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ABSTRACT

This review updates similar papers published in JFT in 2001 and 2009. It presents evidence from meta-analyses, systematic literature reviews and controlled trials for the effectiveness of systemic interventions for families of children and adolescents with various difficulties. In this context, systemic interventions include both family therapy and other family-based approaches such as parent training. The evidence supports the effectiveness of systemic interventions either alone or as part of multimodal programmes for sleep, feeding and attachment problems in infancy; child abuse and neglect; conduct problems (including childhood behavioural difficulties, ADHD, delinquency and drug misuse); emotional problems (including anxiety, depression, grief, bipolar disorder and self-harm); eating disorders (including anorexia, bulimia and obesity); somatic problems (including enuresis, encopresis, medically unexplained symptoms, and poorly controlled asthma and diabetes), and first episode psychosis.
INTRODUCTION

This paper summarizes the evidence-base for systemic practice with child-focused problems, and updates previous similar papers (Carr, 2000, 2009). It is also a companion paper to a review of research on systemic interventions for adult-focused problems (Carr, 2014). In this paper a broad definition of systemic practices has been taken, which covers family therapy and other family-based interventions such as parent training or multisystemic therapy, which engage family members or members of the families’ wider networks in the process of resolving problems for young people from birth up to the age of 18 years. One-to-one services (such as home visiting for vulnerable mothers of young children), and complex interventions (such as multi-component care packages for people with intellectual and developmental disabilities), which are arguably systemic interventions, but which differ in many practical ways from family therapy were excluded from this review.

Sprenkle (2012) edited a special issue of the Journal and Marital and Family Therapy on research and concluded that a large and growing evidence-base now supports the effectiveness of systemic interventions. This work updates previous special issues of JMFT (Pinsof and Wynne, 1995; Sprenkle, 2002). Shadish and Baldwin (2003) reviewed 20 meta-analyses of systemic interventions for a wide range of child and adult-focused problems. The average effect-size across all meta-analyses was .65 after therapy, and .52 at 6-12 months follow-up. These results show that, overall, the average treated family, fared better after therapy and at follow-up than in excess of 71% of families in control groups.

If there is little doubt now about the fact that ‘family therapy works’ the next key question to address is its cost-effectiveness. In an important series of US studies, Crane and Christenson (2012) showed that family therapy reduces health service usage, especially for frequent service users and that family therapy is associated with greater
benefits than individual therapy. The medical cost-offset associated with family therapy covers the cost of providing therapy and in many cases leads to overall cost savings. Crane drew these conclusions from studies of a US health maintenance organization with 180,000 subscribers, the Medicaid system of the State of Kansas, CIGNA Behavioural Health which is a division of a health insurance company with nine million subscribers, and a US family therapy training clinic.

While evidence for the overall efficacy, effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of systemic interventions is vital for health-care policy development and management, detailed research findings on ‘what works for whom’ are required by family therapists who wish to engage in research-informed practice. The remainder of this paper focuses on precisely this issue. As with previous versions of this review, extensive computer and manual literature searches were conducted for systemic interventions with a wide range of problems of childhood and adolescence. For the present review the search extended to July 2013. Major data-bases, family therapy journals, and child and adolescent mental health journals were searched, as well as major textbooks on evidence-based practice. Where available, meta-analyses and systematic review papers were selected for review, since these constitute the strongest form of evidence. If such papers were unavailable, controlled trials, which constitute the next highest level of evidence, were selected. Only in the absence of such trials, were uncontrolled studies selected. It was intended that this paper be primarily a ‘review of the reviews’, with a major focus on substantive findings of interest to practicing therapists, rather than on methodological issues. This overall review strategy was adopted to permit the strongest possible case to be made for systemic evidence-based practices with a wide range of child-focused problems, and to offer useful guidance for therapists, within the space constraints of a single paper. Below the results of the review are presented under the following headings: problems of infancy, child abuse
and neglect, conduct problems, emotional problems, eating disorders, somatic problems and psychosis.

**PROBLEMS OF INFANCY**

Family-based interventions are effective for a proportion of families in which infants have sleeping, feeding and attachment problems. These difficulties occur in about a quarter to a third of infants and are of concern because they may compromise family adjustment and later child development (Zennah, 2012).

**Sleep problems**

Family-based behavioural programmes are an effective treatment for settling and night waking problems, which are the most prevalent sleep difficulties in infancy (Hill, 2011). In these programmes, parents are coached in reducing or eliminating children’s day-time naps, developing positive bedtime routines, reducing parent-child contact at bedtime or during episodes of night waking, and introducing scheduled waking where children are awoken 15-60 minutes before the child’s spontaneous waking time and then resettled. A systematic review of 52 studies of family-based behavioural programmes for sleep problems in young children by Mindell (2006) and of 9 randomized controlled trails of family-based and pharmacological interventions by Ramchandani et al. (2000), indicate that both family-based and pharmacological interventions are effective in the short term, but only systemic interventions have positive long-term effects on children’s sleep problems.

**Feeding problems**

Severe feeding problems in infancy, which may be associated with failure to thrive, include self-feeding difficulties, swallowing problems, frequent vomiting, and in the most extreme
cases - food refusal. With food refusal there is refusal to eat all or most foods resulting in a failure to meet caloric needs or dependence on supplemental tube feeds. Family-based behavioural programmes are particularly effective in addressing food refusal (Kedesdy and Budd, 1998; Sharp et al., 2010). Such programmes involve parents prompting, shaping and reinforcing successive approximations to appropriate feeding behaviour, while concurrently preventing children from escaping from the feeding situation, ignoring inappropriate feeding responses, and making the feeding environment pleasant for the child. Small spoonfuls of preferred foods are initially used in these programmes. Gradually bite sizes are increased and non-preferred nutritious food is blended with preferred food. In a systematic review of 48 controlled single case and group studies, Sharp et al. (2010) concluded that such programmes were effective in ameliorating severe feeding problems and improving weight gain in infants and children, particularly those with developmental disabilities.

**Attachment problems**

Infant attachment insecurity is a risk factor for internalizing (Madigan et al., 2013) and externalizing (Fearon et al., 2010) problems in childhood and adult psychological difficulties (Dozier et al., 2008). A range of short and long-term evidence-based family interventions, each supported by a series of controlled trials, has been developed to foster attachment security in families with varying degrees of vulnerability (Berlin et al., 2008; Zennah et al., 2011). For high-risk families in which parents have histories of childhood adversity and whose current families are characterised by high levels of stress, low levels of support and domestic violence or child abuse, longer-term intensive interventions have been shown to be effective in improving attachment security. These involve weekly clinical sessions or home-visiting and span 1-2 years. For example, child-parent psychotherapy involves weekly dyadic sessions with mothers and children for about a year (Lieberman &
Van Horn, 2005). Child-parent psychotherapy helps mothers resolve ambivalent feelings about their infants by linking these to their own adverse childhood experiences and current life stresses within the context of a supportive long-term therapeutic alliance. For less vulnerable families, briefer interventions involving a few carefully structured home-visiting sessions and video feedback on parent-child interaction have been shown to be effective in improving attachment security. For example, with Juffer et al.’s (2008) video feedback intervention to promote positive parenting, in 4 home visits parents are given feedback on videotapes of their interactions with their infants’, written materials on attachment, and an opportunity to discuss the impact of their own family of origin experiences on the way they interact with their infants.

The results of this review suggest that in developing services for families of infants with sleeping and feeding problems, only relatively brief out-patient programmes are required, involving up to 15 sessions over 3-4 months for each episode of treatment. For attachment problems, the intensity of intervention needs to be matched to the level of family vulnerability.

**CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT**

Systemic interventions are effective in a proportion of cases of child abuse and neglect. These problems have devastating effects on the psychological development of children (Myers, 2011). In a series of meta-analyses of international studies Stoltenborgh (2011, 2012, 2013a,b) and colleagues found prevalence rates based on self-report of 22.6% for physical abuse, 12.7% for contact sexual abuse, 36.3% for emotional abuse, 16.3% for physical neglect and 18.4% for emotional neglect.

