Research Repository UCD

Title	Advances in magnetic tweezers for single molecule and cell biophysics
Authors(s)	Kilinc, Devrim, Lee, Gil U.
Publication date	2014-11
Publication information	Kilinc, Devrim, and Gil U. Lee. "Advances in Magnetic Tweezers for Single Molecule and Cell Biophysics" 6 (November, 2014).
Publisher	Royal Society of Chemistry
Item record/more information	http://hdl.handle.net/10197/8459
Publisher's version (DOI)	10.1039/c3ib40185e

Downloaded 2023-04-07T10:52:29Z

The UCD community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters! (@ucd_oa)

© Some rights reserved. For more information

Advances in Magnetic Tweezers for Single Molecule and Cell Biophysics

Devrim Kilinc^a and Gil U. Lee^{*a}

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXXX 20XX

DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

Magnetic tweezers (MTW) enable highly accurate forces to be transduced to molecules to study mechanotransduction at the molecular or cellular level. We review recent MTW studies in single molecule and cell biophysics that demonstrate the flexibility of this technique. We also discuss technical advances in the method on several fronts, i.e., from novel approaches for the measurement of torque to multiplexed biophysical assays. Finally, we describe multi-component nanorods with enhanced optical and magnetic properties and discuss their potential as future MTW probes.

Mechanical manipulation of biological material, from proteins to tissue, is receiving growing interest in biomedical research since it provides quantitative information on how mechanical stimuli regulate a multitude of biological processes from enzyme activity to organ development¹. Among the techniques developed to apply force to biological entities, magnetic tweezers (MTW) has claimed particular attention as it is capable of applying torque, it can be fully integrated with a range of powerful light microscopy imaging modes, and it offers high force, spatial, and temporal sensitivity². In this review, we present a brief summary of recent measurements made with MTW and highlight several technical advances that are taking place in MTW instrumentation.

The MTW technique is based on the non-invasive manipulation of magnetic particles, and thereby the biological entity they are attached to, *via* an externally-imposed magnetic field and/or field gradient. A typical MTW setup uses a light microscope to track the position of a magnetic particle in an external magnetic field. The majority of magnetic particles used in MTW studies are superparamagnetic (SPM) or weakly ferromagnetic i.e., they are composites of 20-90% by weight Fe₃O₄ or Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles embedded in a polymeric matrix. The superparamagnetic or ferromagnetic properties of the particles are defined by the size of the nanoparticles they contain³. When placed in an external magnetic field $\mathbf{H}$, a particle with a magnetic moment $\mathbf{m}$ results in magnetic induction $\mathbf{B} = \mu_0 (\mathbf{H} + \mathbf{m}/V)$, where μ_0 is the vacuum permeability and V is its volume. The particle is subjected to a torque $\boldsymbol{\tau} = \mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{B}$ that tends to align the particle's magnetic moment with the field. If the field has a gradient, $\nabla \mathbf{H}$, the particle is subjected to a force $\mathbf{F} = (\mathbf{m} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{B}$ directed towards regions with higher field density (illustrated for a SPM particle in Fig. 1A). The force can be expressed as $\mathbf{F} = V\chi \nabla(B^2/2\mu_0)$ where χ is the effective susceptibility of the particle, indicating that the magnetic force scales with the volume and the magnetic susceptibility of the particle. Ferromagnetic nanoparticles are preferred in applications where the external magnetic field is weak and particle size is limited, due to their high saturation magnetization. Spherical SPM particles with 0.1 – 100 μm diameter and a large selection of chemically modified surfaces are commercially available. We have recently demonstrated the emulsion-templated synthesis that results in SPM beads with six times higher magnetization compared to commercial beads³⁻⁴.

With the exception of PicoTwist (<http://www.picotwist.com/>), MTW systems are not commercially available; therefore, researchers typically build their own magnets around a particular microscope. The large variation in the MTW setups found in the literature demonstrates the flexibility of the method, where the configuration is typically built to answer the biophysical question at hand. Permanent magnet assemblies, electromagnets, or combinations thereof are used as field sources. Rare earth permanent magnets have been widely used to build MTW systems as they have saturation magnetization of 1.3 T and are available in a range of shapes. Electromagnets, where the field is generated by passing electrical current through a coil, usually contain para- or ferro-magnetic yokes with a sharpened tip to increase the local field gradient. Electromagnets are capable of generating 0.5 T fields and allow time varying forces to be applied to a sample. Depending on the magnet configuration, the applied force can be perpendicular to the biological sample providing a stretch (Fig. 1B-D)⁴, or be in parallel with the biological sample providing a twist (Fig. 1E)⁵. A force calibration step is required for each type of magnetic particle to be used as a MTW probe. The calibration is typically conducted by estimating the drag force acting on a particle from its ultimate velocity in a viscous fluid⁴ or by measuring the thermal fluctuation of the particle position along the force direction if the particle is tethered to a surface. The latter method is non-trivial and requires a high sampling rate to accurate force measurement. The reader is referred to a recent protocol describing the construction and calibration of a MTW system⁶.

