



<b>Title</b>	Investigating the effects of maximal anaerobic fatigue on dynamic postural control using the Y-Balance Test
<b>Authors(s)</b>	Johnston, William, Dolan, Kara, Reid, Niamh, Coughlan, Garrett, Caulfield, Brian
<b>Publication date</b>	2018-01
<b>Publication information</b>	Johnston, William, Kara Dolan, Niamh Reid, Garrett Coughlan, and Brian Caulfield. "Investigating the Effects of Maximal Anaerobic Fatigue on Dynamic Postural Control Using the Y-Balance Test" 21, no. 1 (January, 2018).
<b>Publisher</b>	Elsevier
<b>Item record/more information</b>	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/10197/9355">http://hdl.handle.net/10197/9355</a>
<b>Publisher's statement</b>	This is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport (21, 1, (2018)) DOI:10.1016/j.jsams.2017.06.007
<b>Publisher's version (DOI)</b>	10.1016/j.jsams.2017.06.007

Downloaded 2024-04-19 02:08:51

The UCD community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters! (@ucd\_oa)



© Some rights reserved. For more information

# 1 Investigating the Effects of Maximal Anaerobic Fatigue on Dynamic 2 Postural Control using the Y-Balance Test

## 3 Abstract

4 **Objectives:** The Y Balance Test is one of the most commonly used dynamic balance assessments, providing an  
5 insight into the integration of the sensorimotor subsystems. In recent times, there has been an increase in interest  
6 surrounding it's use in various clinical populations demonstrating alterations in motor function. Therefore, it is  
7 important to examine the effect physiological influences such as fatigue play in dynamic postural control, and  
8 establish a timeframe for its recovery.

9 **Design:** Descriptive laboratory study.

10 **Methods:** Twenty male and female (age  $23.75 \pm 4.79$  years, height  $174.12 \pm 8.45$ cm, mass  
11  $69.32 \pm 8.76$ kg) partaking in competitive sport completed the Y Balance Test protocol at 0, 10 and 20  
12 minutes, prior to a modified 60 second Wingate fatiguing protocol. Post-fatigue assessments were  
13 then completed at 0, 10 and 20 minutes' post-fatiguing intervention.

14 **Results:** Intraclass correlation coefficients demonstrated excellent intra-session reliability (0.976-0.982) across the  
15 three pre-fatigue YBT tests. Post-hoc paired sample t-tests demonstrated that all three reach directions  
16 demonstrated statistically significant differences between pre-fatigue and the first post-fatigue measurement  
17 (anterior;  $p=0.019$ , posteromedial;  $p=0.019$  & posterolateral;  $p=0.003$ ). The anterior reach direction returned to  
18 pre-fatigue levels within 10 minutes ( $p=0.632$ ). The posteromedial reach direction returned to pre-fatigue levels  
19 within 20 minutes ( $p=0.236$ ), while the posterolateral direction maintained a statistically significant difference at  
20 20 minutes ( $p=0.023$ ).

21 **Conclusions:** Maximal anaerobic fatigue has a negative effect on normalised Y balance test scores in  
22 all three directions. Following the fatiguing protocol, dynamic postural control returns to pre-fatigue  
23 levels for the anterior (<10 minutes), posteromedial (<20 minutes) and posterolateral (>20 minutes).

24

- 25 **Key Words**
- 26 Dynamic postural control
- 27 Dynamic Balance
- 28 Y-Balance Test
- 29 Fatigue

## 30 **Introduction**

31 Postural control can be defined as the maintenance of the body's centre of gravity within the limits of  
32 stability, as defined by the base of support<sup>1</sup>. Dynamic postural control involves the maintenance of  
33 balance while transitioning from a dynamic to a static state. It is essential for maintaining one's  
34 balance during functional tasks such as running, jumping and landing. To date, the Star Excursion  
35 Balance Test (SEBT) has been the most commonly used tool for measuring dynamic postural control<sup>2</sup>.  
36 <sup>3</sup>. The SEBT requires that the subject reaches as far as possible in eight directions, while maintaining  
37 a state of equilibrium<sup>2</sup>. More recently, research has highlighted the redundancy of five of the eight  
38 SEBT reach directions<sup>4</sup>. This has resulted in the development of an instrumented, commercially  
39 available assessment, known as the Y-Balance test (YBT) ([functionalmovement.com](http://functionalmovement.com), Danville, VA).  
40 The YBT incorporates the anterior (ANT), posteromedial (PM) and posterolateral (PL) reach  
41 directions. Strength, range of motion, proprioception and balance are physiological properties which  
42 the YBT challenges, thus closely mimicking the demands of physical activity, and comprehensively  
43 challenging the sensorimotor integration of the motor function subsystems.