**Physical abuse and neglect**
Systematic narrative reviews concur that for physical child abuse and neglect, effective therapy is family-based, structured, extends over periods of at least 6 months, and addresses specific problems in relevant subsystems including children’s post-traumatic adjustment problems; parenting skills deficits; and the overall supportiveness of the family and social network (Chaffin & Friedrich, 2004; Edgeworth & Carr, 2000; MacDonald, 2001; MacLeod & Nelson, 2000; Skowron & Reinemann, 2005; Tolan et al., 2005). Cognitive behavioural family therapy (Kolko, 1996; Kolko & Swenson, 2002; Rynyon & Deblinger, 2013), parent-child interaction therapy (Chaffin et al., 2004; Hembree-Kigin & McNeil, 1995; Timmer et al, 2005), and multisystemic therapy (Brunk et al., 1987; Henggeler et al., 2009) are manualized approaches to family-based treatment which have been shown in randomized controlled trials to reduce the risk of further physical child abuse.

**Cognitive behavioural family therapy for physical abuse.** In a controlled trial Kolko (1996) found that at one year follow-up conjoint cognitive behavioural family therapy and concurrent parent and child cognitive behaviour therapy were both more effective than routine services in reducing the risk of further abuse in families of school-aged children in which physical abuse had occurred. The 16 session programme involved helping parents and children develop skills for regulating angry emotions, communicating and managing conflict, and developing alternatives to physical punishment as a disciplinary strategy (Kolko and Swenson, 2002).

**Parent-child interaction therapy for physical abuse.** In a controlled trial of parent-child interaction therapy, Chaffin et al (2004) found that at 2 years follow-up, only 19% of parents who participated in parent-child interaction therapy had a re-report for physical abuse compared with 49% of parents assigned to standard treatment. Parent-child interaction therapy involved 6 sessions which aimed to enhance parent motivation to engage in parent training; 7 sessions devoted to live coaching of parents and children in
positive child-directed interactions; and 7 sessions devoted to live coaching of parents and children in behavioural management of discipline issues using time-out and related procedures.

**Multisystemic therapy for physical abuse and neglect.** Brunk et al. (1987) compared the effectiveness of multisystemic therapy and group-based behavioural parent training in families where physical abuse or neglect had occurred. Families who received multisystemic therapy showed greater improvements in family problems and parent-child interaction after treatment. Multisystemic therapy involved joining with family members and members of their wider social and professional network, reframing interaction patterns, and prescribing tasks to alter problematic interaction patterns within specific subsystems (Henggeler et al., 2009). Therapists designed intervention plans on a per-case basis in light of family assessment; used individual, couple, family and network meetings in these plans; and received regular supervision to facilitate this process; and carried small case loads of 4-6 families.

**Sexual abuse**

For child sexual abuse, trauma-focused cognitive behaviour therapy for both abused young people and their non-abusing parents has been shown to reduce symptoms of post-traumatic stress disorder and improve overall adjustment (Deblinger and Heflinger, 1996). In a systematic review of 33, trials 27 of which evaluated trauma-focused cognitive behaviour therapy, Leenarts et al. (2012) found that cases treated with this approach fared better than those who received standard care. The results of this review suggest that trauma-focused cognitive-behavioural therapy is the best-supported treatment for children following childhood maltreatment. Trauma-focused cognitive behaviour therapy involves concurrent sessions for abused children and their non-abusing parents, in group or individual formats, with periodic conjoint parent-child sessions, Where intrafamilial sexual
abuse has occurred, it is essential that offenders live separately from victims until they have completed a treatment programme and been assessed as being at low risk for re-offending (Doren, 2006). The child-focused component involves exposure to abuse-related memories to facilitate habituation to them; relaxation and coping skills training; learning assertiveness and safety skills; and addressing victimization, sexual development and identity issues. Concurrent work with non-abusing parents and conjoint sessions with abused children and non-abusing parents focus on helping parents develop supportive and protective relationships with their children, and develop support networks for themselves.

The results of this review suggest that in developing services for families in which abuse and / or neglect has occurred, programmes that begin with a comprehensive network assessment and include along with regular family therapy sessions, the option of parent-focused and child-focused interventions should be prioritized. Programmes should span at least 6 months, with the intensity of input matched to families’ needs. Therapists should carry small case loads of less than 10 cases.

**CONDUCT PROBLEMS**

Family-based systemic interventions are effective for a proportion of cases of childhood behaviour problems (or oppositional defiant disorder), attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, pervasive adolescent conduct problems, and drug misuse. All of these difficulties are of concern because they may lead to co-morbid academic, emotional and relationship problems, and in the long-term to adult adjustment difficulties (Pliszka, 2009). They are also relatively common. In a review of community surveys, Merikangas et al. (2009) found that the median prevalence rate for disruptive behaviour disorders (including oppositional defiant disorder and conduct disorder) was 6%; for ADHD was 3-4%; and for adolescent
substance use disorders was 5%. Prevalence rates for these types of problems ranged from 1-24% across studies, and all were more common in boys.

**Childhood behaviour problems**

Childhood behaviour problems are maintained by both personal attributes (such as self-regulation problems) on the one hand, and contextual factors (such as problematic parenting practices) on the other. Treatment programmes have been developed to target each of these sets of factors.

Many meta-analyses and systematic reviews covering an evidence-base of over 100 studies, conclude that behavioural parent training is particularly effective in ameliorating childhood behaviour problems, leading to improvement in 60-70% of children, with gains maintained at one year follow-up, particularly if periodic review sessions are offered (Barlow et al., 2002; Behan & Carr, 2000; Brestan & Eyberg, 1998; Burke et al., 2002; Comer et al., 2013; Coren et al., 2002; Farrington & Welsh, 2003; Kazdin, 2007; Leijten et al., 2013; Lundahl, et al., 2008; Michelson et al., 2013; Nixon, 2002; Nock, 2003; Nowak & Heinrichs, 2008; Serketich & Dumas, 1996). Behavioural parent training also has a positive impact on parental adjustment problems. For example, in meta-analyses of parent training studies Serketich and Dumas (1996) found an effect size of .44 and McCart et al. (2006) found an effect size of .33 for parental adjustment. Thus, the average participant in parent training fared better than 63-65% of control group cases. Behavioural parent training is far more effective than individual therapy. For example, in a meta-analysis of 30 studies of behavioural parenting training, and 41 studies of individual therapy, McCart et al. (2006) found effect sizes of .45 for parent training and .23 for individual therapy. Meta-analyses also show that behavioural parent training is as effective in routine community settings as it is specialist programme development clinics (Michelson et al., 2013); that the inclusion of fathers in parent training leads to greater
improvement in child behaviour problems and parenting practices (Lundahs et al., 2008); and that more intensive programmes are more effective (Nowak & Heinrichs, 2008).

A critical element of behavioural parent training, which derives from Gerald Patterson’s seminal work at the Oregon Social Learning Centre, is helping parents develop skills for increasing the frequency of children’s prosocial behaviour (through attending, reinforcement, and engaging in child-directed interactions) and reducing the frequency of antisocial behaviour (through ignoring, time-out, contingency contracts, and engaging in parent directed interactions) (Forgatch & Paterson, 2010).

Immediate feedback, video-feedback and video-modelling have been used in effective behavioural parent training programmes. With video feedback, parents learn child management skills by watching videotaped episodes of themselves using parenting skills with their own children. With immediate-feedback, parents are directly coached in child-management skills through a ‘bug in the ear’ while the therapist observes their interaction with their children from behind a one-way mirror. Eyberg’s Parent-Child Interaction Therapy for parents of preschoolers is a good example of this approach (Zisser & Eyberg, 2010). With video-modelling based, parents learn child management skills through viewing video clips of actors illustrating successful and unsuccessful parenting skills. Webster-Stratton’s Incredible Years programme is a example of this type of approach (Webster-Stratton & Reid, 2010).

