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Synthesis, structural, photophysical and electrochemical studies of various d-metal complexes of btp [2,6-bis(1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)pyridine] ligands that give rise to the formation of metallo-supramolecular gels†
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2,6-Bis(1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (btp) is a terdentate binding motif that is synthesised modularly via the CuAAC reaction. Herein, we present the synthesis of ligands 1 and 2 and the investigation into the coordination chemistry, photophysical behaviour and electrochemistry of complexes of these with a number of d-metal ions (e.g. Ru(II), Ir(III), Ni(II) and Pt(II)). The X-ray crystal structures of ligand 1 and the complexes [Ru2Cl(btp)3]2+, [Ni2Cl2(btp)2] and [IrCl3(btp)] are also presented, but all the complexes displayed non-classical triazolyl C–H···Cl hydrogen bonding. All but one complex showed no metal-based luminescence at room temperature, while, for example the Pt(II) complexes displayed luminescence at 77 K.

The electrochemistry of the Ru(II) complexes was also studied and they were found to have higher oxidation potentials than analogous compounds. The redox behaviour of [RuL2]2+ complexes with both 1 and 2 was nearly identical, while [Ru1Cl4(DMSO)] was oxidised at significantly lower potential. We also show that the Ru(II) complex of 2, [Ru2]PF6Cl, gave rise to the formation a metallo-supramolecular gel; the morphology of which was studied using by scanning electron and helium ion microscopy.

Introduction

Transition metal ion complexes are widely studied, particularly with respect to their photophysical properties, electrochemical properties and potential biological applications. The d8 metal ions Ru(II) and Ir(III) and d6 metal ions Ni(II) and Pt(II) have all shown significant applications in biological systems, as cellular imaging agents, DNA binders and in anti-cancer treatment. But the complexes of these metals are usually kinetically inert and stable. Pyridine-centred terdentate binding motifs are a particularly privileged coordinating environment for such metal ions. For example, 2,2′:6′,2″-terpyridine (terpy) and its derivatives are ubiquitous in the literature, featuring in dye-sensitised solar cells, DNA and protein binding, metal-supramolecular coordination polymers, and in ion sensing. These ligand are, however, limited by the synthetic challenge involved in derivatising them, particularly with regard to introducing flanking ‘arms’ appended to the non-central heterocycles. Facile and modular synthesis of such ligands is of great interest and has—in recent years—led to increased interest in the 2,6-bis(1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (btp) moiety. Btp-based ligands can be obtained via the Cu(I)-catalysed alkyne–azide ‘click’ (CuAAC) reaction from a wide range of substrates. The CuAAC reaction is a regioselective, high yielding and tolerant reaction that gives exclusively 1,4-disubstituted 1,2,3-triazole products. The btp binding motif has been shown by Flood et al. to form stable coordination compounds and has been utilised in such diverse applications as tuning the optical properties of Ru(II)-polypyridyl complexes, in the formation of metallo-supramolecular architectures and polymers, binding halides, sensitisation of lanthanide luminescence in the solid state and recently by Yuan et al. in the formation of self-healing metallo-supramolecular gels. Hence, btp is a highly versatile building block that we have recently started working with. Herein we report the synthesis of btp ligands 1 and 2 (Scheme 1) and their coordination chemistry with Ru(II), Ir(III), Ni(II) and Pt(II), as well as various structural, photophysical and electrochemical analyses of these complexes. Ru(II) complexes with polypyridyl ligands have been studied in the past with particular interest being paid to their electrochemical and photophysical properties. Bidententate complexes such as [Rut terpy]2+ overcome isomerism and provide more linear structure than tris-bidentate complexes.

Zhang et al. showed that the btp motif has very similar binding properties to terpy, with similar bond angles and lengths determined by X-ray diffraction therefore making study of such systems valuable as an analogue for terpy. A number of

Scheme 1 Synthesis of ligands 1 and 2. (i) CuI, (PPh3)2PdCl2, THF/Et3N (1:1), trimethylsilylacetylene, 0 °C→rt; (ii) NaAs, r, 1h, DMF/H2O; (iii) CuSO4·5H2O, Na ascorbate, K2CO3, DMF/H2O, r, 18h.
Ru(II) complexes with btp have subsequently been reported, showing indeed such similar characteristics to the terpy analogues.\(^{12,19,20,25-27}\) However, to the best of our knowledge, there are no examples in the literature of Ir(III) complexes with btp-containing ligands. Giving that Ir(III) is isoelectronic to Ru(II) and its complexes have long been of interest, due to their emission properties, we decided to investigate their formation herein.\(^{29}\) The d\(^6\) octahedral Ni(II) complexes are isostructural to the octahedral d\(^8\) metal complexes. Only one example of the interaction between the btp motif and the square planar d\(^8\) Pt(II) ion has been reported, where it was used in the formation of metallopolymers.\(^{29}\) The chemistry of Pt(II)-terpy derived systems is, however, well studied, often as a result of their ability to exhibit metal--metal interactions.\(^{30,31}\) There have only been, to the best of our knowledge, two btp-based supramolecular gels previously reported in the literature. One responsive system exploited the conformational changes that btp undergoes upon binding a metal (vide infra) to interconvert between a helically folded polymer and a metallo-supramolecular cross-linked gel,\(^{32}\) while the other work described self-healing polymeric materials.\(^{33}\) Both of these systems involved polymeric poly-btp components, we herein present the first example of a metallo-supramolecular gel derived from discrete mono-btp components (i.e. ligand 2). In this article we give full account of our results.

### Results and discussion

#### Synthesis and characterisation

Ligands 1 and 2 were synthesised in a one-pot deprotection/‘click’ reaction from the relevant bromide and 2,6-bis((trimethylsilyl)(ethyl)enyl)-pyridine (3) where the azide was produced with subsequent alkyne deprotection in situ, following a paradigm used by Fletcher et al.\(^{33,34}\) as shown in Scheme 1. Upon washing the reaction mixture with EDTA/NH\(_4\)OH solution, the ligands were obtained in high purity (confirmed by elemental analysis), which eliminated the need of any further purification (such as the use of chromatography) and moderate yields of 53% and 63%, respectively.

Due to the C\(_2\)-symmetry in both 1 and 2, only a few resonances were observed in the \(^1\)H-NMR spectra. The spectrum of 1 was recorded in both DMSO-\(d_6\) and CDCl\(_3\) solutions (both shown in ESI). The spectrum shown in Fig. 1(a) (400 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) displayed the expected number of resonances for 1. The triazolyl peak appeared as a well resolved singlet at 8.72 ppm (marked \(t\) in Fig. 1), a multiplet at 7.91--8.07 ppm was made up from the overlap of the pyridyl signals with one of the phenyl signals. The other resonance from the phenyl ring was observed at 7.45 ppm (marked \(x\) and two singlets (\(y\) and \(z\)) at 5.81 and 3.84 ppm arose from the methylene and methyl ester moieties, respectively.

