

## **Odour and Ammonia Emissions from Intensive Pig Units in Ireland**

E.T. Hayes<sup>a</sup>, T.P. Curran<sup>a\*</sup>, V.A. Dodd<sup>a</sup>.

<sup>a</sup>Department of Biosystems Engineering, University College Dublin, Earlsfort Terrace, Dublin 2, Ireland.

\*Corresponding Author. Fax: + 353 1 4752119. Email: tom.curran@ucd.ie (T. Curran)

### **Abstract**

Odour and ammonia emissions were measured from dry sow, farrowing sow, 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> stage weaner and finishing houses on four intensive pig units in Ireland. Odour samples were collected in Nalophan bags and analysed for odour concentration using an ECOMA Yes/No olfactometer. Ammonia concentrations in the exhaust ventilation air were measured using a portable iTX multi-gas biased sensor.

The geomean odour emission rates over the four pig units were 17.2, 44.4, 4.3, 9.9 and 16.8 ou<sub>E</sub> s<sup>-1</sup> animal<sup>-1</sup> for dry sows, farrowing sows, 1<sup>st</sup> stage weaners, 2<sup>nd</sup> stage weaners and finishers, respectively. The mean ammonia emission rates, measured at two of the units, were 12.1, 17.1, 1.4, 2.9 and 10.0 g d<sup>-1</sup> animal<sup>-1</sup> for dry sows, farrowing sows, 1<sup>st</sup> stage weaners, 2<sup>nd</sup> stage weaners and finishers, respectively.

In general, the odour and ammonia emission rates were comparable to those reported in literature, although some odour emission rate figures were noticeably lower for finishing pigs in this study. The variability in the data, both within and between

houses, may be related to the ventilation systems, building designs, manure storage systems, etc., and highlights the need for individual site assessment.

*Keywords:* Pig, Odour, Ammonia, Olfactometry, House design

## **1. Introduction**

Due to increasing market demands, the development of genetic material and farming equipment and the availability of relatively cheap feed, farmers have been encouraged to specialise in intensive livestock production (European IPPC Bureau, 2002). This has resulted in a rationalisation of such enterprises into a smaller number of larger units across Europe. The increased public concern regarding sustainable agricultural development, combined with the growth in animal numbers and farm sizes, has led to the introduction of stringent environmental legislation throughout the European Union (EU).

Integrated Pollution Control Licensing (IPC) for pig and poultry production was introduced in Ireland in 1996 and the related guidance note was termed BATNEEC (Best Available Technology Not Entailing Excessive Cost) (EPA, 1996). BATNEEC sets out specific conditions to be implemented in order to comply with the environmental requirements of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Minimisation of odour emissions and complaints is one of the requirements of the BATNEEC guidance note for intensive pig and poultry production units. In order to comply with this requirement, it is recommended that pig and poultry units should be sited at least 400 metres from any odour sensitive location (e.g. dwelling house, church, school). This distance is deemed sufficient to minimise malodorous problems from intensive production units.

EU Member States must now prepare and implement a Best Available Techniques (BAT) Note as required by the EU Council Directive (96/61/EC) on IPPC. It is expected that the BAT Note will be implemented fully for unlicensed and existing production units by 2007. At the present time, there are 73 pig farms licensed by the Irish EPA. The IPPC threshold of 2000 places for pigs over 30 kg live-weight would be reached in a integrated unit containing approximately 500 sows; this number or indeed the alternative of 750 sow places appears quite generous compared to the current Irish IPC threshold (Table 1).

The BAT Reference (BREF) document (European IPPC Bureau, 2002) was published to address the main issues in implementing the IPPC directive. BREF is concerned not only with odour but also with ammonia and other gases. Ammonia emissions in Europe originate mainly from agriculture, in particular from livestock farming. It is estimated that agricultural enterprises contribute 80-95% of ammonia emissions across Europe. Approximately 50% of ammonia emissions from pig production arise from pig buildings and the storage of manure (van der Peet-Schwering *et al.*, 1999). The monitoring and reduction of ammonia emissions from livestock farming is a legal requirement under the European Commission Acidification Strategy and the EU Directive 2001/81/CE on National Emission Ceilings (Commission of the European Communities, 1997) which has called for a limitation of ammonia emissions from each EU country. There are a number of on-farm sources of ammonia: animal housing, manure storage, field-applied manure and excreta deposited on the land by animals. The implications of both IPC, and more recently IPPC, are that all larger pig production units (> 300 sows) will have to conduct an evaluation of their emissions and demonstrate compliance with specified targets.

Compliance with odour emission targets may be determined using dispersion models with standard odour emission factors based on international measurements. It is, however, well known that odour and ammonia emissions are variable and depend on a wide range of climatic, animal, building and management factors. To date there has been a lack of published data on emissions from Irish pig housing systems. This paper reports on odour and ammonia emissions from typical Irish pig production units and compares them with proposed standards. Further work will be published on odour and ammonia emission rates from poultry units.