Magnetic Tweezers in Biophysics

MTW has been used to generate force and torque to measure the mechanical properties of biological samples, from individual molecules⁷ to inter-molecular bonds⁸ to whole cells⁹. Cell stiffness, for example, may indicate the metastatic potential of cancer cells²⁵. Apart from being a mechanical characterization tool, MTW can also be used to manipulate a biomolecule to discover its function. The function of a biomolecule may be altered with externally applied force or torque through changing its conformation or folding state⁷ and/or

exposing its cryptic sites that are hidden in the native state. The proteolysis rate of the trimeric collagen by matrix metalloproteinase-1 (MMP-1), for example, increases exponentially when the molecule is stretched, suggesting a buried site in the relaxed state that is preferentially cleaved by MMP-1²⁰. Detailed analysis of protein folding states in response to constant stretching force provides additional insight on the mechanosensitive domains of the cytoskeletal crosslinker protein filamin A and their different conformational states that emerge when unfolded¹⁶.

A major advantage of MTW over other biophysical methods is that its interference with the specimen is minimal. This feature becomes particularly important in cellular mechanotransduction studies. Integrins, a large family of transmembrane proteins that mechanically link the cytoskeleton with the extracellular matrix, have been the most popular targets for delivering mechanical stimuli to cells. Depending on the rate (or frequency) and magnitude of the applied force, pulling on integrins elicit a wide range of biological responses in different cell types: Minute forces (3 pN; cyclic at 1 Hz) are sufficient to hyperpolarize stem cells through stretch-activated ion channels¹⁷. Higher forces (1 nN cyclic at 1 Hz) are required to identify the roles of talin and α -actinin in focal adhesion initiation and maturation, respectively¹⁹. A rapid stretch (4 nN at 40 nN·s⁻¹), however, causes traumatic axonal injury in primary neurons¹³. Based on integrin-mediated pulling, these studies demonstrate the flexibility of MTW. It is also worth mentioning recent pulling experiments conducted in developing *Xenopus*¹⁴ and *Drosophila*²² embryos, to highlight the benign nature of MTW. A more comprehensive list of recent applications of MTW in protein and cell biophysics is given in Table 1. For applications at the nucleic acid level, the reader is referred to a recent review by Bryant and colleagues²⁷.

Recent Technical Advances

Higher spatial, temporal, and force resolution

In applications where sub-pN forces are desired, such as measuring the mechanical properties of DNA, MTW experiments are generally conducted in a quasi-static mode, since the accurate analysis of the particle position requires considerable time. By controlling the force through the position of a pair of permanent magnets, and by precisely measuring the height of the magnetic bead, real-time force spectroscopy can be conducted at nm spatial and sub-pN force resolution to reveal the folding of DNA chromatin²⁸. However, for short tethers, accurate height measurement is only possible with small beads, limiting the applied force. Considerably high, yet accurate forces may be required for a detailed description of protein folding. By quantifying the thermal fluctuations of the particle position along a direction orthogonal to the force direction, the force can be directly determined with high accuracy, overcoming this limitation²⁹. Increased spatial resolution becomes critically important in high-force applications where the Brownian motion is minimal. In an attempt to increase the spatial resolution, reflection interference contrast microscopy (RICM) has been implemented. RICM relies on the interference between the reflections of the incident light from the bead surface and from the transparent substratum. By coating magnetic beads and glass surfaces with 50 nm TiO₂ and Au layers, respectively, the instrumental resolution has been reduced to <0.2 nm³⁰. The spatial resolution in a MTW experiment can also be reduced by employing sophisticated bead tracking algorithms. The vertical distance of the magnetic bead from a reference surface has been traditionally measured by analyzing the diffraction pattern of the transmitted light. A novel tracking algorithm is able to reduce the lateral resolution to less than 1 nm at 30× magnification (to <0.3 nm at 100×)³¹; an achievement that is particularly important for tracking multiple beads simultaneously. The temporal resolution of MTW has also recently been improved. By combining a superluminescent diode illuminator with a high speed CMOS camera, a recent MTW instrument is capable of measuring the stochastic folding/unfolding events of a DNA hairpin. Operating at 702 Hz, it can resolve a 11 ms residence of the hairpin in the folded state; which had been previously unresolved due to lack of sufficient temporal resolution³². These improvements now define the current boundaries of the MTW method for single molecule applications as well as for multiplexed measurements.

