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Triazolylidenes have rapidly emerged as a powerful subclass of N-heterocyclic carbene ligands for transition metals. They are readily available through regioselective [2+3] cycloaddition of alkynes and azides and subsequent metallation according to procedures established for related carbenes. Due to their mesoionic character, triazolylidenes are stronger donors than Arduengo-type imidazol-2-ylidenes. Spurred by these attractive attributes and despite their only recent emergence, triazolylidenes have shown major implications in catalysis. This feature article summarises the synthetic accessibility of triazolylidene metal complexes and their electronic and structural characteristics, and it compiles their applications, in particular, as catalyst precursors for various bond forming and redox reactions, as well as first approaches into photophysical and biochemical domains.

1 Introduction

Carbenes have long been considered as fleeting intermediates, with reactivity properties that are hard to control. Successful strategies for taming this reactive species have concentrated on matrix isolation,1 and on the electronic stabilisation of carbenes through substituent control.2 Expansion of this latter concept has furnished the first crystalline carbene through deprotonation of an imidazolium salt.3 The resulting imidazol-2-ylidene is remarkably robust towards air, moisture, and thermal decomposition, in large part due to the extensive stabilisation by two adjacent nitrogen substituents (A, Fig. 1). This discovery has induced an almost explosive investigation into the synthesis and application of these so-called Arduengo-type N-heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs) and variations thereof (B–E, Fig. 1), in particular as organocatalysts,4 as stabilisers of highly reactive intermediates,5 and as ligands for transition metals.6

![Generic representation of N-heterocyclic carbene metal complexes](image)

Fig. 1 Generic representation of N-heterocyclic carbene metal complexes featuring normal NHCs (A–E), remote NHCs (F, G), and abnormal/mesoionic NHCs (H, I).

The success of NHCs in organometallic chemistry has been attributed by and large to two key properties: i) the relatively high covalent contribution to the M–NHC bond, and ii) their strong donor ability. The latter aspect is obviously compromised to some extent by the inductive effect of the two nitrogen atoms adjacent to the carbene.7 Efforts have thus been directed towards the development of carbene systems which feature the stabilising heteroatoms in more remote positions in order to further increase the donor properties of NHCs, leading to so-called remote carbenes (rNHCs; no heteroatom adjacent to the carbene, e.g. F, G),8 and to abnormal/mesoionic carbenes (aNHCs, MICs; carbenes for which no uncharged resonance structure can be drawn, e.g. H, I).9 The chemistry of these abnormal/mesoionic carbenes has predominantly focused on imidazol-4-ylidenes (H) and has led to new reactivity patterns.10 A recent addition to this subclass of NHC ligands are 1,2,3-triazolylidenes (I),11 which result from formal substitution of the C(2)–R unit in imidazolylidenes by nitrogen. The triazolium precursors are readily available and convert smoothly to the abnormal/mesoionic carbene complexes upon metallation procedures similar to those established for Arduengo-type NHCs. Due to the distinctly different properties of triazolylidenes, applications in catalysis and beyond have evolved very rapidly. Following an early review by Crowley et al.,12 this feature article compiles the organometallic chemistry of triazolylidenes since their first appearance in the literature in 2008, including synthetic strategies, donor properties, structural aspects, and reactivity patterns, as well as applications, especially in catalysis.

2 Synthesis of (functionalised) ligand precursors

In all but two cases the precursor to the triazolylidene complexes is the triazolium salt. These exceptions include the synthesis from an ammonia adduct and post-modification of a metal-bound triazolyl ligand. The latter will be discussed in the next section, as the direct precursor to the ligand is a metal complex.
Synthesis of 1,3,4-substituted 1,2,3-triazolium salts

The most widely used method for triazolium salt synthesis has been to initially form the triazole via the readily accessible copper(I) catalysed ‘click’ cycloaddition of an alkyne and azide (CuAAC). The CuAAC process is chemoselective and has been shown to proceed in good to excellent yields. The issue of handling highly unstable aryl and alkyl azides has been circumvented in many cases by forming the azide in situ and reacting it without isolation. One-pot syntheses of triazoles from an alkyne starting material have been reported, along with syntheses utilizing alkyl azide where these azides are not isolated prior to the CuAAC process. Subsequent alkylation of the triazole with a suitable alkylating agent is generally selective and yields the 1,3,4-substituted triazolium salt (Scheme 1).

\[
\text{Scheme 1 Triazolium synthesis via [2+3] cycloaddition.}
\]

Although triazolylidenes are a new class of NHC ligands, the variety of ligands that have been synthesised via the CuAAC reaction is substantial. Thus far, dicarbene ligand systems and other potentially multidentate ligands bearing phosphine, amine, thioether, and chiral substituents have been reported, demonstrating the broad scope of the CuAAC process. Selective alkylation of the triazole nitrogen can be an issue when intrinsically nucleophilic donor groups are also present in the triazole species. In order to avoid such selectivity issues, protecting groups have been used wherever possible. For example, the pyrrolidine in 4, and the amine in 5, have been BOC-protected prior to alkylation, similarly, the TBDMS group has been used to protect the alcohol substituent in 6. To obtain the mono-methylated triazolium salt, a preparative TLC after alkylation is necessary due to unselective methylation of the triazole and pyridine heterocycle. The pincer ligand, 12, is metallated prior to alkylation, thus illustrating another approach to avoid selectivity issues.

The CuAAC sequence is not suitable if alkylation at N3 is not desirable, and functionalisation of the 1,4-triazole is not trivial. Bertrand and co-workers have discovered that free triazolylidenes bearing alkyl groups at the N3 position undergo decomposition by N3-alkyl bond cleavage. Arylation of N3 increases stability, but triazole arylation cannot be carried out via a similar route as triazole alkylation. Therefore, formation of N3-arylated triazolium salts has been accomplished by cycloaddition of 1,3-diaryl-2-azoniaallene salts and alkynes (Scheme 2).

Suitable triazenes are added to an alkyne (A) or synthetic alkyne equivalent (B) in the presence of tert-butyl hypochlorite and KPF₆. The hypochlorite is required to oxidise the triazene, and the potassium salt provides a suitable counterion. The salts formed by this process have been used as precursors to the free triazolylidene.

\[
\text{Scheme 2 Synthesis of aryl-substituted triazolium salts.}
\]

Synthesis of 1,2,4-substituted 1,2,3-triazolylidene precursors

The synthesis of precursors to ‘normal’ 1,2,3-triazolylidenes has been reported by Kühn and co-workers. The CuAAC method would not be an effective means of ligand synthesis, since alkylation of a 1,4-substituted triazole generally occurs regioselectively and would not yield the desired substitution pattern. The 1,2,4-functionald 1,2,3-triazolium salt is prepared via the cycloaddition of diarylnitrilimine in the presence of a base (Scheme 3). Upon addition of NaH to a solution of the salt in THF/NH₃, i.e. conditions that generate the free imidazolylidene from imidazolium salts, the 1,2,4-triazolium salt converts to the ammonia adduct. It has been suggested that the reaction proceeds via the free 1,2,3-triazolylidene species, which then reacts with ammonia, yielding. In support of this mechanism pathway the computed energy barrier for the deprotonation of the salt is 7 kcal mol⁻¹ lower than that for the amide addition. The formation ammonia adduct of 1,2,3-triazolylidene is unique thus far.