44 Measurement of dynamic postural control is commonly used in both clinical and research settings.  
45 Clinically, dynamic measurement is often used to determine if a player is fit to return to sport  
46 following injury<sup>5,6</sup>, as well as an indicator of increased risk of lower limb injury<sup>7,8</sup>. In the research  
47 setting, the effects of lower limb injuries such as chronic ankle instability and anterior cruciate  
48 ligament injury on dynamic postural control have been established<sup>3,9</sup>. The increasing popularity of the  
49 YBT as a balance outcome measure means that it is vital to identify biological factors that may  
50 influence its accuracy.

51 Muscle fatigue can be defined as exercise induced decreases in maximal voluntary force or power  
52 produced by a muscle or group of muscles<sup>10</sup>. Fatigue mechanisms induced during anaerobic exercise  
53 include central and peripheral fatigue mechanisms. Peripheral fatigue refers to exercise-induced  
54 processes that lead to a reduction in force production occurring at or distal to the neuromuscular  
55 junction. Central fatigue refers to more centralised processes and can be defined as a progressive  
56 exercise-induced failure of voluntary activation of the muscle<sup>10</sup>. It can be postulated that the combined

57 physiological effects of central and peripheral fatigue can lead to changes in the sensorimotor  
58 integration of balance information, resulting in alterations in one's ability to maintain dynamic  
59 postural control.

60 It has been demonstrated that dynamic postural control, as measured by the SEBT is influenced by  
61 different forms of fatigue<sup>11-13</sup>. Whyte and colleagues<sup>5</sup> demonstrated the effects of high intensity  
62 intermittent exercise (a combination of peripheral and central fatigue mechanisms) on dynamic  
63 postural control using the SEBT. However, to date, the effects of maximal anaerobic fatigue  
64 (predominantly central fatigue<sup>14</sup>) on YBT performance has not been established. Investigating the  
65 effects of maximal fatigue on YBT performance is of utmost importance, as the alterations in  
66 neuromuscular control may result in poorer control of movement, leading to an increased risk of an  
67 individual sustaining non-contact lower limb injuries such as an anterior cruciate ligament injuries and  
68 lateral ankle sprains<sup>7, 8, 15</sup>. Additionally, while Whyte and colleagues established the effects of high  
69 intensity exercise on dynamic postural control performance, they did not investigate the period of  
70 recovery required for an individual to return to their baseline pre-fatigue levels. The importance of  
71 developing an understanding of the time-frame for recovery of dynamic balance following fatigue is  
72 two-fold; firstly, clinicians and strength and conditioning professionals need to understand the length  
73 of time an individual may be at risk of sustaining an injury following fatigue. Secondly, to ensure a  
74 reliable and accurate measurement, representative of the individual's baseline, the length of time for  
75 balance performance recovery following fatigue is required. Such information may aid clinicians and  
76 strength and conditioning coaches in the implementation of injury risk factor screening protocols, and  
77 the development of injury prevention programs that consider neuromuscular control training under  
78 fatigued conditions.

79 Therefore, the primary aim of this study was to investigate the effects of maximal anaerobic fatigue  
80 on a dynamic postural control test (YBT). A secondary aim was to investigate how long it takes for  
81 dynamic postural control (YBT) to return to baseline levels.