Measuring torque independent of force

Traditional MTW can be used to apply or measure torque on a molecule that is rotationally constrained, through multiple contacts at the magnetic bead and substrate ends of the molecule, by rotating the magnetic field and hence the probe (Fig. 1F)³³. However, this method has several important limitations: (i) torque in the range of 10 pN·nm, typically exerted by a single double-stranded (ds) DNA molecule, cannot be measured; (ii) twisting torque cannot be decoupled from stretching force; and (iii) torque exerted by a molecule cannot be measured without applying an external torque to that molecule. Recent years have witnessed a range of MTW designs that aimed to overcome these limitations. A hybrid structure, composed of a magnetic bead attached to the tiny Ni segment of a NiPt nanorod, increased the torque resolution considerably (Fig. 1G)³⁴. By circling the probe around the magnet center, a 10 kb dsDNA molecule has been successfully overwound and unwound at ~1 pN stretching force. A soft torsional trap is generated due to the moment of the horizontal force ($F_h \times L$) and the torque is calculated by multiplying the trap stiffness $k_B T / \langle \delta \theta^2 \rangle$ with θ .

Several alternative approaches have been considered in order to decouple torque from the stretching force. “Soft MTW” is based on the principle that in a fast rotating field, the bead rotation cannot follow the field rotation due to the viscous drag force. However, this instrument is limited to very low stretching forces³⁵. “Magnetic torque tweezers” (MTT) utilizes a cylindrical permanent magnet to apply a vertical magnetic field to a vertically-aligned DNA tether and a small marker bead attached to the magnetic bead to measure its angular orientation (Fig. 1H). A side magnet attached to the cylindrical magnet distorts the magnetic field such that a soft torsional trap is created³⁶. In “rotor bead tracking”, the twist in the dsDNA molecule is measured by tracing a fluorescent marker bead that is attached to the molecule (Fig. 1I)²⁴. The twist (θ) is determined by subtracting the rotation of the rotor bead (ϕ) from the rotation of the magnetic

bead (α). “Freely-orbiting MTW” repeats the cylindrical magnet configuration of MTT and demonstrates that the bead rotates freely about the axis of the molecule (at radius R), provided that the tether has a single contact point with the bead (Fig. 1J) ³⁷. This design was further improved to include Helmholtz coils that induce an additional magnetic field in the horizontal plane. The horizontal component results in a torsional trap whose stiffness can be controlled by changing the Helmholtz field amplitude. The so-called “electromagnetic torque tweezers” instrument is able to exert stretching forces from 10 fN to 10 pN and its torsional stiffness ranges from zero to 1000 pN-nm-rad⁻¹ ³⁸. In summary, the rapid developments in the field of torque tweezers resulted in a series of fine instruments with broad ranges of force and torque.

Magnetic tweezers as a complementary biophysical technique

MTW method is inherently based on microscopy. In contrast to traditional MTW systems that align the molecule vertically, transverse designs enable the application of forces in the focal plane such that inter-molecular processes, such as, protein exchange on a DNA tether, could be directly visualized *via* fluorescence microscopy ³⁹. Evanescent field illumination has recently been integrated to MTW systems. Frustrated total internal reflectance (f-TIR) can be used to detect the presence of magnetic nanoparticles on a sensor surface ⁴⁰; whereas total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) can be used to determine the vertical position of a fluorescent magnetic probe at nm resolution ⁴¹⁻⁴². Single molecule Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) is an indicator of the distance between acceptor and donor molecules, and therefore can be used to measure molecular folding events. The folding of a guanine/cytosine repeat has been characterized within the context of B-DNA to Z-DNA transition under torsional stress, by flanking it between the acceptor and donor of a FRET pair ⁴³. Similarly, G-quadruplex (GQ) folding and unfolding in telomere DNA has been studied by flanking GQ with two dsDNA molecules tagged with FRET fluorophores ⁴⁴.

Due to its flexible design, MTW can be combined with other force probing techniques. In a delicate experimental setting, the interaction between two DNA molecules, one horizontally stretched between two optical tweezers (OT) probes and the other vertically held between the substrate and a magnetic bead ⁴⁵. The horizontally-aligned molecule can be pushed towards the vertically-aligned molecule, which, in turn, can be stretched and twisted to reveal the nature of the interaction. Another study combining MTW with OT used the F₁-ATPase, a rotary motor protein which is an essential part of the ATP synthesis machinery, as a reel to wind individual dsDNA molecules ⁴⁶. One end of the dsDNA molecule was tethered to the γ -subunit of F₁-ATPase, which was rotated *via* a MTW probe, and the other end was tethered to an OT probe to measure the stretching force. The bending stiffness of the DNA molecule could then be estimated from the measured bending diameter and the stretching force.