\[
\text{Scheme 3 Synthesis of 1,2,4-substituted triazolylidene precursors.}
\]

Despite the large scope of the CuAAC reaction, the vast majority of the ligands reported to date are monodentate and bear alkyl or aryl wingtip groups. Whilst some work has been carried out in increasing the functionality of the ligands, this area is still

Fig. 2 Triazolylidene precursors with different degrees of functionality.
underdeveloped and will likely advance rapidly in the near future, especially when considering the huge variety of triazoles available via the copper(I)-catalysed cycloaddition.13 Further opportunities may arise from ruthenium-catalysed azide-alkyne cycloaddition yielding 1,5-triazoles,11 which may give access to novel functionalisation patterns.

Synthetic Strategies towards Metal Triazolylidene Complexes

Transmetallation from Silver

Up to this point the most widely used and well-established method for the synthesis of triazolylidene metal complexes is to transmetallate from the silver triazolylidene complex. The silver carbene is prepared by the direct metallation of the triazolium salt with Ag₂O. Most attempts to isolate and purify the complexes have been thwarted by the instability of the silver NHC complexes. Only two silver triazolylidene complexes have been isolated and X-ray structures of the complexes have been obtained (Scheme 4).28,34 The successful isolation of these complexes is most likely due to the steric effects of the more bulky ditriazolylidene ligands. In one complex, derived from the pyrrol-functionalised ditriazolium salt, 15 (Scheme 4), each silver cation is bound to one triazolylidene and one deprotonated pyrrolyl ligand in a trans configuration.24 Due to the four bonding sites of each ligand precursor, a macrocyclic structure is obtained, comprised of four ligands, eight Ag(I) centres, and, various weak interactions, including Ag-Ag contact pairs. In contrast to this formally neutral complex, the silver carbene, 16, is cationic and features two [Ag(trz)₂] moieties.24 This complex is derived from a related ditriazolium salt that lacks stabilising pyrrol substituents, suggesting that donor substituents may play an important role.

In most cases the formation of the silver carbene is surmised, using NMR spectroscopy, by the disappearance of the low field H₅ signal and by the downfield shift of the C₅ resonance in the ¹³C NMR spectrum. The silver carbene is treated in situ with an appropriate metal precursor to induce carbene transfer. Successfully used metal precursors include dimeric species that can undergo bridge-cleavage, e.g. [Rh(cod)Cl₂], [IrCl₂(Cp*)], or [RuCl₂(cym)], [PdCl₂], or metal species bearing labile ligands. Halide ligands tend to be labile enough, due to the formation of the thermodynamically stable AgX (where X = halide) as a side-product, e.g. [PdCl₂(MeCN)]₂, [PdCl₂(cod)], or [CuCl]. In a number of cases an extraneous ion source is utilised to induce the formation of metal complexes bearing only one type of anion.

The choice of metal precursor and metallation procedure has shown to be of utmost importance in determining the selectivity of product formation. For example, transpalladation of the silver carbene 17 with a dimeric palladium chloride source, such as [Pd(allyl)Cl]₂ or [PdCl₂]₄, in the presence of another coordinating ligand affords the monocarbone triazolylidene complexes, 18 and 19 (Scheme 5).22,35 Addition of Pd(OAc)₂ to the silver carbene complex selectively affords the dimeric palladium species, 20. It is notable that cyclopalladation of the ligand occurs in this case. In contrast, [Pd(RCN)Cl]₂ favours the formation of the bis carbene species, trans–21 and cis–21 (Scheme 5), with the trans isomer as the major species.10,36,37 Both forms exist as syn and anti conformers. However, performing the carbene transfer in the presence of NaI selectively yields the dimeric palladium species, 22, with bridging iodide groups.19

Scheme 4 Octa- and dinuclear silver triazolylidene complexes.

Scheme 5 Diversity in transpalladation of silver triazolylidene complexes.
Direct Metallation

Direct C-H bond activation of triazolium salts is poorly investigated. However, thermally induced direct metallation with Pd(OAc)₂ has been demonstrated. A mixture of products is obtained comprising mono- and dicarbene products 22 and 21, respectively (cf Scheme 5), the latter as cis/trans and syn/anti isomers. The process is much less selective than the transmetallation method.

Coordination to the Free Carbene

Bertrand and co-workers have synthesised the free 1,2,3-triazolylidene, 23, by deprotonation of triazolium salts using KN(SiMe₃)₂ or KOtBu as a strong non-nucleophilic base (Scheme 6). The N₃-arylated carbene species, 23c-k, are formed by deprotonation using KOtBu (pKₐ = 22), whereas 23a and b are obtained only with the stronger base KN(SiMe₃)₂ (pKₐ = 26). An attempt to use the weaker base to deprotonate the di-alkylated triazolium salt, 26, does not yield the desired free carbene, instead the de-benzylated triazole is observed (Scheme 7). In full agreement, recent work has revealed a pKₐ ~24 for N₃-[vol]

Base-Mediated proton abstraction/C-H activation

In some cases metallation has been accomplished in the presence of KOtBu (Scheme 8). Sarkar et al. have utilised this method in the formation of triazolylidene copper(I) complexes 27, and Bertrand and co-workers have reported a base-mediated metallation of a ditriazolylidene salt to yield the cationic ditriazolylidene rhodium complex 28. This reactivity is distinctly different from related 1,2,4-ditriazolylidines, which do not form related chlorinating rhodium(I) complexes.

The mechanism of these base-mediated metallations cannot be clearly determined. It is not possible to safely distinguish between a C-H activation by an in situ-generated M(OtBu)₃ intermediate, similar to [Pd(OAc)₂] induced metallation, or, a metallation via generation of the free triazolylidene as a transient intermediate from deprotonation by KOtBu. Previous work has however indicated that KOtBu is not strong enough to deprotonate the N₃-alkylated triazolium salts prior to metallation, and KN(SiMe₃)₂ is required to form the free dicarbene 29 (Scheme 8).

Other Methods

The ammonia adduct of the 1,2,4-substituted triazolylidene, 14, undergoes mettallation reactions with Ir(I), Rh(I), Cu(I) and Au(I), resulting in normal 1,2,3-triazolylidene complexes 30 (Scheme 9). The ammonia adduct is susceptible to retro-cycloaddition processes at elevated temperatures. Hence the mettallation reactions must be carried out at low temperatures to obtain maximum yields.

Gandelman and co-workers have demonstrated that platinum and palladium complexes bearing cyclometallated triazolyl ligands can be modified after mettallation to yield analogous triazolylidene complexes. Alkylation of the neutral complexes 31, obtained by cyclometallation of the triazole precursor 12,
affords the triazolylidene metal complexes 32 (Scheme 10). A similar post-modification procedure allows for the formation of a rhenium triazolylidene complex.  