## 82 **Methods**

83 Participants consisted of 20 male and female (age  $23.75 \pm 4.79$  years, height  $174.12 \pm 8.45$ cm, mass  
84  $69.32 \pm 8.76$  kg) university students engaged in competitive sport, aged between 18 and 40.  
85 Participants were excluded if they suffered from chronic ankle instability, vestibular or visual  
86 impairment, lower limb musculoskeletal injury in the previous 6 months, cardiovascular disease or  
87 previous reports of chest pain, any neurological disease, balance disorder or if they were currently  
88 taking medication for balance disorders. Participants were also excluded if they answered yes to any  
89 question in the PAR-Q<sup>16</sup> or were not taking part in competitive sport. Ethical approval was obtained  
90 for the study from the Human Research Ethics Committee of University College Dublin. All  
91 participants read the participant information leaflet and provided written consent prior to testing.  
92 Participants were required to attend one 90-minute session in a university performance laboratory.  
93 Participants were instructed on how to complete the YBT and completed 4 practice trials in each  
94 direction, on their dominant limb as per the guidelines previously outlined by Gribble and colleagues<sup>2</sup>.  
95 Leg dominance was attained by asking the participant which leg they would kick a ball with<sup>17</sup>.  
96 Following the practice trials, participants completed three recorded YBT's in each direction  
97 (randomised order) on the dominant stance limb. This was repeated at time points of 0, 10, and 20  
98 minutes to provide a pre-fatigue baseline measurement of the individuals dynamic postural control. A  
99 10-minute rest period was chosen between YBTs to allow for a standard rest period for the pre- and  
100 post-fatigue measurements, and allow for the creation of an intra-session reliability dataset. Following  
101 completion of the pre-fatigue YBTs, the subject then completed a modified Wingate maximal  
102 anaerobic exercise test. Participant's heart rate (HR) was recorded at baseline, prior to, and  
103 immediately post the Wingate test to establish the physiological effects of the Wingate protocol on the  
104 participants, and demonstrate the physiological stress exerted by the test. The YBT was immediately  
105 assessed following the Wingate test at time intervals of 0, 10 and 20 minutes.  
106 The YBT utilises three directions derived from the SEBT (ANT, PL and PM). The YBT is a  
107 commercially available tool for assessing dynamic postural control, and possesses excellent intra-  
108 tester (0.85-0.89) and inter-tester (0.97-1.00) reliability<sup>4</sup>. Its design has addressed the limitations of

109 the traditional SEBT testing methods, allowing for more accurate results, in a less time consuming  
110 manner. The YBT testing protocol was conducted in accordance with the guidelines outlined by  
111 Gribble and colleagues<sup>2</sup>. The YBT requires participants to maintain their balance on one leg while  
112 sliding a block as far as possible in a given direction, with the contralateral limb. Participants then  
113 return to bilateral stance, while maintaining their balance. The criteria denoting a failed trial were  
114 chosen in line with previously published literature<sup>4</sup>. Measures of the YBT reach distances were  
115 normalised for limb length using the formula:

$$116 \quad \text{Normalise Reach Distance} = \frac{\text{Reach distance (cm)}}{\text{Leg Length (cm)}} \times \frac{100}{1} \quad (1)$$

117 The overall YBT reach direction score was obtained by averaging the three normalised maximal YBT  
118 scores for each direction. Lower limb leg length was obtained by measuring the distance between the  
119 anterior-superior iliac spine and the most distal aspect of the medial malleolus<sup>18</sup>. Data collected from  
120 each participant's dominant limb was utilised in the data analysis stage.

121 A modified version of the Wingate anaerobic test was performed on a cycle ergometer. A modified  
122 version of the protocol employed by Carey and colleagues<sup>19</sup> was utilised in order to maximally  
123 anaerobically fatigue participants. The test required the participant to cycle for 60 seconds rather than  
124 the traditional 30 seconds. Prior to maximal exercise testing, the subject initially completed a low-  
125 resistance warm-up for 5 minutes. During the warm-up, participants completed 3 x 5 second sprints.  
126 On completion of the warm-up, participants commenced cycling at a cadence of between 50-60 RPM  
127 for 30 seconds. The participants were instructed that the test would commence at the completion of  
128 the 30 seconds and that they should accelerated maximally. Participants were encouraged to maintain  
129 maximal effort throughout the 60 seconds in order to ensure maximal fatigue. Changes in the power  
130 generated were monitored over the course of the test to ensure that each individual had maintained a  
131 maximal effort throughout the Wingate protocol. In addition, participants HR were assessed directly  
132 post-fatigue and compared to the pre-fatigue measurements to establish the physiological stress  
133 caused by the Wingate protocol, and confirm maximal effort during the fatigue test. Resistance was  
134 set to 0.075 g·kg<sup>-1</sup> based on previously published methods<sup>20, 21</sup>. The modified Wingate test concluded  
135 following 60 seconds maximal intensity cycling.

136 Intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC) (ICC 3, 1) were calculated across the three baseline  
137 measurements in order to determine the repeatability of the normalised YBT scores. Standard error of  
138 measurement (SEM) is an absolute index of reliability and was calculated in order to assess the degree  
139 of variation between the repeated measures. SEM was calculated using the formula:

$$140 \quad SEM = SD \times \sqrt{(1-ICC)} \quad (2)$$

141 Where SD represents the standard deviation of the test score, and the ICC was the reliability  
142 coefficient used.

