Research Repository UCD

Title	Polysaccharides from macroalgae: Recent advances, innovative technologies and challenges in extraction and purification
Authors(s)	García-Vaquero, Marco, Rajauria, Gaurav, O'Doherty, John V., Sweeney, Torres
Publication date	2016-11
Publication information	García-Vaquero, Marco, Gaurav Rajauria, John V. O'Doherty, and Torres Sweeney. "Polysaccharides from Macroalgae: Recent Advances, Innovative Technologies and Challenges in Extraction and Purification" (November, 2016).
Publisher	Elsevier
Item record/more information	http://hdl.handle.net/10197/8191
Publisher's statement	This is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in Food Research International. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in Food Research International (9, Part 3, (2017)) DOI:10.1016/j.foodres.2016.11.016
Publisher's version (DOI)	10.1016/j.foodres.2016.11.016

Downloaded 2023-04-07T10:52:29Z

The UCD community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters! (@ucd_oa)

© Some rights reserved. For more information

Polysaccharides from macroalgae: recent advances, novel technologies and challenges in extraction and purification

Garcia-Vaquero, M.¹; Rajauria, G.²; O'Doherty, J.V.^{2*}; Sweeney, T.¹

¹School of Veterinary Medicine, Veterinary Science Centre, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland. marco.garciavaquero@ucd.ie; torres.sweeney@ucd.ie

²School of Agriculture and Food Science, University College Dublin, Lyons Research Farm, Celbridge, Co. Kildare, Ireland. gaurav.rajauria@ucd.ie

Corresponding author*

Ph: +353-1-716 7128

Fax: +353-1-716 1103

Email: john.vodoherty@ucd.ie (Prof. J.V. O'Doherty)

Abstract

Polysaccharides obtained from macroalgae have promising prospects both at research and industrial level, and could contribute greatly to the future of marine based bio-economy. Specifically, laminarin and fucoidan from brown macroalgae have a wide variety of potential industrial applications including functional foods, nutraceuticals, pharmaceuticals and cosmeceuticals due to their broad range of biological activities both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. These beneficial biological activities are related to the chemical composition and structure of the macroalgal polysaccharides. The molecular weight, monosaccharide composition and sulphate content of these polysaccharides could be influenced by both macroalgal biology (variations in polysaccharide composition due to macroalgae species and their biological cycle) and different extraction/purification techniques employed to obtain polysaccharide enriched products (i.e. de-sulphation or fragmentation of sulphated polysaccharides). This review focuses on the extraction and purification methods for laminarin and fucoidan macroalgal polysaccharides used in the recent literature. The application of innovative extraction technologies (such as ultrasound, microwave and enzyme-assisted extractions), as well as new purification techniques (i.e. membrane separation), are also discussed together with the challenges concerning molecule structure-function relationship and macroalgae variability.

Keywords: seaweed; bioactivity; fucoidan; laminarin; extraction; purification; innovative technology

1. Introduction

Macroalgae are a large and diverse group of marine organisms with more than 10,000 different species described to date (Collins, Fitzgerald, Stanton, & Ross, 2016). Marine macroalgae are able to adapt to the changing and extreme marine environmental conditions i.e. salinity, temperature, nutrients, radiation and combination of light and oxygen concentration by producing unique secondary metabolite compounds including polysaccharides (Collins et al., 2016; Rajauria, Jaiswal, Abu-Ghannam, & Gupta, 2010). These macroalgal polysaccharides, particularly fucoidan and laminarin, show a wide range of biological activities such as antiinflammatory, antimicrobial, anticoagulant, antiadhesive, antioxidant, antiviral, antipeptic, antitumour, antiapoptosis, antiproliferative and immunostimulatory in *in vitro* and *in vivo* model systems (Cong et al., 2016; Jin, Zhang, Wang, & Zhang, 2013; Kadam, Tiwari, & O'Donnell, 2015; Lim et al., 2014; Menshova et al., 2015; Moroney, O'Grady, O'Doherty, & Kerry, 2012; Ngo, Wijesekara, Vo, Van Ta, & Kim, 2011; Roohinejad et al., 2016; Smith et al., 2011)

A representation of the chemical structure of laminarin from *Laminaria digitata* proposed by Adamo et al. (2011) is presented in Figure 1. Laminarin was described as 1,3-linked β -d-glucose residues with different degrees of branching at β -(1,6) that influence the water solubility of the molecules (Rioux, Turgeon, & Beaulieu, 2010). The structure composed of (1,3)- β -D-glucopyranose residues consisting some 6-O-branching (in the main chain) and β -(1,6) (intrachain links). The laminarin structure may vary in degree of branching, the degree of polymerization and the ratio of (1,3)- and (1,6)-glycosidic bonds. They are uncharged molecules at neutral pH which are stabilized by inter-chain hydrogen bonds, thus unable to hydrolyse in the upper gastrointestinal tract and are classified as dietary fibers (O'Sullivan et al., 2010). Laminarins are energy reserve polysaccharides present in reserve vacuoles inside

the macroalgal cell and may constitute up to 35% of the dried weight of the macroalgal biomass (Kadam, Tiwari, et al., 2015).

The chemical structure of fucoidan from *Laminaria saccharina* proposed by Cumashi et al. (2007) is shown in Figure 1. Fucoidan or fucose-containing sulphated polysaccharides have a backbone of (1→3)-linked α -l-fucopyranosyl or alternating (1→3)- and (1→4)-linked α -l-fucopyranosyl residues, but also include sulphated galactofucans with backbones built of (1→6)- β -d-galacto- and/or (1→2)- β -d-mannopyranosyl units with fucose or fucosaccharide branching, and/or glucuronic acid, xylose or glucose substitutions (Ale, Mikkelsen, & Meyer, 2011b). The l-fucopyranose residues may be substituted with sulphate on C-2, C-4 and rarely on C-3 positions (Ale, Mikkelsen, & Meyer, 2011a). Fucoidans are an integral part of the cell walls and intercellular spaces in brown macroalgae, playing a crucial role in the protection of the macroalgae against the effects of desiccation when the biomass is exposed at low tide (Ale, Mikkelsen, et al., 2011b; Senthilkumar, Manivasagan, Venkatesan, & Kim, 2013).

There is a marked relationship between the chemical structure of both macroalgal polysaccharides (i.e. molecular weight, monosaccharide composition, sulphate content and position) and their biological activity. These differences could be attributed to factors such as macroalgae species, parts of the macroalgae sampled and differences in polysaccharide content and composition depending on the season (Kim, 2012; Men'shova et al., 2012; Skriptsova, Shevchenko, Tarbeeva, & Zvyagintseva, 2011). Furthermore, it was also observed that structural modifications to these molecules (i.e. molecular weight, degree of sulphation) can occur during the processes of extraction and purification; for instance, use of different extraction solvents and experimental conditions (such as pH, time, temperature and pressure) (Ale, Mikkelsen, et al., 2011a; Foley, Szegezdi, Mulloy, Samali, & Tuohy, 2011; Hahn, Lang, Ulber, & Muffler, 2012; Lorbeer, Lahnstein, Bulone, Nguyen, & Zhang, 2015).

Therefore, for the extraction and purification of different fractions of laminarin and fucoidan, details on the macroalgal biomass used as well as the methodology followed are extremely important to achieve the bio-activities required as well as complying with good manufacturing practices. The lack of standardized extraction methodologies has prevented the approval of polysaccharides or their derived fractions to be officially approved for pharmaceutical, dermatological, nutraceutical or other commercial applications to date (Ale & Meyer, 2013).

Thus, the present review focuses on the extraction and purification techniques of laminarin and fucoidan from macroalgae described in the recent literature. New processes, technologies and optimized extraction and purification procedures are reported together with the challenges concerning molecule structure-function relationship and macroalgal variability.

2. Extraction of polysaccharides from macroalgae

The process of extraction of macroalgal polysaccharides could include several steps summarized in Figure 2. These extraction steps include preparation of the macroalgal biomass, pre-treatments of the macroalgae, extraction techniques (traditional solvent extraction and innovative technologies) and purification techniques to obtain the polysaccharides of interest and proceed to test its biological activity and potential industrial uses.

2.1 Preparation of macroalgal biomass

The procedure for the extraction of polysaccharides from macroalgae involves cleaning of macroalgae with either sea water or distilled water to remove sand and epiphytes and then drying (oven-drying or freeze-drying). The dried biomass is then milled to obtain the highest

surface-to-volume ratio during the latter extraction procedures (Hahn et al., 2012; Imbs, Ermakova, Malyarenko, Isakov, & Zvyagintseva, 2016).

As an alternative to drying processes, Hjelland, Andersen, and Yang (2012) patented the exudate method to obtain laminarin and fucoidan at commercial amounts from live macroalgal tissue. Using this technique, the fresh macroalgae was cut in pieces of ≥ 1 cm and piled in a dark-humid place to obtain the exudate from the live macroalgae tissue. After this step, the polysaccharides laminarin and fucoidan could be extracted and purified from the exudates obtained.

