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ABSTRACT
The aim of this article is to review the evidence on gestational weight gain (GWG), and its relationship between abnormal foetal growth and the development of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM). Maternal obesity is a major concern of modern obstetrics and is associated with increased maternal and foetal complications. It is becoming increasingly common and GWG has attracted an upsurge of interest.

In 2009, recommendations from the Institute of Medicine in the United States revised downwards the weight gain recommendations in pregnancy for obese mothers. There is no international consensus or guidelines on GWG. There are concerns with evidence on GWG and many publications have methodological shortcomings.

No causal relationship has been shown between GWG and excessive foetal growth, however emerging evidence demonstrates a relationship between birth weight and maternal fat-free mass. Interventions in pregnancy aimed at targeting GWG have not shown a decrease in excessive foetal growth and inappropriate intervention may lead to foetal malnutrition with adverse clinical consequences. While an association between pre-pregnancy maternal obesity and GDM has been shown, evidence of the relationship between weight gain during pregnancy and GDM is conflicting.

A recent study has shown that fat mass gain to 28 weeks gestation does not influence the development of GDM. Careful consideration should be paid to the advice that pregnant women are given about GWG and their lifestyle before, during, and after pregnancy and we believe that it may be more appropriate to shift the focus of attention from monitoring maternal weight to increasing physical activity levels and improving nutritional intakes.

INTRODUCTION
Maternal obesity is a major concern in modern obstetrics. It is increasingly common, is associated with additional risks for both mother and baby and gives rise to added costs to the health services. The subject of gestational weight gain (GWG) has attracted an upsurge of interest in recent years, particularly as the prevalence of maternal obesity is increasing. It is in this context that this article aims to review the evidence on the relationship between GWG, abnormal foetal growth and the development of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM).

In 2009, the Institute of Medicine (IOM) in the United States published a review of all existing evidence on GWG. It revised GWG guidelines based on pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI) independent of parity, smoking history, race, and ethnic background. The focus of previous guidelines had been on the attainment of adequate weight gain in pregnancy so as to minimise foetal and neonatal complications. The newer publication attempted to provide guidance on the balance between weight gain in pregnancy and outcomes for both mother and baby. This shift of emphasis, taking into account the possible negative outcomes associated with excessive as well as inadequate weight gain, resulted in recommended weight gain for obese women during pregnancy being revised downwards. These guidelines were based on the US population only. There has been no international consensus on recommendations for GWG.

CONCERNS WITH THE CURRENT EVIDENCE
Many publications on GWG have methodological shortcomings. Studies on GWG to date have been fraught with difficulties and high quality scientific evidence is lacking. The IOM report acknowledged that, “Unfortunately, most of the data available to the Committee was not collected with a high level of rigor and most studies relied on recall weight values.” The accuracy of self-reported weight was reviewed in 32 studies involving 57,172 women, and in each study, women underestimated their weight. Self-reported weight leads to faulty categorisation of BMI in 22% of cases and has led to the diagnosis of obesity being missed in 5% of cases. Studies based on self-reporting of maternal weight both under-diagnose obesity and exaggerate the risk of obesity because women with mild obesity report themselves in the overweight category.

There is the additional problem of timing in the calculation of maternal BMI. In the reported studies there is wide variance in the times when BMI is calculated. Some studies utilised the last measured weight before pregnancy while others utilised the first recorded weight in pregnancy. Pre-pregnancy weight has not been defined and in women who are anovulatory a change in weight may stimulate ovulation. For example, ovulation is promoted by weight gain in underweight women and by weight loss in those with polycystic ovarian syndrome.

During pregnancy weight is dependent on gestational age and assessment of weight therefore requires knowledge of gestational age. A study of 1,000 women in early pregnancy showed no change in mean weight or body composition during the first trimester. Measuring weight gain obviously requires the measurement of weight at two different time points. A problem arises with the timing of the repeat measurement in pregnancy. Some studies have used the last measured weight in pregnancy, without account being taken of the importance of gestational age.

In previous studies pregnancies were not dated accurately by ultrasound and so the gestational age at the time of the BMI calculation not known. Some, such as the landmark Hyperglycaemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome (HAPO) study, calculated BMI only as late as 24-32 weeks gestation. At this gestation, BMI does not reflect that of early pregnancy and analysis of clinical outcomes cannot distinguish between the influence of BMI and pregnancy weight gain in the second trimester. Gestational age is an important determinant not only of GWG itself but also of the clinical outcomes of GWG. Therefore clinical outcomes can only be compared when there is accurate knowledge of gestational age. This is particularly important when examining outcomes such as birth weight or babies classified as small for gestational age (SGA) or large-for-gestational age (LGA). Early pregnancy dating by ultrasound should be a pre-requisite for any accurate study of GWG.
GWG AND ABERRANT FOETAL GROWTH

Associations between GWG and aberrant foetal growth have been reported, with higher weight gain associated with LGA babies and lower weight gain associated with SGA babies.9 However, since weight gain in pregnancy includes the weight of the baby, it is hardly surprising that women carrying larger babies have increased their weight more than women carrying smaller babies. Such epidemiological associations do not provide evidence of causation.10