**Donor Properties of 1,2,3-Triazolylidenes**

By far the most widely used method to evaluate donor properties has been to measure the CO stretching frequencies of carbonyl metal complexes by IR spectroscopy. The CO stretch vibrations of iridium complexes of the form \([\text{NHC}]\text{Ir(CO)}\text{Cl}\) provide a direct probe for the donor properties of the ligand, as a linear correlation between the average CO stretch frequency, \(v_{\text{av}}(\text{CO})\) and the Tolman electronic parameter (TEP) has been established by Crabtree and co-workers. The 1,2,3-triazolylidene iridium complexes, 25a–f exhibit \(v_{\text{av}}(\text{CO})\) stretch frequencies between 19 and 21 cm\(^{-1}\) (Table 1), just slightly higher than the normal triazolylidene complex 30d (\(v_{\text{av}}(\text{CO}) = 1971\) cm\(^{-1}\)), thus suggesting that the normal/abnormal nomenclature overemphasises the difference in this case. According to the measured data, the donor ability of 1,2,3-triazolylidenes is stronger than the most donating normal 2-imidazolylidines, 33, yet weaker than abnormal 4-imidazolylidines, 34. The presence of three nitrogen atoms in 1,2,3-triazolylidines reduces the electron density of the ligand and may thus explain their lower donor ability relative to 4-imidazolylidines.

In line with these conclusions, the bidentate chelating ligand 29 (cf Scheme 8) is a stronger donor to a Rh(CO)\(_2\) fragment than the 1,2,4-ditriazolylidene analogue and also than basic diphosphine ligands such as bis(dicyclohexylphosphino)ethane.

Various studies have shown that the CO stretching energies are not unambiguous probes for characterising ligand donor properties. For example, stereoelectronic effects can affect one or both CO ligands and thus impact the stretching frequencies without modifying the actual donor properties of the carbene ligand. In triazolylidene chemistry, the donor ability has been confirmed by various orthogonal techniques.
Reactivity and stability

Cyclo metallation

Triazolylidene complexes that contain an aryl wingtip group can undergo cyclo metallation. This process strongly depends on the location of the aryl group (N- vs C-bound) within the ring and has been observed in several metal complexes. For example, complex 22 cyclodalladates in the presence of acetate as promoter and base (Scheme 11). The palladacycle 38 is formed exclusively, demonstrating a strong preference for activating the N-bound phenyl group over the C-bound substituent. Similarly, Sankararaman et al. have prepared the related acetate-bridged palladacycle 20 by transmetallation of the corresponding silver complex with Pd(OAc)2 and concomitant C–H activation of the N-bound phenyl ring (cf Scheme 5). Cyclo palladation is base-dependent and does not occur in the presence of NEt3. Formation of 38 is fully reversible and complex 22 is regenerated upon exposure of complex 38 to excess HI.

Scheme 11 Reversible cyclo palladation on a triazolylidene platform.

Cyclo metallation is not restricted to palladium and has been observed also in ruthenium(II), rhodium(III) and iridium(III) complexes (39–41, Fig. 4). The N-bound phenyl group cyclometallates preferentially and spontaneously during transmetallation involving the triazolium salt and Ag2O. Considering the beneficial role of NaOAc, the electron deficient nature of the metal centres, and the resistance of more electron-rich metals such as rhodium(I) or platinum(II) to cyclo metallate, an electrophilic C–H bond activation has been concluded, which prefers high electron-density in the aromatic ring. Consequently, the inductive effect of N1 surpasses the electron-withdrawing properties of the triazolylidene fragment.

Fig. 4 Cyclo metallated complexes 39–41 obtained by activation of the N-bound wingtip group.

When the N1-bound substituent is a benzyl rather than a phenyl group, cycloiridation is transient and the six-membered metallacyclic product 41 has been characterised only in solution and has not been isolated thus far. If cyclo metallation of the N1-bound substituent is prevented (e.g., for R' = alkyl), the C-bound phenyl group can be activated, though harsher conditions are required. For instance, treatment of complex 42 with NaOAc (12 h, 60° C) induces cyclo metallation and formation of complex 43 (Scheme 12). Again the C–H bond activation is reversible and addition of HCl restores the monodentate bonding mode and complex 42 already at RT. When DCI in D2O is used, the monodeuterated complex 42–d1, featuring a single deuterium in the phenyl ortho position is obtained exclusively, implying that the C–Ir bond cleavage is irreversible under these conditions.

A different type of cyclo metallation has been identified upon reaction of the pyridinium-functionalised triazolium salt 10 with [IrCp*Cl]2 (Scheme 13). In the presence of Ag2O, the two complexes 44 and 45 are formed. The bidentate coordination in

Scheme 12 Iridium-mediated C–H activation at a C-bound phenyl group.

44 originates from aromatic C–H bond cleavage affording a pyridylidene ligand site, whereas formation of 45 involves the activation of the N-bound methyl group of the pyridinium fragment. The latter pathway is suppressed if the substituent at N1 is a methyl or a pentafluorobenzyl group, CH2F5. This outcome points to an intermediate akin to 41 that is relevant for inducing C(sp2)–H bond activation and formation of 45. Notably, irradiation of the triazolium salt 7 in the presence of AcO− changes the reaction pathway considerably and leads to unusual Npyr–CH3 bond cleavage.

Scheme 13 Intramolecular C(sp2)–H vs C(sp3)–H bond activation mediated by a triazolylidene iridium species.

Acidolysis

In contrast to the phenyl group in the metallacycles (cf Schemes 11, 12), the triazolylidene–metal bonds M–Cpyr are generally stable under acidic conditions. For example, the iridium complexes 42 and 44 tolerate aqueous 1 M HCl for several days. Under these conditions, no traces of triazolium salt are observed, demonstrating the high resistance of the M–Cpyr bond towards acidolysis. Hence, the Cpyr–Ir bond and also the iridium–pyridylidene bond are substantially more robust towards protonation than the Cpyr–Ir bond.

Similarly, the palladium complex 22 is stable in acidic conditions and exposure to HI for several days does not induce
any degradation. Both the stability of the Pd–C,Cl bond toward acids and bases and the sensitivity of N-bound phenyl groups toward cyclopalladation under basic conditions have obvious implications when using this type of complexes in catalysis.

In contrast, Bertrand and Grubbs have established acidolysis of the ruthenium triazolylidene complex 46 in the presence of excess HCl or TFA (Scheme 14). This reactivity has been successfully exploited to develop an olefin metathesis system that utilises acids as initiators for the formation of the active catalyst 47. The unsubstituted nitrogen (N2) has been proposed to act as a free triazolylidenes (cf section on synthesis). In THF, however, an unstable intermediate, sufficiently stable for being used for triazolylidene transfer (Scheme 14).