2.2. Pre-treatment of macroalgae

Different pre-treatments applied to the dried biomass, together with the detailed description of the extraction techniques described in the recent literature are presented in Table 1. The most commonly performed pre-treatments in the literature were washing of the dried biomass with a mixture of methanol, chloroform and water (4:2:1; v/v/v) (Ale, Maruyama, Tamauchi, Mikkelsen, & Meyer, 2011; Lim et al., 2014), or with acetone alone (Dore et al., 2013). However, a mixture of acetone and ethanol (Shan et al., 2016) or one or several ethanolic pre-treatments at different temperatures have also been applied recently (Imbs et al., 2016; Yuan & Macquarrie, 2015). These alcohol treatments were applied to remove lipids (defatted), proteins (deproteinated) and phenols (dephenolated), but also mannitol and chlorophyll, compounds that are highly bound to the polysaccharides, contaminating the target compounds (Hahn et al., 2012). Other novel pre-treatments recently described in the literature include compressional-puffing-hydrothermal process consisting of heating at atmospheric pressure (140°C, 180°C and 220°C), followed by rapid reduction of pressure in a vessel containing superheated water, which allows the modification of the cellular structure of the macroalgae prior to extraction of fucoidan (Huang, Wu, Yang, Kuan, & Chen, 2016).

2.3. Extraction techniques of polysaccharides

2.3.1. Solvent extraction

The extraction of macroalgal polysaccharides is mainly carried out by solvent extraction, with a wide variation in the conditions and methods used in the literature to date as seen in Table 1. The extraction of macroalgal polysaccharides is typically performed using pre-treated dried macroalgae or defatted biomass with different solvents at different temperatures ranging from room temperature to 120°C, for several hours. The most commonly used solvents are water (Cong et al., 2016; Cong, Xiao, Liao, Dong, & Ding, 2014; Shan et al., 2016; Vijayabaskar, Vaseela, & Thirumaran, 2012; J. Wang, Wang, Yun, Zhang, & Zhang, 2012; P. Wang et al., 2012) and ethanol (Foley et al., 2011; Huang et al., 2016). Slightly acidic solutions, i.e. low molarity solutions of HCl have also been described (Anastyuk, Shevchenko, Dmitrenok, & Zvyagintseva, 2012; Dinesh et al., 2016; Imbs et al., 2016; Lorbeer et al., 2015; Menshova et al., 2015).

Following the initial extraction, the solution containing mixed polysaccharides is treated to obtain the specific compounds of interest. One of the main polysaccharide residues at this stage are alginates. Alginates – composed of consecutive mannuronate residues (M-blocks), consecutive guluronate residues (G-blocks), or alternate mannuronate and guluronate residues (MG-blocks) – show gelation properties in the presence of Ca^{2+} or Mn^{2+} . The 2 ions interact with the anionic polymers containing carboxylate groups through chemical binding, mainly to the stiffer guluronate chains (Assifaoui et al., 2015). Therefore, CaCl_2 solutions are normally used in the recent extraction protocols to eliminate the alginates from the mixture containing polysaccharides (Cong et al., 2016; Dinesh et al., 2016; Yuan & Macquarrie, 2015). Other authors described the use of CaCl_2 solutions at different concentrations and temperatures as an alternative to the initial water or slow acidic conditions to obtain alginates, laminarin and

fucoidan in a single step and separate them further by applying several consecutive purification steps (Lim et al., 2014).

After the initial solvent extraction, the application of organic solvents (i.e. ethanol) or tensids (cetyltrimethylammonium bromide) are common practices to precipitate the polysaccharides from the mixture (Hahn et al., 2012; Kadam, Tiwari, et al., 2015). The precipitated polysaccharides can then be dialyzed or precipitated further with ethanol in one or several steps to remove salts and other compounds from the enriched extract. Laminarin and fucoidan were further purified from the extract using different techniques such as membrane filtration or chromatographic procedures (Ale, Mikkelsen, et al., 2011a; Cong et al., 2016; Cong et al., 2014).

2.3.2. Innovative extraction technologies

Innovative or novel technologies have been used to develop extraction processes more efficient in terms of yield, time and cost and more environmentally friendly by lowering the energy consumption (Kadam, Tiwari, & O'Donnell, 2013; Barba, Grimi, & Vorobiev, 2015). Extraction technologies such as ultrasound-assisted extraction (UAE), microwave-assisted extraction (MAE) and enzyme-assisted extraction (EAE) have been used to extract macroalgal polysaccharides and other marine bioactive compounds.

Ultrasound-assisted extraction

Ultrasound-assisted extraction has been utilized to extract a number of functional ingredients from multiple plant sources (C Chen, You, Abbasi, Fu, & Liu, 2015; Roselló-Soto et al., 2015; Xu, Zhang, Yang, Song, & Yu, 2015; Ying, Han, & Li, 2011), microalgae (Barba et al., 2015; Parniakov, Apicella, et al., 2015; Parniakov, Barba, et al., 2015) and macroalgae such as phycoerythrin (Le Guillard et al., 2015) and amino acids (Romarís-Hortas, Bermejo-

Barrera, & Moreda-Piñeiro, 2013). UAE was used to obtain polysaccharides from algae (Wijesekara, Pangestuti, & Kim, 2011) and laminarin from *Laminaria hyperborea* and *Ascophyllum nodosum* in combination with weak acid solutions (Kadam, Tiwari, et al., 2015). The technology has also been used to breakdown already extracted polysaccharide fractions. The small molecular weight molecules generated during this process showed promising biological and physico-chemical properties than those described in its original conformation in the algae (Sun, Wang, & Zhou, 2012).

UAE is a non-thermal extraction technique wherein sound waves migrating through a medium, inducing pressure variations and cavitations that grow and collapse, transforming the sound waves into mechanical energy, which disrupts the cell walls, reduce the particle size and enhance the contact between the solvents and the targeted compounds (Michalak & Chojnacka, 2014; Ying et al., 2011). Despite being an energy input extraction method, UAE has certain advantages over conventional solvent extraction methods. UAE is cost-effective in comparison with other novel extraction techniques and has high possibilities to scale up to industry. Other advantages of UAE include low solvent consumption, high level of automation, and possibilities to combine this technique with others – i.e. superfluid assisted extraction or microwave-assisted extraction (Ibañez, Herrero, Mendiola, & Castro-Puyana, 2012; Michalak & Chojnacka, 2014).

Microwave-assisted extraction (MAE)

Microwave-assisted extraction (MAE) has been used to extract multiple compounds of interest from both plants (Mendes et al., 2016) and algae (Gilbert-López, Barranco, Herrero, Cifuentes, & Ibáñez; Michalak & Chojnacka, 2014). MAE technology was used to extract fucoidan from macroalgae *Fucus vesiculosus* (Rodriguez-Jasso, Mussatto, Pastrana, Aguilar, & Teixeira, 2011), *Undaria pinnatifida* (Quitain, Kai, Sasaki, & Goto, 2013) and

Ascophyllum nodosum (Yuan & Macquarrie, 2015) showing yields of fucoidan similar to those obtained with conventional techniques (Rodriguez-Jasso et al., 2011; Yuan & Macquarrie, 2015). However, effect of the microwaves on the structural properties and bioactivity of fucoidan is still unknown. Some studies used MAE on previously extracted fucoidans from sporophylls of *Undaria pinnatifida* to produce more valuable low-molecular-weight products of around 5-30 kDa (You, Yang, Lee, & Lee, 2010).

This extraction technology, a thermal based approach, uses microwaves causing temperature rise and water evaporation of intracellular fluids that leads to break down of the cell walls, thus release the intracellular compounds into the medium (Michalak & Chojnacka, 2014). Compared to the ultrasounds, MAE is an energy-assisted extraction method, uses lower amounts of solvents and improved the extraction yields of certain intracellular compounds. However, the heat generated during the extraction process might cause damages to heat-sensitive compounds (Michalak & Chojnacka, 2014).

Enzyme-assisted extraction (EAE)

Enzyme-assisted extraction is a promising alternative to the conventional solvent based methods, due to its high catalytic efficiency, high specificity, and mild reactive conditions (Kulshreshtha et al., 2015). Additionally, the enzymes used are eco-friendly, non-toxic, food grade and could be used in large scale operations (Michalak & Chojnacka, 2014). However, the usage of enzymes is limited due to their high price in industrial applications (Hahn et al., 2012; Michalak & Chojnacka, 2014).

Enzyme-assisted extraction (EAE) was used in macroalgae to enhance the extraction of several targeted compounds such as proteins and phenols (T. Wang et al., 2010), carotenoids and lipids (Billakanti, Catchpole, Fenton, Mitchell, & MacKenzie, 2013). The use of protease enzymes was described for the isolation of fucoidan with anticoagulant, antithrombotic,

antioxidant and antiinflammatory effects from *Sargassum vulgare* biomass followed by solvent precipitation with acetone (Dore et al., 2013). Recently, the use of a combination of carbohydrase and protease enzymes resulted in the extraction of potent antiviral compounds from brown algae *Chondrus crispus* and *Codium fragile* (Kulshreshtha et al., 2015).