143 In order to investigate the effect of maximal anaerobic fatigue on dynamic postural control, a repeated  
144 measures ANOVA with a Greenhouse-Geisser correction (violation of the sphericity assumption) was  
145 conducted using the final pre-fatigue measurement, and the three post-fatigue measurements. Post-hoc  
146 paired sampled t-tests were subsequently conducted in order to determine the effect time (recovery)  
147 had on dynamic postural control, as measured by the YBT.



## 148 **Results**

149 ICC values ranged from 0.976 - 0.982 for the three normalised YBT reach directions, demonstrating  
150 excellent reliability across the baseline pre-fatigue scores. The SEM for the baseline pre-fatigue  
151 measurements ranged from 0.97 - 1.195. A repeated measures ANOVA with a Greenhouse-Geisser  
152 correction determined that the mean reach distances for the anterior ( $F = 3.818$ ,  $p = 0.025$ ) and  
153 posterolateral ( $F = 6.503$ ,  $P = 0.0004$ ) reach directions were statistically significantly different  
154 between time points. The posteromedial reach direction was approaching significance ( $F = 2.215$ ,  $p =$   
155  $0.059$ ). Due to the excellent ICC scores observed in the reliability analysis of the 3 pre-fatigue  
156 measures, the final pre-fatigue measure (pre03) was taken as been representative of the pre-fatigue  
157 state, and therefore was used as the basis of comparison between pre-fatigue and post-fatigue in the  
158 subsequent post-hoc analysis.

159 The mean HR for all participants was  $69 \pm 10$  BPM at baseline,  $80 \pm 12$  BPM directly pre-fatigue and  
160  $184 \pm 9$  directly post fatigue. The average decrease in normalised reach distance between the final pre-  
161 fatigue and the first post- fatigue measure was  $2.57 \pm 4.91$  (ANT),  $2.63 \pm 3.06$  (PM) and  $3.34 \pm 4.26$   
162 (PL). The percentage change between the final pre-fatigue score and the first post-fatigue score was  
163 4.16% (ANT), 2.58% (PM) and 3.19% (PL). Post-hoc paired sample t-tests demonstrated statistically  
164 significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) between the final pre-fatigue YBT measurement, and the first post-  
165 fatigue YBT measurement in all reach directions (Table 1). In the ANT reach direction, there were no  
166 significant differences between the final pre-fatigue measurement, and the second and third post-  
167 fatigue measurements. In the PM direction, significant differences were seen only in the first and  
168 second post-fatigue measurement, and not in the third measurement. In the PL reach direction, a  
169 significant difference remained between the final pre-fatigue measure, and each of the first, second  
170 and third post-fatigue measures (Figure 2).

## 171 **Discussion**

172 The results presented in this study demonstrate that dynamic postural control, as measured by the  
173 YBT, is affected by maximal anaerobic fatigue. This immediate degradation in postural control  
174 subsequently returns to baseline levels over the course of 20 minutes for two of the three reach  
175 directions.

176 The ICC scores presented in this study demonstrate that the YBT possesses excellent intra-session  
177 reliability. The high levels of reliability presented across the repeated baseline measurements ensure  
178 that the scores obtained were a true resting baseline. Additionally, across all three reach directions, the  
179 decline in normalised reach distances between the final pre-fatigue and the first post-fatigue measure  
180 were greater than the SEM. This indicates that the fatigue intervention resulted in an initial reduction  
181 in YBT scores, greater than the intra-session variability. The SEM, in combination with the ICC  
182 allows us to be sure that any deviation from that baseline is as a result of the fatiguing intervention,  
183 and not a consequence of natural biological variation.

184 The results presented in this study demonstrate that the modified Wingate protocol employed in this  
185 study physiologically stressed the participants with heart rates of  $184 \pm 9$ , similar to those reported by  
186 Whyte and colleagues<sup>11</sup>. The extended Wingate protocol was utilised as it provided a means to ensure  
187 that participants were maximally fatigued<sup>14</sup>. The post-hoc t-test analysis results suggest that the  
188 anaerobic fatigue intervention had a significant impact on postural control when reaching in all three  
189 reach directions (table 1).