The carboxylic acid ligand 2 was found to have poor solubility in organic solvents. The \(^1\)H-NMR spectrum (600 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) was once again very simple (ESI Fig. S3). The resonance at 5.80 ppm arose from the methylene linker, a doublet at 7.45 ppm related to four of the eight phenyl CH protons, the multiplet from 7.88--8.06 ppm resulted from the overlap of the remaining phenyl signal and the two pyridyl resonances, the singlet at 8.74 ppm was related to the triazolyl protons. Having made these ligands we next formed the various \(d\)--metal ion complexes of 1 and 2.

![](image)

**Fig. 1** Comparison of the chemical shifts of the proton resonances in \(^1\)H NMR spectra of (a) Ligand 1 (400 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)); (b) [RuCl\(_2\)(DMSO)] (600 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)); (c) [RuL\(_2\)](PF\(_6\)) (600 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)); (d) [IrCl\(_2\)] (400 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)); (e) [PtCl\(_4\)](PF\(_6\)). Peaks are labelled as follows: \(t\) (triazolyl CH), \(u\) (3- and 5-pyridyl CH), \(v\) (4-pyridyl CH), \(w\) (phenyl CH), \(x\) (CH\(_3\)), \(y\) (CH\(_2\)), \(z\) (–OCH\(_3\)).

The monoleptic Ru(II) complex of 1 was prepared upon treating ligand 1 with 1 molar equivalent of RuCl\(_3\)(DMSO)\(_4\) in CHCl\(_3\) under reflux conditions and [RuCl\(_2\)(DMSO)] was collected upon filtration as a bright red solid in good yield (86%). The \(^1\)H-NMR spectrum (600 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\); Fig. 1(b) and shown in full in ESI, Fig. S3) showed resonances shifted relative to the ligand, as well as a new resonance appearing at 3.49 ppm, arising from the bound DMSO molecule in the structure. MALDI HRMS confirmed this, showing the presence of [M--(DMSO)]\(^+\) species corresponding to \(m/z\) 681.0213 with an isotopic distribution pattern matching the calculated one (cf. ESI, Fig. S12).

The dileptic complex [Ru-L\(_2\)](PF\(_6\))\(_2\) was prepared upon heating 2 equivalents of ligand 1 with 1 equivalent of RuCl\(_3\)-\(x\)H\(_2\)O under microwave irradiation to 120 °C for 40 minutes, and isolated by counterion exchange to the PF\(_6\) salt. The \(^1\)H-NMR spectrum (600 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) is shown in Fig. 1(c). The doublet and triplet associated with pyridyl protons (\(u\) and \(v\)) are clearly resolved in this spectrum, at 7.71 and 7.64 ppm, respectively, not overlapping with any other resonances. The [Ru\(_2\)](PF\(_6\))\(_2\) complex was prepared in an identical manner and so was the Ni(II) complex [Ni-L\(_2\)](PF\(_6\))\(_2\), using NiCl\(_2\)-\(x\)H\(_2\)O as the metal source. The \(^1\)H(II) ion is paramagnetic, hence the signals in the \(^1\)H-NMR (600 MHz, CD\(_3\)CN) spectrum were broadened and shifted. One signal was shifted as far downfield as 56.46 ppm. All three of these complexes were observed in MALDI HRMS and their recorded isotopic distribution patterns matched calculated ones (see ESI).

The formation of the monoleptic complex [IrCl\(_2\)] was achieved using conditions modified from those previously reported by Collin et al. for analogous terpy ligands (heating under microwave irradiation to 160 °C for 20 minutes).\(^{35}\) This complex showed very poor solubility in a range of solvents. However, the \(^1\)H-NMR spectrum (400 MHz, DMSO-\(d_6\)) was successfully recorded in a dilute solution (Fig. 1(d)). This complex was found not to be stable and was shown to decompose over a period two weeks. It was also shown to dissociate rapidly in DMSO solution. Though not stable, it is the first example of an Ir(III)-btp complex to be reported to date.
Monoleptic Pt(II) complexes of both 1 and 2 were successfully obtained by reaction of the relevant ligand with cis-
- [PtCl₂(DMSO)]₂ (¹H-NMR spectra and HRMS of both Pt(II) complexes are shown in ESI). The ¹H-NMR spectrum of
- [PtICl]Cl (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) is shown in Fig. 1(e). Unlike
- the spectra of the other complexes, there were no overlapping
- resonances; the spectra of the other complexes (marked v
- in Fig. 1) being shifted further downfield than the other pyridyl
- protons (μ in Fig. 1). The [PtICl]Cl complex was found to have
- limited solubility in most common solvents and it was shown to
- dissociate in DMSO solution over short time.

X-ray crystal structure analysis

A number of crystal structures were obtained for the complexes
formed above, the general crystallographic data and structure
refinements being provided in Table 1. Single crystals of 1 were
grown by slow evaporation of a solution in CHCl₃, yielding
yellow plate-like crystals, from which the solid-state X-ray
structure was determined at 108 K. The ligand crystallised in the

Table 1 Selected crystallographic data and structure refinements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>[Ru₂]₂PF₆Cl(CH₂CN)(H₂O)₁₂(EO)₀.₅0</th>
<th>[Ni₁]₂PF₆Cl(H₂O)(CH₂CN)</th>
<th>[IrI₂]Cl₂(H₂O)₁₂(C₂H₄O₄)₂₂₅</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formula</td>
<td>C₅H₆N₂O₂</td>
<td>C₄₆S₅H₄₅₀ClF₆N₂O₁₀.₂₅PF₆</td>
<td>C₃₅H₄₅ClF₆Cl₂N₁₀O₆P</td>
<td>C₂₅S₅H₂Cl₂IrN₁₀O₄.₇₅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCDC code</td>
<td>956219</td>
<td>956220</td>
<td>956222</td>
<td>956221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight</td>
<td>509.52</td>
<td>1398.14</td>
<td>1317.25</td>
<td>808.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P−1</td>
<td>P−1</td>
<td>P−1</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a (Å)</td>
<td>6.3501(13)</td>
<td>12.235(2)</td>
<td>14.4083(10)</td>
<td>15.4149(18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (Å)</td>
<td>12.965(3)</td>
<td>17.491(4)</td>
<td>14.8238(11)</td>
<td>14.1890(19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c (Å)</td>
<td>14.859(3)</td>
<td>17.627(4)</td>
<td>16.2001(12)</td>
<td>15.627(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α (°)</td>
<td>92.45(3)</td>
<td>105.76(3)</td>
<td>108.541(3)</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β (°)</td>
<td>101.17(3)</td>
<td>105.52(3)</td>
<td>91.5063(3)</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ (°)</td>
<td>100.30(3)</td>
<td>93.49(3)</td>
<td>115.862(3)</td>
<td>105.781(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V (Å³)</td>
<td>1176.9(4)</td>
<td>3461.4(12)</td>
<td>2896.2(4)</td>
<td>3287.2(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F(000)</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>1421</td>
<td>1356</td>
<td>1620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D, (Mg·m⁻³)</td>
<td>1.438</td>
<td>1.333</td>
<td>1.510</td>
<td>1.673</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ (mm⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.367</td>
<td>1.952</td>
<td>10.497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOF on F²</td>
<td>1.214</td>
<td>1.103</td>
<td>1.039</td>
<td>1.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R₁ [I≥2σ(I)]</td>
<td>0.0639</td>
<td>0.0705</td>
<td>0.0497</td>
<td>0.0470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wR₂ [I≥2σ(I)]</td>
<td>0.1262</td>
<td>0.2099</td>
<td>0.1257</td>
<td>0.1226</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Yellow crystals of complex [Ru-2]3(PF6)Cl were grown by diffusion of diethyl ether into CH3CN. The [Ru-2]3(PF6)Cl crystallised in the triclinic space group P–1. When compared with the structure of ligand 1, the triazole rings have rotated, with the N(3), N(5), N(43) and N(45) atoms coordinating the Ru(II) ion (see Fig. 3). The Ru(II) adopts an N6 coordination sphere and is distorted significantly from an octahedral geometry. The angle formed by the pyridyl nitrogen atoms and the Ru(II) centre is only slightly distorted at 176.5°, while the intraligand triazolyl N–Ru–N angles are all approximately 157°; deviating significantly from the ideal of 180°. The distances between the pyridyl nitrogen atoms and the Ru(II) centre are slightly shorter than those between the triazolyl nitrogen atoms and the metal.