This innovative technology relies on the application of one or several enzymes that interact with its substrates under particular conditions defined by each enzyme, which help the extraction of particular compounds by degrading the cell wall. Macroalgae cells are chemically and structurally more complex than the terrestrial plants. The application of a well-defined enzyme mixture and the optimal conditions (mainly pH and temperature) for enzymatic activity, are the main parameters to obtain a good recovery of the compounds of interest (Jeon, Wijesinghe, & Kim, 2011). Jeon et al. (2011) has described the optimum conditions of pH (ranging from 3.8 to 8) and temperature (from 40 to 60°C) for enzymes such as amyloglucosidase (AMG), agarase, alcalase, carragenanase, cellucast, cellulose protamex, kojizyme, neutrase, termamyl, ultraflo, umamizyme, xylanase and viscozyme which are most commonly used in EAE.

3. Purification techniques

After the process of extraction, the polysaccharides are dissolved in a rich mixture (with variable monosaccharide composition and sulphate contents), together with small amounts of proteins and phenolic compounds that could also display several beneficial biological activities both *in vitro* and *in vivo* (Ale, Mikkelsen, et al., 2011b). Before the bioactivity tests, the polysaccharide mixture usually undertakes one or more processes of purification to further enrich the extracts with the polysaccharides of interest (J in et al., 2013; P. Wang et al., 2012). The most commonly used techniques in polysaccharide purification are ion-

exchange chromatography (IEC), size-exclusion chromatography (SEC), affinity chromatography and membrane filtration.

3.1. Ion-exchange chromatography (IEC)

Ion-exchange chromatography (IEC) is an established technique used in the separation of charged molecules across a breadth of applications and industries. The separation of the compounds achieved with IEC is based on the adsorption of charged solute molecules on to immobilized ion exchange groups of opposite charge and its elution by changing the concentration or pH of the running buffer (Rieman & Walton, 2013). The high performance and resolution showed by AEC, together with its relatively low average cost, large sample handling capacity and automation made this technique one of the most widely used liquid chromatography technique for purification (Acikara, 2013).

Positively charged ion exchange resin with affinity for molecules with net negative surface charges (anion-exchange chromatography (AEC)) are commonly used in sulphated polysaccharide purification. Fucoidans exhibit high anionic charges, even at low pH values, due to the sulphate ester groups linked to the carbohydrate backbone. Thus, AEC is one of the most common purification techniques used under different experimental conditions (Table 2). The elution of the adsorbed fucoidan from different resins was performed by stepwise (Anastyuk, Imbs, Shevchenko, Dmitrenok, & Zvyagintseva, 2012; Cong et al., 2016) or linear (Dinesh et al., 2016; Imbs et al., 2016; Menshova et al., 2015; J. Wang et al., 2012) NaCl salt gradient. Different fucoidan fractions could be further separated by AEC based on the degree of sulphation of the molecules. In general, highly sulphated fucoidan fractions showed stronger interactions with the resins, which require higher salt concentrations to elute these compounds. Thus, AEC could be used to purify different fucoidan fractions from macroalgae that exhibit distinct structural and chemical properties (Hahn et al., 2012).

3.2. Size-exclusion chromatography (SEC)

Size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) is used to separate the molecules based on their size as they pass through a porous matrix of particles with chemical and physical stability and inertness. Unlike IEC, molecules do not bind to the chromatography medium in SEC thus, the buffer composition does not directly affect the degree of separation between the peaks. Subsequently, a significant advantage of SEC is that it can be used directly after IEC or other purification techniques using the buffer that better suits the type of sample in terms of preservation or further purification (Mori & Barth, 2013). As seen in Tables 2 and 3, the application of SEC after IEC is a common procedure in the purification of polysaccharides. Different SEC conditions used for polysaccharide purification in the recent literature are compiled in Table 3. During this purification step, different single columns such as Sepharose CL-6B, PL aquagel-OH, Sephacryl S-300 or Superdex 200 (Cong et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2016; P. Wang et al., 2012), or columns successively connected i.e. TSK G4000 SW-XL and TSK G3000 SW-XL (Anastyuk, Imbs, et al., 2012; Foley et al., 2011; Imbs et al., 2010) were used. The application of SEC allows fractionation of the laminarins and fucoidans according to their molecular weights. Furthermore, it can also help in desalting the purified compounds from the high salt concentrations, a major step during AEC purification (Hahn et al., 2012).

3.3. Affinity chromatography

Affinity chromatography is based on the reversible interaction between the molecules and a specific ligand coupled to a chromatography matrix, enabling the purification of different compounds on the basis of its biological function or individual chemical structure (Dunlap, 2013). For instance, the use of immobilized dyes (dye-affinity chromatography) to specifically bind different proteins from other cellular crude extracts is a common practice in the process of protein purification (Janson, 2012).

In the case of polysaccharides, fucose-binding lectins were used effectively to purify fucoidan from crude solutions; however problems could arise if the sulphate content of the polysaccharide blocks the fucose units that interact with the lectins (Hahn et al., 2016). Strong interactions have been appreciated between the anionic sulphate esters of the sulphated polysaccharides and different cationic dyes (i.e. toluidine and methylene blue), forming a strong donor-acceptor complex (Hahn et al., 2016). Recently, Hahn et al. (2016) developed a new dye-affinity chromatography method using modified amino-derivatized sephabeads with toluidine blue, showing promising results in the purification of fucoidan from brown macroalgae at higher purity levels than the commercially available purified fucoidan from Sigma-Aldrich (95%).

3.4. Membrane filtration

Novel technologies, such as membrane filtration, are used to obtain polysaccharide fractions based on the molecular weight of the molecules of interest. Authors in the recent literature used one or several steps of filtration and/or dialysis of the polysaccharide mixture with different molecular weight cut off (MWCO) membranes/filters mainly to remove salts from the extracts (Anastyuk et al., 2010). The use of MWCO membranes to separate laminarin from fucoidan and/or fractionation of the different polysaccharides from macroalgae is barely described (Hjelland et al., 2012). However, membrane separation methods have been successfully applied in other fields i.e. separation of milk components (Costa, Elias-Argote, Jiménez-Flores, & Gigante, 2010), extraction and concentration of bacterial extra-cellular polysaccharides (Wingender, Neu, & Flemming, 2012) and hydrosoluble polysaccharides from terrestrial plants (Wan, Prudente, & Sathivel, 2012) and microalgae origin (CS Chen et al., 2011; Marcati et al., 2014; Mezhoud et al., 2014; Patel et al., 2013).

Filtration techniques seem well suited for initial extraction and purification steps at industrial scale as they can be automatized and allow the separation of large volumes of solutions (Patel et al., 2013). However, their widespread applications have been hindered due to excessive membrane fouling (which could result in reduced performance), high energy inputs and frequent membrane cleaning or replacement (Marcati et al., 2014). Nevertheless, to improve the efficiency of membranes in the separation of different compounds of interest and to avoid fouling, both tangential flow filtration (diafiltration) (Patel et al., 2013) or a combination of sequential ultrafiltration and diafiltration with membranes with decreasing MWCO (Marcati et al., 2014) have been proposed.

4. Optimization of the methods

As described in sections 2 and 3, there are many protocols proposed for the extraction of polysaccharides, however, there is a need to optimize the different conditions of the extraction processes to obtain the best possible response. Traditionally, the optimization in analytical chemistry has been carried out by studying “one-variable-at-a-time”. In this optimization strategy, the conditions of one parameter were changed while the others remained constant (Bezerra, Santelli, Oliveira, Villar, & Escaleira, 2008). This optimization technique is time- and cost-consuming and does not include the interactive effects among the variables studied (Bezerra et al., 2008; Roselló-Soto et al., 2015).

To solve these problems, response surface methodology (RSM), a multivariate statistic technique, has been considered the most relevant in analytical optimization. RSM is based on the fit of a polynomial equation to the experimental data with the objective of making statistical previsions. RSM could include first-order models (i.e. when the data does not present curvature), and more commonly experimental designs such as three-level factorial, Box-Behnken, central composite and Doehlert designs. Box-Behnken design is an efficient

and economical design when dealing with large number of variables (Bezerra et al., 2008; Roselló-Soto et al., 2015) with the advantage that only 3 levels per factor are included in the model. However, the confidence interval of this design is broader in comparison to central composite designs (Hahn et al., 2012).