190 The traditional Wingate test produces both central and peripheral fatigue, with central fatigue being  
191 suggested as the primary mechanism<sup>14</sup>. Previous research has determined that central fatigue  
192 mechanisms tend to manifest during the final stages of cycling to exhaustion, thus it was decided that  
193 an extended Wingate protocol would be employed in an effort to more comprehensively stress the  
194 central and peripheral fatigue mechanisms. Fatigue processes such as reduced motor drive, as a result  
195 of centrally induced inhibition of lower motor neurons at the spinal level, and peripherally induced  
196 increases in central fatigue mechanisms may have a negative effect on cortical motor drive, resulting  
197 in inhibition of lower motor neurons at the spinal level<sup>10</sup>. Peripheral aspects that may inhibit

198 descending central commands include changes in calcium release from the sarcoplasmic reticulum,  
199 increased concentrations of inorganic phosphate and adenosine diphosphate<sup>22, 23</sup>. Additionally, it may  
200 lead to decreases in muscle fibre conduction velocity as a result of intracellular acidosis<sup>24, 25</sup>. The  
201 mixture of the central and peripheral fatigue mechanisms outlined above may result in an alteration of  
202 a muscles contraction efficacy in the extrafusal muscle fibres. As a consequence, this may lead to  
203 decreases in afferent sensorimotor inputs from the muscle spindle, resulting in changes in  
204 neuromuscular control and ultimately dynamic postural control<sup>2, 11, 12, 26</sup>.

205 The findings of this study support previous research demonstrating that dynamic postural control is  
206 heavily influenced by fatiguing protocols such as isolated muscle fatigue<sup>12, 13</sup>, lower limb fatiguing  
207 exercises<sup>12</sup>, treadmill running<sup>27</sup> and high intensity intermittent exercise<sup>11</sup>. Whyte and colleagues  
208 reported that a high intensity intermittent exercise protocol had detrimental effects on dynamic  
209 postural control as measured by the SEBT. The percentage reduction in normalised reach distance in  
210 this study were comparable to those presented by Whyte and colleagues for the ANT reach direction,  
211 but were found to be marginally lower for the PM and PL reach direction in our study. Importantly,  
212 these observations must be viewed with caution as previous work comparing the YBT and SEBT has  
213 found that YBT reach distances in the ANT direction are significantly less than the SEBT, but that  
214 there is no difference between PM and PL<sup>28</sup>. Additionally, the two studies employed different  
215 fatiguing interventions, potentially effecting the sensorimotor system to different extents.

216 Conversely, Wright and colleagues also demonstrated that a cycling intervention does not appear to  
217 have influences on dynamic postural control as measured by the Biodex Balance System<sup>27</sup>, however  
218 the incremental cycle ergometer test may result in different fatigue mechanisms to those observed in  
219 our study. Additionally, the method of assessment utilised in our study offers a more dynamic  
220 movement than the Biodex Balance System, which may serve to more comprehensively challenge the  
221 sensorimotor system post-fatigue.

222 An important component of this study was the investigation of the recovery time required for one's  
223 dynamic postural control to return to baseline levels. Previous research has been carried out  
224 investigating the time required for static postural control to return to baseline levels following

225 fatigue<sup>29</sup>, however minimal research has investigated this in dynamic postural control. The results in  
226 our study demonstrated that post-fatigue dynamic postural control, as measured by the YBT, returned  
227 to baseline levels within 10 minutes for the ANT reach direction, and 20 minutes for the PM reach  
228 direction. The PL reach direction did not return to pre-fatigue levels by the 20<sup>th</sup> minute, however it  
229 was approaching pre-fatigue levels (figure 2). The varying recovery times demonstrated by the reach  
230 directions may be explained by the differing movement strategies required to complete each task. The  
231 ANT reach direction requires a predominantly single-planar movement, while the PM and PL  
232 directions require more complex multi-planar movements, which shift ones centre of gravity further  
233 outside of the base of support<sup>30</sup>. Despite the return of the ANT and PM reach distances to baseline  
234 levels by the 20<sup>th</sup> minute, the PL reach distances remained statistically significantly different to the  
235 baseline scores. While both the PM and PL reach directions require complex multiplanar movements,  
236 the PL reach direction requires a greater degree of pelvic contralateral rotation, knee adduction, pelvic  
237 contralateral rotation, pelvic ipsilateral upward obliquity and ankle internal rotation<sup>30</sup>. This increased  
238 complexity of the PL reach direction may pose a greater challenge to the sensorimotor systems than  
239 the PM reach direction, resulting in the persistence of a balance deficit. Our study is not alone in  
240 demonstrating the persistence of PL reach deficits despite normal ANT and PM reach distances.  
241 Doherty and colleagues<sup>31</sup> previously demonstrated that individuals with chronic ankle instability  
242 possess PL reach deficits despite normal ANT and PM distances. Wright and colleagues<sup>27</sup> investigated  
243 the time taken for dynamic postural control, as measured by the Biodex Balance System, to return to  
244 pre-fatigue levels after treadmill and cycle ergometer fatiguing interventions. It was found that  
245 dynamic postural control returned to baseline levels in 9 minutes (incremental treadmill test) and 12  
246 minutes (incremental cycle test). A possible explanation for the differing results reported by Wright  
247 and colleagues to those presented in our study is due to the less challenging method of assessment  
248 (Biodex Balance System), and the differing fatiguing protocol utilised (incremental cycle test).