The effectiveness of behavioural parent training programmes may be enhanced by concurrently engaging children in therapy which aims to remediate deficits in self-regulation skills, such as managing emotions and social problem-solving (Kazdin, 2010; Webster-Stratton & Reid, 2010).

In a meta-analysis of 31 studies, Reyno & McGrath (2006) found that parents with limited social support, high levels of poverty-related stress, and mental health problems derived least benefit from behavioural parent training. To address these barriers to
effective parent training, adjunctive interventions which address parental vulnerabilities have been added to standard parent training programmes with positive incremental benefits. For example, Thomas and Zimmer-Gembeck (2007) found that, enhanced versions of the Parent-Child Interaction Therapy (Zisser & Eyberg, 2010) and Triple-P (Sanders & Murphy-Brennan 2010) programmes, which included additional sessions on parental support and stress management, were far more effective than standard versions of these programmes.

The results of this review suggest that in developing services for families where childhood behaviour problems are a central concern, behavioural parent training should be offered, with the option of additional child-focused and parent-focused interventions being offered where assessment indicates particular vulnerabilities in these subsystems. Programmes should span at least 6 months, with the intensity of input matched to families’ needs. Each aspect of the programme should involve about 10-20 sessions depending on need.

**Attention and overactivity problems**

Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is currently the most commonly used term for a syndrome, usually present from infancy, characterized by persistent overactivity, impulsivity and difficulties sustaining attention. Available evidence suggests that vulnerability to attentional and overactivity problems, unlike oppositional behavioural problems discussed in the preceding section, is largely constitutional (Thaper et al., 2013).

Results of meta-analyses suggest that a proportion of pre-school children with ADHD show significant improvement in response to behavioural parent training (Lee et al., 2012; Rajwan et al., 2012). For children who do not respond to systemic interventions alone, systematic reviews concur that systemic interventions for ADHD are best offered as elements of multimodal programmes involving stimulant medication (Anastopoulos et al.,
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2005; DuPaul et al., 2012; Friemoth, 2005; Hinshaw et al., 2007; Jadad et al., 1999; Klassen et al., 1999; Nolan & Carr, 2000; Schachar et al., 2002). For example, Hinshaw et al. (2007) in a review of 14 randomized controlled trials, concluded that about 70% of children with ADHD benefited from multimodal programmes. Multimodal programmes typically include stimulant treatment of children with drugs such as methylphenidate combined with family therapy or parent training; school-based behavioural programmes; and coping skills training for children. Family therapy for ADHD focuses on helping families develop patterns of organization conducive to effective child management (Anastopoulos et al., 2005). Such patterns of organization include a high level of parental co-operation in problem-solving and child management; a clear intergenerational hierarchy between parents and children; warm supportive family relationships; clear communication; and clear moderately flexible, rules, roles and routines. School-based behavioural programmes involve the extension of home-based behavioural programmes into the school setting through home-school, parent-teacher liaison meetings (DuPaul et al., 2012). Coping skills training focuses on coaching children in the skills required for managing their attention, impulsivity, aggression and overactivity (Hinshaw, 2005).

Medicated children with ADHD show a reduction in symptomatology and an improvement in both academic and social functioning, although positive effects dissipate when medication ceases, if systemic interventions to improve symptom control, such as those outlined above, have not been provided concurrently with medication. One of the most remarkable findings of the Multimodal Treatment study of ADHD (MTA) – the largest ever long-term controlled trial of stimulant medication for ADHD involving over 500 cases - is that stimulant medication ceased to have a therapeutic effect after 3 years (Swanson & Volkow, 2009). It also led to a reduction in height gain of about 2 cm, and a reduction in weight gain of about 2 kg. Furthermore, it did not prevent adolescent substance misuse as expected. The MTA trial showed that tolerance to medication used to treat ADHD occurs
and this medication has negative side-effects. These findings underline the importance of using medication to reduce ADHD symptoms to manageable levels for a time-limited period, while children and their parents engage in systemic interventions to develop skills to manage symptoms.

These results suggest that in developing services for families where children have attention and overactivity problems, multimodal treatment which includes family, school and child-focused interventions combined with stimulant therapy, spanning at least 6 months, in the first instance, is the treatment of choice. For effective long-term treatment, infrequent but sustained contact with a multidisciplinary service over the course of the child’s development should be made available, so that at transitional points within each yearly cycle (such as entering new school classes each autumn) and at transitional points within the lifecycle (such as entering adolescence, changing school, or moving house) increased service contact may be offered.

**Pervasive conduct problems in adolescence**

About a third of children with childhood behaviour problems develop conduct disorder, which is a pervasive and persistent pattern of antisocial behaviour that extends beyond the family into the community. Adolescent self-regulation and skills deficits; problematic parenting practices; and extrafamilial factors such as deviant peer group membership, high stress and low social support maintain conduct disorder, and are targeted by effective treatment programmes (Murrihy et al., 2010).

In a meta-analysis of 24 studies Baldwin et al. (2012) evaluated the effectiveness of brief strategic family therapy (Robbins et al., 2010), functional family therapy (Alexander et al., 2013), multisystemic therapy (Henggeler & Schaeffer, 2010) and multidimensional family therapy (Liddle, 2010). They found that all four forms of family therapy were effective compared with non-treatment control groups (with an effect size of .7) and
somewhat more effective than treatment as usual or alternative treatments (where the effect sizes were about .2). These results showed that the average case treated with family therapy fared better than 76% of untreated cases and 58% of cases who engaged in alternative treatments. These results are consistent with those from a previous meta-analysis of 8 family-based treatment studies of adolescent conduct disorder, conducted by Woolfenden et al. (2002). They found that family-based treatments including functional family therapy, multisystemic therapy and treatment foster care were more effective than routine treatment. These family-based treatments significantly reduced time spent in institutions; the risk or re-arrest; and recidivism 1-3 years following treatment. For each of these approaches, organizations to facilitate the large-scale transport of treatments to community settings have been developed along with quality assurance systems to support treatment fidelity in these settings (Henggeler & Sheidow, 2012). These effective family-based interventions for adolescent conduct disorder fall on a continuum of care which extends from functional family therapy and brief strategic therapy; through more intensive multisystemic therapy; to very intensive treatment foster care. What follows are brief outlines of three of these models.

**Functional family therapy.** This model was developed initially by James Alexander at the University of Utah and more recently by Tom Sexton at the University of Indiana (Alexander et al., 2013; Sexton, 2011). It is a manualized model of systemic family therapy for adolescent conduct disorder. It involves distinct stages of engagement, where the emphasis is on forming a therapeutic alliance with family members; behaviour change, where the focus is on facilitating competent family problem-solving; and generalization, where families learn to use new skills in a range of situations and to deal with setbacks. Whole family sessions are conducted on a weekly basis. Treatment spans 8-30 sessions over 3-6 months. In a systematic review of 27 clinical trials of functional family therapy, Alexander et al. (2013) concluded that this approach is effective in reducing recidivism by
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up to 70% in adolescent offenders with conduct disorders from a variety of ethnic groups over follow-up periods of up to 5 years, compared with those receiving routine services. It also leads to a reduction in conduct problems in siblings of offenders. In a review of a series of large scale effectiveness studies, Sexton and Alexander (2003), found that functional family therapy was $5,000-12,000 less expensive per case than juvenile detention or residential treatment and led to crime and victim cost savings of over $13,000 per case. The same review concluded that in a large scale effectiveness study, the dropout rate for functional family therapy was about 10% compared to the usual drop out rates of 50-70% in routine community treatment of adolescent offenders.