Selected bond lengths and angles between the coordinating nitrogen atoms and the Ru(II) centre are shown in Table 2. The mean planes of the two coordinating ligands’ btp motifs were nearly orthogonal, at an angle of 87.9°. This geometry is broadly in agreement with bond angles and lengths reported for similar btp structures previously reported,12,25 and also much like the geometry of the well-studied [Ru(terpy)2](PF6)2.36 Similarly to the terpy analogue, the pyridyl N–Ru bond lengths are shorter than the triazolyl N–Ru distances. However, the difference between these two measurements is less significant than for terpy with variations of less than 0.06 Å, as opposed to nearly 0.10 Å. The angles are also more distorted from octahedral than the terpy analogue by approximately 2° in each case and the

Table 2 Selected bond lengths [Å], angles [°] and distortion parameters [°] for Ru(II), Ni(II) and Ir(III) complexes and their terpy analogues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>[Ru-2]3PF6Cl</th>
<th><a href="PF6">Ru(terpy)2</a>2</th>
<th>[Ni-1]PF6Cl</th>
<th>[Ni(terpy)2][ClO4]2·H2O</th>
<th>[Ir-IrCl]</th>
<th>[Ir(terpy)Cl]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M(1)-N(4)</td>
<td>2.02(4)</td>
<td>1.974(7)</td>
<td>2.046(2)</td>
<td>2.024(8)</td>
<td>1.996(5)</td>
<td>1.927(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M(1)-N(44)</td>
<td>2.02(4)</td>
<td>1.981(7)</td>
<td>2.036(2)</td>
<td>1.984(9)</td>
<td>2.057(5)</td>
<td>2.044(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M(1)-N(3)</td>
<td>2.05(1)</td>
<td>2.065(7)</td>
<td>2.088(2)</td>
<td>2.146(11)</td>
<td>2.022(5)</td>
<td>2.049(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M(1)-N(43)</td>
<td>2.05(1)</td>
<td>2.076(6)</td>
<td>2.122(2)</td>
<td>2.116(10)</td>
<td>2.354(18)</td>
<td>2.370(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M(1)-N(5)</td>
<td>2.057(4)</td>
<td>2.065(6)</td>
<td>2.078(2)</td>
<td>2.113(11)</td>
<td>2.3559(17)</td>
<td>2.3556(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M(1)-N(45)</td>
<td>2.078(4)</td>
<td>2.067(6)</td>
<td>2.132(2)</td>
<td>2.108(10)</td>
<td>2.3585(17)</td>
<td>2.3466(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(4)-M(1)-N(44)</td>
<td>176.50(14)</td>
<td>178.8(3)</td>
<td>175.43(8)</td>
<td>177.4(6)</td>
<td>175.83(16)</td>
<td>177.34(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(4)-M(1)-N(5)</td>
<td>156.79(15)</td>
<td>158.4(3)</td>
<td>154.31(8)</td>
<td>156.1(4)</td>
<td>159.3(2)</td>
<td>161.3(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(4)-M(1)-N(45)</td>
<td>156.60(15)</td>
<td>159.1(2)</td>
<td>154.24(8)</td>
<td>155.3(4)</td>
<td>179.27(6)</td>
<td>179.51(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Σ°</td>
<td>102.5</td>
<td>93.3</td>
<td>121.4</td>
<td>107.0</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td>44.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Data from Lashgari et al.36,37 b Data from Rae et al.37 c Data from Sheldrick and co-workers.38 d Distortion parameter Σ=∑[90°−θ] for cis-N–M–N angles.
Table 3 Hydrogen bonds for [Ru2]PF6Cl [Å and °].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D–H⋯A</th>
<th>d(D–H)</th>
<th>d(H⋯A)</th>
<th>d(D⋯A)</th>
<th>θ(DHA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O(29)–H(29X)⋯O(40)</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>179.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(111)–H(111Y)⋯O(100)</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>176.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(41)–H(41Y)⋯O(33)</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>179.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(4)–H(4X)⋯Cl(1)</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>176.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(44)–H(44X)⋯O(90)</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>176.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(44)–H(44X)⋯O(90)</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>176.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(100)–H(100Y)⋯O(80)</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>179.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(80)–H(80X)⋯Cl(1)</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>179.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C(17)–H(17)⋯Cl(1)</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>5.41</td>
<td>134.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Distortion parameter, Σ, for this structure (equal to the sum of the deviations of each cis-N–Ru–N angle from the ideal of 90°) is 102.5°, which is more distorted from the ideal than the terpy structure, for which Σ is 93.3°, cf. Table 2.

The ‘arms’ of each ligand were arranged differently in this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

The ‘arms’ of each ligand were arranged differently as shown in Fig. 4, with all of the terminal carboxylic acid groups being involved in hydrogen bonding in the solid state. One carboxylic acid group CO(1)O(1)/H hydrogen bonds to a water molecule HO(500)/H, which in turn hydrogen bonds to an ethanol molecule (O(200)). The two ligands show intermolecular hydrogen bonding between CO(4)/O(3)/H and CO(42)/O(41)/H with a donor–acceptor distance O(41)⋯O(3) measuring 2.7666(6) Å. O(4) also interacts with the Cl counterion (O(4)–H(4X)⋯Cl(1) = 2.9804(4) Å, 176.8°). CO(43)/O(44)/H hydrogen bonds to the hydroxyl group (O(90)) of one disordered ethanol molecule, which also shows interactions with the Cl ion, leading to a repeatable coordination network. Triazolyl C(17)/H displays an interaction with Cl(1) which will be discussed in more detail below. Selected hydrogen bonding distances and angles are shown in Table 3.

Fig. 5 Capped stick model of the packing structure of [Ni2]PF6Cl.