At the cellular level, MTW method has been used in combination with traction force microscopy, a technique where cells are cultured on an array of elastic micropillars and the force applied on each pillar is measured by its deformation ⁴⁷. Smooth muscle cells exhibited contractile force reinforcement when stimulated with magnetic nanorods that were either internalized or attached to their membranes. Interestingly, the response of the cells depended on the actuation frequency, but not on the magnitude of the force or torque. This observation could only be made by using MTW, since it controls frequency and magnitude independently. Cumulatively, these studies display the flexibility of the MTW method in the sense that it can be combined with other biophysical techniques.

Multiplexing magnetic tweezers

MTW force and torque application can be multiplexed as long as highly uniform magnetic fields are imposed on magnetic particles with uniform size and magnetism. Such uniform fields are usually delivered by a pair of permanent magnets at the expense of the field gradient, resulting in low forces. Parallel vertical pulling has been utilized to study the cleavage of DNA by type III restriction enzymes ⁴⁸, the proteolysis of collagen by MMP-1 ²⁰, and the unbinding of cell adhesion molecules ⁴ under external force. Similarly, with the help of microcontact printing, dense arrays of DNA-bead tethers can be formed in order to apply force and torque to hundreds of molecules simultaneously ⁴⁹. As an alternative, the so-called “imaginary” MTW has been proposed which relies on the highly-uniform magnetic repulsion forces (10⁻⁴ – 1 pN range) that are applied to polymer particles embedded in ferrofluid ⁵⁰. Multiplexed MTW is most suited for parallel assays involving proteins and cells towards biosensor applications. For a more comprehensive review of high-throughput MTW studies, the reader is referred to a recent review by De Vlaminck and Dekker ⁵¹.

Novel particles for enhanced magnetic tweezers

Despite these recent advances in the MTW method, the vast majority of experiments are based on commercial magnetic beads. Novel magnetic particles exhibiting control of both shape and element composition have recently emerged as alternative tools for enhanced MTW ⁵²⁻⁵³. Cylindrical magnetic particles of metallic ^{47, 54} and polymeric origin ⁵⁵ have recently been employed in the MTW context. These so-called nanowires or nanorods are of particular interest as they can combine multiple metallic elements ⁵⁴ or alloys ⁵³ into a single structure, potentially creating isolated molecular binding sites for targeting applications. Compared to a commercial 1 μ m diameter spherical bead (Dynabeads MyOne), a 2 μ m long, 100 nm diameter pure iron rod provides 1.3 $\times$ higher stretching force; yet, its rotational drag coefficient (f_r) is 54 $\times$ smaller. This is particularly important in torque measurements where one needs to use small beads to achieve high torque sensitivity ($f_r \sim r^3$) at the expense of the stretching force (also $\sim r^3$).

Apart from their enhanced magnetic properties, multi-component nanorods can also be decorated with gold segments that have strong plasmonic properties. We have recently characterized the optical signature of FeAu nanorods using transmission polarization microscopy ⁵⁴. 60 nm diameter rods with a 191 nm Au segment were visualized despite their sub-wavelength dimensions (Fig. 2A). Larger rods (295 nm in diameter a with 1056 nm Au segment) were visualized in both bright field (Fig. 2B) and dark field modes, where the latter was

achieved by using an orthogonally-aligned polarizer/analyzer pair. Sequential images in Fig. 2 show how the scattered light intensity depends on the orientation of the rod where θ is the angle between the polarization axis and the rod's longitudinal axis. The gold segment exhibits an orientation-dependent intensity that peaks at 45° and 135° angles (Fig. 2C). Combined with the strong magnetization provided by the Fe segment (1600 emu/cm^3 saturation magnetization)⁵⁴, these rods are excellent candidates for intracellular MTW experiments.

Magnetic nanoparticles developed for drug delivery or theranostic applications, such as FePt capsules⁵⁶ and Fe_2O_3 tubes⁵⁷, may also be optimized for MTW use. Other potential candidates are the soft composite materials with programmable shape changes: Stripes that twist or bend⁵², and tubes that collapse upon exposure to weak magnetic fields⁵⁸ can be further exploited for their use as MTW probes. Regardless of the application mode, novel magnetic particles with enhanced magnetic and other properties provide an unexplored territory for the future of MTW method.