**Multisystemic therapy.** This model was developed at Medical University of South Carolina by Scott Henggeler and his team (Henggeler et al., 2009). Multisystemic therapy combines intensive family therapy with individual skills training for adolescents and intervention in the wider school and interagency network. Multisystemic therapy involves helping adolescents, families and involved professionals understand how adolescent conduct problems are maintained by recursive sequences of interaction within the youngsters family and social network; using individual and family strengths to develop and implement action plans and new skills to disrupt these problem maintaining patterns; supporting families to follow through on action plans; helping families use new insights and skills to handle new problem situations; and monitoring progress in a systematic way. Multisystemic therapy involves regular, frequent home-based family and individual therapy sessions with additional sessions in school or community settings over 3-6 months. Therapists carry low case loads of no more than 5 cases and provide 24 hour, 7 day availability for crisis management. In a meta-analysis of 11 studies evaluating the effectiveness of multisystemic therapy, Borduin et al. (2004), found a post-treatment effect size of .55, which indicates that the average treated case fared better than 72% of control group cases receiving standard services. Positive effects were maintained up to 4 years
after treatment. Multisystemic therapy had a greater impact on improving family relations than on improving individual adjustment or peer relations. In a systematic review of 18 studies Henggeler and Schaeffer (2010), concluded that compared with treatment-as-usual, multisystemic therapy led to significant improvements in individual and family adjustment which contributed to significant reductions in conduct problems, psychological adjustment, drug use, school absence, out-of-home placement, and recidivism. Improvements were found to be sustained at long-term follow-up for up to 14 years and entailed significant savings in placement, juvenile justice and crime victim costs.

**Multidimensional treatment foster care.** This model was developed at the Oregon Social Learning Centre by Patricia Chamberlain and her team (Chamberlain, 2003). Multidimensional treatment foster care combines procedures similar to multisystemic therapy with specialist foster placement, in which foster parents use behavioural principles to help adolescents modify their conduct problems. Treatment foster care parents are carefully selected, and before an adolescent is placed with them, they undergo intensive training. This focuses on the use of behavioural parenting skills for managing antisocial behaviour and developing positive relationships with antisocial adolescents. They also receive ongoing support and consultancy throughout placements which last 6-9 months. Concurrently, the young person the his or her biological family engage in weekly family therapy with a focus on parents developing behavioural parenting practices, and families developing communication and problem-solving skills. Adolescents also engage in individual therapy, and wider systems consultations are carried out with youngsters’ school teachers, probation officers and other involved professionals, to insure all relevant members of youngsters’ social systems are co-operating in ways that promote their improvement. About 85% of adolescents return to their parents home after treatment foster care. In a review of 3 studies of treatment foster care for delinquent male and female adolescents, Smith and Chamberlain (2010) found that compared with care in a
group home for delinquents, multidimensional treatment foster care significantly reduced running away from placement, re-arrest rate and self-reported violent behaviour. The benefits of multidimensional treatment foster care were due to the improvement in parents’ skills for managing adolescents in a consistent, fair, non-violent way, and reductions in adolescents’ involvement with deviant peers. These positive outcomes of multidimensional treatment foster care entailed cost savings of over $40,000 per case in juvenile justice and crime victim costs (Chamberlain & Smith, 2003).

From this review it may be concluded that in developing services for families of adolescents with conduct disorder, it is most efficient to offer services on a continuum of care. Less severe cases may be offered functional family therapy, up to 30 sessions over a 6 month period. Moderately severe cases and those that do not respond to circumscribed family interventions may be offered multisystemic therapy up to 20 hours per month over a periods of up to 6 months. Extremely severe cases and those who are unresponsive to intensive multisystemic therapy may be offered treatment foster care for a period of up to year and this may then be followed with ongoing multisystemic intervention. It would be essential that such a service involve high levels of supervision and low case loads for front line clinicians because of the high stress load that these cases entail and the consequent risk of therapist burnout.

**Drug misuse in adolescence**

In a systematic narrative review of 45 trials of treatments for adolescent drug users, Tanner-Smith et al. (2013) concluded that family therapy was more effective than other types of treatments including cognitive behaviour therapy, motivational interviewing, psychoeducation and various forms of individual and group counselling. A series of systematic reviews and meta-analyses support the effectiveness of family therapy programmes in the treatment of adolescent drug misuse (Austin et al., 2005; Baldwin et
al., 2012; Becker & Curry, 2008; Rowe, 2012; Vaughn & Howard, 2004; Waldron & Turner, 2008). Effective programmes include multidimensional family therapy (Liddle, 2010), brief strategic family therapy (Robbins et al., 2010), functional family therapy (Waldron & Brody, 2010), and multistystemic therapy (Henggeler & Schaeffer, 2010). These programmes also lead to improvements in conduct problems (mentioned in the previous section), family functioning, and school performance, as well as leading to a reduction in contact with deviant peers (Rowe, 2012). Brief outlines of multidimensional family therapy and brief strategic family therapy are given below to indicate the type of clinical practices associated with these evidence-based models.

**Multidimensional Family Therapy.** This model was developed by Howard Liddle and his team at the Centre for Treatment Research on Adolescent Drug Abuse at the University of Miami (Liddle, 2010). Multidimensional family therapy involves assessment and intervention in four domains: including (1) adolescents, (2) parents, (3) interactions within the family, and (4) family interactions with other agencies such as schools and courts. Three distinct phases characterize MDFT, and these include engaging families in treatment; working with themes central to recovery; and consolidating treatment gains and disengagement. MDFT involves between 16 and 25 sessions over 4-6 months. Treatment sessions may include adolescents, parents, whole families and involved professionals and may be held in the clinic, home, school, court, or other relevant agencies. Rowe and Liddle (2008) conducted a thorough review of the evidence-base for MDFT and concluded that it is effective in reducing alcohol and drug misuse, behavioural problems, emotional symptoms, negative peer associations, school failure, and family difficulties associated with drug misuse.

**Brief Strategic Family Therapy.** This model was developed at the Centre for Family Studies at the University of Miami by Josè Szapocznik and his team (Robbins et al., 2010). Brief strategic family therapy aims to resolve adolescent drug misuse by
improving family interactions that are directly related to substance use. This is achieved within the context of conjoint family therapy sessions by coaching family members to modify such interactions when they occur, and to engage in more functional interactions. The main techniques used in brief strategic family therapy are engaging with families, identifying maladaptive interactions and family strengths, and restructuring maladaptive family interactions. The model was developed for use with minority families, particularly Hispanic families, and therapists facilitate healthy family interactions based on appropriate cultural norms. Where there are difficulties engaging with whole families, therapists work with motivated family members to engage less motivated family members in treatment. Where, parents cannot be engaged in treatment, a one-person adaptation of brief strategic family therapy has been developed. Brief strategic family therapy involves 12-30 sessions over 3-6 months, with treatment duration and intensity being determined by problem severity. In a thorough review of research on this approach, Santisteban et al. (2006) concluded that it was effective at engaging adolescents and their families in treatment, reducing drug abuse and recidivism and improving family relationships. There is also empirical support from controlled trials for the efficacy of its strategic engagement techniques for inducting resistant family members into treatment, and for one person family therapy, where parents resist treatment engagement.

This review suggests that services for adolescent drug misuse should involve an intensive family engagement process and thorough assessment, followed by regular family sessions over a 3-6 month period, coupled with direct work with youngsters and other involved professionals. The intensity of therapy should be matched to the severity of the youngster’s difficulties. Where appropriate, medical assessment, detoxification, or methadone maintenance should also be provided.
Family-based systemic interventions are effective for a proportion of cases with anxiety disorders, depression, grief following parental bereavement, bipolar disorder, and self-harm. All of these emotional problems cause youngsters and their families considerable distress, and in many cases prevent young people from completing developmental tasks such as school attendance and developing peer relationships. In a review of community surveys, Merikangas et al. (2009) found that the median prevalence rate for anxiety disorders was 8%, with a range from 2-24%; the median prevalence rate for major depression was 4%, with a range from 0.2-17%; and the prevalence of bipolar disorder in young people was under 1%. Between 1.5 and 4% of children under 18 lose a parent by death, and a proportion of these show complicated grief reactions (Black, 2002).