Despite a significant rise in the prevalence of maternal obesity no increase in the number of babies born with a birth weight in excess of 4.5 kg has been identified.11 Studies have found, in spite of an increase in the prevalence of obesity there has been an associated decrease in babies with macrosomia.12 Therefore, recommendations regarding GWG and excessive foetal growth must take into account the increase in the prevalence of obesity there has been identified.13

Emerging evidence demonstrates that birth weight is related more closely to maternal fat-free mass than maternal fat mass. A positive correlation was found between birth weight and an increase in maternal fat-free mass but not fat mass, in a study of 63 women.15 Previous studies showed that maternal water gain is predictive of birth weight.16,17 A recent study from Dublin reported a correlation between birth weight and maternal body composition in 2618 women studied prospectively.11 The mothers’ body composition was measured directly using bioelectrical impedance analysis during the first trimester. Multivariate regression analysis found that birth weight correlated significantly with fat-free mass but not fat mass, suggesting that attempts to reduce maternal fat mass during pregnancy are unlikely to decrease birth weight.

Even if evidence for a causal relationship between GWG and aberrant foetal growth were established, interventions in pregnancy targeted at limiting GWG have not been shown to prevent excessive foetal growth. Reviews of interventions aimed at optimizing GWG have concluded that the literature is of poor quality and results are inconsistent.18

Micronutrient and macronutrient deficiencies in pregnancy are associated with poorer clinical outcomes for both mother and baby.19 Interventions focused on losing weight during pregnancy should ensure that the growing fetus is not deprived of essential nutrients as a result. The promotion of weight management programmes based on increasing physical activity may be safer than those focusing on dietary restrictions, with an overall emphasis on the promotion of a healthy lifestyle.4

Attention has been drawn to an association between obstetric morbidity and high pre-pregnancy BMI.11, 20 Obesity in pregnancy is associated with an increased risk of a number of serious adverse outcomes, including miscarriage, foetal congenital anomaly, pre-eclampsia, postpartum haemorrhage, stillbirth and neonatal death. There is a higher caesarean section rate and lower breastfeeding rate in this group of women compared to women with a healthy BMI.21 Pre-pregnancy interventions for BMI optimisation may therefore be more successful at reducing morbidity than interventions started during pregnancy.

GESTATIONAL DIABETES MELLITUS

Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) has been defined as ‘any degree of glucose intolerance with onset or first recognition during pregnancy’.22 It is strongly associated with maternal obesity and is increasingly common. Potentially it increases morbidity for mother and baby.22, 24 GDM also carries a major resource-burden for maternity services.24, 25 Screening recommendations for GDM vary throughout the world; in Ireland the HSE recommends selective screening based on the presence of risk factors.24 The findings of the HAPO study showing increasing adverse pregnancy outcomes for both mother and baby with increasing levels of maternal glycaemia, even below those defined as the threshold for GDM, led to recommendations lowering the level of glycaemia required for a diagnosis of GDM.27 These recommendations have been met with some controversy, and while endorsed by the American Diabetes Association, have not been endorsed by the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists.25

While the evidence for a link between pre-pregnancy maternal obesity and GDM is strong, the association between weight gain during pregnancy and the development of GDM is conflicting.28 The IOM stated an inability to comment on the relationship between GWG and GDM due to a lack of sufficient evidence.1 Of eleven reviews studied by the IOM, four showed an association between high weight gain and the development of diabetes, three showed an association between low weight gain and the development of diabetes and four showed no association between them. All but one examined weight gain to term by which stage weight control measures had already been commenced for women with diabetes. All of these studies were limited by the methodological problems previously outlined.

Recently the UCD Centre for Human Reproduction has examined the relationship between GWG and the development of GDM. There were 582 white European women with a singleton pregnancy recruited to the study.
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Ultrasound dating of the pregnancy was performed and only those women at less than 18 weeks gestation at recruitment were included in subsequent analysis. Height and weight were measured and BMI calculated at recruitment. Body composition using bioelectrical impedance was analysed at recruitment and again at 28 weeks gestation. GWG and body composition changes from baseline to 28 weeks gestation were calculated. Women who developed GDM (n=30) gained an average of 4.5kg by 28 weeks gestation compared with 7.6kg in women who did not develop GDM (p<0.001). When analysed by BMI category the difference in weight gain between diabietic and non-diabetic women was significant only for obese women. No significant differences in BMI category the difference in weight gain between diabetic and non-diabetic women was significant. However the mean fat mass gain in obese women who developed GDM was 1.8kg compared to 2.9kg in women who did not develop GDM (p<0.001). When analysed by BMI category, the difference in weight gain between obese women who developed GDM and non-GDM women to 28 weeks gestation across any BMI category. However the mean fat mass gain in these obese women who developed GDM was 1.8kg compared to 2.9kg in women who did not develop GDM (p<0.001).

These results provide evidence, for the first time, that fat mass gain to 28 weeks gestation does not influence the development of GDM. Therefore, attempts to prevent GDM by limiting fat mass gain during pregnancy are unlikely to be successful.

CONCLUSION

GWG is a topic of increased interest however, there are huge gaps in the research and methodological shortcomings of studies and to date little evidence for a causative relationship between GWG and aberrant fetal growth or the development of GDM has been shown. We recommend that strategies promoting healthy pregnancy should focus on providing resources to optimise pre-pregnancy BMI and to promoting a healthy lifestyle in pregnancy rather than focusing on weight gain during pregnancy.4
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