## **2. Materials and methods**

### *2.1. Description of the four pig units*

Odour and ammonia measurements were taken on four integrated pig units (P1, P2, P3 and P4) over a two-year period. The units selected were typical of those built in Europe in the 1990's and their design and operation predated the implementation of the BAT (EPA, 1996) and BREF documents (European IPPC Bureau, 2002). A detailed description of the four integrated pig units can be found in Tables 2a, 2b, 2c, and 2d.

On each production unit there were five animal housing systems for each of the following stages of production: dry sows, farrowing sows, 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> stage weaners and finishers. Dry sows are gestating sows and gilts, weighing approximately 100 – 180kg; farrowing sows that suckle litters of piglets and weigh approximately 100 – 180 kg; 1<sup>st</sup> stage weaners are growing pigs weighing < 20kg; 2<sup>nd</sup> stage weaners are growing pigs weighing 20 – 35 kg; finishers are growing pigs weighing > 35kg.

## *2.2. Measurement of ventilation rates*

The ventilation rate of each house type was measured simultaneously while the odour samples were being collected. Two methods were used to determine the airflow rates, namely a hot-wire probe and a carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) mass balance technique. The airflow through a representative number of exhaust vents in the mechanically ventilated buildings was measured at the time of sampling using a hot wire anemometer (Testo, UK). British Standard BS848 was used as a guideline for airflow measurement, thus minimising any influence of laminar flow at the measurement locations.

To determine the ventilation rates from naturally ventilated buildings, a series of equations were used which were based on animal activity, heat and carbon dioxide production (CIGR, 2002). During a short preliminary study on a single farrowing house at unit P2, which utilised mechanical ventilation rather than natural ventilation, both the hot-wire probe and the CIGR method (2002) were assessed. The calculated airflow rates for both methods were comparable, thus it was considered that the use of the CO<sub>2</sub> mass balance technique was appropriate for determining ventilation rates. The CO<sub>2</sub> concentration was measured using a two channel infrared absorption CO<sub>2</sub> probe (Testo, UK) both inside and outside each naturally ventilated building. The probe has a measurement range of 0-10,000 ppm at 1 ppm increments. It is accurate to  $\pm 0.01\%$ . The probe was placed at random locations within the house during the odour sampling period to determine the mean internal CO<sub>2</sub> level.

### *2.3. Olfactometry*

#### *2.3.1. Collection of odour samples*

Individual odour samples were taken from the exhaust vents in each house type on each of the four pig production units. The odour samples were collected from each house type (in the exhaust vent air stream in mechanically ventilated buildings and at a location close to the exhaust outlet in ACNV buildings) during eight random visits over a five week period. The odour samples were collected in 40 litre Nalophan bags using a battery-powered vacuum pump and a rigid container. The samples were collected using the lung principle whereby air was removed from the rigid sampling container using the vacuum pump at a rate of approximately 4 litres per minute (Rietschle Thomas, WI, USA). A critical orifice controlled the air evacuation rate from the rigid sampling container. This created a vacuum in the container and caused a 40 litre Nalophan bag fitted inside the container to fill through stainless steel tubing with odorous air extracted from the exhaust vents. The odour samples were sealed and stored in appropriate conditions (CEN, 2003). All the samples were analysed within 24 hours. Due to constraints in resources and time, no ambient samples were taken in the vicinity of the units. Furthermore, there were no other significant odour sources within 2 km of the measurement sites. It was therefore assumed that ambient concentrations of odour were zero. A preliminary one-day trial on the diurnal variations of odour and ammonia from the University College Dublin research finishing pig units determined that the optimum time to collect odour samples was between 11 am and 12 pm. This was the time of day at which the odour emission rate began to remain reasonably constant. This may be due to animal activity within the house, feeding regimes and diurnal temperature fluctuations both inside and outside the house (Hayes, 2004).

### *2.3.2. Measurement of odour threshold concentration*

The odour measurements were carried out according to the European Standard EN13725 (CEN, 2003) in the olfactometry laboratory in the Department of Biosystems Engineering, University College Dublin. An ECOMA TO7 dynamic olfactometer (ECOMA, Honigsee, Germany) was used to measure the odour threshold concentration of the ventilated air from the five house types on each of the four pig production units. The odour threshold concentration is defined as the dilution factor at which 50% of the panellists can just detect an odour. The panellists were previously selected by screening using the certified reference gas *n*-butanol (CAS 71-36-3). Only panellists who adhered to the code of behaviour for olfactometry were selected. The odour threshold concentration was calculated according to the response of four panel members and was displayed in  $\text{ou}_E \text{ m}^{-3}$ . This refers to the physiological response from the panel equivalent to that elicited by 40 ppbv *n*-butanol evaporated in 1  $\text{m}^3$  of neutral gas (CEN, 2003). Odour units were considered a dimensionless unit, but pseudo-dimensions of  $\text{ou}_E \text{ m}^{-3}$  have been commonly used for odour dispersion modelling in place of  $\text{g m}^{-3}$  (McGinley *et al.*, 2000).