Community-based studies show that about 10% of adolescents report having self-harmed; for some of these teenagers suicidal intent motivates their self-harm; and self-harm is more common among females, while completed suicide is more common among males (Hawton et al., 2012).

**Anxiety**

Anxiety disorders in children and adolescents include separation anxiety, selective mutism, phobias, social anxiety disorder, generalized anxiety disorder, obsessive compulsive disorder and post-traumatic stress disorder (American Psychiatric Association, 2013; World Health Organization, 1992). All are characterized by excessive fear of particular internal experiences or external situations, and avoidance of these. Systematic reviews of the effectiveness of family-based cognitive-behaviour therapy for child and adolescent anxiety disorders, show that it is at least as effective as individual cognitive behaviour therapy; more effective than individual therapy in cases where parents also have anxiety disorders; and more effective than individual interventions in improving the quality of family functioning (Barmish & Kendall, 2005; Creswell & Cartwright-Hatton,
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2007; Diamond & Josephson, 2005; Drake & Ginsburg, 2012; Kaslow et al., 2012; Reynolds et al., 2012; Silverman et al., 2008). Barrett’s FRIENDS programme is the best validated family-oriented cognitive behaviour therapy intervention for childhood anxiety disorders (Barrett & Shortt, 2003; Pahl & Barrett, 2010). In this programme children attend 10 weekly group sessions and parents join these 90-minute sessions for the last 20 minutes to become familiar with programme content. There are also a couple of dedicated family sessions and one and three month follow-up session for relapse prevention. Both children and parents engage in psychoeducation about anxiety, which provides a rational for anxious children engaging in gradual exposure to feared stimuli, which is essential for effective treatment. Children and parents also engage in communication and problem-solving skills training to enhance the quality of parent-child interaction. In the child-focused element of the programme youngsters learn anxiety management skills such as relaxation, cognitive coping and using social support, and use these skills to manage anxiety associated with gradual exposure to feared stimuli. In the family-based component, parents learn to reward their children’s use of anxiety management skills when facing feared stimuli, ignore their children’s avoidant or anxious behaviour, and manage their own anxiety.

School refusal. School refusal is usually due to separation anxiety disorder, where children avoid separation from parents as this leads to intense anxiety. Systematic reviews have concluded that behavioural family therapy leads to recovery for more than two thirds of cases, and this improvement rate is significantly higher than that found for individual therapy (Elliott, 1999; Heyne et al. 2013; King and Bernstein, 2001; King et al., 2000; Pina et al., 2009). Effective therapy begins with a careful systemic assessment to identify anxiety triggers and obstacles to anxiety control and school attendance. Children, parents and teachers, are helped to collaboratively develop a return-to-school plan, which includes coaching children in relaxation, coping and social skills to help them deal with anxiety.
triggers. Parents and teachers are then helped to support and reinforce children for using anxiety management and social skills to deal with the challenges which occur during their planned return to regular school attendance.

**Obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD).** With OCD children compulsively engage in repetitive rituals to reduce anxiety associated with cues such as dirt or lack of symmetry. In severe cases, children’s lives become seriously constricted due to the time and effort they invest in compulsive rituals. Also, family life comes to be dominated by other family member’s attempts to accommodate to, or prevent these rituals. A series of trials has shown that family-based cognitive behavioural exposure and response prevention treatment is effective in alleviating symptoms in 50-70% of cases of paediatric OCD; the best treatment response occurs where such interventions are combined with selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors (SSRI) such as Sertaline; and that family-based CBT is more effective than SSRIs alone (Franklin et al., 2010; Moore et al., 2013; Watson & Rees, 2008). Treatment is offered on an individual or group basis to children with concurrent family sessions over about four months. Family intervention involves psychoeducation about OCD and its treatment through exposure and response prevention, externalizing the problem, monitoring symptoms, and helping parents and siblings support and reward the child for completing exposure and response prevention homework exercises. Family therapy also helps parents and siblings avoid inadvertent reinforcement of children’s compulsive rituals. Exposure and response prevention is the principal child-focused element of the programme. With this, children construct hierarchies of anxiety providing cues (such as increasingly dirty stimuli) and are exposed to these cues that elicit anxiety provoking obsessions (such as ideas about contamination) commencing with the least anxiety provoking, while not engaging in compulsive rituals (such as hand washing), until habituation occurs. They also learn anxiety management skills to help them cope with the exposure process.
This review suggests that in developing services for children with anxiety disorders, family therapy of up to 16 sessions should be offered, which allows children to enter into anxiety provoking situations in a planned way and to manage these through the use of coping skills and parental support.

**Depression**

Major depression is an episodic disorder characterized by low or irritable mood, loss of interest in normal activities, and most of the following symptoms: psychomotor agitation or retardation, fatigue, low self-esteem, pessimism, inappropriate excessive guilt, suicidal ideation, impaired concentration, and sleep and appetite disturbance (American Psychiatric Association, 2013; World Health Organization, 1992). Episodes may last from a few weeks to a number of months and recur periodically over the lifecycle with inter-episode intervals varying from a few months to a number of years. Integrative theories of depression propose that episodes occur when genetically vulnerable individuals find themselves involved in stressful family systems in which there is limited access to socially supportive relationships (Abela & Hankin, 2008). Family-based therapy, aims to reduce stress and increase support for young people within their families. But other factors also provide a rational for family therapy. Not all young people respond to antidepressant medication (Goodyer et al., 2007). Also, some young people do not wish to take medication because of its side effects; and in some instances parents or clinicians are concerned that medication may increase the risk of suicide. Finally, research on adult depression has shown that relapse rates in the year following pharmacotherapy are about double those of psychotherapy (Vittengl et al., 2007).

Stark et al. (2012) reviewed 25 trials of family-based treatment programmes for child and adolescent depression. In these studies a variety of formats was used including
conjoint family sessions (e.g., Diamond’s (2005) attachment-based family therapy); child-focused CBT (Stark et al., 2010) or interpersonal therapy (Jacobson & Mufson, 2010) sessions combined with some family or parent sessions; and concurrent group-based parent and child training sessions (such as Lewinsohn’s Coping with Depression course (Clark & DeBar, 2010). Stark et al. (2012) concluded that family-based treatments for child and adolescent depression were as effective as well established therapies such as individual CBT or interpersonal therapy, led to remission in two thirds to three quarters of cases at 6 months follow-up, and were more effective than individual therapy in maintaining post-treatment improvement. Effective family-based interventions aim to decrease the family stress to which youngsters are exposed and enhance the availability of social support within the family context. Core features of effective family interventions include psychoeducation about depression; relational reframing of depression-maintaining family interaction patterns; facilitation of clear parent-child communication; promotion of systematic family based problem-solving; disruption of negative critical parent-child interactions; promotion of secure parent-child attachment; and helping children develop skills for managing negative mood states and changing pessimistic belief systems. With respect to clinical practice and service development, family therapy for episodes of adolescent depression is relatively brief requiring about 12 sessions. Because major depression is a recurrent disorder, services should make long term re-referral arrangements, so intervention is offered early in further episodes. Systemic therapy services should be organized so as to permit the option of multimodal treatment with family therapy and antidepressant medication in cases unresponsive to family therapy.

**Grief**

A number of single group outcome studies and controlled trials show that effective therapy for grief reactions following parental bereavement may include a combination of family and
individual interventions (Black & Urbanowicz, 1987; Cohen Mannarino, & Deblinger, 2006; Kissane & Bloch, 2002; Kissane et al., 2006; Rotheram-Borus et al., 2004; Sandler et al., 1992, 2003, 2010). Family intervention involves engaging families in treatment, facilitating family grieving, facilitating family support, decreasing parent-child conflict, and helping families to reorganize so as to cope with the demands of daily living in the absence of the deceased parent. The individual component of treatment involves exposure of the child to traumatic grief-related memories and images until a degree of habituation occurs. This may be facilitated by viewing photos, audio and video recordings of the deceased, developing a coherent narrative with the child about their past life with the deceased, and a way to preserve a positive relationship with the memory of the deceased parent. With respect to clinical practice and service development, family therapy for grief following loss of a parent is relatively brief requiring about 12 sessions.