The yield and chemical structure of polysaccharides (i.e. molecular weight, sulphate content etc) could be affected by different factors (such as pH, temperature, time, pressure and sample to solvent ratio) used during extraction. Therefore, it is essential to optimize at least some critical factors that could directly affect the extraction of polysaccharides during multi-step extraction processes (Hahn et al., 2012). Studies on the optimization of one or more steps of the extraction processes of polysaccharides from macroalgae mainly used Box-Behnken and central composite designs to analyse at least 3 or more factors affecting the extraction process (Ale, Mikkelsen, et al., 2011a; Hifney, Fawzy, Abdel-Gawad, & Gomaa, 2016; Lorbeer et al., 2015).

Ale, Mikkelsen, et al. (2011a) optimized one step process of fucoidan extraction from *Sargassum* sp. using a central composite design. The model took 3 factors (molarity, temperature and time) into account when extracting fucoidan from the original dried-milled biomass with HCl solution. The authors concluded that one single step of extraction with 0.03M HCl at 90°C for 4 hours showed optimum results in terms of high fucoidan yield without disrupting the structural integrity of the polymer (Ale, Mikkelsen, et al., 2011a). Recently, Box-Behnken design was used to optimize the pH, temperature and time of application of HCl treatment to a defatted biomass of *Ecklonia radiata* to obtain a high yield of both fucoidan and alginates and low degradation of alginates, measured by their molecular weight characteristics of each extract obtained (Lorbeer et al., 2015). The same RSM design was applied most recently to optimize the yield and degree of sulphation of fucoidan from

dried-milled *Sargassum* sp. using McIlvaine buffer solution at different temperatures, pH and macroalgae to buffer ratios (Hifney et al., 2016).

5. Biological activity and potential health applications of macroalgal polysaccharides

The addition of macroalgae to food resulted in an increase of the health-related properties of the resultant products against different diseases (i.e. obesity, dyslipidaemia, hypertension and diabetes) (Roohinejad et al., 2016). Macroalgal polysaccharides display a wide range of biological properties including antiinflammatory, immunostimulatory, antioxidant, anticoagulant, antimicrobial, antiviral, antipeptic, antiadhesive, antiproliferative, antiapoptosis and antitumour properties (Aspidou, Moschakis, Biliaderis, & Koutsoumanis, 2014; Dore et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2016; Kadam, Tiwari, et al., 2015; Roohinejad et al., 2016; Sweeney et al., 2012; Wijesekara et al., 2011). Table 4 summarised antioxidant, anticancer, anticoagulant and antiinflammatory activities which are among the most widely studied properties of macroalgal derived fucoidan and laminarin in recent times (Cong et al., 2016; Hifney et al., 2016; Wu, Shiu, Hsieh, & Tsai, 2016).

The *in vitro* antioxidant activity of polysaccharides have been determined mainly by 1,1-diphenyl-2-picryl hydrazil (DPPH) radical scavenging, ABTS radical scavenging and ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) assays amongst others (Hifney et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2016). There is a marked relationship between the chemical structure of the macroalgal polysaccharides and their antioxidant activity *in vitro*. Low molecular weight sulphated-polysaccharides showed higher antioxidant activity than high molecular weight polysaccharides in macroalgae (Morya, Kim, & Kim, 2012). Fucoidan fractions containing high levels of total sugars, fucose, and uronic acids showed increased radical scavenging activity while molecules with high degree of sulphation showed high FRAP antioxidant activity (Hifney et al., 2016).

393 Antiproliferative activity *in vitro* of macroalgal polysaccharides have been demonstrated in a
394 wide variety of cell line cultures. Low molecular weight fucoidan fraction from *Alaria*
395 *angusta* showed antiproliferative effects against HT 29 and T-47D cell lines, while laminarin
396 fraction showed antiproliferative effects against HT 29 cell lines (Menshova et al., 2015).
397 Fucoidan from *Coccophora langsfordii* inhibited SK-MEL-5 and SK-MEL-28 melanoma
398 cells (Imbs et al., 2016). Also, fucoidan fractions from *Sargassum fusiforme* showed potent
399 antiangiogenic activities that could be useful in cancer therapy (Cong et al., 2016).

400 The anticoagulant and antithrombotic activities *in vitro* of macroalgal polysaccharides have
401 been predominantly related to the structure and the degree of sulphation of the
402 polysaccharide (Silva et al., 2010; Wijesinghe & Jeon, 2012). O-sulphated 3-linked α -
403 galactans and 3-O-sulphation at C-3 of 4- α -L-fucose-1 $\rightarrow$ units (in fucoidan) is mainly
404 responsible for its anticoagulant activity (Dore et al., 2013; Jin et al., 2013; Wijesinghe &
405 Jeon, 2012). These sulphate linked sugar units increased the inhibition of factors Xa, IIa and
406 thrombin with the help of antithrombin and heparin cofactor II in blood coagulation intrinsic
407 pathway (Jin et al., 2013; Wijesekara et al., 2011).

408 Though both laminarin and fucoidan have shown multiple biological activities in *in vitro*
409 systems, their efficiency and bioavailability in *in vivo* model is not completely explored. *In*
410 *vivo* studies using β -glucans from *Laminaria digitata*, *Laminaria hyperborea* and
411 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in the diet of pigs showed a down-regulation of the expression of
412 inflammatory cytokines in the colon and liver of the animals (Sweeney et al., 2012) and
413 mucin gene expression in the ileum and colon (Ryan et al., 2010; Smith et al., 2010). A
414 down-regulation of pro- and antiinflammatory cytokines (IL-6, IL-17A, IL-1b and IL-10) was
415 reported in the colon of post-weaning pigs supplemented with laminarin (Walsh, Sweeney,
416 O'Shea, Doyle, & O'Doherty, 2013). The animals receiving fucoidan showed a reduction in
417 *Enterobacteriaceae* counts and improved faecal consistency due to the ability of fucoidan to

inhibit the attachment of certain bacterial species in the porcine gastrointestinal tract (Walsh et al., 2013).

The role of the chemical structure of macroalgal polysaccharides and their biological activities *in vitro* and *in vivo* is poorly understood to date. It is known that the chemical structure of the macroalgal polysaccharides varied according to factors affecting the macroalgal biomass (i.e. macroalgae species, environment and geographical location) as well as conditions during the extraction and purification of the polysaccharides (i.e. temperature, time). In general, macroalgae is considered a renewable biomass which can be utilized for sustainable polysaccharide production. More research is required to improve extraction and purification techniques of polysaccharides from macroalgae, as well as studies on the chemical structure of the obtained polysaccharides and their promising biological activities both *in vitro* and *in vivo* for its future use at industrial scale.

6. Conclusions

Laminarin and fucoidan showed a wide range of biological activities (i.e. anticoagulant, antithrombotic, antiviral, antioxidant and antitumour activities amongst others), with potential use in several markets such as the functional foods and nutraceutical, pharmaceutical and cosmeceutical industries.

There are many extraction and purification techniques available to achieve laminarin and fucoidan with different purity levels. There is a need to optimize the protocols of extraction already available in the literature to improve the yields and to preserve the activities of the extracted polysaccharides, while reducing the time, solvents and energy inputs applied during the extraction processes. Novel extraction technologies such as UAE and EAE showed promising industrial potential in terms of scalability and large sample treatment; however, the high costs associated in terms of machinery, enzyme and energy input are important factors

when considering using these novel techniques at large scale to date. Recent use of dye affinity chromatography techniques and widely used AEC and SEC showed important results not only to achieve high purity levels of polysaccharides but also to isolate and collect different fractions of either laminarin or fucoidan with different chemical structure and biological properties.

The chemical structure of the polysaccharides could also be affected by parameters related with the biology of macroalgae. Due to the fact that the majority of macroalgae currently commercialized in the European market is wild harvested biomass, therefore there is a need to study seasonal differences and its influence on the content and chemical structure of polysaccharides to achieve a better understanding of these compounds and its future exploitation from both wild and cultured harvested macroalgae. In addition, several other challenges (i.e. sustainability of macroalgae supply, automatization/scalability of the employed techniques) must be addressed by researchers to achieve its full potential in terms of commercialization and future industrial applications.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Science Foundation Ireland (SFI) [grant number: 14/IA/2548].

References

- Acikara, Ö. (2013). *Ion-Exchange Chromatography and Its Applications*: INTECH Open Access Publisher.
- Adamo, R., Tontini, M., Brogioni, G., Romano, M., Costantini, G., Danieli, E., Costantino, P. (2011). Synthesis of laminarin fragments and evaluation of a β -(1, 3) glucan

hexasaccharide-CRM197 conjugate as vaccine candidate against *Candida albicans*.
Journal of Carbohydrate Chemistry, 30(4-6), 249-280.

Ale, M., Maruyama, H., Tamauchi, H., Mikkelsen, J., & Meyer, A. (2011). Fucose-
 Containing Sulfated Polysaccharides from Brown Seaweeds Inhibit Proliferation of
 Melanoma Cells and Induce Apoptosis by Activation of Caspase-3 in Vitro. *Marine*
Drugs, 9(12), 2605.