### *2.4. Measurement of ammonia concentration*

Ammonia concentration measurements (ppm) were taken using an iTX Multi-gas monitor fitted with a biased sensor (iTX Multi-gas Monitor, ISC, PA, USA). The biased sensor has a measurement range of 0-999 ppm, in 1 ppm increments. The sensor was set to take readings every five minutes over the duration of its placement within each house type; these data were logged. The ammonia measurements were taken at random locations within the house close to the exhaust outlets of the ventilation system or from within the exhaust stacks. The iTX provided a simple and easy way of measuring ammonia concentration. As with odour samples, due to

constraints in resources and time, no ambient ammonia measurements were taken in the vicinity of the units. It was therefore assumed that ambient concentrations of ammonia were zero.

### *2.5 Statistical analysis*

Statistical analysis was carried out according to Wheater and Cook (2000). All statistical values were calculated using the software package Microsoft Excel<sup>TM</sup>.



### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Odour results

Odour emission rates per animal ( $\text{ou}_E \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ animal}^{-1}$ ) were calculated to allow standardisation and comparison between the four pig production units and published results. The results are reported as odour emission rates per animal rather than per livestock unit, as precise animal numbers were established in each house on the days when samples were collected. The same could not be done for the livestock unit as only rough estimations could be made of the mean animal weight within the house, thus making odour units per animal a more appropriate unit to use. The geomean odour concentrations, ventilation rates and odour emission rates per animal are reported in Table 3. The geomean odour emission rates over the four pig units were 17.2, 44.4, 4.3, 9.9 and 16.8  $\text{ou}_E \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ animal}^{-1}$  for dry sows, farrowing sows, 1<sup>st</sup> stage weaners, 2<sup>nd</sup> stage weaners and finishers, respectively.

Variations in odour emission rates were observed during the study for all animal house types, both between farms and temporal variations within the house type. The coefficients of variation (standard deviation as a percentage of the mean) were quite high in some cases; this is not unusual for sensorial analysis (van Langenhove and De Bruyn, 2001).

The odour measurements were made from P1 during winter conditions, from P2 and P4 during the spring and from P3 during summer conditions. Mean ambient temperatures during the collection of the data for P1, P2, P3 and P4 were 4, 14, 23 and 10°C respectively. The standard deviations of the ventilation rates were quite high in some cases (Table 3). The variability in the weather conditions and its influence on ventilation rates in each house type may have contributed to this result.

The odour emission rates per animal from the farrowing houses were generally higher than those from the other house types. This may be due to the high ventilation rates per animal for these houses which all used automatically controlled natural ventilation systems. The odour emission rates for the 1<sup>st</sup> stage and 2<sup>nd</sup> stage weaners were consistent across the four pig production units. The odour emission rates for the finishing pigs showed some variability across the four units. The highest result of 28.2 ou<sub>E</sub> s<sup>-1</sup> animal<sup>-1</sup> was observed for the finishing unit that utilised the porous ceiling ventilation system. This ventilation system allows for a better quality of air inside the animal house by moving air uniformly through the building. However, the air is then drawn by a mechanical fan below the slatted floor and across the manure surface before being exhausted from the building via a vertical shaft. Thus, the odour concentration in the exhaust air may be higher due to the airflow passing close to the manure surface resulting in increased volatilization of gases.

Comparison of these emission rates with other previously published odour emission rates is difficult, due to the numerous variables that affect the odour concentration such as ventilation system, manure management system, house design, animal diet, etc. However, the values recorded in this study fall within the ranges published for similar housing systems across Europe with the exception of farrowing houses. Previous published odour emission rates are compared with those from this study in Table 4.

The odour emission rates recommended by the Irish EPA for odour impact assessment studies of intensive pig units are based on data from three major European studies

carried out in The Netherlands (Ogink and Groot Koerkamp, 2001), Belgium (van Langenhove and De Bruyn, 2001) and the United Kingdom (Peirson and Nicholson, 1995) and from two case studies carried out in Ireland. The results in this study for the dry sows, 1<sup>st</sup> stage weaners and 2<sup>nd</sup> stage weaners are similar to those reported across Europe and to the recommended emission factors used by the Irish EPA. This is probably due to the uniformity in housing systems for these animal types across Europe in the early 1990's. The odour emission rates per animal from the farrowing houses in this study varied widely and were notably higher than any other reported figures. As noted earlier, this may be due to the system of ventilation used i.e. ACNV. The odour emission rates per animal from the finishing units showed some variation. Apart from the mean odour emission rate of 28.2 ou<sub>E</sub> s<sup>-1</sup> animal<sup>-1</sup> for P2(B), the other finishing houses showed levels either equal to or 30-50% lower than those previously reported. Seasonal variations, ventilation systems, floor type and feed compositions could all be factors in these lower emissions.