**Bipolar disorder**

Bipolar disorder is a recurrent episodic mood disorder, with a predominantly genetic basis, characterized by episodes of mania or hypomania, depression, and mixed mood states (American Psychiatric Association, 2013; World Health Organization, 1992). The primary treatment for bipolar disorder is pharmacological, and involves the initial treatment of acute manic, hypomanic, depressive or mixed episodes, and the subsequent prevention of further episodes with mood stabilizing medication such as lithium (Kowatch et al., 2009). Bipolar disorder typically first occurs in late adolescence or early adulthood and its course, even when treated with mood stabilizing medication, is significantly affected by stressful life events and family circumstances on the one hand, and family support on the other. The high frequency of relapses among young people with bipolar disorder provides the rationale for the development of relapse prevention interventions.

Psychoeducational family therapy aims to prevent relapses by reducing family
stress and enhancing family support for youngsters with bipolar disorder who are concurrently taking mood stabilizing medication such as lithium (Miklowitz, 2008). Family therapy for bipolar disorder typically spans about 12-21 sessions and includes psychoeducation about the condition and its management, and family communication and problem-solving skills training. Results of a series of studies suggest that psychoeducational family therapy may be helpful in adolescent bipolar disorder in increasing knowledge about the condition, improving family relationships, and improving symptoms of depression and mania (Fristad et al., 2002, 2003, 2006, 2009; Miklowitz et al., 2004; Pavuluri et al., 2004; West et al., 2009). With respect to clinical practice and service development, family therapy for bipolar disorder in adolescence is relatively brief requiring up to 21 sessions, and should be offered as part of a multimodal programme which includes mood stabilizing medication such as lithium.

**Self-harm**

A complex constellation of risk factors has been identified for self-harm in adolescence which include characteristics of the young person (such as presence of psychological disorder), and features of the social context (such as family difficulties) (Hawton et al., 2012; Ougrin et al., 2012). Both sets of factors are targeted in family-based treatment for self-harm in adolescence. A series of studies has found that a range of specialized family therapy interventions improve the adjustment of adolescents who have self-harmed, although family interventions are not always more affective than alternative treatments in reducing recurrence of self-harm (Asarnow, Baraff, et al., 2011; Diamond et al., 2010, Harrington et al., 1998; Huey et al., 2004; Katz et al., 2004; King et al., 2006, 2009; Rathus and Miller 2002; Rotheram-Borus et al., 2000). Family based approaches that improve adjustment share a number of common features. They begin by engaging young people and their families in an initial risk assessment process, and proceed to the
development of a clear plan for risk reduction which includes individual therapy for adolescents combined with systemic therapy for members of their family and social support networks. Attachment-based family therapy, multisystemic therapy, dialectical behaviour therapy combined with multifamily therapy, and nominated support network therapy are well developed protocols with some or all of these characteristics.

**Attachment-based family therapy.** Attachment-based family therapy was originally developed for adolescent depression as was noted above, but has been adapted for use with self-harming teenagers (Diamond et al., 2013). This approach aims to repair ruptures in adolescent-parent attachment relationships. Re-attachment is facilitated by first helping family members access their longing for greater closeness and commit to rebuilding trust. In individual sessions, adolescents are helped to articulate their experiences of attachment failures, and agree to discuss these experiences with their parents. In concurrent sessions parents explore how their own intergenerational legacies affect their parenting style. This helps them develop greater empathy for their adolescents’ experiences. When adolescents and parents are ready, conjoint family therapy sessions are convened in which adolescents’ share their concerns, receive empathic support from their parents, and usually become more willing to consider their own contributions to family conflict. This respectful and emotional dialogue serves as a corrective attachment experience that rebuilds trust between adolescents and parents. As conflict decreases, therapy focuses on helping adolescents pursue developmentally appropriate activities to promote competency and autonomy. In this context, parents serve as the secure base from which adolescents receive support, advice and encouragement in exploring these new opportunities. In a controlled trial of adolescents at risk for suicide, Diamond et al. (2010) found that 3 months of attachment-based family therapy was more effective than routine treatment in reducing suicidal ideation and depressive symptoms at 6 months follow-up.
Multisystemic therapy. Multisystemic therapy was originally developed for adolescent conduct disorder as was noted above, but has been adapted for use with adolescents who have severe mental health problems including attempted suicide (Henggeler et al., 2002). Multisystemic therapy involves assessment of suicide risk, followed by intensive family therapy to enhance family support combined with individual skills training for adolescents to help them develop mood regulation and social problem solving skills, and intervention in the wider school and interagency network to reduce stress and enhance support for the adolescent. It involves regular, frequent home-based family and individual therapy sessions with additional sessions in the school or community settings over 3-6 months. Huey et al. (2004) evaluated the effectiveness of multisystemic therapy for suicidal adolescents in a randomized controlled study of 156 African American adolescents at risk for suicide referred for emergency psychiatric hospitalization. Compared with emergency hospitalization and treatment by a multidisciplinary psychiatric team, Huey et al. found that multisystemic therapy was significantly more effective in decreasing rates of attempted suicide at one year follow-up.

Dialectical behaviour therapy and multifamily therapy. Dialectical behaviour therapy, which was originally developed for adults with borderline personality disorder, has been adapted for use with adolescents who have attempted suicide (Miller et al., 2007). This adaptation involves individual therapy for adolescents combined with multifamily psychoeducational therapy. The multifamily psychoeducational therapy helps family members understand self-harming behaviour and develop skills for protecting and supporting self-harming adolescents. The individual therapy component includes modules on mindfulness, distress tolerance, emotion-regulation, and interpersonal effectiveness skills to address problems in the areas of identity, impulsivity, emotional liability and relationship problems respectively. Evidence from two controlled outcome studies support the effectiveness of dialectical behaviour therapy with adolescents who have attempted
suicide. In a study of suicidal adolescents with borderline personality features, Rathus and Miller (2002) compared the outcome for 29 cases who received dialectical behaviour therapy plus psychoeducational multifamily therapy, and 82 cases who received psychodynamic therapy plus family therapy. In each programme, participants attended therapy twice weekly. Both programmes led to reductions in suicidal ideation. Significantly more cases completed the dialectical behaviour therapy programme, and significantly fewer were hospitalized during treatment. In a further study of 62 suicidal adolescent inpatients, Katz et al. (2004) found that both dialectical behaviour therapy and routine inpatient care led to significant reductions in self-harming behaviour, depressive symptoms, and suicidal ideation, but dialectical behaviour therapy led to significantly greater reductions in behaviour problems.

**Youth Nominated Support Team.** Youth Nominated Support Team is a manualized systemic intervention for adolescents who have attempted suicide, in which adolescents nominate a parent or guardian and three other people from their family, peer group, school or community to be members of their support team (King et al. 2000). For each case, support team members receive psychoeducation explaining how the adolescent’s psychological difficulties led to the suicide attempt, the treatment plan, and the role that support team members can play in helping the adolescent towards recovery and managing situations where there is a risk of further self-harm. Support team members are encouraged to maintain weekly contact with the adolescent and are contacted regularly by the treatment team to facilitate this process. King et al. (2006) evaluated the Youth Nominated Support Team programme in a randomized controlled trial of 197 girls and 82 boys who had attempted suicide and been hospitalized. They found that, compared with routine treatment with psychotherapy and antidepressant medication, the Youth-Nominated Support Team programme led to decreased suicidal ideation and mood-related functional impairment in girls at 6 months follow-up, but had no significant impact
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on boys.

