.: Weights of linear codes and strongly regular normed spaces. Discrete Math. **3**, 47–64 (1972)
11. Godsil, C., Royle, G.: Algebraic graph theory, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, vol. 207. Springer-Verlag, New York (2001)
12. Greferath, M., Schmidt, S.E.: Finite-ring combinatorics and MacWilliams’ equivalence theorem. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **92**(1), 17–28 (2000)
13. Hedayat, A.S., Sloane, N.J.A., Stufken, J.: Orthogonal arrays. Springer Series in Statistics. Springer-Verlag, New York (1999)
14. Honold, T.: Characterization of finite Frobenius rings. Arch. Math. (Basel) **76**(6), 406–415 (2001)
15. Honold, T.: Further results on homogeneous two-weight codes. Proceedings of Optimal Codes and Related Topics, Bulgaria (2007)
16. Honold, T.: The geometry of homogeneous two-weight codes. arXiv:1401.7414 (2014)
17. Jungnickel, D.: Partial spreads over Z_q . Linear Algebra Appl. **114/115**, 95–102 (1989)
18. Konstantinesku, I., Khaïze, V.: A metric for codes over residue class rings of integers. Problemy Peredachi Informatsii **33**(3), 22–28 (1997)
19. Lam, T.Y.: Lectures on modules and rings, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, vol. 189. Springer-Verlag, New York (1999)
20. Lam, T.Y.: A first course in noncommutative rings, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, vol. 131, second edn. Springer-Verlag, New York (2001)
21. van Lint, J.H., Wilson, R.M.: A course in combinatorics, second edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2001)
22. Ma, S.: Partial difference sets. Discrete Mathematics **52**(1), 75–89 (1984)
23. MacNeish, H.F.: Euler squares. Ann. of Math. (2) **23**(3), 221–227 (1922)
24. Nechaev, A.A., Honold, T.: Fully weighted modules and representations of codes. Problemy Peredachi Informatsii **35**(3), 18–39 (1999)
25. Stinson, D.R.: Cryptography. CRC Press Series on Discrete Mathematics and its Applications. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL (1995)
26. Wood, J.: Relative one-weight codes. Designs, Codes and Cryptography **76** (2012)
27. Wood, J.A.: Duality for modules over finite rings and applications to coding theory. Amer. J. Math. **121**(3), 555–575 (1999)