As finishing pigs are the dominant source of odour emissions on intensive units, accounting for up to 72% of the total (EPA, 2001), the utilisation of accurate odour emission rates in atmospheric dispersion models is important for predicting the odour impact of a facility. For example, consider a 500 sow unit. The total odour emission rate from the animal buildings could vary from 65,000 ou<sub>E</sub> s<sup>-1</sup> using the Irish EPA recommended odour emission rates to 40,000 ou<sub>E</sub> s<sup>-1</sup> using the lowest site specific emission rates from this study. This suggests that using standard odour emission factors for Irish finishing houses could in many cases over-estimate the extent of the odour impact created on the vicinity surrounding a pig production facility. Pig production unit managers may therefore consider it worthwhile to determine site

specific odour emission rates in situations of marginal compliance with the odour impact criterion set out by the EPA. As the provisions of BAT and BREF are implemented particularly in relation to minimisation techniques such as feed manipulation, rapid removal of the manure and redesigned ventilation systems, one could anticipate significantly lower levels of odour emissions.

### 3.2. Ammonia results

The mean ammonia concentration (ppm) and mean ammonia emission rates ( $\text{g d}^{-1} \text{animal}^{-1}$ ) are reported in Table 5. Results are only available from the P2 and P3 pig production units (the ammonia sensor was unavailable when odour measurements were made at P1 and P4). The mean ammonia emission rates were 12.1, 17.1, 1.4, 2.9 and  $10.0 \text{ g d}^{-1} \text{animal}^{-1}$  for dry sows, farrowing sows, 1<sup>st</sup> stage weaners, 2<sup>nd</sup> stage weaners and finishers, respectively. The figures in Table 5 allow standardisation and comparison between the different pig production units and published results. The values reported in this study may be regarded as mean emission values for mean conditions of animal growth and manure handling.

The results reported are similar to the higher values reported in the BREF document (European IPPC Bureau, 2002). BREF reported high values of 11.5, 24.6, 2.2 and  $8.2 \text{ g d}^{-1} \text{animal}^{-1}$  for dry sows, farrowing sows, weaners <30kg and finishers >30 kg respectively. Extensive research on ammonia concentrations and emission rates in pig units has been reported by Groot Koerkamp *et al.* (1998); the ammonia concentration results ranged from 8.7 - 17.8, 4.5 - 7.8 and 12.1 - 18.2 ppm and the ammonia emission rates ranged from 7.8 - 17.5, 0.5 - 1.1 and  $4.4 - 9.2 \text{ g d}^{-1} \text{animal}^{-1}$  for sows, weaners and finishers respectively. These results are similar to those measured and reported in this study (Table 5). The manure handling system used in the dry sow and

farrowing houses in the pig production units in this research may have had a beneficial impact in that it facilitates lower ammonia emissions due to the partially slatted floor and the reduced surface area of the manure beneath the slat owing to the design of a narrow manure pit. By utilising this manure system, the emitting surface of the manure stored in the manure pit is lower; 20-40% reduction in ammonia emissions can be achieved in this way (European IPPC Bureau, 2002).

The variation observed between P2(A) and P2(B) for the odour emission rates from the finishing houses was also observed for the ammonia emission rates (Table 5). This may have been due to the orientation of the fans in relation to the manure surface in the P2(B) room. There was no significant difference between the ventilation rates of the two systems ( $P > 0.05$ ); however, the high air velocity beneath the slatted floor near the manure surface due to the location of the fans in P2(B) could enhance the volatilisation potential of gases. Aarnink and Elzing (1998) reported that a change in ventilation rate of  $0.01 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2}$  floor area can result in a 21% change in the ammonia emissions and that air velocity above the manure in the pit had a substantial effect on ammonia emission. Sheridan *et al.* (2002) and Hayes *et al.* (2003) also noted the influence of fan orientation within an experimental finishing pig building on the volatilisation of ammonia from the pen floor and manure surface.

The large range in published ammonia emissions from pig houses may be attributed to differences in animal size, house design and operational systems (Demmers *et al.*, 1999). The production and release of ammonia within the animal building depends on a range of parameters such as temperature, pH, moisture and nitrogen content of the manure, and the influence of house design (Seedorf and Hartung, 1999).