Ale, M., & Meyer, A. (2013). Fucoidans from brown seaweeds: an update on structures,
 extraction techniques and use of enzymes as tools for structural elucidation.
 [10.1039/C3RA23373A]. *RSC Advances*, 3(22), 8131-8141.

Ale, M., Mikkelsen, J., & Meyer, A. (2011a). Designed optimization of a single-step
 extraction of fucose-containing sulfated polysaccharides from *Sargassum* sp. *Journal*
of Applied Phycology, 24(4), 715-723.

Ale, M., Mikkelsen, J., & Meyer, A. (2011b). Important determinants for fucoidan
 bioactivity: a critical review of structure-function relations and extraction methods for
 fucose-containing sulfated polysaccharides from brown seaweeds. *Marine Drugs*,
 9(10), 2106-2130.

Anastyuk, S., Imbs, T., Shevchenko, N., Dmitrenok, P., & Zvyagintseva, T. (2012). ESIMS
 analysis of fucoidan preparations from *Costaria costata*, extracted from alga at
 different life-stages. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 90(2), 993-1002.

Anastyuk, S., Shevchenko, N., Dmitrenok, P., & Zvyagintseva, T. (2012). Structural
 similarities of fucoidans from brown algae *Silvetia babingtonii* and *Fucus evanescens*,
 determined by tandem MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. *Carbohydrate Research*,
 358, 78-81.

Anastyuk, S., Shevchenko, N., Nazarenko, E., Imbs, T., Gorbach, V., Dmitrenok, P., &
 Zvyagintseva, T. (2010). Structural analysis of a highly sulfated fucan from the brown

alga *Laminaria cichorioides* by tandem MALDI and ESI mass spectrometry.
Carbohydrate Research, 345(15), 2206-2212.

Aspridou, Z., Moschakis, T., Biliaderis, C., & Koutsoumanis, K. (2014). Effect of the
substrate's microstructure on the growth of *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Food Research
International*, 64, 683-691.

Assifaoui, A., Lerbret, A., Uyen, H., Neiers, F., Chambin, O., Loupiac, C., & Cousin, F.
(2015). Structural behaviour differences in low methoxy pectin solutions in the
presence of divalent cations (Ca^{2+} and Zn^{2+}): a process driven by the binding
mechanism of the cation with the galacturonate unit. *Soft matter*, 11(3), 551-560.

Barba, F., Grimi, N., & Vorobiev, E. (2015). New Approaches for the use of non-
conventional cell disruption technologies to extract potential food additives and
nutraceuticals from microalgae. *Food Engineering Reviews*, 7(1), 45-62.

Bezerra, M., Santelli, R., Oliveira, E., Villar, L., & Escaleira, L. (2008). Response surface
methodology (RSM) as a tool for optimization in analytical chemistry. *Talanta*, 76(5),
965-977.

Billakanti, J., Catchpole, O., Fenton, T., Mitchell, K., & MacKenzie, A. (2013). Enzyme-
assisted extraction of fucoxanthin and lipids containing polyunsaturated fatty acids
from *Undaria pinnatifida* using dimethyl ether and ethanol. *Process Biochemistry*,
48(12), 1999-2008.

Chen, C., Anaya, J., Zhang, S., Spurgin, J., Chuang, C., Xu, C., Jiang, Y. (2011). Effects of
engineered nanoparticles on the assembly of exopolymeric substances from
phytoplankton. *PLoS One*, 6(7), e21865.

Chen, C., You, L., Abbasi, A., Fu, X., & Liu, R. (2015). Optimization for ultrasound
extraction of polysaccharides from mulberry fruits with antioxidant and
hyperglycemic activity in vitro. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 130, 122-132.

514 Collins, K., Fitzgerald, G., Stanton, C., & Ross, R. (2016). Looking beyond the terrestrial:
 515 The potential of seaweed derived bioactives to treat non-communicable diseases.
 516 *Marine drugs*, 14(3), 60.

517 Cong, Q., Chen, H., Liao, W., Xiao, F., Wang, P., Qin, Y., Ding, K. (2016). Structural
 518 characterization and effect on anti-angiogenic activity of a fucoidan from *Sargassum*
 519 *fusiforme*. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 136, 899-907.

520 Cong, Q., Xiao, F., Liao, W., Dong, Q., & Ding, K. (2014). Structure and biological activities
 521 of an alginate from *Sargassum fusiforme*, and its sulfated derivative. *International*
 522 *Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 69, 252-259.

523 Costa, M., Elias-Argote, X., Jiménez-Flores, R., & Gigante, M. (2010). Use of ultrafiltration
 524 and supercritical fluid extraction to obtain a whey buttermilk powder enriched in milk
 525 fat globule membrane phospholipids. *International dairy journal*, 20(9), 598-602.

526 Cumashi, A., Ushakova, N., Preobrazhenskaya, M., D'Incecco, A., Piccoli, A., Totani, L.,
 527 Bilan, M. (2007). A comparative study of the anti-inflammatory, anticoagulant,
 528 antiangiogenic, and antiadhesive activities of nine different fucoidans from brown
 529 seaweeds. *Glycobiology*, 17(5), 541-552.

530 Dinesh, S., Menon, T., Hanna, L., Suresh, V., Sathuvan, M., & Manikannan, M. (2016). In
 531 vitro anti-HIV-1 activity of fucoidan from *Sargassum swartzii*. *International Journal*
 532 *of Biological Macromolecules*, 82, 83-88.

533 Dore, C., Faustino Alves, M., Pofirio Will, L., Costa, T., Sabry, D., de Souza Rêgo, L., Leite,
 534 E. (2013). A sulfated polysaccharide, fucans, isolated from brown algae *Sargassum*
 535 *vulgare* with anticoagulant, antithrombotic, antioxidant and anti-inflammatory effects.
 536 *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 91(1), 467-475.

537 Dunlap, R. (2013). *Immobilized biochemicals and affinity chromatography* (Vol. 42):
 538 Springer Science & Business Media.

539 Foley, S., Szegezdi, E., Mulloy, B., Samali, A., & Tuohy, M. (2011). An unfractionated
540 fucoidan from *Ascophyllum nodosum*: extraction, characterization, and apoptotic
541 effects in vitro. *Journal of Natural Products*, 74(9), 1851-1861.

542 Gilbert-López, B., Barranco, A., Herrero, M., Cifuentes, A., & Ibáñez, E. Development of
543 new green processes for the recovery of bioactives from *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*.
544 *Food Research International*. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2016.04.022>

545 Hahn, T., Lang, S., Ulber, R., & Muffler, K. (2012). Novel procedures for the extraction of
546 fucoidan from brown algae. *Process Biochemistry*, 47(12), 1691-1698.

547 Hahn, T., Zayed, A., Kovacheva, M., Stadtmüller, R., Lang, S., Muffler, K., & Ulber, R.
548 (2016). Dye affinity chromatography for fast and simple purification of fucoidan from
549 marine brown algae. *Engineering in Life Sciences*, 16(1), 78-87.

550 Hifney, A., Fawzy, M., Abdel-Gawad, K., & Gomaa, M. (2016). Industrial optimization of
551 fucoidan extraction from *Sargassum* sp. and its potential antioxidant and emulsifying
552 activities. *Food Hydrocolloids*, 54, 77-88.

553 Hjelland, F., Andersen, A., & Yang, H. (2012). Process for isolating fucoidan and laminarin
554 from live, harvested seaweed: Google Patents.

555 Huang, C., Wu, S., Yang, W., Kuan, A., & Chen, C. (2016). Antioxidant activities of crude
556 extracts of fucoidan extracted from *Sargassum glaucescens* by a compressional-
557 puffing-hydrothermal extraction process. *Food Chemistry*, 197, Part B, 1121-1129.

558 Ibáñez, E., Herrero, M., Mendiola, J., & Castro-Puyana, M. (2012). Extraction and
559 characterization of bioactive compounds with health benefits from marine resources:
560 macro and micro algae, cyanobacteria, and invertebrates *Marine Bioactive*
561 *Compounds* (pp. 55-98): Springer.

562 Imbs, T., Ermakova, S., Malyarenko, O., Isakov, V., & Zvyagintseva, T. (2016). Structural
563 elucidation of polysaccharide fractions from the brown alga *Coccophora langsdorfii*

and in vitro investigation of their anticancer activity. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 135, 162-168.

Imbs, T., Shevchenko, N., Sukhoverkhov, S., Semenova, T., Skriptsova, A., & Zvyagintseva, T. (2010). Seasonal variations of the composition and structural characteristics of polysaccharides from the brown alga *Costaria costata*. [journal article]. *Chemistry of Natural Compounds*, 45(6), 786-791.

Janson, J. (2012). *Protein purification: principles, high resolution methods, and applications* (Vol. 151): John Wiley & Sons.