249 The presented research has implications for both the clinical and research application of dynamic  
250 postural control assessments such as the YBT, and the understanding of the physiological effect of  
251 fatigue on the sensorimotor systems. The findings of this study indicate that fatigue has a detrimental

252 effect on dynamic postural control through the potential mechanisms outlined above. Dynamic  
253 postural control requires the integration and coordination of the sensorimotor subsystems to ensure  
254 adequate processing and reaction to the changing environment. Previous research has demonstrated  
255 the negative effects that fatigue has on joint proprioception<sup>32</sup> as a result of decreased muscle-spindle  
256 activity and increased joint laxity<sup>33</sup>. Additionally, it has previously been shown that fatigue delays the  
257 onset of muscle contraction, and reduces activation<sup>34</sup>. The combination of these findings suggest that  
258 dynamic postural control may be negatively affected by the reduction in the efficiency of the  
259 integration of the sensorimotor subsystems. The findings observed in our study support previous  
260 research which has indicated that athletes are at increased risk of injury when fatigued<sup>35, 36</sup>, and  
261 suggest that this may in part be a result of dynamic postural control deficits<sup>7, 8</sup>. Sports such as rugby  
262 and soccer frequently require short bursts of maximal intensity exercise, potentially fatiguing an  
263 individual and subsequently increasing their risk of injury. Furthermore, as the level of fatigue  
264 required to induce an alteration in neuromuscular control is currently not known, the authors would  
265 advise that if clinicians or researchers are utilising the YBT or SEBT assessments, it is imperative that  
266 they consider the possible effects of fatigue, and allow an adequate recovery period of approximately  
267 20-30 minutes. Clinicians and researchers should consider conducting the YBT protocol under  
268 fatigued and non-fatigued states, as this has the potential to highlight neuromuscular control deficits  
269 that may not be uncovered when using the YBT under non-fatigued conditions only. Such information  
270 may provide clinicians with information pertaining to how an individual's sensorimotor system  
271 responds to fatigue, and if they subsequently have an increased risk of a non-contact lower-limb  
272 injury. As such, clinicians may use this information to develop injury prevention programs that  
273 incorporate neuromuscular control training programs under fatigued conditions. However, further  
274 research is required to establish if such methodologies can provide clinically relevant information that  
275 may aid clinicians.

276 A number of limitations to this study exist. Firstly, we only monitored dynamic postural control for 20  
277 minutes' post fatiguing intervention. While this allowed us to establish a timeframe for recovery in  
278 the ANT and PM reach direction, it was not sufficiently long to capture the return to baseline levels in

279 the PL direction. Further research should be conducted to investigate the time required for all three  
280 reach directions to return to baseline levels. Secondly, participants in this study came from various  
281 different sports backgrounds and thus would have been effected differently by the Wingate fatiguing  
282 intervention. Thirdly, the challenging nature of the dynamic movements utilised in the YBT may have  
283 slowed the recovery of the participants. If an individual rested directly after exercise it may be found  
284 that their dynamic postural control returns to baseline levels in less time.

## 285 **Conclusion**

286 The results from this study indicate that maximal anaerobic fatigue has a detrimental effect on  
287 dynamic postural control as measured by the YBT. Dynamic postural control returns to baseline levels  
288 in <10 minutes (ANT), <20 (PM) and >20 minutes (PL). Given the increasing use of such objective  
289 dynamic postural control assessments, it is imperative that clinicians and researchers allow an  
290 adequate window for recovery following fatiguing exercise. Additionally, clinicians and researchers  
291 may consider conducting the YBT under normal and fatigued conditions to establish how an athlete's  
292 sensorimotor system responds to an acute bout of fatigue. However, further research is required to  
293 establish if this approach can provide clinically useful information.