Hyde *et al.* (2003) estimated that Ireland will not achieve its commitment with regard to ammonia emission reductions in a “business as usual” scenario by 2010. In order to achieve these targets, there may be a requirement on pig production units to reduce their current emission levels. Strategies to reduce ammonia emissions from pig housing systems include dietary manipulation (Hayes *et al.*, 2003; Canh *et al.*, 1998), changes in manure management and storage systems (Portejoie and Martinez, 2002; European IPPC Bureau, 2002) and practices within the buildings.

#### **4. Conclusions**

Odour and ammonia emissions from four typical Irish pig units were measured from dry sow, farrowing sow, 1<sup>st</sup> stage weaner, 2<sup>nd</sup> stage weaner and finishing houses.

The odour emission rates measured for the dry sow, 1<sup>st</sup> stage weaner, and 2<sup>nd</sup> stage weaner houses were within the range reported around Europe. However, the measured odour emissions from the farrowing houses were higher than previously published values. This may reflect the high ventilation rates per animal and low animal numbers in the individual farrowing houses.

With only one exception, the odour emissions measured from the finishing houses were either equal to or only 30-50% of published values. This suggests that using standard emission factors for Irish finishing houses could over-estimate the extent of the odour impact on the vicinity surrounding a pig production facility. Pig production unit managers may therefore consider it worthwhile to determine site specific odour emission rates in cases of marginal compliance with the odour impact criterion set out by the Irish EPA.

The ammonia emission rates generated were similar to those published around Europe. Manure handling systems and fan orientation can have a significant effect on the ammonia emission. To achieve national emissions targets, Ireland will need to implement specific ammonia minimisation practices such as modification of manure management systems and dietary manipulation.

## **5. Acknowledgements**

The authors would like to acknowledge the financial assistance provided by Teagasc, the Irish Agricultural and Food Development Authority, under the Walsh Fellowship programme. They would also like to thank the members of the olfactometry panels, the owners, management and staff at each of the four pig units, Dr. Enda Cummins for his statistical assistance, Dr Owen Carton for his advice and especially Dr. Brian Sheridan and Mr. John Casey from UCD for their assistance in monitoring the units.



## 6. References

Aarnink, A.J.A., Elzing, A., 1998. Dynamic model for ammonia volatilization in housing with partially slatted floor for fattening pigs. *Livestock Production Science*, 53, 153-169.

British Standard BS848, 1997. Fans for general purpose – Part 1. BSI Publications, London, UK.

Canh, T.T., Aarnink, A.J.A., Schutte, J.B., Sutton, A., Langhout, D.J., Verstegen, M.W.A., 1998. Dietary protein affects nitrogen excretion and ammonia emissions from slurry of growing-finishing pigs. *Livestock Production Science*, 56, 181-191.

CEN, 2003. Air quality - Determination of odour concentration by dynamic olfactometry EN 13725. Comité Européen de Normalisation, Brussels, Belgium.

CIGR, 2002. 4<sup>th</sup> Report of working group on climatisation of animal houses: heat and moisture production at animal and house levels. Commission Internationale du Génie Rural, Horsens, Denmark.

Commission of the European Communities, 1997. Communication to the council and the European parliament on a community strategy to combat acidification. European Commission, Brussels, Belgium.

Demmers, T.G.M., Burgess, L.R., Short, J.L., Phillips, V.R., Clark, J.A., Wathes, C.M., 1999. Ammonia emissions from two mechanically ventilated UK livestock buildings. *Atmospheric Environment*, 33, 217-227.

Environmental Protection Agency, 1996. Best available technology not entailing excessive cost (BATNEEC) guidance note for pig and poultry production. Environmental Protection Agency, Wexford, Ireland.

Environmental Protection Agency, 2001. Odour impacts and odour emission control measures for intensive agriculture. Environmental Protection Agency, Wexford, Ireland.

European Union Council, 1996. Directive 96/61/EC of 24<sup>th</sup> September 1996 concerning integrated pollution prevention and control. Official Journal L257, 10/10/1996, 0026 – 0040. Brussels, Belgium.

European Integrated Pollution and Prevention Control (IPPC) Bureau. 2002. IPPC Best available techniques reference (BREF) document on the intensive rearing of poultry and pigs. European IPPC Bureau, Seville, Spain.

Groot Koerkamp, P.W.G., Metz, J.H.M., Uenk, G.H., Phillips, V.R., Holden, M.R., Sneath, R.W., Short, J.L., White, R.P., Hartung, J., Seedorf, J., Schroder, M., Linkert, K.H., Pederson, S., Takai, H., Johnsen, J.O., Wathes, C.M., 1998. Concentrations and emissions of ammonia in livestock buildings in Northern Europe. Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research, 70, 79-95.

Hayes, E.T., 2004. Odour and ammonia emissions from intensive pig and poultry units in Ireland. PhD Thesis, University College Dublin, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland.