In THF, however, an unstable intermediate, sufficiently stable for being used for triazolylidene transfer (Scheme 14). The unsubstituted nitrogen (N2) has been proposed to act as a proton acceptor followed by heterocycle dissociation with concomitant, 1.3 proton-shift to form the triazolium salt. Not unlikely, the absence of a shielding substituent at the C5 position further enhances the acid-sensitivity of the triazolylidene in 46 and may provide a rationale for the different stability of this ligand as compared to those discussed previously. These reactivity patterns highlight that the triazolylidine ring may not be innocent and can act as a proton acceptor either by undergoing reversible activation of the wingtip substituents or by capturing a proton within the heterocycle.

![Scheme 14 Acid-mediated activation of a latent olefin metathesis catalyst.](image)

**Oxidative M–C bond cleavage**

The triazolylidine copper(I) complexes 48 react with CsOH to afford the mesoionic oxides 49 exclusively (Scheme 15). This demetallation pathway is distinctly different from the reactivity of 2-imidazolylidines, and also differs from decomposition of the complexes in the presence of air and moisture, which produces predominantly the protonated triazolium salt and only minor quantities of 49.

![Scheme 15 Mesoionic oxides from oxidative Cu–C,Cl bond cleavage.](image)

Additionally, these mesoionic oxides have been synthesised directly from the triazolium salts with CsOH, or with AgO, though the reactions are generally less clean and proceed with lower yield. The reaction with AgO is highly solvent-dependent. In CH₂Cl₂, the corresponding silver carbene is formed, which is sufficiently stable for being used for triazolylidine transfer (cf section on synthesis). In THF, however, an unstable intermediate, presumably an [Ag(carbene)(OH)] species, is generated, which demetallates to yield the mesoionic oxide 49.

**Structural features**

All crystallographically analysed triazolylidene complexes have been collated to evaluate their bond lengths and angles. This analysis includes complexes that have been published, that are currently in press, or are not yet published but have been structurally resolved (the latter predominantly from the authors’ labs). Average M–C bond lengths of these complexes are compiled in Table 2. They are not markedly different from other NHC complexes, though some values may need to be adapted once a more substantial data set is available (e.g. rhenum, silver, and copper triazolylidines).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M</th>
<th>average</th>
<th>shortest</th>
<th>longest</th>
<th>#</th>
<th>references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Re</td>
<td>2.174</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru</td>
<td>2.030</td>
<td>1.991</td>
<td>2.098</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18,20,21,27,28,51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rh</td>
<td>2.048</td>
<td>2.023</td>
<td>2.071</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11,40,52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir</td>
<td>2.037</td>
<td>2.010</td>
<td>2.081</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11,18,23,26,50,66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd</td>
<td>1.986</td>
<td>1.901</td>
<td>2.049</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>11,19,22,24,35,36,48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>1.889</td>
<td>1.879</td>
<td>1.898</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>29,54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>2.071</td>
<td>2.065</td>
<td>2.076</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au</td>
<td>1.999</td>
<td>1.963</td>
<td>2.029</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25,55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# = number of complexes considered

Metallation (E = M) induces slight, yet diagnostic, structural changes in the triazole heterocycle compared to the triazolium salt precursor (E = H, Table 3). In particular, the C4–C5 bond becomes longer. Elongation is less significant for the C5–N1 and the N1–N2 bonds, while the C4–N3 and the N2–N3 bonds are essentially unaffected. This result may hint to a strong electrostatic contribution to the metal bonding, with only marginal π-conjugated contributions. Most characteristically, the C4–C5–N1 bond angle becomes more acute by about 3°. The same structural changes, yet more pronounced, are observed upon protonation and formation of the free triazolylidine (E = lone pair). For example, the carbene bond angle (vertex C5) decreases from 105.9° to 99.7°. The decrease of the bond angle as well as the C4–C5 bond elongation may be related to an increase of the p character at the carbonenoid carbon. While in 2-imidazolylidene chemistry, these structural modulations have been proposed as an indicator for quantifying metal-carbon π back-bonding, such a...
trend is not confirmed in triazolylidene complexes. For example, the iridium(I) complex 50 features a more acute C4–C5–N1 angle (102.3 vs. 101.3 °) and shorter C5–C4 and C5–N1 bond distances (by 0.014 and 0.021 Å, respectively) than the supposedly less electron-rich iridium(III) complex 42 (Fig. 5). These data are contrary to the trend expected from the propensity of these metal centres to π bond and demonstrate subtle differences between imidazolylidene and triazolylidene.

Catalysis

The use of triazolylidene complexes in catalysis has experienced a rapid growth in recent years. In parts, this development may be rationalized by the specific donor properties of triazolylidenes. These ligands feature two extreme bonding situations comprising either a formally neutral carbeneoid carbon or an anionic carbon bound to the metal centre. This suggests electronic flexibility, e.g. for stabilizing transition states or critical intermediates, and is also reflected in the mesoionic vs. abnormal nomenclature used for these ligands. Triazolylidene metal complexes have been reported to be active catalyst precursors for a variety of processes including [3+2] cycloaddition, cross coupling, olefin metathesis, cyclisation and oxidation processes.

Triazolylidene copper-catalysed alkyne-azole coupling

Complexes 48 are versatile catalysts for the copper-catalysed [3+2] cycloaddition of a wide range of alkynes and azides (Scheme 16). Very low catalyst loadings (0.05 mol%) are sufficient for reaching high conversions. Electron-poor phenylacetylenes are converted faster than electron-rich analogues or alkyl-substituted alkynes. Functional groups such as alcohols, esters, and pyridines are well tolerated, while primary amines-containing alkynes are not coupled efficiently and produce mixtures. The best of the series, complex 48a is more active than 2-imidazolylidene copper analogues. This complex performs well in reactions where classical catalysts tend to fail. For example, the coupling of sterically bulky alkynes with bulky azides such as Dipp-CCH with Dipp-N3 proceeds with high conversion, albeit after longer reaction times. This reactivity is obviously attractive for preparing new triazolylidene ligands.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{N}_2R' + \text{Cu} &\xrightarrow{\text{cat}} [\text{Cu}]_2 \\
\text{R} &\xrightarrow{\text{Cu}^+} \text{R} \\
\text{N} &\xrightarrow{\text{Cu}} \text{N} \\
\text{Cu} &\xrightarrow{\text{X}} \text{Cu} \\
\text{R} &\xrightarrow{\text{R'}} \text{R'} \\
\text{Cu} &\xrightarrow{\text{X}} \text{Cu} \\
\text{X} &\xrightarrow{\text{Cl, I}} \text{X} \\
\end{align*}
\]

Scheme 16 Cu(trz)-catalysed cycloaddition of alkynes and azides.