A crystal structure of [Ru2]PF6Cl in the triclinic space group P–1 was also obtained. The data was, however, of insufficient quality to fully resolve, but clear connectivity could be ascertained, and picture of this structure is shown in the ESI (Fig. S22). The coordination sphere about the Ru(II) ion clearly had similar pseudo-octahedral geometry to that discussed above. However, the ‘arms’ were uninfluenced by any intermolecular interactions, with two distinct conformations of the complex being present in the unit cell.

Lilac crystals of the Ni(II) complex of ligand 1 were obtained upon ether diffusion into a CH2CN solution. The [Ni1]PF6Cl complex also crystallised in the triclinic space group P–1 with one molecule in the asymmetric unit. The structure has a distorted octahedral geometry around the metal centre, with cis-N–Ni–N bond angles ranging from 77.15(8)°–106.93(8)° and trans-N–Ni–N angles ranging from 154.24(8)°–175.43(8)°. This structure is more distorted from octahedral geometry than the [Ru2]PF6Cl structure, with a distortion parameter of 121.4°. The comparison of this structure to a terpy analogue (Table 2), showed that the geometry is significantly more distorted from octahedral, the average pyridyl N–Ni bond lengths are slightly longer and the average triazolyl N–Ni bonds are slightly shorter (2.105 Å compared to 2.121 Å for terpy).37 The distortion parameter of the terpy structure is also lower, with a value of 107.0°. The packing of the [Ni1]PF6Cl complex is shown in Fig. 5. In contrast to the carboxylic acid ‘arms’ in the structure of [Ru2]PF6Cl above, the methyl ester ligand ‘arms’ of ligand 1 do not have any hydrogen bonding interactions with each other. Both hydrogen atoms of the interstitial water molecule display hydrogen bonding interactions to the chloride ions in the structure (O(100)–H⋯Cl(1) = 3.193(2) Å and 3.221(3) Å, θ(O(100)–H⋯Cl(1)) = 169.3 and 169.6°), while the chloride also interacts with one of triazolyl protons C(10)/H (vide infra). There is also a solvent⋯n interaction between the water molecule and N(7) of one of the triazole rings. The lone pair on O(100) of the water molecule points towards the ring. This interaction has an O⋯centroid distance of 3.297 Å. Selected bond lengths and angles are provided in ESI.

Fig. 6 X-ray crystal structure of [Ir1Cl1]. Thermal ellipsoids at 50% probability. Selected bond lengths and angles are shown in Table 2.

Small single crystals of the [Ir1Cl1] complex were grown by vapour diffusion of diethyl ether solution into a DMF solution. [Ir1Cl1] crystallised in the monoclinic space group P21/c with one molecule in the asymmetric unit as shown in Fig. 6. The structure contains one quarter occupancy ethylene glycol and one quarter occupancy water molecule. One of the ester groups was disordered over two sites with relative occupancies of 50% for each site disorder, only one position is shown in Fig. 6 and full refinement details are provided in the ESI. The Ir(III) adopts a distorted octahedral geometry, with an N3Cl1 coordination sphere. The intraligand triazolyl trans-N–Ir–N angle, much like the
structures already discussed, is distorted from purely octahedral geometry by 159.3(2)°. The pyridyl N–Ir distance (1.996(5) Å) was shorter than the triazolyl N–Ir distances (2.057(5) and 2.022(5) Å). The bond lengths and angles in the complexes are comparable to those in an analogous terpy structure as shown in Table 2. Comparison of the distortion parameters for both structures shows that [Ir(1Cl)] is more distorted (Σ = 52.1°) from pure octahedral than the terpy analogue (Σ = 44.4°). No crystals of the Pt(II) complexes suitable for X-ray crystallography were obtained; this was due mostly to the poor solubility of these complexes in most common solvents.

The structures of the three complexes [Ru2I2]PF6Cl, [Ni2L2]PF6Cl and [Ir2I2] all displayed non-classical hydrogen bonding interactions between the acidic triazole CH and chloride ions present in the structures, Table 4. As well as binding cations, such as d-block metals, it has been shown that 1,2,3-triazole ligands are capable of recognising anions. Anion receptors have been reported which take advantage of these interactions, either to simultaneously bind both metal ions and halide from salts such as KCI,41 encapsulate chloride ions in the cavity of a macrocycle,42 or template the formation of interlocked structures.43 Despite their potential for interesting interactions, however, triazole C–H⋯Cl bonds have not been widely investigated, but studies published with structural data consistently report donor–acceptor distances of the order of 3.5 Å.44-45 The bond lengths and angles for the C–H⋯Cl bonds in our structures (as shown in Table 4) are very similar to each other, with H⋯Cl distances of 2.6–2.8 Å and with donor–acceptor distances being between 3.3–3.6 Å. The Cl being located about 134° out of the plane of the C–H bond in all cases. Having structurally characterised the aforementioned complexes, we next investigated the supramolecular properties of [Ru2I2]3+. 

**Table 4** Non-classical hydrogen bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] for all three complexes. (D=donor, A=acceptor)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>D-H⋯A</th>
<th>d(D-H)</th>
<th>d(H⋯A)</th>
<th>d(D⋯A)</th>
<th>θ(DHA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ru(II)</td>
<td>C(17)-H(17)⋯Cl(1)</td>
<td>0.950</td>
<td>2.857</td>
<td>3.589(5)</td>
<td>134.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni(II)</td>
<td>C(10)-H(10A)⋯Cl(1)</td>
<td>0.950</td>
<td>2.7042</td>
<td>3.434(3)</td>
<td>134.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir(III)</td>
<td>C(18)-H(18A)⋯Cl(2)</td>
<td>0.950</td>
<td>2.623</td>
<td>3.358(8)</td>
<td>134.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Formation of supramolecular metallogels**

The structural analysis of [Ru2I2]PF6Cl discussed above (Fig. 4) suggests the potential of this compound for the formation of supramolecular metallogels due to the presence of polymer chains within its crystal structure through hydrogen bond interactions between the carboxylic groups and chloride anions. There currently exists a great interest within the field of supramolecular and materials chemistry in the search for such new materials with various functional properties that are different from their monomeric components.46 We have recently shown the use of lanthanide ions for the formation of luminescent organic metallogels (or supramolecular gels) and self-assembly Langmuir-Blodget films.47 The former we have recently shown can be used as a matrix for the growth of inorganic salt nanowires (e.g. NaCl, KCl and KI)48 while others have used this idea to create optically healable supramolecular polymers49 or potential luminescent reporters for micro-environmental changes.50

![Fig. 7 HIM images of Ru(II) gel (a, b) showing its fibrous microstructure. The inset in (a) shows the formation of the metallogel in ethanol.](image)

Here the supramolecular metallogel of [Ru2I2]PF6Cl was formed in several steps by preparing an ethanol solution of the dichloride complex followed by addition of a saturated aqueous solution of NH4PF6 with subsequent formation of a precipitate (the bis-(PF6) complex). The supranatant was then decanted off and left to stand overnight resulting in the formation of viscous yellow soft material, which was identified as gel by simple “inversion test”.51 The gel exhibited luminescence similar to that of the ligand (vide infra). 1H-NMR (400MHz, DMSO-d6) and HRMS confirmed that the gel contained [Ru2I2]28 (Fig. S7 and S15 in ESI).