Hayes, E.T., Leek, A.G.B., Curran, T.P., Dodd, V.A., Carton, O.T., Beattie, V.E., O'Doherty, J.V., 2003. The influence of diet crude protein level on odour and ammonia emission from finishing pig houses. *Bioresource Technology*, 91, 309-315.

Hyde, B.P., Carton, O.T., O'Toole, P., Misselbrook, T.H., 2003. A new inventory of ammonia emissions from Irish agriculture. *Atmospheric Environment*, 37, 55-62

McGinley, C.M., McGinley, M.A., McGinley, D.L., 2000. Odour basics: understanding and using odour testing. In: *Proceedings of the 22<sup>nd</sup> Annual Hawaii Water Environmental Association Conference*, Honolulu, Hawaii, June 6-7, 2000.

Ogink, N.W.M., Groot Koerkamp, P.W.G., 2001. Comparison of odour emissions from animal housing systems with low ammonia emissions. In: *Proceedings of the 1<sup>st</sup> IWA International Conference on Odour and VOC's: Measurement, regulation and control techniques*, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia, March 2001, ISBN 0733417698

Peirson, S., Nicholson, R., 1995. Measurement of odour and ammonia emissions from livestock buildings. Report for Ministry for Agriculture Food and Fisheries (MAFF) EPD. ADAS WA0601 Phase 1- Final Report. MAFF, UK.

Portejoie, S., Martinez, J., 2002. Covering slurry stores to reduce ammonia emissions: Settling effects and chemical compositions changes. *Proceedings of the 10<sup>th</sup> International Conference of the RAMIRAN network*, Slovak Republic, May 14-18, 2002.

Seedorf, J., Hartung, J., 1999. Survey of ammonia concentrations in livestock buildings. *Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge*, 133, 433-437.

Sheridan, B.A., Curran, T.C., Dodd, V.A., 2002. Assessment of the influence of media particle size on the biofiltration of odorous exhaust ventilation air from a piggery facility. *Bioresource Technology*, 84, 129-143.

van Langenhove, H., De Bruyn, G., 2001. Development of a procedure to determine odour emissions from animal farming for regulatory purposes in Flanders. *Water Science and Technology*, 44 (9), 205-210.

van der Peet-Schwering, C.M.C., Aarnink, A.J.A., Rom, H.B., Dourmad, J.Y., 1999. Ammonia emissions from pig houses in the Netherlands, Denmark and France. *Livestock Production Science*, 58, 265-269

Wheater, C.P., Cook, P.A., 2000. Using statistics to understand the environment. Routledge, New York, p. 246

## **LIST OF TABLES.**

Glossary of Abbreviations

Table 1. Irish IPC and IPPC thresholds for pig units

Table 2a. Pig production unit (P1) with 300 sows and progeny from birth to slaughter.

Table 2b. Pig production unit (P2) with 568 sows and progeny from birth to slaughter.

Table 2c. Pig production unit (P3) with 550 sows and progeny from birth to slaughter.

Table 2d. Pig production unit (P4) with 1300 sows and progeny from birth to slaughter.

Table 3. Geomean odour concentrations, ventilation rates and odour emission rates per animal for each pig production stage.

Table 4. Comparison with published odour emission rates

Table 5. Mean ammonia concentrations and emission rates for units P2 and P3

Glossary of Abbreviations	
ACNV	Automatically controlled natural ventilation
BAT	Best available technique
BATNEEC	Best available technology not entailing excessive cost
BREF	BAT reference document
CEN	Comité Européen de Normalisation – European Committee for Standardization
CIGR	Commission Internationale du Génie Rural – International Commission of Agricultural Engineering
CP	Crude protein level in animal diet
CV	Coefficient of variation – standard deviation as a percentage of the mean
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EU	European Union
IPC	Integrated Pollution Control
IPPC	Integrated Pollution and Prevention Control – referring to the European Directive 96/61/EC
MAFF	Ministry of Agriculture Fisheries and Food (Now managed by the Department of Environmental, Food and Rural Affairs, DEFRA, UK)
s.d.	Standard deviation
s.e.	Standard error

Table 1. Irish IPC and IPPC thresholds for pig units

Irish IPC threshold	IPPC threshold
1,000 units on gley soils or 3,000 units on other soils, where 1 pig = 1 unit 1 sow = 10 units	2000 places for production pigs (over 30 kg liveweight), or 750 places for sows

Table 2a. Pig production unit (P1) with 300 sows and progeny from birth to slaughter.