The potential of the 1,2,3-triazole product to act as a ligand for copper and to participate in the catalytic cycle has been addressed by preparing a representative N3-bound triazole copper complex (R = Ph, R' = Bn). This complex is indeed a catalyst precursor, however its activity (67% after 7 h) is two orders of magnitude lower than that of complexes 48 and is thus not competitive. In line with this conclusion, deliberate addition of triazole as auxiliary to complex 48a has no catalysis-accelerating effect. In this context, it is interesting to note that complex 48a displays activity similar to a mixture of CuCl and the mesoionic oxide 49a (cf. Scheme 15) in the coupling of benzyl azide and phenylacetylene. The mesoionic oxide/CuCl mixture may be generated directly from 48a, a process that is not unlikely to occur under catalytic conditions.

Triazolylidene palladium-catalysed C–C cross coupling reactions

Considering the popularity of palladium in cross-coupling reactions, and of aryl-aryl cross-coupling in particular, it is not surprising that many triazolylidene palladium complexes have been investigated as catalyst precursors for Suzuki-Miyaura cross-coupling. Specifically, the enhanced donor properties of triazolylidene compared to phosphines and C2-bound imidazolylidene, is expected to promote the oxidative arylation addition to the palladium(0) intermediate, often a limiting factor in arylchloride conversion. Sankararaman et al. have observed diaryl formation when using the chiral triazolylidene palladium complex 51 for the coupling of different arylbromides with arylboronic acids. At moderately elevated temperatures (75 °C) and with 2-5 mol% 51, activated arylbromides are converted in high yield over several hours (Scheme 17). Sterically more demanding substrates, e.g. naphthylbromides are poorly converted with phenylboronic acid (3-9 TONS) and are not cross-coupled to naphthylboronic acid because of a relatively fast deboronation process. Hence, evaluation of a potential asymmetric induction of the chiral ligand in 51 is prevented. Addition of elemental mercury decelerates the reaction but does not stop conversion.
mesityl substituents (complex \textit{trans}-21a) increases the catalytic activity and allows less reactive arylchlorides to be cross-coupled.\textsuperscript{37} Under optimised conditions deactivated \textit{p}-chloroanisol is converted quantitatively, while the analogous complex with IMes ligands gives only 60% conversion (IMes = \textit{N},\textit{N}'-bismesityl-2-imidazolylidene). Heteroaromatic chlorides as well as ortho-substituted arylchlorides including naphthylchloride are efficiently arylated.

As most Suzuki catalyst precursors require only one carbene ligand,\textsuperscript{35,36} the monotriazolylidene palladium complexes 18 and 19 bearing a ‘throw-away’ ligand have been investigated in Suzuki-Miyaura catalysis. A series of (substituted) allyl complexes 18 have been reported by Fukuzawa \textit{et al} to catalyse arylation of arylchlorides at room temperature.\textsuperscript{35} Complex 18e containing Dipp wingtip groups and featuring a cinnamallyl ligand yields the most active catalytic system of the series of allyl complexes 18. Interestingly, and in contrast to complex 21a, 3-chloropyridine is not converted at all.

Concomitantly and inspired by the PEPPSI concept (pyridine enhanced precatalyst preparation, stabilisation and initiation), our group has investigated complexes 19 containing an easily cleavable 3-chloropyridine ligand.\textsuperscript{37} Moderate catalytic activities for arylbromide conversion have been established (TOF\textsubscript{50} \approx 53 h\textsuperscript{-1}) at 50 °C.\textsuperscript{22} At higher temperatures, significant decomposition of 19 occurs. Activated arylchlorides are arylated, though conversions do not exceed 60%, thus suggesting the active species has a limited life-time. Variation of the wingtip groups on the triazolylidene ligand indicates a reverse trend to that of 2-imidazolylidene PEPPSI catalysts, as the smallest substituents (19a, b) induce the highest activity. Under slightly modified conditions, substantially better conversions can be achieved.\textsuperscript{35}

Mechanistic studies using mass spectrometry (MS) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) have revealed the formation of ligand-free clusters and particles in the 3–5 nm range under catalytic conditions.\textsuperscript{22} These results paired with the poisoning effect of mercury suggest a heterogeneous process in which nanoparticles of palladium are generated, constituting the catalyst resting state.\textsuperscript{38} The nanoparticles formed may be stabilised by triazolylidene, or by triazolium cations.\textsuperscript{79} Leaching of palladium atoms from these nanoparticles, with or without bound triazolylidene, generates the molecular and catalytically active species responsible for the conversion of arylchlorides under relatively mild conditions. Similar conclusions have been drawn in independent work by Crudden and coworkers using complex 52 and a related bimetallic system derived from 11 as catalyst precursors.\textsuperscript{50} Hence, these triazolylidene complexes have a distinctly different mode of action than the corresponding imidazolylidene derivatives, in part because of the weaker Pd–C\textsubscript{trz} bond.

The mixed triazolylidene benzimidazolylidene complexes 53 are precatalysts for the arylation of pentafluorobenzene by direct C–H bond activation (Scheme 18). The catalytic activity is higher at lower catalyst loadings (1–0.5%) than with higher concentrations of 53. This behaviour, together with the rather high operation temperature (120 °C) and the incidental observation of palladium black point to a possibly heterogeneous mechanism as established for the PEPPSI-type triazolylidene complexes 19 and 52.

Leibscher and coworkers have developed a Suzuki cross-coupling protocol based on triazolium salts, Pd(dba)\textsubscript{3}, and Cs\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} as a base.\textsuperscript{61} This \textit{in-situ} procedure avoids the formation of triazolylidene complexes as precatalysts, however, results are the same when only Pd(dba)\textsubscript{3} is used. The mixture is catalytically inactive when the triazolium salts are replaced by bis-triazolium units, the latter most likely promoting the formation of a robust dicarbene complex. Mixed imidazolium-triazolium precursors give better yields, perhaps because of the higher stability of the Pd–C\textsubscript{imidazolylidene} bond compared to the M–C\textsubscript{trz} bond.

The triazolylidene palladium complexes 21 catalyse the arylation of olefins (Heck-Mizoroki reaction; Scheme 19).\textsuperscript{55} Mesityl wingtip groups induce higher activity than phenyl substituents. For example, the coupling of bromobenzene with \textit{t}-butylacrylate reaches 80% conversion after 3 h with 21a, yet only 40% after 8 h with 21b, though the high selectivity towards the \textit{trans} olefin product is preserved. Arylbromides are converted well, but aryl chlorides give much lower yields and are only converted if activated by an electron-withdrawing group.

Heteroatoms and ortho substituents constitute a further limitation to this catalyst system. While 21 performs substantially less well than the most active carbene complexes,\textsuperscript{63} it is worth noting that it is more active than the corresponding 2-imidazolylidene analogues, e.g. [PdCl\textsubscript{2}(IMes)\textsubscript{2}]. This higher activity has been attributed to the better donor properties of the triazolylidene compared to IMes, entailing easier ArX oxidative addition to palladium(0). Considering the harsh reaction conditions (150 °C), this activity enhancement may also be correlated with the weaker Pd–C bond stability in triazolylidene complexes.