The fibrous nature of the gels was revealed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (see ESI) and helium ion (HIM) microscopy (Fig. 7). Both analyses showed similar structure of the material but HIM allowed us to obtain higher quality data compared to SEM. We believe that fibres consists of Ru(II) complexes connected together through hydrogen bonding...
forming in a similar manner to the network found by structural analysis (Fig. 4) involving carboxylic groups, chloride anions and solvent molecules. The average width of the fibres was found to be in a range of 100±25 nm; where the secondary order arrangement of the fibres can be found under higher magnification with a width of 20±5 nm (Fig. 7(b)). Structural analysis of any of the other d-metal complexes investigated in this work did not suggest the formation of supramolecular metallogels; and this was indeed verified as the attempts to obtain similar materials for them was on all occasion, not successful. However, simple structural modification of these ligands by for instance, incorporating large polymer chains, or other functional groups either at the central pyridyl unit or on the arms themselves, or be simply employing coordination with other metal ions, such as the f-metal ions, or the use of mixed transition and lanthanide metal ions, would open up an avenue for the creation of new materials with various functional properties, such as luminescent and magnetic properties, and we are currently investigating these avenues of research in a greater detail using such structural analogues of 1 and 2.

Photophysical investigations

Having structurally characterised the Ru(II) and Ir(III) complexes of 1 and 2, we next investigated their various physical properties, and that of the ligands; beginning by investigating their photophysical properties since both Ru(II) and Ir(III) complexes, in particular, are often found to possess desirable luminescence. The same properties for the [Ru-1Cl2(DMSO)], [Pt-1Cl]Cl and [Pt-2Cl]Cl complexes (for which X-ray crystal structures was not obtained), were also investigated in a similar manner. All the photophysical properties were investigated in spectroscopic grade CH3CN solution. The UV-Vis absorbance spectrum of ligand 1 at room temperature displayed two bands with maxima at 235 nm (ε 45031 L mol−1 cm−1) and 300 nm (ε 8705.5 L mol−1 cm−1) upon excitation of this ligand, a broad emission band centred at 335 nm was observed. This band exhibited a lifetime of ~2.5 ns. Ligand 2 had almost identical spectroscopic behaviour (see ESI).

The absorbance spectrum of [Ru-1J](PF6)2 in CH3CN showed bands similar to those of the ligand, but blue-shifted, only slightly in the case of the band centred at 232 nm, but by ~15 nm for the band at 286 nm, the band associated with the binding triazoles. The spectrum also exhibits a new MLCT band at 395 nm.

Bis-terdentate Ru(II) complexes (including [Ru(terpy)]Cl2+) are often practically non-luminescent, due to thermal population from their MLCT excited states to a close-lying non-emitting metal centred (MC) excited states. It has been reported that modifying the ligand structures can, however, raise the energy of the MC state and hence, increase the luminescence arising from such structurally modified complex. Perfect octahedral geometry about the metal ion is thought to increase the MC energy by leading to a strong ligand field. However, as it has been shown in the structural studies above that the coordination environment in these complexes is distorted significantly from pure octahedral geometry (Σ = 102.5°), we did not necessarily expect [Ru-1J](PF6)2 to be highly luminescent in solution. Indeed, as has been the case with most btp-based ligands as well as simple terpy-based ligands, [Ru-1J](PF6)2 showed no long-lived luminescence at room temperature, as only a ligand-centred fluorescence band at 335 nm (τ = 2.5 ns) similar to that of the ligand was observed. Moreover, degassing the solution led to only a slight enhancement of luminescence intensity; confirming the above. The excitation spectrum observed was also similar to that of the ligand. In light of this, luminescence emission spectra of [Ru-1J](PF6)2 were also recorded at 77 K, but unfortunately, no emission was observed. Similarly, for the spectroscopic properties of [Ru-2J](PF6)2 were found to follow the almost identical path; [Ru-1J](PF6)2 only giving rise to very weak luminesce (see ESI). A sample of the metallogel derived from this species was immobilised on a quartz slide and the solid state luminescence measured at room temperature, and as had been seen for both the [Ru-1J](PF6)2 and [Ru-2J](PF6)2 complexes, only ligand-centred emission (centred at 310 nm) was observed for the metallogel (see ESI Fig. S32).

Monoletic complex [Ru-1Cl2(DMSO)] had quite different properties to that seen above. The absorbance bands recorded for [Ru-1Cl2(DMSO)] were centred at 230, 302, 354 and 450 nm and the [Ru-1Cl2(DMSO)] displayed an emission centred at 335 nm as well as a very broad but significantly less intense luminescence at with its maximum at 475 nm, upon excitation at high energy.

**Fig. 8** Emission spectra of (a) [Ru-1Cl2(DMSO)], (b) [Ir-1Cl] and (c) [Pt-1Cl]Cl at room temperature (blue) and 77 K (red). In (a) and (c), the spectrum is cut where a different filter had to be applied. In (c) the green trace is the emission spectrum of glass at 77 K with concentration of ~10−6 M.
At 77 K, the emission was however, found to be centred at 285 nm with a broad band of comparable intensity being also observed at longer wavelengths; being centred at 475 nm.

The absorbance profile of [Ir-ICl₃] displayed a band at 232 nm with a shoulder at 260 nm. A band centred at 293 nm tailed off until 480 nm. At room temperature, there was a luminescence band observed at 330 nm, while at 77 K, a broad luminescence centred at 450 nm became much more apparent; the fluorescence band at 335 nm being seen as a shoulder in the spectrum.

Planar Pt(II) complexes have been reported to have complicated emission behaviour, particularly at low temperature, as a result of the potential for stacking in solution, leading to Pt(II)–Pt(II) interactions, as well as interactions between the aromatic ligands, the emission spectra for complexes such as [Ru(terpy)|Cl]₃ being known to vary with concentration as well. In the case of [Pt-ICl]-Cl a broad absorbance profile was observed in CH₂CN, with a sharp maximum at 222 nm and tailing to 400 nm. At room temperature, only the ligand centred emission was observed for [Pt-ICl]-Cl, being centred at 333 nm. However, when recorded in CH₂CN glasses (concentration of ~10⁻⁵ M) at 77 K, two broad emission bands were observed at 442 nm and 578 nm, for [Pt-ICl]-Cl. Bands of similar energies have been assigned to π-π* emission and interligand π-π interactions respectively for the analogous terpy complex. More dilute glasses (concentration of ~10⁻⁶ M) show a ligand centred emission band at 335 nm as well as a weakly structured emission around 450 nm. This emission spectrum closely resembles the spectra for the other monoene complexes discussed above. Complex [Pt-2Cl]-Cl displayed similar photophysical behaviour with concentrated glasses displaying emission at 460 and 572 nm and at lower concentrations, two overlapping bands at 340 and 355 nm, the details being given in the ESI.