294 **Practical Implications**

- 295 • Maximal fatigue negatively influence dynamic postural control as measured by the YBT.
- 296 • Alterations in dynamic postural control do not return to baseline levels immediately, but
- 297 require a recovery period (approximately 20-30 minutes) following maximal fatigue.
- 298 • Clinicians should allow at least 20-30 minutes between exercise and Y Balance Test
- 299 assessment to ensure adequate balance recovery.
- 300 • Maximal fatigue may increase an individual's risk of injury due to degradations in
- 301 neuromuscular control
- 302 • The YBT has the potential to be used as a biomarker to capture an individual's response to
- 303 fatigue, and how long it takes for them to recover.
- 304 • Clinicians should consider conducting the YBT under fatigued and non-fatigued conditions to
- 305 establish how an athlete's sensorimotor system responds to fatigue.

306 **Acknowledgments**

307 This research was funded by the Science Foundation of Ireland (12/RC/2289).

308 **References**

309 1. Yim-Chiplis PK, Talbot LA. Defining and measuring balance in adults. *Biol Res Nurs.* 2000;  
 310 1(4):321-331.

311 2. Gribble PA, Hertel J, Plisky P. Using the Star Excursion Balance Test to assess dynamic  
 312 postural-control deficits and outcomes in lower extremity injury: a literature and systematic  
 313 review. *J Athl Train.* 2012; 47(3):339-357.

314 3. Doherty C, Bleakley CM, Hertel J, et al. Laboratory Measures of Postural Control During the  
 315 Star Excursion Balance Test After Acute First-Time Lateral Ankle Sprain. *J Athl Train.* 2015;  
 316 50(6):651-664.

317 4. Plisky PJ, Gorman PP, Butler RJ, et al. The Reliability of an Instrumented Device for  
 318 Measuring Components of the Star Excursion Balance Test. *N Am J Sports Phys Ther.* 2009;  
 319 4(2):92-99.

320 5. McKeon PO, Ingersoll CD, Kerrigan DC, et al. Balance training improves function and postural  
 321 control in those with chronic ankle instability. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2008; 40(10):1810-1819.

322 6. Hale HJ, Olmsted-Kramer LC. The Effect of a 4-Week Comprehensive Rehabilitation Program  
 323 on Postural Control and Lower Extremity Function in Individuals With Chronic Ankle  
 324 Instability. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy.* 2007; 37(6):303-311.

325 7. Plisky PJ, Rauh MJ, Kaminski TW, et al. Star Excursion Balance Test as a predictor of lower  
 326 extremity injury in high school basketball players. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical  
 327 Therapy.* 2006; 36(12):911-919.

328 8. Gribble PA, Terada M, Beard MQ, et al. Prediction of Lateral Ankle Sprains in Football Players  
 329 Based on Clinical Tests and Body Mass Index. *Am J Sports Med.* 2016; 44(2):460-467.

330 9. Herrington L, Hatcher J, Hatcher A, et al. A comparison of Star Excursion Balance Test reach  
 331 distances between ACL deficient patients and asymptomatic controls. *The Knee.* 2009;  
 332 16(2):149-152.

333 10. Taylor JL, Gandevia SC. A comparison of central aspects of fatigue in submaximal and  
 334 maximal voluntary contractions. *J Appl Physiol (1985).* 2008; 104(2):542-550.

335 11. Whyte E, Burke A, White E, et al. A high-intensity, intermittent exercise protocol and  
 336 dynamic postural control in men and women. *Journal of athletic training.* 2015; 50(4):392-  
 337 399.

338 12. Gribble PA, Robinson RH, Hertel J, et al. The effects of gender and fatigue on dynamic  
 339 postural control. *Journal of sport rehabilitation.* 2009; 18(2):240.

340 13. Gribble PA, Hertel J, Denegar CR, et al. The effects of fatigue and chronic ankle instability on  
 341 dynamic postural control. *Journal of Athletic Training.* 2004; 39(4):321.

342 14. Fernandez-del-Olmo M, Rodriguez FA, Marquez G, et al. Isometric knee extensor fatigue  
 343 following a Wingate test: peripheral and central mechanisms. *Scandinavian Journal of  
 344 Medicine & Science in Sports.* 2013; 23(1):57-65.

345 15. Smith CA, Chimera NJ, Warren M. Association of y balance test reach asymmetry and injury  
 346 in division I athletes. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2015; 47(1):136-141.

347 16. Warburton DE, Jamnik VK, Bredin SS, et al. Evidence-based risk assessment and  
 348 recommendations for physical activity clearance: an introduction. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab.*  
 349 2011; 36 Suppl 1:S1-2.