Jeon, Y., Wijesinghe, W., & Kim, S. (2011). Enzyme-assisted extraction and recovery of bioactive components from seaweeds *Handbook of Marine Macroalgae* (pp. 221-228): John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Jin, W., Zhang, Q., Wang, J., & Zhang, W. (2013). A comparative study of the anticoagulant activities of eleven fucoidans. *Carbohydrate polymers*, 91(1), 1-6.

Kadam, S., O'Donnell, C., Rai, D., Hossain, M., Burgess, C., Walsh, D., & Tiwari, B. (2015). Laminarin from irish brown seaweeds *Ascophyllum nodosum* and *Laminaria hyperborea*: Ultrasound assisted extraction, characterization and bioactivity. *Marine drugs*, 13(7), 4270-4280.

Kadam, S., Tiwari, B., & O'Donnell, C. (2015). Extraction, structure and biofunctional activities of laminarin from brown algae. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 50(1), 24-31.

Kadam, S., Tiwari, B., & O'Donnell, C. (2013). Application of novel extraction technologies for bioactives from marine algae. *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry*, 61(20), 4667-4675.

Kim, K. (2012). *Seasonal variation of seaweed components and novel biological function of fucoidan extracted from brown algae in Quebec*. Université Laval.

589 Kulshreshtha, G., Burlot, A., Marty, C., Critchley, A., Hafting, J., Bedoux, G., Prithiviraj, B.
590 (2015). Enzyme-assisted extraction of bioactive material from *Chondrus crispus* and
591 *Codium fragile* and its effect on herpes simplex virus (HSV-1). *Mar Drugs*, 13(1),
592 558-580.

593 Le Guillard, C., Dumay, J., Donnay-Moreno, C., Bruzac, S., Ragon, J., Fleurence, J., &
594 Bergé, J. (2015). Ultrasound-assisted extraction of R-phycoerythrin from *Grateloupia*
595 *turuturu* with and without enzyme addition. *Algal Research*, 12, 522-528.

596 Lim, S., Wan Aida, W., Maskat, M., Mamot, S., Ropien, J., & Mazita Mod, D. (2014).
597 Isolation and antioxidant capacity of fucoidan from selected Malaysian seaweeds.
598 *Food Hydrocolloids*, 42, Part 2, 280-288.

599 Lorbeer, A., Lahnstein, J., Bulone, V., Nguyen, T., & Zhang, W. (2015). Multiple-response
600 optimization of the acidic treatment of the brown alga *Ecklonia radiata* for the
601 sequential extraction of fucoidan and alginate. *Bioresource Technology*, 197, 302-
602 309.

603 Marcati, A., Ursu, A., Laroche, C., Soanen, N., Marchal, L., Jubeau, S., Michaud, P. (2014).
604 Extraction and fractionation of polysaccharides and B-phycoerythrin from the
605 microalga *Porphyridium cruentum* by membrane technology. *Algal Research*, 5, 258-
606 263.

607 Men'shova, R., Ermakova, S., Rachidi, S., Al-Hajje, A., Zvyagintseva, T., & Kanaan, H.
608 (2012). Seasonal variations of the composition, structural features, and antitumor
609 properties of polysaccharides from *Padina pavonica* (Lebanon) as a function of
610 composition. [journal article]. *Chemistry of Natural Compounds*, 47(6), 870-875.

611 Menshova, R., Anastyuk, S., Ermakova, S., Shevchenko, N., Isakov, V., & Zvyagintseva, T.
612 (2015). Structure and anticancer activity in vitro of sulfated galactofucan from brown
613 alga *Alaria angusta*. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 132, 118-125.

614 Mezhoud, N., Zili, F., Bouzidi, N., Helaoui, F., Ammar, J., & Ouada, H. (2014). The effects
615 of temperature and light intensity on growth, reproduction and EPS synthesis of a
616 thermophilic strain related to the genus *Graesiella*. *Bioprocess and biosystems
617 engineering*, 37(11), 2271-2280.

618 Michalak, I., & Chojnacka, K. (2014). Algal extracts: Technology and advances. *Engineering
619 in Life Sciences*, 14(6), 581-591.

620 Mori, S., & Barth, H. (2013). *Size exclusion chromatography*: Springer Science & Business
621 Media.

622 Moroney, N., O'Grady, M., O'Doherty, J., & Kerry, J. (2012). Addition of seaweed
623 (*Laminaria digitata*) extracts containing laminarin and fucoidan to porcine diets:
624 Influence on the quality and shelf-life of fresh pork. *Meat science*, 92(4), 423-429.

625 Morya, V., Kim, J., & Kim, E. (2012). Algal fucoidan: structural and size-dependent
626 bioactivities and their perspectives. *Applied microbiology and biotechnology*, 93(1),
627 71-82.

628 Ngo, D., Wijesekara, I., Vo, T., Van Ta, Q., & Kim, S. (2011). Marine food-derived
629 functional ingredients as potential antioxidants in the food industry: An overview.
630 *Food Research International*, 44(2), 523-529.

631 O'Sullivan, L., Murphy, B., McLoughlin, P., Duggan, P., Lawlor, P., Hughes, H., &
632 Gardiner, G. (2010). Prebiotics from marine macroalgae for human and animal health
633 applications. *Marine drugs*, 8(7), 2038-2064.

634 Parniakov, O., Apicella, E., Koubaa, M., Barba, F., Grimi, N., Lebovka, N., Vorobiev, E.
635 (2015). Ultrasound-assisted green solvent extraction of high-added value compounds
636 from microalgae *Nannochloropsis* spp. *Bioresource technology*, 198, 262-267.

637 Parniakov, O., Barba, F., Grimi, N., Marchal, L., Jubeau, S., Lebovka, N., & Vorobiev, E.
638 (2015). Pulsed electric field and pH assisted selective extraction of intracellular
639 components from microalgae *Nannochloropsis*. *Algal Research*, 8, 128-134.

640 Patel, A., Laroche, C., Marcati, A., Ursu, A., Jubeau, S., Marchal, L., Michaud, P. (2013).
641 Separation and fractionation of exopolysaccharides from *Porphyridium cruentum*.
642 *Bioresource technology*, 145, 345-350.

643 Quitain, A., Kai, T., Sasaki, M., & Goto, M. (2013). Microwave–hydrothermal extraction and
644 degradation of fucoidan from supercritical carbon dioxide deoiled *Undaria*
645 *pinnatifida*. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 52(23), 7940-7946.

646 Rajauria, G., Jaiswal, A., Abu-Ghannam, N., & Gupta, S. (2010). Effect of hydrothermal
647 processing on colour, antioxidant and free radical scavenging capacities of edible Irish
648 brown seaweeds. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 45(12), 2485-
649 2493.

650 Rieman, W., & Walton, H. (2013). *Ion Exchange in Analytical Chemistry: International*
651 *Series of Monographs in Analytical Chemistry*: Elsevier.

652 Rioux, L., Turgeon, S., & Beaulieu, M. (2010). Structural characterization of laminaran and
653 galactofucan extracted from the brown seaweed *Saccharina longicuris*.
654 *Phytochemistry*, 71(13), 1586-1595.

655 Rodriguez-Jasso, R., Mussatto, S., Pastrana, L., Aguilar, C., & Teixeira, J. (2011).
656 Microwave-assisted extraction of sulfated polysaccharides (fucoidan) from brown
657 seaweed. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 86(3), 1137-1144.

658 Romarís-Hortas, V., Bermejo-Barrera, P., & Moreda-Piñeiro, A. (2013). Ultrasound-assisted
659 enzymatic hydrolysis for iodinated amino acid extraction from edible seaweed before
660 reversed-phase high performance liquid chromatography–inductively coupled plasma-
661 mass spectrometry. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1309, 33-40.

662 Roohinejad, S., Koubaa, M., Barba, F., Saljoughian, S., Amid, M., & Greiner, R. (2016).
663 Application of seaweeds to develop new food products with enhanced shelf-life,
664 quality and health-related beneficial properties. *Food Research International*. doi:
665 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2016.08.016>

666 Roselló-Soto, E., Galanakis, C., Brnčić, M., Orlie, V., Trujillo, F., Mawson, R., Barba, F.
667 (2015). Clean recovery of antioxidant compounds from plant foods, by-products and
668 algae assisted by ultrasounds processing. Modeling approaches to optimize processing
669 conditions. *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 42(2), 134-149.

670 Ryan, M., Smith, A., O'Doherty, J., Bahar, B., Reilly, P., & Sweeney, T. (2010). Effects of
671 nutrient supplementation with laminarin derived from *Laminaria hyperborea* and
672 *Laminaria digitata* on mucin gene expression in the porcine ileum. *Livestock Science*,
673 133(1), 236-238.

674 Senthilkumar, K., Manivasagan, P., Venkatesan, J., & Kim, S. (2013). Brown seaweed
675 fucoidan: biological activity and apoptosis, growth signaling mechanism in cancer.
676 *International journal of biological macromolecules*, 60, 366-374.