Electrochemistry

In addition to photophysical properties, the electrochemical properties of transition metal complexes such as those above, are regularly studied. The electrochemistry of the Ru(II) complexes was investigated by cyclic voltammetry in CH₂CN solution. Both the [Ru-1]-[PF₆]₂ and [Ru-2]-[PF₆]₂ showed fully reversible metal-centred oxidation of Ru(II) to Ru(III) at a potential of 1.42 V vs SCE (Saturated calomel electrode). Variation of the ligand ‘arms’ from 4-(methylcarboxy)benzyl to 4-(carboxy)benzyl had almost no impact on the donor properties of the chelating ligand, which is in agreement with the remote location of these functional groups and their similar electronic impact. The oxidation potentials are higher than those reported for terpy functional groups and their similar electronic impact. The oxidation spectrum for [Ru(bpy)]-Cl·2PF₆ showed a broad peak at 1.17 V vs SCE, which is in agreement with the weaker orbital alignment between bpy and the octahedral ruthenium centre as compared to terpy-type systems.

As expected, neutral [Ru-ICl]-[PF₆]₂ was much easier oxidised (E₁/₂ = +0.75) than the cationic complexes. The CH₂CN oxidation is slightly higher than that reported for the and CH₂NO₂ were identical, (shown in ESIT) suggesting that potential solvent exchange reactions due to the coordinating nature of CH₂CN are irrelevant. [Ir-ICl₃] showed no oxidation up to solvent discharge potential.

Conclusions

In this article we have developed novel terdentate btp ligands 1 and 2, by using click-chemistry. These were characterised using various spectroscopic techniques, and their behaviour with d-block metal ions explored. The X-ray crystal structures of ligand 1 and three of the resulting complexes, allowed investigation into coordination geometry of these ligands with Ru(II), Ni(II) and Ir(III). The coordination sphere of these structures closely resembled the distorted octahedral geometry of analogous terpy complexes. The triazole rings played an important role in these structures, with the nitrogen atoms acting as hydrogen bond acceptors and the CH acting as a hydrogen bond donor.

The three complexes showed non-classical triazolyl C–H···Cl⁻ hydrogen bonding interactions with C–H···Cl⁻ distances of 3.364(6)–3.589(5) Å and bond angles of 134°. The photophysical behaviour of these complexes in solution was also studied; and only the ligand-centred fluorescence was observed at room temperature for all complexes except [Ru-ICl]-[PF₆]₂. Low temperature studies showed no emission for the dleptic complex, and a broad band centred at ~450 nm for each of the monoene complexes [Ru-1Cl]-[PF₆]₂, [Ir-ICl₃] and [Pt-ICl]-Cl. Concentrated glasses of [Pt-1Cl]-Cl and [Pt-2Cl]-Cl showed new emission bands consistent with stacking behaviour.

Electrochemical measurements of Ru(II) complexes showed that these compounds had higher oxidation potentials than similar and analogous compounds in the literature.

The X-ray crystal structure of complex [Ru-2]-Cl²⁺ showed an extensive hydrogen-bonding network involving the carboxylic acid ‘arms’ of the ligand, chloride anions and solvent molecules. This complex was shown to form gels in EtOH solution and microscopy images (using both scanning electron microscopy and helium ion microscopy) of these gels showed a fibrous structure with fibre widths in the range of 100±25 nm. When immobilised on a quartz slide, this gel exhibited ligand-centred emission much like that seen for the complex in solution. Such systems suggest a vast potential for application as materials with various functions including surface healing or oxidation–reduction response, and we are investigating these at present.
**Experimental**

**General methods and materials**

All chemicals were purchased from commercial sources and unless specified used without further purification. Melting points were determined using an Electrotherm IA9000 digital melting point apparatus. Elemental analysis was carried out at the Microanalytical Laboratory, School of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, University College Dublin. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer Spectrum One FT-IR Spectrometer fitted with a universal ATR sampling accessory. NMR spectra were recorded using a Bruker DPX-400 Avance spectrometer or Agilent DD2/LH spectrometer, operating at 400.13 MHz for $^1$H-NMR, 100.6 MHz for $^{13}$C-NMR, or a Bruker AV-600 spectrometer, operating at 600.1 MHz for $^1$H-NMR and 150.2 MHz for $^{13}$C-NMR. All spectra were recorded using commercially-available deuterated solvents, and were referenced to solvent residual proton signals. Electrospray mass spectra were measured on a Micromass LCT spectrometer calibrated using a leucine enkephaline standard. MALDI Q-Tof mass spectra were carried out on a MALDI Q-Tof Premier (Waters Corporation, Micromass MS technologies, Manchester, UK) and high-resolution mass spectrum was performed using Glu-Fib as an internal reference (peak at $m/z$ 1570.677). All microwave reactions were carried out in 2–5 mL or 10–20 mL Biotage Microwave Vials in a Biotage Initiator Eight EXP microwave reactor.

**X-ray Crystallography**

X-ray data (Table 1) were collected on either a Rigaku Saturn 724 CCD Diffractometer (for 1 and [Ru$_2$]PF$_6$Cl) using graphite-monochromated Mo-K$_\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) or a Bruker Apex2 Duo (for [Ni$_2$]PF$_6$Cl and [Ir$_2$Cl$_4$I$_2$]) using a high intensity Cu-K$_\alpha$ radiation source ($\lambda = 1.54178$ Å). The data sets from the Rigaku Saturn-724 diffractometer were collected using Crystalclear-SM 1.4.0 software. Data integration, reduction and correction for absorption and polarization effects were all performed using the Crystalclear-SM 1.4.0 software. Space group determination, was obtained using Crystalstructure ver.3.8 software. The datasets collected on the Bruker Apex2 Duo were processed using Bruker APEXv2011.8-0 software. All structures were solved by direct methods (SHELXS-97) and refined against all $F^2$ data (SHELXL-97) using shelxl.$^{55,56}$ All H-atoms, except for O–H protons, were positioned geometrically and refined using a riding model with $d$(CH$_{max}$) = 0.95 Å, $U_{iso} = 1.2U_{eq}$ (C) for aromatic, $d$(CH) = 0.99 Å, $U_{iso} = 1.2U_{eq}$ (C) for CH$_2$, and 0.98 Å, $U_{iso} = 1.2U_{eq}$ (C) for CH$_3$. O–H protons were found from the difference map and fixed to the attached atoms with $U_{iso} = 1.2U_{eq}$.

Crystallographic data for these structures have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, (CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB21EZ, UK; http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk). Copies can be obtained free of charge on quoting the deposition numbers 956219-956222.