Systemic services for young people who self-harm should involve prompt intensive initial individual and family assessment followed by systemic intervention including both individual and family sessions to reduce individual and family based risk factors. Such therapy may involve regular session over a 3-6 month period. Systemic therapy services for youngsters at risk for suicide should be organized, so as to permit the option of brief hospitalization or residential placement in circumstances where families’ are assessed to lack the resources for immediate risk reduction on an outpatient basis.

EATING DISORDERS

An excessive concern with the control of body weight and shape along with an inadequate and unhealthy pattern of eating are the central features of anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa. The former is characterized primarily by weight loss and the latter by a cyclical pattern of bingeing and purging (American Psychiatric Association, 2013; World Health Organization, 1992). The average prevalence rates for anorexia nervosa and bulimia nervosa among young females are about 0.3-0.5% and 1-4% respectively (Hoek, 2006; Keel, 2010). Childhood obesity occurs where there is a body mass index above the 95th percentile with reference to age and sex specific growth charts (Reilly, 2010). In Europe the prevalence of obesity among children and adolescents is about 5% and in the US it is about 15% (Wang & Lim, 2012). Anorexia, bulimia and obesity are of concern because they lead to long-term physical and / or mental health problems. Family therapy is effective for a proportion of children and adolescents with eating disorders.

Anorexia nervosa

A series of systematic reviews and meta-analyses covering a total of seven controlled and six uncontrolled trials allow the following conclusions to be drawn about the effectiveness
of family therapy for anorexia nervosa in adolescents (Couturier et al., 2013; Eisler, 2005, Lock, 2011; Robin & LeGrange, 2010; Smith & Cook-Cottone, 2011; Stuhldreher et al., 2012; Wilson & Fairburn, 2007). After treatment between a half and two-thirds of cases achieve a healthy weight. At 6 months to 6 years follow-up, 60-90% have fully recovered and no more than 10-15% are seriously ill. In the long term the negligible relapse rate following family therapy is superior to the moderate outcomes for individually oriented therapies. The outcome for family therapy is also far superior to the high relapse rate following inpatient treatment, which is 25-30% following first admission, and 55-75% for second and further admissions. Outpatient family-based treatment is also more cost-effective than inpatient treatment. Evidence-based family therapy for anorexia can be effectively disseminated and implemented in community-based clinical settings. In the Maudsley model for treating adolescent anorexia, which is the approach with strongest empirical support, family therapy for adolescent anorexia progresses through three phases (Lock & Le Grange, 2013). The first of these involves helping parents work together to refeed their youngster. This is followed, in the second phase, with facilitating family support for the youngster in developing an autonomous, healthy eating pattern. In the final phase the focus is on helping the young person develop an age-appropriate lifestyle. Treatment typically involves between 10 and 20 one-hour sessions over a 6–12-month period.

Bulimia nervosa

Two trials of family therapy for bulimia in adolescence, using the Maudsley model, show that it is more effective than supportive therapy (Le Grange & Lock, 2010), and as effective as cognitive behaviour therapy (Schmidt et al., 2007), which is considered to be the treatment of choice for bulimia in adults, due its strong empirical support (Wilson & Fairburn, 2007). In both trials, at 6 months follow-up, over 70% of cases treated with family
therapy showed partial or complete recovery. Family therapy for adolescent bulimia involves helping parents work together to supervise the young person during mealtimes and afterwards, to break the binge-purge cycle. As with anorexia, this is followed by helping families support their youngsters in developing autonomous, healthy eating patterns, and age appropriate lifestyles (Le Grange & Locke, 2007).

**Obesity**

Systematic narrative reviews and meta-analyses of controlled and uncontrolled trials of treatments for obesity in children converge on the following conclusions (Epstein, 2003; Feng, 2011; Jelalian & Saelens, 1999; Jelalian et al., 2007; Kitzmann & Beech, 2011; Kitzmann et al., 2010; Nowicka & Flodmark, 2008; Seo & Sa, 2010; Young et al., 2007). Family-based behavioural weight reduction programmes are more effective than dietary education and other routine interventions. They lead to a 5-20% reduction in weight after treatment and at 10 year follow-up 30% of cases are no longer obese. Childhood obesity is due predominantly to lifestyle factors including poor diet and lack of exercise, and so family-based behavioural treatment programmes focus on lifestyle change. Specific dietary and exercise routines are agreed and implemented, and parents reinforce young people for adhering to these routines (Jelalian et al., 2007). An important development in the treatment of obesity is Standardized Obesity Family Therapy in Malmo in Sweden. It is based on systemic and solution-focused theories and has shown positive effects on degree of obesity, physical fitness, self-esteem, and family functioning in several studies (Nowicka & Flodmark, 2011).

In planning systemic services for young people with eating disorders, it should be expected that treatment of anorexia or bulimia will span 6-12 months, with the first 10 session occurring weekly and later sessions occurring fortnightly, and then monthly. For
obesity, therapy may span 10-20 sessions followed by periodic infrequent review sessions over a number of years to help youngsters maintain weight loss.

SOMATIC PROBLEMS

Family-based interventions are helpful in a proportion of cases for the following somatic problems: enuresis, encopresis, recurrent abdominal pain, and both poorly controlled asthma and diabetes.

Enuresis

In a systematic review and a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials, Glazener et al., (2004, 2009) found that family-based urine alarm programmes were an effective treatment for childhood nocturnal enuresis (bed-wetting). These programmes involve coaching the child and parents to use an enuresis alarm, which alerts the child as soon as micturition begins. Family-based urine alarm programmes, if used over 12–16 weeks, are effective in about 60-90% of cases (Houts, 2010). With a urine alarm, the urine wets a pad which closes a circuit, and sets off the urine alarm, which wakes the child, who gradually learns, over multiple occasions, by a conditioning process to awake before voiding the bladder. In family sessions, parents and children are helped to understand this process and plan to implement the urine alarm based programme at home. In family-based, urine alarm programmes, parents reinforce children for success in maintaining dry beds using star-charts.

Encopresis

In a narrative review of 42 studies, McGrath et al. (2000) found that for childhood encopresis (soiling), multimodal programmes involving medical assessment and intervention followed by behavioural family therapy were effective for 43-75% of cases.
Initially a paediatric medical assessment is conducted, and if a faecal mass has developed in the colon, this is cleared with an enema. A balanced diet containing an appropriate level of roughage, and regular laxative use are arranged. Effective behavioural family therapy involves psychoeducation about encopresis and its management, coupled with a reward programme, where parents reinforce appropriate daily toileting routines. There is some evidence that a narrative approach may be more effective than a behavioural approach to family therapy for encopresis. Silver et al. (1998) found success rates of 63% and 37% for narrative and behavioural family therapy respectively. With narrative family therapy, the soiling problem was externalized and referred to as *Sneaky Poo*. Therapy focused on parents and children collaborating to outwit this externalized personification of encopresis (White, 2007).

**Recurrent abdominal pain (RAP).**

Results of 4 trials have shown that behavioural family therapy is effective in alleviating recurrent abdominal pain, often associated with repeated school absence, and for which no biomedical cause is evident (Finney et al., 1989; Robins et al. 2005; Sanders et al., 1989, 1994). Such programmes involve family psychoeducation about RAP and its management, relaxation and coping skills training to help children manage stomach pain which is often anxiety-based, and contingency management implemented by parents to motivate children to engage in normal daily routines, including school attendance. This conclusion is consistent with those of other systematic narrative reviews (Banez & Gallagher, 2006; Sprenger et a., 2011; Spirito & Kazak, 2006; Weydert et al., 2003).