Stage of production	Ventilation type	Floor type and manure storage	Diet <sup>a</sup>	Animal numbers
Dry sows	Negative mechanical ventilation, 0.6 m diameter roof ridge fans x 3	Partially slatted floor, reduced manure pit <sup>c</sup> , 222 individual crates	Commercial diet <i>ad lib</i>	222 sows
Farrowers	ACNV <sup>b</sup> – single roof ridge exhaust vent with two side inlet vents running the length of the house	78 individual crates on a partially slatted floor	Commercial diet <i>ad lib</i>	78 sows
1 <sup>st</sup> stage	Negative mechanical ventilation, 0.45 m diameter roof ridge fans x 1	150 animals per house on fully slatted flat deck floors; manure stored beneath	Commercial diet <i>ad lib</i>	600 weaners
2 <sup>nd</sup> stage	Negative mechanical ventilation, 0.6 m diameter roof ridge fans x 2	150 animals per house on fully slatted floors; manure stored beneath	Commercial diet <i>ad lib</i>	600 weaners
Finishers	Negative mechanical ventilation, 0.6 m diameter roof ridge fans x 4	200 animals per house on fully slatted floors; manure stored beneath	Commercial diet <i>ad lib</i>	1200 finishers

a: details on diets unavailable;

b: Automatically Controlled Natural Ventilation (ACNV) is a form of natural ventilation by which the airflow through the building is regulated by adjusting the inlet and outlet vents to maintain a preset internal temperature

c: Reduced manure pit - the surface area of the manure stored beneath the slat is reduced by having a smaller slatted area within the house.



Table 2b. Pig production unit (P2) with 568 sows and progeny from birth to slaughter.

Stage of production	Ventilation type	Floor type and manure storage	Diet	Animal numbers
Dry sows	Negative mechanical ventilation, 0.6 m diameter roof ridge fans x 6	Partially slatted floor, reduced manure pit <sup>b</sup> , 440 individual crates, manure removed to external tank	15% CP diet <i>ad lib</i>	440 sows
Farrowers	ACNV <sup>a</sup> – single roof ridge exhaust vent with two side inlet vents per house	8 individual crates per house on a partially slatted floor, manure removed to external tank	18% CP diet <i>ad lib</i>	128 sows
1 <sup>st</sup> stage	Negative mechanical ventilation, 0.45 m diameter roof ridge fans x 1	125 animals per house on fully slatted flat deck floors; manure stored beneath	21% CP diet <i>ad lib</i>	1260 weaners
2 <sup>nd</sup> stage	Negative mechanical ventilation, 0.6 m diameter roof ridge fans x 2	125 animals per house on fully slatted floors; manure stored beneath	20.5% CP diet <i>ad lib</i>	1260 weaners
Finishers (A)	Negative mechanical ventilation, porous ceiling ventilation system, exhaust air emitted via a 2 vertical shafts fitted with a 0.6 m fan	200 animals per house on fully slatted floors; manure stored beneath	17% CP diet <i>ad lib</i>	1450 finishers
Finishers (B)	Negative mechanical ventilation, 0.6 m diameter roof ridge fans x 2	300 finishers on fully slatted floors; manure stored beneath	17% CP diet <i>ad lib</i>	300 finishers

a: Automatically Controlled Natural Ventilation (ACNV) is a form of natural ventilation by which the airflow through the building is regulated by adjusting the inlet and outlet vents to maintain a preset internal temperature

b: Reduced manure pit - the surface area of the manure stored beneath the slat is reduced by having a smaller slatted area within the house.

Table 2c. Pig production unit (P3) with 550 sows and progeny from birth to slaughter.

Stage of production	Ventilation type	Floor type and manure storage	Diet <sup>a</sup>	Animal numbers
Dry sows	ACNV <sup>a</sup> – single roof ridge exhaust vent with two side inlet vents per house	Partially slatted floor, reduced manure pit <sup>b</sup> , 420 individual crates, manure stored beneath	15% CP diet <i>ad lib</i>	420 sows
Farrowers	ACNV <sup>a</sup> – single roof ridge exhaust vent with two side inlet vents per house	10 individual crates per house on a partially slatted floor, manure stored beneath	18% CP diet <i>ad lib</i>	130 sows
1 <sup>st</sup> stage	Negative mechanical ventilation, 0.45 m diameter roof ridge fans x 1	100 animals per house on fully slatted floors; manure stored beneath	21% CP diet <i>ad lib</i>	1400 weaners
2 <sup>nd</sup> stage	ACNV <sup>a</sup> – single roof ridge exhaust vent with two side inlet vents per house	200 animals per house on fully slatted floors; manure stored beneath	20.5% CP diet <i>ad lib</i>	1400 weaners
Finishers	ACNV <sup>a</sup> – single roof ridge exhaust vent with two side inlet vents per house	200 animals per house on fully slatted floors; manure stored beneath	17% CP diet <i>ad lib</i>	2000 finishers

a: Automatically Controlled Natural Ventilation (ACNV) is a form of natural ventilation by which the airflow through the building is regulated by adjusting the inlet and outlet vents to maintain a preset internal temperature

b: Reduced manure pit - the surface area of the manure stored beneath the slat is reduced by having a smaller slatted area within the house.