**Microscopy studies of the gels**

To image the gel samples by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), they were deposited manually onto clean silicon samples with a thick silicon dioxide layer. The spatula and glass pipettes used for dosing and silicon pieces used as substrates were all cleaned thoroughly by sonication in HPLC grade acetone followed by HPLC grade propan-2-ol. The gels were manually drop cast on to the silicon at room temperature and dried during 5 days at ambient conditions. Low kV SEM was carried out using the Zeiss ULTRA Plus using either an SE2 or in-lens detector and the Zeiss Orion Plus Helium Ion Microscope using an SE2 detector, both in the Advanced Microscopy Laboratory, CRANN, Trinity College Dublin. The samples prepared for the imaging did not have any additional conductive layer cover. Beam energies for the helium ion were 30–35 kV with probe currents ranging from 0.1–1.5 pA. A 10 μm beam limiting aperture was employed for all the images. Images were formed by collecting the secondary electrons generated during the helium ion interacting with the specimen atoms. Charge control was achieved using an electron flood gun. After each line scan charge neutralisation was applied. The image was acquired using either 32 or 64 line averaging.

**Cyclic voltammetry**

Electrochemical studies were carried out using a Metrohm Autolab Potentiostat Model PGSTAT101 employing a gastight three electrode cell under an argon atmosphere. A platinum disk with 7.0 mm$^2$ surface area was used as the working electrode and polished before each measurement. The reference electrode was Ag/AgCl, the counter electrode was a Pt foil. In all experiments Bu$_4$NP$_6$ (0.1 M in dry CH$_3$CN) was used as supporting electrolyte with analyte concentrations of approximately 1 mM. The ferrocenium/ferrocene redox couple was used as an internal reference ($E_{1/2} = 0.40$ V vs. SCE).$^{57}$

**Photophysical measurements**

UV-Visible absorbance spectra were measured in 1 cm quartz cuvettes on a Varian Cary 50 spectrophotometer. Baseline correction was applied for all spectra. Emission spectra were measured on a Varian Cary Eclipse luminescence spectrometer. All the stock solutions were prepared in CH$_3$CN.

Some emission spectra were also recorded on a Fluorolog FL-3–22 spectrometer from Horiba-Jobin-Yvon Ltd. A Jobin Yvon FluoroHub single photon counting controller fitted with an appropriate wavelength Jobin Yvon NanoLED was used to measure lifetimes, which were determined from the observed decays using DataStation v2.4.

**Syntheses of ligands and metal ion complexes**

2,6-Bis((trimethylsilyl)ethyl)pyridine (3). Protected bisalkyne 3 was prepared from 2,6-dibromopyridine by Sonogashira coupling using a modified literature procedure.$^{58,59}$ The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature under argon atmosphere for 24 hours, using a dry 1:1 Et$_3$N/THF solvent system. The solution was diluted with ethyl acetate and stirred vigorously with a solution of EDTA/NH$_2$OH for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was then extracted with ethyl acetate and washed with saturated NaHCO$_3$ solution and brine before drying over MgSO$_4$ and concentrating under reduced pressure, yielding a brown solid. Crude product was filtered through a silica plug, washing in hexane/CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (1:1) and the eluent concentrated under reduced pressure, yielding 3 as a tan coloured solid (3.10 g, 11.410 mmol, 68%). m.p. 90.3–98.7 °C (Lit. m.p. 97–99 °C).$^{60}$ HRMS ($m/z$) (ESI$^+$): Calculated for C$_{15}$H$_{22}$NSi$_2^+$ $m/z = 272.1291$ [M+H]$^+$. 

\[ \text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{22}\text{NSi}_2^+ \quad m/z = 272.1291 \quad [M+H]^+ \]
General procedure for synthesis of btp ligands 1 and 2

To a solution of the relevant bromide (4.65 mmol) in 15 mL DMF/water (4:1) was added sodium azide (0.332 g, 4.65 mmol) and the reaction mixture stirred for 1 hour, yielding the azide derivative, which was not isolated, and therefore used without further purification.** To this solution was added 3 (0.631 g, 2.33 mmol). CuSO$_4$$\cdot$5H$_2$O (0.232 g, 0.93 mmol) and sodium ascorbate (0.368 g, 1.86 mmol) were added to the reaction mixture, followed by anhydrous K$_2$CO$_3$ (0.650 g, 4.70 mmol) and stirred at room temperature for 18 hours in a further 15 mL 4:1 DMF/water. EDTA/NH$_4$OH solution was added to the reaction mixture and stirred for 1 hour before isolating the product.

2.6-Bis(1-(4-(methylcarboxy)benzyl)-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)pyridine (1). Ligand 1 was prepared according to the general procedure above from methyl 4-(bromomethyl)benzoate and was isolated upon extraction with CHCl$_3$, washing the organic layer with brine. Concentration under reduced pressure and trituration with cold CH$_2$OH followed by recrystallisation from boiling CH$_2$OH yielded ligand 1 as an off-white solid (1.27 g, 2.49 mmol, 53%). m.p. 221.1–223.5 °C. HRMS (m/z) (ESI$^+$): Calculated for C$_{27}$H$_{33}$N$_6$O$_4$Na$^+$ m/z = 532.1709 [M+Na]$^+$. Found m/z = 532.1711; Calculated for C$_{27}$H$_{33}$N$_6$O$_4$: C = 63.65, H = 4.55, N = 19.24. Found C = 63.8 H = 4.52 N = 19.29. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO): δ = 3.84 (s, 6H, –OCH$_3$), 5.81 (s, 4H, CH$_2$), 7.45 (d, 4H, J = 8.2 Hz, Ph CH), 7.91–8.07 (m, 7H, Ph CH and pyr CH), 8.72 (s, 2H, triazolyl CH); $^{13}$C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO): δ = 52.4 (–OCH$_3$), 53.8 (CH$_3$), 119.4 (3- and 5-pyridyl CH), 122.1 (triazolyl CH), 127.8 (phenyl CH), 130.3 (phenyl CH), 130.6 (q, phenyl), 137.8 (4-pyridyl CH), 139.3 (q, phenyl), 148.8 (q, triazolyl), 149.7 (q, 2- and 6-pyridyl), 166.3 (C=O). IR ν$_{max}$ (cm$^{-1}$): 3154, 3078 (ar C–H st), 3010, 2956 (C–H st), 2845 (O–CH$_3$ st), 2101, 1729 (C=O st), 1608 and 1572 (C=O); IR ν (cm$^{-1}$): 3434 (br), 3011, 2925, 1715 (strong), 1614, 1580, 1418, 1283 (strong), 1185, 1108 (strong), 1085, 1018, 993, 961, 931, 809, 749, 718, 677.

General procedure for synthesis of dileptic Ru(II) and Ni(II) complexes. To the relevant ligand (2 equiv.) was added 5 mL aqueous ethanol solution (70% v/v). The solution was degassed and RuCl$_3$$\cdot$3H$_2$O or NiCl$_2$$\cdot$6H$_2$O (1 equiv.) added. This was stirred for 40 minutes at 120 °C under microwave irradiation. The yellow reaction mixture was filtered through celite and concentrated under reduced pressure. Ethanol was added to solubilise the complex before centrifuging. The supernatant was decanted off and a few drops of NH$_4$PF$_6$ were added before centrifuging again. The solution was decanted off and the solid which had formed was dissolved in CH$_2$CN and concentrated under reduced pressure, yielding a solid.