Conclusions

Magnetic tweezers has proved to be a powerful biophysical tool with unique abilities to apply torque independent from force or controlling hundreds of probes simultaneously. Recent efforts to advance the method focused on improving its resolution limits, combining it with other biophysical methods, and multiplexing. MTW is able measure the viscoelastic properties of biological samples from proteins to cells. Measuring cell stiffness is a promising new tool in medical diagnostics^{9, 25}. Highly-sensitive, cell-based MTW assays may be developed in the near future by integrating recent technical advances into existing biophysical measurements. In parallel, the particle synthesis field now offers new classes of magnetic particles with enhanced magnetic properties, a variety of geometries, and asymmetric features leading to multi-functionality. We expect these novel particles to replace the traditional MTW probes, e.g., spherical SPM beads, in the near future, expanding the potential uses of the MTW method and pushing its current boundaries.

20

Table 1 Recent Examples of Magnetic Tweezers Applications in Protein and Cell Biophysics^a

	ACELLULAR	TRANSMEMBRANE	INTRACELLULAR
Force	DNA (enzyme activity) ⁷	TREK-1 K^+ channel (differentiation) ¹⁰	Unknown (intracellular transport) ¹¹
	DNA origami (bending rigidity) ¹²	Integrin (traumatic axonal injury) ¹³	Unknown (gene expression) ¹⁴
	Talin ¹⁵ , Filamin A ¹⁶ (conformational change)	Integrin (ion channel activation) ¹⁷	
	Microtubule network (stiffness and creep) ¹⁸	Integrin (focal adhesion formation) ¹⁹	
	Collagen trimer (proteolysis rate) ²⁰	PECAM-1 (cell signalling) ²¹	
	apCAM (bond strength) ⁴	Cadherin (collective cell migration) ²²	
Torque	SNARE complex (rupture force) ⁸	Death receptor 4 (receptor clustering) ²³	
	DNA (torque spectroscopy) ²⁴	Integrin (metastatic potential) ²⁵	
	DNA origami (torsional rigidity) ¹²	Integrin (cell stiffness) ⁹	—
	F_1 -ATPase rotary motor (function) ²⁶	Cadherin (cell stiffness) ⁵	

^a Molecular handle (measurement conducted or observation made).

25

Fig. 1 Superparamagnetic beads contain magnetic nanoparticles whose magnetic moments are randomly oriented. In a magnetic field, these domains align with the field gradient and a pulling force is generated (A). Examples of MTW stretch experiments include single molecules (B); inter-molecular bonds (C); and transmembrane proteins (D). Ferromagnetic particles can apply torque on a transmembrane protein when exposed to a magnetic field parallel to the cell surface (E). Torque can be applied to a constrained single molecule by rotating the magnetic field (F). A bead-rod hybrid probe (Ref. 34) can apply torque by circling the probe around the magnet centerline (G). A soft torsional trap can also be generated via a side magnet attached to a cylindrical magnet (Ref. 36) (H). The twist in the molecule can be measured by the relative rotation of a fluorescent rotor bead attached to it (Ref. 25) (I), or by observing the free rotation of the bead about the axis of the molecule due to the point contact between the two (Ref. 37) (J).

Fig. 2 Optical signature of iron-gold composite nanorods (Ref. 54). Iron and gold segments of 60 nm diameter nanorods (white circles) can be identified with transmission polarization microscopy (A; Scale bar = 10 μm ; marked area is 40 $\times$ magnified). Bright-field image of a 295 nm diameter nanorod (B; Scale bars = 500 nm). A series of dark-field images of the same rod (right panel) obtained by orthogonally aligning the polarizer and the analyzer. Scattered light intensity from small (triangles) and large (circles) rods changes as a function of the angle between the polarizer and the rod axis (C).

Notes and references

^a UCD Nanomedicine Centre, School of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland. Fax: +353-1-716-1178; Tel: +353-1-716-2399; E-mail: gil.lee@ucd.ie

²⁰ This work was supported by Science Foundation Ireland (08/RP1/B1376 and 08/IN1/B2072), by the Programme for Research in Third-Level Institutions funded by the Irish Higher Education Agency and co-funded by the European Regional Development Fund, and by a Marie Curie Intra-European Fellowship (FP7-People-2010-IEF-273420).