677 Shan, X., Liu, X., Hao, J., Cai, C., Fan, F., Dun, Y., Yu, G. (2016). In vitro and in vivo
678 hypoglycemic effects of brown algal fucoidans. *International Journal of Biological*
679 *Macromolecules*, 82, 249-255.

680 Silva, F., Dore, C., Marques, C., Nascimento, M., Benevides, N., Rocha, H., Leite, E. (2010).
681 Anticoagulant activity, paw edema and pleurisy induced carrageenan: Action of major
682 types of commercial carrageenans. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 79(1), 26-33.

683 Skriptsova, A., Shevchenko, N., Tarbeeva, D., & Zvyagintseva, T. (2011). Comparative
684 Study of Polysaccharides from Reproductive and Sterile Tissues of Five Brown
685 Seaweeds. [journal article]. *Marine Biotechnology*, 14(3), 304-311.

686 Smith, A., O'Doherty, J., Reilly, P., Ryan, M., Bahar, B., & Sweeney, T. (2011). The effects
687 of laminarin derived from *Laminaria digitata* on measurements of gut health: selected
688 bacterial populations, intestinal fermentation, mucin gene expression and cytokine
689 gene expression in the pig. *Br J Nutr*, 105(5), 669-677.

690 Smith, A., Ryan, M., O'Doherty, J., Reilly, P., Bahar, B., & Sweeney, T. (2010). Effects of
691 dietary supplementation with laminarin derived from *Laminaria hyperborea* and
692 *Laminaria digitata* on colonic mucin gene expression in pigs. *Livestock Science*,
693 133(1), 204-206.

694 Sun, L., Wang, L., & Zhou, Y. (2012). Immunomodulation and antitumor activities of
695 different-molecular-weight polysaccharides from *Porphyridium cruentum*.
696 *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 87(2), 1206-1210.

697 Sweeney, T., Collins, C., Reilly, P., Pierce, K., Ryan, M., & O'Doherty, J. (2012). Effect of
698 purified β -glucans derived from *Laminaria digitata*, *Laminaria hyperborea* and
699 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* on piglet performance, selected bacterial populations,
700 volatile fatty acids and pro-inflammatory cytokines in the gastrointestinal tract of
701 pigs. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 108(07), 1226-1234.

702 Vijayabaskar, P., Vaseela, N., & Thirumaran, G. (2012). Potential antibacterial and
703 antioxidant properties of a sulfated polysaccharide from the brown marine algae
704 *Sargassum swartzii*. *Chinese Journal of Natural Medicines*, 10(6), 421-428.

705 Walsh, A., Sweeney, T., O'Shea, C., Doyle, D., & O'Doherty, J. (2013). Effect of dietary
706 laminarin and fucoidan on selected microbiota, intestinal morphology and immune
707 status of the newly weaned pig. *Br J Nutr*, 110(9), 1630-1638.

708 Wan, Y., Prudente, A., & Sathivel, S. (2012). Purification of soluble rice bran fiber using
709 ultrafiltration technology. *LWT-Food Science and Technology*, 46(2), 574-579.

710 Wang, J., Wang, F., Yun, H., Zhang, H., & Zhang, Q. (2012). Effect and mechanism of
 711 fucoidan derivatives from *Laminaria japonica* in experimental adenine-induced
 712 chronic kidney disease. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 139(3), 807-813.

713 Wang, P., Zhao, W., Lv, Y., Liu, Y., Lang, Y., Wu, J., Yu, G. (2012). Analysis of structural
 714 heterogeneity of fucoidan from *Hizikia fusiforme* by ES-CID-MS/MS. *Carbohydrate*
 715 *Polymers*, 90(1), 602-607.

716 Wang, T., Jónsdóttir, R., Kristinsson, H., Hreggvidsson, G., Jónsson, J., Thorkelsson, G., &
 717 Ólafsdóttir, G. (2010). Enzyme-enhanced extraction of antioxidant ingredients from
 718 red algae *Palmaria palmata*. *LWT - Food Science and Technology*, 43(9), 1387-1393.

719 Wijesekara, I., Pangestuti, R., & Kim, S. (2011). Biological activities and potential health
 720 benefits of sulfated polysaccharides derived from marine algae. *Carbohydrate*
 721 *Polymers*, 84(1), 14-21.

722 Wijesinghe, W., & Jeon, Y. (2012). Biological activities and potential industrial applications
 723 of fucose rich sulfated polysaccharides and fucoidans isolated from brown seaweeds:
 724 A review. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 88(1), 13-20.

725 Wingender, J., Neu, T., & Flemming, H. (2012). *Microbial extracellular polymeric*
 726 *substances: characterization, structure and function*: Springer Science & Business
 727 Media.

728 Wu, G., Shiu, S., Hsieh, M., & Tsai, G. (2016). Anti-inflammatory activity of a sulfated
 729 polysaccharide from the brown alga *Sargassum cristaefolium*. *Food Hydrocolloids*,
 730 53, 16-23.

731 Xu, Y., Zhang, L., Yang, Y., Song, X., & Yu, Z. (2015). Optimization of ultrasound-assisted
 732 compound enzymatic extraction and characterization of polysaccharides from
 733 blackcurrant. *Carbohydr Polym*, 117, 895-902.

- Ying, Z., Han, X., & Li, J. (2011). Ultrasound-assisted extraction of polysaccharides from mulberry leaves. *Food Chemistry*, 127(3), 1273-1279.
- You, S., Yang, C., Lee, H., & Lee, B. (2010). Molecular characteristics of partially hydrolyzed fucoidans from sporophyll of *Undaria Pinnatifida* and their in vitro anticancer activity. *Food chemistry*, 119(2), 554-559.
- Yuan, Y., & Macquarrie, D. (2015). Microwave assisted extraction of sulfated polysaccharides (fucoidan) from *Ascophyllum nodosum* and its antioxidant activity. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 129, 101-107.
- Zha, X., Xiao, J., Zhang, H., Wang, J., Pan, L., Yang, X., & Luo, J. (2012). Polysaccharides in *Laminaria japonica* (LP): Extraction, physicochemical properties and their hypolipidemic activities in diet-induced mouse model of atherosclerosis. *Food chemistry*, 134(1), 244-252.

Figure 1. Chemical structure of fucoidan from *Laminaria saccharina* and laminarin from *Laminaria digitata* proposed by Cumashi et al. (2007) and Adamo et al. (2011) respectively.

Figure 2. Schematic diagram of polysaccharide extraction and purification from macroalgal biomass.

Table 1. Extraction methods of polysaccharides from different macroalgae species.

Macroalgae sp.	Compound of interest	Pre-treatments	Extraction	References
<i>Silvetia babingtonii</i> , <i>Costaria costata</i> , <i>Fucus evanescens</i> , <i>Laminaria cichorioides</i>	Fucoidan	Ethanol (40°C, 3 h)	HCl (60°C, pH 2-3, 3 h, 2 times) followed by concentration, dialysis and freeze-dry.	Anastyuk, Imbs, et al. (2012); Anastyuk, Shevchenko, et al. (2012); Anastyuk et al. (2010); Imbs et al. (2016)
<i>Sargassum henslowian</i> , <i>Fucus vesiculosus</i>	Fucoidan	-	HCl (0.03 M, 90°C, 4 h) followed by filtration and ethanol (60%) precipitation. The final pellet was freeze-dried.	Ale, Mikkelsen, et al. (2011a)
<i>Ascophyllum nodosum</i>	Fucoidan	-	Ethanol (80%, at room temperature, 12 h) followed by the same treatment at 70°C. The pellet was treated twice at room temperature and 70°C with H ₂ O (7h). The pulled supernatants were treated with CaCl ₂ (2M, 5 h), centrifuged and the pellet dialyzed (1 kDa) and freeze-dried.	Foley et al. (2011)
<i>Ascophyllum nodosum</i> ; <i>Fucus vesiculosus</i>	Laminarin, galactofucans	-	CaCl ₂ (1%, 85°C, 4 h) followed by centrifugation (16887g, 20 min). The supernatant was precipitated with ethanol (1 h) and re-precipitated with ethanol (-20°C, 48 h). The pellets were dialyzed (15 kDa) and freeze-dried.	Kim (2012)
<i>Sargassum swartzii</i>	Sulphated polysaccharides	-	H ₂ O (90-95°C, 16 h) followed by filtration and concentration. The extract was precipitated with ethanol, centrifuged and the pellet dehydrated with dimethyl eter.	Vijayabaskar et al. (2012)
<i>Laminaria japonica</i>	Fucoidan	-	H ₂ O (120°C, 3 h) followed by filtration and addition of MgCl ₂ and ethanol (85%) and filtrate again. The new filtrate was dialyzed (3.6 kDa, 24 h), precipitated with ethanol (95%, 3 times). The pellet was dried (80°C).	J. Wang et al. (2012)