350 17. Wilkins JC, Valovich McLeod TC, Perrin DH, et al. Performance on the Balance Error Scoring  
 351 System Decreases After Fatigue. *Journal of Athletic Training.* 2004; 39(2):156-161.

352 18. Gribble PA, Hertel J. Considerations for normalizing measures of the Star Excursion Balance  
 353 Test. *Measurement in physical education and exercise science.* 2003; 7(2):89-100.

354 19. Carey DG, Richardson MT. Can Aerobic and Anaerobic Power be Measured in a 60-Second  
 355 Maximal Test? *J Sports Sci Med.* 2003; 2(4):151-157.



- 356 20. Kraemer WJ, Ratamess N, Fry AC, et al. Influence of resistance training volume and  
357 periodization on physiological and performance adaptations in collegiate women tennis  
358 players. *The American journal of sports medicine*. 2000; 28(5):626-633.
- 359 21. Laurent CM, Jr., Meyers MC, Robinson CA, et al. Cross-validation of the 20- versus 30-s  
360 Wingate anaerobic test. *Eur J Appl Physiol*. 2007; 100(6):645-651.
- 361 22. Allen DG, Lamb GD, Westerblad H. Skeletal Muscle Fatigue: Cellular Mechanisms.  
362 *Physiological Reviews*. 2008; 88(1):287-332.
- 363 23. Debold EP, Longyear TJ, Turner MA. The effects of phosphate and acidosis on regulated thin-  
364 filament velocity in an in vitro motility assay. *Journal of Applied Physiology*. 2012;  
365 113(9):1413-1422.
- 366 24. Brody LR, Pollock MT, Roy SH, et al. pH-induced effects on median frequency and conduction  
367 velocity of the myoelectric signal. *J Appl Physiol (1985)*. 1991; 71(5):1878-1885.
- 368 25. Bouissou P, Estrade PY, Goubel F, et al. Surface EMG power spectrum and intramuscular pH  
369 in human vastus lateralis muscle during dynamic exercise. *J Appl Physiol (1985)*. 1989;  
370 67(3):1245-1249.
- 371 26. Gribble PA, Hertel J. Effect of lower-extremity muscle fatigue on postural control. *Arch Phys  
372 Med Rehabil*. 2004; 85(4):589-592.
- 373 27. Wright KE, Lyons TS, Navalta JW. Effects of exercise-induced fatigue on postural balance: a  
374 comparison of treadmill versus cycle fatiguing protocols. *Eur J Appl Physiol*. 2013;  
375 113(5):1303-1309.
- 376 28. Coughlan GF, Fullam K, Delahunt E, et al. A comparison between performance on selected  
377 directions of the star excursion balance test and the Y balance test. *Journal of athletic  
378 training*. 2012; 47(4):366.
- 379 29. Susco TM, McLeod TCV, Gansneder BM, et al. Balance recovers within 20 minutes after  
380 exertion as measured by the Balance Error Scoring System. *Journal of athletic training*. 2004;  
381 39(3):241.
- 382 30. Kang M-H, Kim G-M, Kwon O-Y, et al. Relationship Between the Kinematics of the Trunk and  
383 Lower Extremity and Performance on the Y-Balance Test. *PM&R*. 2015; 7(11):1152-1158.
- 384 31. Doherty C, Bleakley C, Hertel J, et al. Dynamic balance deficits in individuals with chronic  
385 ankle instability compared to ankle sprain copers 1 year after a first-time lateral ankle sprain  
386 injury. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2016; 24(4):1086-1095.
- 387 32. Forestier N, Teasdale N, Nougier V. Alteration of the position sense at the ankle induced by  
388 muscular fatigue in humans. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2002; 34.
- 389 33. Gandevia SC. Spinal and supraspinal factors in human muscle fatigue. *Physiol Rev*. 2001; 81.
- 390 34. Hassanlouei H, Arendt-Nielsen L, Kersting UG, et al. Effect of exercise-induced fatigue on  
391 postural control of the knee. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol*. 2012; 22(3):342-347.
- 392 35. Ekstrand J, Hagglund M, Walden M. Injury incidence and injury patterns in professional  
393 football: the UEFA injury study. *Br J Sports Med*. 2011; 45(7):553-558.
- 394 36. Lundblad M, Waldén M, Magnusson H, et al. The UEFA injury study: 11-year data concerning  
395 346 MCL injuries and time to return to play. *British journal of sports medicine*. 2013:bjsports-  
396 2013.

397