Dileptic Ru(II) complex of 1 ([Ru-1$_3$](PF$_6$)$_2$). Complex [Ru-1$_3$](PF$_6$)$_2$ was prepared according to the general procedure for dleptic complexes outlined above, from ligand 1 (0.056 g, 0.11 mmol) and RuCl$_3$$\cdot$3H$_2$O (0.012 g, 0.05 mmol), yielding a bright yellow solid (0.015 g, 0.01 mmol, 28%). This was dissolved in CH$_2$CN and crystallised via ether diffusion. m.p. 236.1–238.0 °C. HRMS (m/z) (MALDI): Calculated for C$_{26}$H$_{35}$O$_2$F$_{8}$Ru$^+$ m/z = 1265.2308 [M+PF$_6$]$^+$. Found m/z = 1265.2323; Calculated for C$_{26}$H$_{35}$O$_2$F$_{8}$Ru$^+$ m/z = 1433.1848. Found m/z = 1433.1832. [M+Na]$^+$; Calculated for C$_{26}$H$_{35}$O$_2$F$_{8}$Ru$^+$ m/z = 1433.1848. Found m/z = 1433.1832. [M+Na]$^+$; Calculated for C$_{26}$H$_{35}$O$_2$F$_{8}$Ru$^+$ m/z = 1433.1848. Found m/z = 1433.1832.
Dileptic Ru(II) complex of 2 ([Ru-2]PF6). Complex [Ru-2]PF6 was prepared according to the general procedure for dileptic complexes outlined above, using dicarboxylate 2 (0.100 g, 0.21 mmol) and RuCl3⋅xH2O (0.023 g, 0.11 mmol), yielding a bright yellow solid (0.069 g, 0.06 mmol, 26%). This was re-dissolved in a 1:1 mixture of CH3CN and ethanol and crystallised via ether diffusion. A large yellow crystal was formed and structure determined by X-ray diffraction. m.p. 206.5–209.6 °C. HRMS (m/z) (MALDI): Calculated for C9H8N4O4PF6: m/z = 206.1962 [M–2PF6]+. Found m/z = 206.1998; Calculated for C9H8N4O4PF6⋅3H2O: C = 42.65, H = 3.15 N = 13.93. Found C = 42.05 H = 2.58 N = 13.70; 1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ = 5.67 (s, 8H, CH2), 7.19 (s, 8H, J = 8.3 Hz, Ph CH), 7.86 (d, 8H, J = 8.2 Hz, Ph CH), 8.36 (t, 2H, J = 7.7 Hz, 4-pyr CH), 8.45 (d, 4H, J = 7.7 Hz, 3- and 5-pyr CH); 13C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ = 53.8 (CH2), 120.0 (3-, 5-pyr CH), 126.3 (triazolyl CH), 127.7 (Ph CH), 129.7 (Ph CH). Product decomposed over 330 °C. HRMS (m/z) (ESI+): Calculated for C27H24N2O6Cl2IrNa: m/z = 830.0404 [M+Na]+. Found m/z = 830.0373; Calculated for C27H24N2O6Cl2IrNa: C = 40.03, H = 3.36, N = 11.27. Found C = 39.37, H = 3.05, N = 10.99; 1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ = 3.86 (s, 6H, –OCH3), 6.08 (s, 4H, CH2), 7.61 (d, 4H, J = 8.4 Hz, phenyl CH), 8.05 (d, 4H, J = 8.2 Hz, Ph CH), 8.15 (t, 1H, J = 7.7 Hz, 4-pyr CH), 8.30 (d, 2H, J = 7.8 Hz, 3- and 5-pyr CH).

General procedure for preparation of Pt(II) complexes. Precursor cis-[PtCl2(DMSO)2] was prepared from K2[PtCl4] according to a literature procedure and used without further purification. To this complex (1 equiv.) was added ligand 1 or 2 (1 equiv.) and the suspension refluxed in CH3OH in darkness for 5 hours. The reaction mixture was cooled over ice before isolation of the product.

Pt(II) complex of 1 ([Pt-1Cl]Cl). According to the general procedure above, ligand 1 (0.081 g, 0.16 mmol) was treated with cis-[PtCl2(DMSO)2] (0.069 g, 0.16 mmol). Product was purified by filtering the reaction mixture, concentrating the filtrate, redissolving this in CHCl3 and centrifuging. The supernatant was decanted off and the process repeated until the supernatant was colourless. The resultant yellow solid was the pure complex [PtCl2(DMSO)2]. Product decomposed over 250 °C. HRMS (m/z) (ESI+): Calculated for C27H24N2O6Pt: m/z = 739.1148 [M–Cl]+. Found m/z = 739.1143; Calculated for C27H24N2O6PtCl: 0.5H2O: C = 39.55 H = 2.84, N = 11.74. Found C = 40.07, H = 2.42, N = 11.70; 1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6): δ = 3.83 (s, 6H, –OCH3), 6.00 (s, 4H, CH2), 7.57 (d, 4H, J = 8.3 Hz, Ph CH), 8.01 (d, 4H, J = 8.3 Hz, Ph CH), 8.22 (d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz, 3-, 5-pyr CH), 8.47 (t, 1H, J = 8.3 Hz, 4-pyr CH), 9.32 (s, 2H, triazolyl CH); IR νmax (cm−1): 3423, 3076, 2953, 1716 (strong), 1614, 1595, 1579, 1512, 1479, 1434, 1438, 1313, 1280 (strong), 1223, 1184, 1110 (strong), 1074, 1047, 1019, 965, 840, 812, 747, 725 (strong), 687, 659.

Ir(III) Complex of 1 ([Ir-1Cl2]). Complex [Ir-1Cl2] was synthesised using a modified literature procedure.62 Ligand 1 (0.210 g, 0.41 mmol) and IrCl3⋅xH2O (0.130 g, 0.41 mmol) were suspended in ethylene glycol (5 mL). The reaction mixture was degassed before heating at 160 °C in darkness for 20 minutes under microwave irradiation. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature before filtering to collect precipitate. The precipitate was washed with ethanol, H2O and Et2O, yielding complex [1-IrCl2] as a yellow solid (0.166 g, 0.21 mmol, 50%).
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Synthesis, structural, photophysical and electrochemical studies of various d-metal complexes of btp [2,6-bis(1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)pyridine] ligands that give rise to the formation of metallo-supramolecular gels

Joseph P. Byrne, Jonathan A. Kitchen, Oxana Kotova, Vivienne Leigh, Alan P. Bell, John J. Boland, Martin Albrecht, and Thorfinnur Gunnlaugsson

The development of two new btp ligands (1 and 2) for d-metal ions is described. The X-ray crystal structures of several of these complexes are presented, as well as the results of the electro and the photochemical analysis for number of these complexes. The Ru(II) complex of 2 was shown to give rise to gel formation which is due to the direct formation of supramolecular networks in ethanol solution.