1. B. D. Hoffman, C. Grashoff, M. A. Schwartz, Dynamic molecular processes mediate cellular mechanotransduction. *Nature* 2011, 475. 316-23.
2. L. B. Oddershede, Force probing of individual molecules inside the living cell is now a reality. *Nat Chem Biol* 2012, 8. 879-86.
3. J. J. O'Mahony, M. Platt, D. Kilinc, G. Lee, Synthesis of superparamagnetic particles with tunable morphologies: the role of nanoparticle-nanoparticle interactions. *Langmuir* 2013, 29. 2546-53.
4. D. Kilinc, A. Blasiak, J. J. O'Mahony, D. M. Suter, G. U. Lee, Magnetic tweezers-based force clamp reveals mechanically distinct apCAM domain interactions. *Biophys J* 2012, 103. 1120-9.
5. H. Tabdili, M. Langer, Q. Shi, Y. C. Poh, N. Wang, D. Leckband, Cadherin-dependent mechanotransduction depends on ligand identity but not affinity. *J Cell Sci* 2012, 125. 4362-71.
6. T. Lionnet, J. F. Allemand, A. Revyakin, T. R. Strick, O. A. Saleh, D. Bensimon, V. Croquette, Single-molecule studies using magnetic traps. *Cold Spring Harb Protoc* 2012, 2012. 34-49.
7. M. Manosas, S. K. Perumal, V. Croquette, S. J. Benkovic, Direct observation of stalled fork restart via fork regression in the T4 replication system. *Science* 2012, 338. 1217-20.
8. D. Min, K. Kim, C. Hyeon, Y. Hoon Cho, Y. K. Shin, T. Y. Yoon, Mechanical unzipping and reziping of a single SNARE complex reveals hysteresis as a force-generating mechanism. *Nat Commun* 2013, 4. 1705.
9. R. J. Saphirstein, Y. Z. Gao, M. H. Jensen, C. M. Gallant, S. Vetterkind, J. R. Moore, K. G. Morgan, The focal adhesion: a regulated component of aortic stiffness. *PLoS One* 2013, 8. e62461.
10. J. M. Kanczler, H. S. Sura, J. Magnay, R. O. Oreffo, D. Green, J. P. Dobson, A. J. El Haj, Controlled differentiation of human bone marrow stromal cells using magnetic nanoparticle technology. *Tissue Eng Part A* 2010, 16. 3241-50.
11. J. Mahowald, D. Arcizet, D. Heinrich, Impact of external stimuli and cell micro-architecture on intracellular transport states. *Chemphyschem* 2009, 10. 1559-66.
12. D. J. Kauert, T. Kurth, T. Liedl, R. Seidel, Direct mechanical measurements reveal the material properties of three-dimensional DNA origami. *Nano Lett* 2011, 11. 5558-63.
13. M. A. Hemphill, B. E. Dabiri, S. Gabriele, L. Kerscher, C. Franck, J. A. Goss, P. W. Alford, K. K. Parker, A possible role for integrin signaling in diffuse axonal injury. *PLoS One* 2011, 6. e22899.
14. A. Kumar, G. V. Shivashankar, Mechanical force alters morphogenetic movements and segmental gene expression patterns during drosophila embryogenesis. *PLoS One* 2012, 7. e33089.
15. A. del Rio, R. Perez-Jimenez, R. Liu, P. Roca-Cusachs, J. M. Fernandez, M. P. Sheetz, Stretching single talin rod molecules activates vinculin binding. *Science* 2009, 323. 638-41.
16. H. Chen, S. Chandrasekar, M. P. Sheetz, T. P. Stossel, F. Nakamura, J. Yan, Mechanical perturbation of filamin A immunoglobulin repeats 20-21 reveals potential non-equilibrium mechanochemical partner binding function. *Sci Rep* 2013, 3. 1642.
17. G. R. Kirkham, K. J. Elliot, A. Keramane, D. M. Salter, J. P. Dobson, A. J. El Haj, S. H. Cartmell, Hyperpolarization of human mesenchymal stem cells in response to magnetic force. *IEEE Trans Nanobioscience* 2010, 9. 71-4.
18. Y. Yang, M. Bai, W. S. Klug, A. J. Levine, M. T. Valentine, Microrheology of highly crosslinked microtubule networks is dominated by force-induced crosslinker unbinding. *Soft Matter* 2013, 9. 383-93.
19. P. Roca-Cusachs, A. del Rio, E. Puklin-Faucher, N. C. Gauthier, N. Biais, M. P. Sheetz, Integrin-dependent force transmission to the extracellular matrix by alpha-actinin triggers adhesion maturation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2013, 110. E1361-70.