**Poorly controlled asthma**

Asthma, a chronic respiratory disease with a prevalence rate of about 10% among children, can lead to significant restrictions in daily activity, repeated hospitalization, and if
very poorly controlled asthma is potentially fatal (Currie & Baker, 2012). The course of asthma is determined by the interaction between abnormal respiratory system physiological processes to which some youngsters have a predisposition; physical environmental triggers; and psychosocial processes. In a systematic review of 20 studies, Brinkley et al. (2002) concluded that family-based interventions for asthma spanning up to 8 sessions, were more effective than individual therapy. These included psychoeducation to improve understanding of the condition, medication management and environmental trigger management; relaxation training to help young people reduce physiological arousal; skills training to increase adherence to asthma management programmes; and conjoint family therapy sessions to empower family members to work together to manage asthma effectively. These conclusions have been supported by results of some (e.g., Ng et al., 2008) but not all (e.g., Celano et al., 2012) recent trials.

**Poorly controlled diabetes**

Type 1 diabetes is an endocrine disorder characterized by complete pancreatic failure (Levy, 2011). The long-term outcome for poorly controlled diabetes may include blindness and leg amputation. For youngsters with diabetes, normal blood glucose levels are achieved through a regime involving a combination of insulin injections, balanced diet, exercise and self-monitoring of blood glucose. In a systematic review of 11 studies Farrell et al. (2002) found that family-based programmes of 10-20 sessions were effective in helping young people control their diabetes, and that different types of programmes were appropriate for young people at different stages of the lifecycle. For youngsters newly diagnosed with diabetes, psychoeducational programmes which helped families understand the condition and its management were particularly effective. Family-based behavioural programmes, where parents rewarded youngsters for adhering to their diabetic regimes, were particularly effective with pre-adolescent children, whereas family-
Evidence-based communication and problem-solving skills training programmes were particularly effective for families with adolescents, since these programmes gave families skills for negotiating diabetic management issues in a manner appropriate for adolescence. In a meta-analysis of 15 trials of various types of interventions, Hood et al. (2010) concluded that those that targeted emotional, social, or family processes that facilitate diabetes management were more effective in promoting glycaemic control than interventions just targeting a direct, behavioural process such as increasing the frequency of blood glucose monitoring. Behavioural family systems therapy has strongest empirical support as a family-based intervention for treating families of poorly controlled diabetic adolescents (Harris et al. 2009).

This review suggests that family therapy may be incorporated into multimodal, multidisciplinary paediatric programmes for a number of somatic conditions including enuresis, encopresis, recurrent abdominal pain, and both poorly controlled asthma and diabetes. Systemic intervention for these conditions should be offered following thorough paediatric medical assessment, and typically interventions are brief ranging from 8-12 sessions.

**FIRST EPISODE PSYCHOSIS**

First episode psychosis is a condition characterised by positive symptoms (such as delusions and hallucinations), negative symptoms (such as lack of goal directed behaviour and flattened affect), and disorganized thinking, behaviour and emotions (American Psychiatric Association, 2013; World Health Organization, 1992). First episode psychosis typically occurs in late adolescence. It is exceptionally distressing for the young person and the family. Complete recovery may occur for a proportion of young people, especially if they receive early intervention and if their families are supportive. However, where psychosis persists, or a chronic relapsing pattern develops, eventually a diagnosis of
schizophrenia may be given. Antipsychotic medication is the primary treatment for the symptoms of first episode psychosis. Pharmacological interventions may be combined with family-interventions in which the primary aim is to facilitate a supportive family environment, and so prevent the development of a chronic relapsing condition. Reviews of controlled trials show that combining antipsychotic medication with psychoeducational family therapy (Kuipers et al., 2002) reduces relapse rates in first episode psychosis, and that multifamily psychoeducational therapy (McFarlane, 2002) is particularly effective (Bird et al., 2010; McFarlane et al., 2012; Onwumere et al., 2011).

Psychoeducational family therapy for schizophrenia involves psychoeducation, based on the stress-vulnerability or bio-psycho-social models of psychosis (McFarlane et al., 2012) with a view to helping families understand and manage the condition, antipsychotic medication, related stresses, and early warning signs of relapse. Psychoeducational family therapy also aims to reduce negative family processes associated with relapse, specifically high levels of expressed emotion, stigma, communication deviance, and life-cycle-transition-related stresses. Emphasis is placed on blame-reduction, and the positive role family members can play in supporting the young person’s recovery. Psychoeducational family therapy also helps families develop communication and problem-solving skills. Skills training commonly involves modelling, rehearsal, feedback and discussion. Effective interventions typically span 9-12 months, and are usually offered in a phased format with initial sessions occurring more frequently than later sessions and crisis intervention as required.

From this review it may be concluded that systemic therapy services for families of people with first episode psychosis should be offered within the context of multimodal programmes that include antipsychotic medication. Because of the potential for relapse, services should make re-referral arrangements, so intervention is offered early in later episodes.
DISCUSSION

A number of comments may be made about the evidence reviewed in this paper. First, for a wide range of child-focused problems systemic interventions are effective. Second, these interventions are brief, rarely involving more than 20 sessions, and may be offered by a range of professionals on an outpatient basis. Third, treatment manuals have been developed for many systemic interventions and these may be flexibly used by clinicians in treating individual cases. Fourth, most evidence-based systemic interventions have been developed within the cognitive-behavioural, structural and strategic traditions. The implications of these findings will be discussed in the final section of a companion paper (Carr, 2014).

The results of this review are broadly consistent with the important role accorded to family involvement in the treatment of children and young people in authoritative clinical guidelines such as those published by the UK National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE) for a range of problems including conduct disorder (NICE, 2013a), ADHD (NICE, 2013b), drug misuse (NICE, 2007), some anxiety disorders (e.g., NICE, 2005a), mood disorders (NICE, 2005b, 2006), eating disorders (NICE, 2004), certain somatic problems (e.g., NICE, 2009, 2010) and psychosis in adolescence (NICE, 2013c).

A broad definition of systemic intervention has been adopted in this paper, in comparison with that taken in other reviews of the field of family therapy for child-focused problems (e.g., Kaslow et al., 2012; Retzlaff, et al., 2013). There are pros and cons to adopting a broad definition. On the positive side, it provides the widest scope of evidence on which to draw in support of systemic practice. This is important in a climate where there is increasing pressure to point to a significant evidence-base to justify funding family therapy services. It also offers family therapists reading this review, guidance on family-based treatment procedures that may usefully be incorporated into their systemic practice. However, the broad definition of systemic intervention taken in this paper potentially blurs
the unique contribution of those practices developed within the tradition of systemic family therapy, as distinct from interventions in which parents are included in an adjunctive role to facilitate individually-focused therapy, or family-based approaches that integrate distinctly systemic ideas and practices with those of other therapeutic traditions, notably cognitive behaviour therapy.

The findings of this review have implications for research, training and practice. With respect to research, more studies are needed on the effectiveness of distinctly systemic interventions for child abuse, problems of early childhood and emotional problems in young people. More research is also required on social-constructionist and narrative approaches to systemic practice, which though widely used, have rarely been evaluated. With respect to training, systemic evidence-based interventions reviewed in this paper should be incorporated into family therapy training programmes and continuing professional development short courses for experienced systemic practitioners. This argument has recently been endorsed in the UK and the US in statements of core competencies for systemic therapists (Northey, 2011; Stratton et al., 2011). With respect to routine practice, family therapists should work towards incorporating the types of practices described in this paper and in the treatment resources listed below, when working with families of children and adolescents with the types of problems considered in this paper.

**TREATMENT RESOURCES**

**Sleep problems**

Feeding problems


Attachment problems


Physical abuse


Child sexual abuse


Childhood behaviour problems


Incredible Years Programme Webpage - http://www.incredibleyears.com/

Parent Child Interaction Therapy Webpage http://pcit.phhp.ufl.edu/
Parents Plus Programme webpage http://www.parentsplus.ie/

Tripple P Webpage - http://www.triplep.net/

Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder


Adolescent conduct disorder


Adolescent drug misuse
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**Anxiety**


**Depression**


Lewinsohn’s coping with depression programme.

http://www.kpchr.org/public/acwd/acwd.html

**Grief**


**Bipolar disorder**

**Self-harm in adolescence**


**Eating disorders**


**Enuresis**


**Encopreis**

Psychosis
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