<i>Sargassum vulgare</i>	Fucoidan	Acetone	Proteolytic enzyme in NaCl (0.25 M , pH 8, 60°C, 24 h) followed by filtration, precipitation with acetone (0.3, 0.5, 1 and 1.5 volumes) and collect the different precipitates generated by the different volumes to freeze-dry.	Dore et al. (2013)
2 <i>Sargassum sp.</i> , 3 red macroalgae	Fucoidan	Methanol : chloroform : water (4:2:1) several times	CaCl ₂ (2%, 85°C, 24 h, 6 times). The combined supernatants were treated with 10% CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₁₅ N(Br)(CH ₃) ₃ , followed by centrifugation (3000g, 10 min) and the pellet washed several times with H ₂ O and ethanol (20%) and dialyzed (2kDa).	Lim et al. (2014)
<i>Laminaria hyperborea</i> , <i>Ascophyllum nodosum</i>	Laminarin	-	Sonication 15 min at room temperature with HCl 0.1 M (better yield) and water, followed by centrifugation and precipitation of the supernatant with ethanol (4°C overnight). The mixture was centrifuged and the pellet freeze-dried.	Kadam, O'Donnell, et al. (2015)
<i>Alaria angusta</i>	Galactofucan	Ethanol 70%, 10 days	HCl (0.1M, 60°C, 2 h, 2 times) followed by centrifugation, dialysis of supernatant and freeze-dry.	Menshova et al. (2015)
<i>Ascophyllum nodosum</i>	Fucoidan	Ethanol (80%, room temperature, 18 h) and repeat again at 70 °C (4 h)	Microwave treatment (120°C, 15 min) with biomass in HCl (0.1 M). The mixture was dried (80°C), re-dissolved CaCl ₂ (2%, 4°C, overnight) followed by centrifugation and ethanol precipitation of the supernatant. The new pellet collected after centrifugation was freeze-dried	Yuan and Macquarrie (2015)
<i>Sargassum fusiforme</i>	Fucoidan		H ₂ O (100°C) followed by concentration and centrifugation. The supernatant was precipitated with ethanol (95%) and the pellet treated with CaCl ₂ (2%) and freeze dried.	Cong et al. (2016)

<i>Sargassum swartzii</i>	Fucoidan	Soxhlet ethanol-acetone for 24 h	HCl (0.05 M, room temperature, 24 h, 2 times). Supernatants mixed with CaCl ₂ (4%, 4°C, overnight) followed by centrifugation and ethanol precipitation of supernatant and dialysis of the pellet.	Dinesh et al. (2016)
<i>Sargassum galaucescens</i>	Fucoidan	Compressional puffing (0-140-180-220°C) followed by ethanol (1:10, room temperature, 1 h)	H ₂ O (85°C, 1h) followed by centrifugation (3870g, 10 min). The supernatant was precipitated with ethanol (20% to precipitate alginic acid) and then 50% followed by centrifugation and drying (40°C).	Huang et al. (2016)
<i>Coccophora langsдорфii</i>	Laminarin, fucoidan and alginates	Ethanol (96%, 40°C, 24 h) and acetone washes	HCl (0.1 M, room temperature, 2 times) and the supernatant neutralized with NaHCO ₃ (3%) to pH 5.7-6.1, followed by concentration, dialysis and freeze-dry.	Imbs et al. (2016)
<i>Sargassum</i> sp., <i>Fucus vesiculosus</i> , <i>Ascophyllum nodosum</i> , <i>Lessonia nigrescence</i> , <i>Kjellmaniella crassifolia</i> , <i>Costaria costata</i> .	Fucoidan	Ethanol (95%, 80°C, 4 h, 2 times)	H ₂ O (80 °C, 3 h, 3 times), followed by centrifugation (5000 rpm, 10 min). Supernatants were precipitated with ethanol (80%) and the pellet washed in several steps with ethanol-acetone and centrifuged each time until final dialysis and freeze-dry.	Shan et al. (2016)

Table 2. Experimental conditions used for the purification of macroalgal polysaccharides with anion-exchange chromatography (AEC).

Compounds of interest	Experimental conditions	References
Laminarin and fucoidan	DEAE-cellulose column (3.5 × 22 cm, Cl ⁻ form) equilibrated with 0.05 M HCl. Laminarin eluted with 0.05 M HCl, and fucoidan fractions with 0.5, 1 and 2 M NaCl.	Anastyuk, Imbs, et al. (2012)
Fucoidan	Q-Sepharose Fast Flow column. Eluted with a gradient of 0–3 M NaCl. Flow rate: 3 mL/min.	P. Wang et al. (2012)
Fucoidan	Macro-Prep DEAE column (2.5 × 9 cm, Cl ⁻ form) equilibrated with 0.1 M NaCl. Eluted with a linear gradient of 0.1-2 M NaCl	Menshova et al. (2015)
Fucoidan	DEAE-cellulose (50 × 5 cm, Cl ⁻ form). Eluted stepwise with 0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.8 and 1.6 M NaCl, followed with 0.3 M NaOH	Cong et al. (2016)
Fucoidan	DEAE cellulose-52 (3 × 30 cm). Eluted with linear gradient 0–1 M NaCl and 0.05 M sodium phosphate buffer solution. Flow rate: 60 mL/h	Dinesh et al. (2016)
Laminarin and fucoidan	DEAE-cellulose (Fluca) column (3.0 × 14 cm). Eluted first with H ₂ O and the fractions obtained further eluted with a linear gradient 0-2 M NaCl	Imbs et al. (2016)

Table 3. Experimental conditions used for the purification of polysaccharides from macroalgae with size-exclusion chromatography (SEC).

Compounds of interest	Experimental conditions	References
Fucoidan	Shodex Asahipak GS-520 HQ and Shodex Asahipak GS-620 HQ (7.5 × 300 mm). Eluted with H ₂ O. Flow rate: 0.8 mL/min.	Imbs et al. (2010)
Fucoidan	TSK G4000 SW-XL (300 x 7.8 mm) and TSK G3000 SW-XL (300 x 7.8 mm). Eluted with 0.1M NH ₄ OAc acetate. Flow rate: 0.5 mL/min.	Foley et al. (2011)
Fucoidan	Shodex Asahipak GS-520 HQ and GS-620 HQ (7.5 × 300 mm). Eluted with H ₂ O. Flow rate: 0.8 mL/min.	Anastyuk, Imbs, et al. (2012)
Fucoidan	PL aquagel-OH column. Eluted with 0.2 M Na ₂ SO ₄ . Flow rate: 0.5 mL/min.	P. Wang et al. (2012)
Laminarin	TSKgel column G4000PWXL (7.8 x 300 mm) and G5000PWXL (7.8 x 300 mm). Eluted with H ₂ O. Flow rate: 0.5 mL/min.	Zha et al. (2012)
Fucoidan	Sepharose CL-4B (140 × 1.8 cm). Eluted with 0.2 M acetic acid.	Dore et al. (2013)
Fucoidan	Sephacryl S-300 column (100 × 2.6 cm). Equilibrated and eluted with 0.2 M NaCl.	Cong et al. (2016)
Fucoidan	Sepharose 6B column (90 × 1.0 cm). Eluted with 100 mM sodium phosphate buffer. Flow rate : 0.6 mL/min.	Dinesh et al. (2016)
Fucoidan	Superdex 200 (300 × 10 mm). Eluted with 0.2 M NaCl. Flow rate: 0.3 mL/min.	Huang et al. (2016)

Table 4. Bioactivities *in vitro* and *in vivo* of marine polysaccharides isolated from macroalgae.

Biological activity	Macroalgal polysaccharides	Method	References
Antioxidant <i>in vitro</i>	Fucoidan	Total antioxidant, ferric reducing and hydroxyl radical scavenging activities.	Hifney et al. (2016)
	Fucoidan	Ferrous ion-chelating activity, DPPH and ABTS radical scavenging.	Huang et al. (2016)
	Fucoidan	DPPH radical scavenging.	Dore et al. (2013)
Antitumour <i>in vitro</i>	Fucoidan	Sulforhodamine B assay.	You et al. (2010)
	Fucoidan	Inhibit growth of HT 29 and T-47D cell lines.	Menshova et al. (2015)
	Laminarin	HT 29 cell lines.	
	Fucoidan	SK-MEL-5 and SK-MEL-28 melanoma.	Imbs et al. (2016)
Anticoagulant <i>in vitro</i>	Fucoidan	Thrombin time (TT), prothrombin time (PT) and activated partial thromboplastin time (APTT) coagulation assays.	Dore et al. (2013); Jin et al. (2013)
	Laminarin (laminarin sulphate)	Upon the structural modification (sulphation) with both O-sulphate and N-sulphate groups.	Kadam, Tiwari, et al. (2015)
Antiinflammatory <i>in vivo</i>	Laminarin	Decrease of inflammatory cytokines in colon and liver <i>in vivo</i> .	Sweeney et al. (2012)
	Laminarin	Downregulation of IL-6, IL-17A, IL-1b and IL-10 in the colon of post-weaning pigs <i>in vivo</i> .	Walsh et al. (2013)