20. A. S. Adhikari, J. Chai, A. R. Dunn, Mechanical load induces a 100-fold increase in the rate of collagen proteolysis by MMP-1. *J Am Chem Soc* 2011, 133. 1686-9.
21. C. Collins, C. Guilluy, C. Welch, E. T. O'Brien, K. Hahn, R. Superfine, K. Burridge, E. Tzima, Localized tensional forces on PECAM-1 elicit a global mechanotransduction response via the integrin-RhoA pathway. *Curr Biol* 2012, 22. 2087-94.
22. G. F. Weber, M. A. Bjerke, D. W. DeSimone, A mechanoresponsive cadherin-keratin complex directs polarized protrusive behavior and collective cell migration. *Dev Cell* 2012, 22. 104-15.
23. M. H. Cho, E. J. Lee, M. Son, J. H. Lee, D. Yoo, J. W. Kim, S. W. Park, J. S. Shin, J. Cheon, A magnetic switch for the control of cell death signalling in in vitro and in vivo systems. *Nat Mater* 2012, 11. 1038-43.
24. F. C. Oberstrass, L. E. Fernandes, Z. Bryant, Torque measurements reveal sequence-specific cooperative transitions in supercoiled DNA. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2012, 109. 6106-11.
25. M. F. Coughlin, D. R. Bielenberg, G. Lenormand, M. Marinkovic, C. G. Waghorne, B. R. Zetter, J. J. Fredberg, Cytoskeletal stiffness, friction, and fluidity of cancer cell lines with different metastatic potential. *Clin Exp Metastasis* 2013, 30. 237-50.
26. R. Watanabe, R. Iino, H. Noji, Phosphate release in F1-ATPase catalytic cycle follows ADP release. *Nat Chem Biol* 2010, 6. 814-20.
27. Z. Bryant, F. C. Oberstrass, A. Basu, Recent developments in single-molecule DNA mechanics. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 2012, 22. 304-12.
28. M. Kruihof, F. T. Chien, A. Routh, C. Logie, D. Rhodes, J. van Noort, Single-molecule force spectroscopy reveals a highly compliant helical folding for the 30-nm chromatin fiber. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 2009, 16. 534-40.
29. H. Chen, H. Fu, X. Zhu, P. Cong, F. Nakamura, J. Yan, Improved high-force magnetic tweezers for stretching and refolding of proteins and short DNA. *Biophys J* 2011, 100. 517-23.
30. K. Kim, O. A. Saleh, A high-resolution magnetic tweezer for single-molecule measurements. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2009, 37. e136.
31. M. T. van Loenhout, I. M. Kerssemakers, I. De Vlaminc, C. Dekker, Non-bias-limited tracking of spherical particles, enabling nanometer resolution at low magnification. *Biophys J* 2012, 102. 2362-71.
32. B. M. Lansdorp, S. J. Tabrizi, A. Dittmore, O. A. Saleh, A high-speed magnetic tweezer beyond 10,000 frames per second. *Rev Sci Instrum* 2013, 84. 044301.
33. C. Breme, F. Heslot, Mapping of single-base differences between two DNA strands in a single molecule using holliday junction nanomechanics. *PLoS One* 2013, 8. e55154.
34. A. Celedon, I. M. Nodelman, B. Wildt, R. Dewan, P. Searson, D. Wirtz, G. D. Bowman, S. X. Sun, Magnetic tweezers measurement of single molecule torque. *Nano Lett* 2009, 9. 1720-5.
35. F. Mosconi, J. F. Allemand, V. Croquette, Soft magnetic tweezers: a proof of principle. *Rev Sci Instrum* 2011, 82. 034302.
36. J. Lipfert, J. W. Kerssemakers, T. Jager, N. H. Dekker, Magnetic torque tweezers: measuring torsional stiffness in DNA and RecA-DNA filaments. *Nat Methods* 2010, 7. 977-80.
37. J. Lipfert, M. Wiggins, J. W. Kerssemakers, F. Pedaci, N. H. Dekker, Freely orbiting magnetic tweezers to directly monitor changes in the twist of nucleic acids. *Nat Commun* 2011, 2. 439.
38. X. J. Janssen, J. Lipfert, T. Jager, R. Daudey, J. Beekman, N. H. Dekker, Electromagnetic torque tweezers: a versatile approach for measurement of single-molecule twist and torque. *Nano Lett* 2012, 12. 3634-9.