Complex 21a is also effective in the arylation of alkynes (Sonogashira reaction; Scheme 20). The reaction is only practical for electron-poor aryl bromides, while electron-donating...
substituents on the aryl halide induce moderate to low conversion. A variety of terminal alkynes have been successfully coupled. As in the case of Mizoroki-Heck coupling, neutral and electron-rich aryl halides present lower conversions, complex 21a outperforms the analogous normal carbene complex comprising two IMes ligands.

\[
\text{Scheme 20 Pd(trz)-catalysed Sonogashira cross-coupling and tandem Heck/Sonogashira functionalisation of iodobromobenzene.}
\]

The efficiency of complex 21a both in the Mizoroki-Heck and the Sonogashira coupling has been exploited to perform tandem reactions (Scheme 20). Thus, a sequential Heck-arylation and subsequent Sonogashira coupling has been developed to generate the desired product in 69% yield, though formation of small amounts of the double Mizoroki-Heck reaction is observed as a minor byproduct.

**Triazolylidene complexes for catalytic hydroarylation of alkynes**

Triazolylidene palladium complex 20 catalyses the hydroarylation of alkynes (Scheme 21), though less efficiently than the normal NHC Pd analogues.\(^\text{36}\) Reaction of mesitylene with ethyl propiolate produces the corresponding vinylarene stereoselectively, forming only the Z-isomer. Formation of the double addition product also occurs and is not suppressed when using a large excess of aren. The reaction works well for low catalyst loadings (0.5%) in the presence of excess TFA in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\). In the absence of TFA only propiolate polymerisation takes place. Evaluation of the scope of catalyst 20 indicates high activity with electron-rich arenes, while 4-tertbutylphenol produces 6-tertbutylecoumarine as the single product resulting from an intramolecular transesterification. Phenylacetylene also induces a mixture of mono- and bishydroarylation products. With phenyl- or tolylpropionate, only a single insertion is observed, though the product ester is partially hydrolysed.

\[
\text{Scheme 21 Pd(trz)-catalysed hydroarylation of alkynes.}
\]

**Triazolylidene Au complexes for addition reactions**

Inspired by the success of gold(I) complexes in a variety of catalytic processes,\(^\text{34}\) Crowley and coworkers have demonstrated triazolylidene gold complexes to be highly active catalyst precursors for carbene insertion and cycloisomerisation reactions.\(^\text{35}\) Thus complex 54 promotes the carbene insertion into O–H bonds of primary, secondary, and tertiary alcohols (Scheme 22). Insertion into phenolic O–H bonds or into the N–H bond of aniline is less efficient. Likewise, using larger carbene precursors than ethyldiazoacetate (EDA) is not clean, though still feasible. Considering the modularity of the triazolylidene ligand, such limitations may be overcome by appropriate tailoring of the carbene substituents.

\[
\text{Scheme 22 Au(trz)-catalysed hydroalkoxylation of carbones.}
\]

Similar insertion reactions are catalysed by complex 54 when using allenes instead of diazo precursors of carbones. For example, allenes featuring a primary alcohol undergo an intramolecular cyclisation (Scheme 23a). Similarly, enynes cyclise to give, depending on the conditions, cyclopentenes (in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)) or cyclopentanes with an exocyclic double bond if more polar solvents are used (CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)/MeOH 1:1, Scheme 23b). The latter method also induces the insertion of the intermediate into the MeO–H bond and affords the corresponding methoxyolated product with excellent conversions.

\[
\text{Scheme 23 Au(trz)-catalysed intra- and intermolecular hydroalkoxylation and intramolecular cycloaddition.}
\]

**Triazolylidene Ru complexes for olefin metathesis**

Bertrand and Grubbs have explored various triazolylidene ruthenium complexes in olefin metathesis.\(^\text{15,16}\) Complexes with an alkyloxocarbene ligand at N3 have been noted to be unstable, though complexes 24 comprised of an arylated N3 are considerably more robust. Complexes 24c, 24d, and 24j display high activity in ring opening metathesis polymerisation (ROMP) of cyclooctadiene while 24f only induces low conversions, even after several days. Comparison of these triazolylidene-derived catalysts with Grubbs’ second generation catalyst (GII) indicates that 24d...
performs similarly, 24j is slightly slower, and 24c comparably slow. The presence of a phenyl wingtip group at the triazolylidene C4 position may be responsible for this reduced activity, as cyclometallation may deactivate olefin metathesis. Kinetic and computational studies suggest an associative or a dissociative interchange initiation step, and indicate that the steric of 24f play a significant role in inhibiting the catalyst initiation.

![Diagram](image)

Scheme 24 Ru(trz)-catalysed ring closing metathesis.

Ring closing metathesis (RCM, Scheme 24) follows related trends. Complex 24f is essentially inactive, while 24c, 24d and 24j show conversion profiles consistent with the activation energies determined for the initiation. Complex 24c displays relatively slow initiation after an induction period. In contrast, no induction period has been noted for 24d, presumably because of complex decomposition. In support of this hypothesis, conversion ceases before reaching completion. Complex 24j performs best and displays fast initiation as well as catalytic activity similar to GII. Furthermore, it catalyses the formation of trisubstituted olefins.

As reported for related bis(carbene) species, the ruthenium complexes 46 featuring a normal NHC and a triazolylidene ligand is RCM and ROMP inactive (Scheme 24). High activity is induced upon addition of a strong acid such as trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) or HCl, but interestingly not with HBF4. Acidolysis of the Ru–CMe bond has been demonstrated to yield an active Ru(NHC) species along with the corresponding triazolium salt. Hence, complex 46 represents a latent catalyst precursor that can be activated at room temperature. Selective triazolium formation suggests that the ruthenium–triazolylidene bond is less stable than the Ru–NHC bond, which is in agreement with observations in triazolylidene palladium catalysis (see above). Detailed mechanistic studies indicate that protonation of the triazolylidene, presumably at N2, rather than ligand dissociation is the rate-determining step in catalyst activation. The relevance of such a protonation mechanism is further underlined by the observation that a complex analogous to 46 yet comprised of two SIMes as normal NHC ligands is considerably less active than 46.

Complex 55 catalyses the ROMP of norbornene when activated by trimethylsilyle-diazomethane (Scheme 25), however, less strained cyclic olefins are not efficiently polymerised.28

![Diagram](image)

Scheme 25 Ru(trz)-catalysed ring-opening metathesis polymerisation.

Triazolylidene Ru complexes for organic oxidations

Complexes 56 are catalyst precursors for the base- and oxidant-free oxidation of alcohols and amines (Scheme 26). Using benzyl alcohol as a model substrate, complex 56a displays higher activity than both 56b and normal NHC analogues and affords benzaldehyde quantitatively within 16 h. Substitution of the metal-bound chlorines in 56 for carbonate (57) has diverging effects. While the activity is reduced in the cymene-containing series, a significant increase of the conversion from 55% to 85% is achieved in the hexamethylbenzene analogue 57b. Lower temperatures (70 °C instead of 120 °C) still lead to high conversions, though increased catalyst loading (10 mol %) and reaction times are required. Primary and secondary benzylic alcohols are readily oxidised with 56a, though electron-withdrawing substrates need longer reaction times. Aliphatic alcohols such as 2-phenylethanol and 1-octanol are poor substrates, indicating some selectivity for synthetic purposes when targeting more complex substrates.