39. J. S. Graham, R. C. Johnson, J. F. Marko, Concentration-dependent exchange accelerates turnover of proteins bound to double-stranded DNA. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2011, *39*, 2249-59.
40. D. M. Bruls, T. H. Evers, J. A. Kahlman, P. J. van Lankvelt, M. Ovsyanko, E. G. Pelssers, J. J. Schleipen, F. K. de Theije, C. A. Verschuren, T. van der Wijk, J. B. van Zon, W. U. Dittmer, A. H. Immink, J. H. Nieuwenhuis, M. W. Prins, Rapid integrated biosensor for multiplexed immunoassays based on actuated magnetic nanoparticles. *Lab Chip* 2009, *9*, 3504-10.
41. R. Liu, S. Garcia-Manyes, A. Sarkar, C. L. Badilla, J. M. Fernandez, Mechanical characterization of protein L in the low-force regime by electromagnetic tweezers/evanescent nanometry. *Biophys J* 2009, *96*, 3810-21.
42. P. M. Oliver, J. S. Park, D. Vezhenov, Quantitative high-resolution sensing of DNA hybridization using magnetic tweezers with evanescent illumination. *Nanoscale* 2011, *3*, 581-91.
43. M. Lee, S. H. Kim, S. C. Hong, Minute negative superhelicity is sufficient to induce the B-Z transition in the presence of low tension. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2010, *107*, 4985-90.
44. X. Long, J. W. Parks, C. R. Bagshaw, M. D. Stone, Mechanical unfolding of human telomere G-quadruplex DNA probed by integrated fluorescence and magnetic tweezers spectroscopy. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2012, *41*, 2746-55.
45. I. De Vlaminck, M. T. van Loenhout, L. Zweifel, J. den Blanken, K. Hoening, S. Hage, J. Kerssemakers, C. Dekker, Mechanism of homology recognition in DNA recombination from dual-molecule experiments. *Mol Cell* 2012, *46*, 616-24.
46. H. You, R. Iino, R. Watanabe, H. Noji, Winding single-molecule double-stranded DNA on a nanometer-sized reel. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2012, *40*, e151.
47. Y. C. Lin, C. M. Kramer, C. S. Chen, D. H. Reich, Probing cellular traction forces with magnetic nanowires and microfabricated force sensor arrays. *Nanotechnology* 2012, *23*, 075101.
48. K. Van Aelst, J. Tóth, S. P. Ramanathan, F. W. Schwarz, R. Seidel, M. D. Szczelkun, Type III restriction enzymes cleave DNA by long-range interaction between sites in both head-to-head and tail-to-tail inverted repeat. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2010, *107*, 9123-8.
49. I. De Vlaminck, T. Henighan, M. T. J. Van Loenhout, I. Pfeiffer, J. Huijts, J. W. J. Kerssemakers, A. J. Katan, A. Van Langen-Suurling, E. Van Der Drift, C. Wyman, C. Dekker, Highly parallel magnetic tweezers by targeted DNA tethering. *Nano Letters* 2011, *11*, 5489-93.
50. Y. Yang, R. M. Erb, B. J. Wiley, S. Zauscher, B. B. Yellen, Imaginary magnetic tweezers for massively parallel surface adhesion spectroscopy. *Nano Lett* 2011, *11*, 1681-4.
51. I. De Vlaminck, C. Dekker, Recent advances in magnetic tweezers. *Annu Rev Biophys* 2012, *41*, 453-72.
52. J. W. Tavacoli, P. Bauer, M. Fermigier, D. Bartolo, J. Heuvingh, O. du Roure, The fabrication and directed self-assembly of micron-sized superparamagnetic non-spherical particles. *Soft Matter* 2013, *9*, 9103-10.
53. Y. Zhang, Q. Wang, B. Ashall, D. Zerulla, G. U. Lee, Magnetic-plasmonic dual modulated FePt-Au ternary heterostructured nanorods as a promising nano-bioprobe. *Adv Mater* 2012, *24*, 2485-90.
54. Y. Zhang, M. DaSilva, B. Ashall, G. Doyle, D. Zerulla, T. D. Sands, G. U. Lee, Magnetic manipulation and optical imaging of an active plasmonic single-particle Fe-Au nanorod. *Langmuir* 2011, *27*, 15292-8.
55. P. Schroeder, J. Schotter, A. Shoshi, M. Eggeling, O. Bethge, A. Hutten, H. Bruckl, Artificial cilia of magnetically tagged polymer nanowires for biomimetic mechanosensing. *Bioinspir Biomim* 2011, *6*, 046007.
56. T. Fuchigami, Y. Kitamoto, Y. Namiki, Size-tunable drug-delivery capsules composed of a magnetic nanoshell. *Biomatter* 2012, *2*, 313-20.
57. N. Kang, J. H. Park, J. Choi, J. Jin, J. Chun, I. G. Jung, J. Jeong, J. G. Park, S. M. Lee, H. J. Kim, S. U. Son, Nanoparticulate iron oxide tubes from microporous organic nanotubes as stable anode materials for lithium ion batteries. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* 2012, *51*, 6626-30.
58. R. Fuhrer, C. M. Schumacher, M. Zeltner, W. J. Stark, Soft iron/silicon composite tubes for magnetic peristaltic pumping: frequency-dependent pressure and volume flow. *Adv Funct Mater* 2013, *23*, 3845-9.