![Diagram](image)

Scheme 26 Ru(trz)-catalysed dehydrogenation of alcohols and amines.

The oxidation of primary amines generates homocoupled imines due to condensation of the initially formed imine with residual amine (Scheme 26). Slightly higher reaction temperatures (150 °C) are needed than for the analogous alcohol oxidation. The harsher conditions required for amine oxidation may be a consequence of the stronger imine bond as compared to ketone binding to the metal centre, and might thus point to product release from the metal coordination sphere as the rate-limiting step. Notably, the normal NHC analogue is more active in amine oxidation than the triazolylidene complexes 56. Complexes 57 are inactive, presumably because substitution of the chelating carbonate ligand by the amine substrate is inhibited. Aliphatic amines are also oxidised, though at lower rates.

When alcohol and amine oxidation are combined, amides are
formed if a base is added as auxiliary (Scheme 27). Thus, reaction of benzyl alcohol with benzylamine in the presence of catalytic amounts of 56a and NaH affords the corresponding phenyl benzyl amide.

![Scheme 27](image)

**Triazolylidene Ru and Ir complexes for water oxidation**

Water oxidation is the arduous half-cycle water splitting, a key technology to capture solar energy for fuel generation. Both ruthenium and iridium complexes containing triazolylidene ligands have demonstrated high activity in water oxidation. For example, complexes 42, 44, 45, and 58 lead to efficient O2 evolution from water in the presence of [NH4]2[Ce(NO3)6] (CAN) as sacrificial oxidant (Scheme 28).

High turnover numbers (TONs > 10,000) have been achieved, and these turnover numbers are limited only by the availability of CeIV and not on the stability of the catalytically active species from complexes 44, 45, and 58.

![Scheme 28](image)

Complex 42 featuring a monodentate carbene ligand is less soluble in water and achieves under dilute conditions a respectable 22,800 turnovers. However, this TON is substantially lower than those from bidentate complexes such as 44 or 58, and conversion decreases markedly after consumption of about 50% of sacrificial oxidant, suggesting only limited catalyst lifetime. Therefore, chelation seems to be important for generating robust water oxidation catalysts.

Variation of the triazolylidene ligand framework has direct implications on activity and has allowed for catalyst optimisation. For example, complex 58 accomplishes about 40,000 turnovers and consumes all available CeIV. Turnover frequencies with this complex are improved to 450 h⁻¹ (ca. 280 h⁻¹ for 44 and 190 h⁻¹ for 45).

Kinetic studies and DLS analyses, paired with the strong dependence of the catalytic activity on the ligand setup strongly suggest that the active catalyst is a well-defined molecular species.

When complex 42 is combined with hematite as photoabsorber and anode, photoelectrochemical water oxidation is indicated by an enhancement in the photocurrent by ca. 35%. Further engineering of this system may lead to efficient artificial photosynthesis.

Ruthenium complexes 59 and 60 are also precursors for CeIV-mediated water oxidation (Scheme 29). While turnover frequencies and numbers (max. 7,000 TON, 19 h⁻¹) are remarkable for ruthenium-based systems, they are clearly lower than when using iridium pre-catalysts such as 44 or 58. Intriguingly, though, the catalytic activity and selectivity is directly correlated to the ligand framework, which allows both parameters to be rationally tailored. Substantial fractions of CO2 (1–34%) are produced with all cymene complexes 59, and the selectivity towards O2 evolution is markedly higher with complexes 60. Whereas 60d still generates detectable quantities of CO2 (ca. 1%), presumably due to cyclometallation of the phenyl substituent and subsequent ligand degradation, complexes 60a-c are highly selective and produce O2 exclusively. The triazolylidene ligand in these complexes is thus sufficiently stable towards oxidation. Moreover, the activity of complexes 60a-d directly correlates with the first oxidation potential of the ruthenium centre and also with the expected impact of the wingtip group at N1. Thus, a methyl substituent entails the lowest RuII/RuIII potential and the fastest water oxidation rate (19 TONs in 1000 s). This correlation is interesting when considering that the supposedly rate-limiting O–O bond formation process is typically assumed to involve higher valent ruthenium intermediates such as RuV or RuVI.

![Scheme 29](image)

The excellent performance of these ruthenium and iridium complexes and, in particular, the robust catalytic cycle has been attributed in part to the specific properties of the mesoionic triazolylidene. Closely related mesoionic imidazol-4-yldienes have been demonstrated to actively participate in bond activation towards triazolylidene ligand in these complexes is thus sufficiently stable towards oxidation. Moreover, the activity of complexes 60a-d directly correlates with the first oxidation potential of the ruthenium centre and also with the expected impact of the wingtip group at N1. Thus, a methyl substituent entails the lowest RuII/RuIII potential and the fastest water oxidation rate (19 TONs in 1000 s). This correlation is interesting when considering that the supposedly rate-limiting O–O bond formation process is typically assumed to involve higher valent ruthenium intermediates such as RuV or RuVI.

When complex 42 is combined with hematite as photoabsorber and anode, photoelectrochemical water oxidation is indicated by an enhancement in the photocurrent by ca. 35%. Further engineering of this system may lead to efficient artificial photosynthesis.

Ruthenium complexes 59 and 60 are also precursors for CeIV-mediated water oxidation (Scheme 29). While turnover frequencies and numbers (max. 7,000 TON, 19 h⁻¹) are remarkable for ruthenium-based systems, they are clearly lower than when using iridium pre-catalysts such as 44 or 58. Intriguingly, though, the catalytic activity and selectivity is directly correlated to the ligand framework, which allows both parameters to be rationally tailored. Substantial fractions of CO2 (1–34%) are produced with all cymene complexes 59, and the selectivity towards O2 evolution is markedly higher with complexes 60. Whereas 60d still generates detectable quantities of CO2 (ca. 1%), presumably due to cyclometallation of the phenyl substituent and subsequent ligand degradation, complexes 60a-c are highly selective and produce O2 exclusively. The triazolylidene ligand in these complexes is thus sufficiently stable towards oxidation. Moreover, the activity of complexes 60a-d directly correlates with the first oxidation potential of the ruthenium centre and also with the expected impact of the wingtip group at N1. Thus, a methyl substituent entails the lowest RuII/RuIII potential and the fastest water oxidation rate (19 TONs in 1000 s). This correlation is interesting when considering that the supposedly rate-limiting O–O bond formation process is typically assumed to involve higher valent ruthenium intermediates such as RuV or RuVI.

When complex 42 is combined with hematite as photoabsorber and anode, photoelectrochemical water oxidation is indicated by an enhancement in the photocurrent by ca. 35%. Further engineering of this system may lead to efficient artificial photosynthesis.

Ruthenium complexes 59 and 60 are also precursors for CeIV-mediated water oxidation (Scheme 29). While turnover frequencies and numbers (max. 7,000 TON, 19 h⁻¹) are remarkable for ruthenium-based systems, they are clearly lower than when using iridium pre-catalysts such as 44 or 58. Intriguingly, though, the catalytic activity and selectivity is directly correlated to the ligand framework, which allows both parameters to be rationally tailored. Substantial fractions of CO2 (1–34%) are produced with all cymene complexes 59, and the selectivity towards O2 evolution is markedly higher with complexes 60. Whereas 60d still generates detectable quantities of CO2 (ca. 1%), presumably due to cyclometallation of the phenyl substituent and subsequent ligand degradation, complexes 60a-c are highly selective and produce O2 exclusively. The triazolylidene ligand in these complexes is thus sufficiently stable towards oxidation. Moreover, the activity of complexes 60a-d directly correlates with the first oxidation potential of the ruthenium centre and also with the expected impact of the wingtip group at N1. Thus, a methyl substituent entails the lowest RuII/RuIII potential and the fastest water oxidation rate (19 TONs in 1000 s). This correlation is interesting when considering that the supposedly rate-limiting O–O bond formation process is typically assumed to involve higher valent ruthenium intermediates such as RuV or RuVI.

When complex 42 is combined with hematite as photoabsorber and anode, photoelectrochemical water oxidation is indicated by an enhancement in the photocurrent by ca. 35%. Further engineering of this system may lead to efficient artificial photosynthesis.

Ruthenium complexes 59 and 60 are also precursors for CeIV-mediated water oxidation (Scheme 29). While turnover frequencies and numbers (max. 7,000 TON, 19 h⁻¹) are remarkable for ruthenium-based systems, they are clearly lower than when using iridium pre-catalysts such as 44 or 58. Intriguingly, though, the catalytic activity and selectivity is directly correlated to the ligand framework, which allows both parameters to be rationally tailored. Substantial fractions of CO2 (1–34%) are produced with all cymene complexes 59, and the selectivity towards O2 evolution is markedly higher with complexes 60. Whereas 60d still generates detectable quantities of CO2 (ca. 1%), presumably due to cyclometallation of the phenyl substituent and subsequent ligand degradation, complexes 60a-c are highly selective and produce O2 exclusively. The triazolylidene ligand in these complexes is thus sufficiently stable towards oxidation. Moreover, the activity of complexes 60a-d directly correlates with the first oxidation potential of the ruthenium centre and also with the expected impact of the wingtip group at N1. Thus, a methyl substituent entails the lowest RuII/RuIII potential and the fastest water oxidation rate (19 TONs in 1000 s). This correlation is interesting when considering that the supposedly rate-limiting O–O bond formation process is typically assumed to involve higher valent ruthenium intermediates such as RuV or RuVI.
about synergistic effects through ligand-metal cooperativity similar to those observed in bi- and multimetallic systems. Specifically, the transient proton acceptor ability enables an intramolecular transfer of protons coupled to electron transfer (proton-coupled electron transfer, PCET), which is a key concept for facilitating multielectron processes that take place in water oxidation.⁵⁸

Applications beyond catalysis

Much like the chemistry of Arduengo-type NHC chemistry, most efforts to disclose applications of triazolylidene complexes have been directed towards catalysis and only little is known of the utilisation of these systems in other areas.

Photophysical applications

The heteroleptic bis(tridentate) ruthenium(II) complex 61 comprised of a C,N,C-tridentate coordinating bis(triazolylidene)pyridine ligand as a tpy analog (tpy = 2,2'-6,2''-terpyridine) displays interesting photochemical properties (Fig. 6).⁵² Detailed luminescence and electrochemical studies indicate that the longest wavelength MLCT absorption involves the tpy ligand, while the ³MLCT emission originates from the carbene ligand. The ³MLCT states are rather high in energy due to the strongly donating triazolylidene ligands. Therefore these triplet states are barely populated thermally, which efficiently suppresses radiationless deactivation pathways.

![Fig. 6 Photoluminescent triazolylidene ruthenium complexes.](image)

The triazolylidene complex 62 (Fig. 6), a close analogue of [Ru(bpy)₃]²⁺ (bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine), has interesting electro- and photochemical characteristics.⁶⁹ Because of the strong donor properties, the oxidation potential of the ruthenium(II) centre is lowered by some 300 mV compared to the bpy homologue, while the electron-acceptor properties remain bpy-centred and are therefore unaffected, resulting in a net decrease of the HOMO-LUMO gap by 0.3 eV. Excited state lifetimes are not significantly affected by the replacement of one pyridyl ligand by a triazolylidene, which holds promise for application of this class of compounds as photosensitisers.

Enzyme mimicry

A triazolylidene iridium scaffold constitutes a functional analogue of methyl transferases such as S-adenosyl methionine (SAM). SAM transfers a methyl or a methylene group from a sultonium site to an sp²-hybridised carbon atom, e.g. in cysteine to produce 5-methyl-cysteine (Scheme 31a). An analogous reactivity is observed when the pyridinium-functionalised triazolium salt 7 is metallated with [Ir(Cp*)Cl₂]: in the presence of acetate (Scheme 31b).⁷⁰ After formation of the triazolylidene iridium bond, a methylene group is selectively transferred from the pyridinium fragment to the aryl unit of the N-bound benzyl group with concomitant activation of a solvent molecule to yield complex 63 comprising a N,C,N-tridentate triazolylidene chelate. Formation of 63 involves C–H and C–N bond cleavage and formation of two new C(sp²)-C(sp²) bonds within the iridium coordination sphere. Isotope labelling experiments have unambiguously confirmed the selective transfer of the pyridin-bound carbon. The pyridinium moiety in 7 is consequently an equally useful methyl source as the sulfonium group in SAM.

![Scheme 31 Methyl transfer a) biochemically mediated by S-methyladenosyl methionine (SAM) and b) on a Ir(trz) platform using methylpyridinium as a CH₃ source.](image)

Conclusions

Despite the short time elapsed since their first appearance as NHC-type ligands in transition metal chemistry, 1,2,3-triazolylidenes have been remarkably well investigated in their fundamental properties, and have also made substantial contributions to various areas of catalysis. The mesoionic character offers opportunities for transient proton/electron storage and increases the polarity and water solubility of the complexes. These properties provide access, for example, to reactions in aqueous media without further ligand functionalisation (cf. water oxidation). Initial applications in materials science and in a biochemical context have appeared, and further progress is more than likely to be achieved in the next few years. In particular, the ease of accessibility of this subclass of NHC ligands, and the limitless options to introduce structural and functional diversity on the wingtips constitute attractive attributes for promoting further development in this area of carbene chemistry. Triazole chemistry has been well-established in various areas, including peptide chemistry, surface functionalisation, and self-assembly to name a few, and such triazole-containing scaffolds may be
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