Table 2d. Pig production unit (P4) with 1300 sows and progeny from birth to slaughter.

Stage of production	Ventilation type	Floor type and manure storage	Diet <sup>a</sup>	Animal numbers
Dry sows	Negative mechanical ventilation, 0.6 m diameter roof ridge fans x 2	Partially slatted floor, reduced manure pit <sup>b</sup> , 200 individual crates per house	15% CP diet <i>ad lib</i>	1000 sows
Farrowers	ACNV <sup>a</sup> – single roof ridge exhaust vent with two side inlet vents running the length of the house	8 individual crates per house on a partially slatted floor	18% CP diet <i>ad lib</i>	300 sows
1 <sup>st</sup> stage	Negative mechanical ventilation, 0.45 m diameter roof ridge fans x 1	160 animal per house on fully slatted flat deck floors; manure stored beneath	21% CP diet <i>ad lib</i>	3250 weaners
2 <sup>nd</sup> stage	Negative mechanical ventilation, 0.6 m diameter roof ridge fans x 2	160 animals per house on fully slatted floors; manure stored beneath	20.5% CP diet <i>ad lib</i>	3250 weaners
Finishers	Negative mechanical ventilation, 0.6 m diameter roof ridge fans x 4	380 animals per house on fully slatted floors; manure stored beneath	17% CP diet <i>ad lib</i>	3480 finishers

a: Automatically Controlled Natural Ventilation (ACNV) is a form of natural ventilation by which the airflow through the building is regulated by adjusting the inlet and outlet vents to maintain a preset internal temperature

b: Reduced manure pit - the surface area of the manure stored beneath the slat is reduced by having a smaller slatted area within the house.

Table 3. Geomean odour concentrations, ventilation rates and odour emission rates per animal for each pig production stage

Unit	Production stage	No of samples	Odour Concentration		Ventilation Rate		Odour emission rate per animal	
			ou <sub>E</sub> m <sup>-3</sup>	CV %	m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	CV%	ou <sub>E</sub> s <sup>-1</sup> animal <sup>-1</sup>	CV%
P1	Dry sows	6	1609	30.2	0.8	25.0	16.4	14.7
	Farrowers	4	1074	10.2	0.3	0.0	35.7	10.3
	1st stage weaners	6	1115	73.2	0.3	66.7	3.7	14.2
	2nd stage weaners	8	1971	25.7	0.5	20.0	9.3	14.5
	Finishers	8	2016	11.3	2.9	6.9	12.1	11.8
P2	Dry sows	12	1265	42.4	3.9	23.1	20.4	39.1
	Farrowers	8	115	12.3	0.3	33.3	37.5	25.9
	1st stage weaners	16	1450	23.1	0.4	50.0	4.3	34.7
	2nd stage weaners	16	2111	27.0	0.5	20.0	9.4	44.8
	Finishers (A)	6	1943	30.1	2.4	29.2	16.3	6.9
	Finishers (B)	14	2172	16.4	2.7	11.1	28.2	11.8
P3	Dry sows	8	1119	11.2	9.1	1.1	24.1	10.8
	Farrowers	12	1078	12.1	0.2	0.0	33.2	18.0
	1st stage weaners	8	812	35.6	1.4	35.7	4.6	1.7
	2nd stage weaners	16	1285	22.4	2.0	10.0	10.5	16.2
	Finishers	16	1355	38.4	4.0	47.5	22.8	11.9
P4	Dry sows	6	683	86.8	1.7	0.0	10.9	83.2
	Farrowers	8	1008	23.5	0.8	12.5	66.4	23.0
	1st stage weaners	8	1681	36.2	0.5	40.0	4.6	38.9
	2nd stage weaners	12	1565	32.5	1.7	11.8	10.5	33.7
	Finishers	14	2157	47.4	1.0	120.0	10.7	92.3

Table 4. Comparison with published odour emission rates

	Dry sows $\text{ou}_E \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ animal}^{-1}$	Farrowers $\text{ou}_E \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ animal}^{-1}$	1 <sup>st</sup> stage weaners $\text{ou}_E \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ animal}^{-1}$	2 <sup>nd</sup> stage weaners $\text{ou}_E \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ animal}^{-1}$	Finishers $\text{ou}_E \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ animal}^{-1}$
This study	10.9 - 24.1	33.2 - 66.4	3.7 - 4.6	9.3 – 10.5	10.7 - 28.2
Irish EPA <sup>1</sup>	19.0	18.0	6.0	6.0	22.5
Netherlands <sup>2</sup>	19.0	17.8	5.0 – 16.3	5.0 – 16.3	22.4
Belgium <sup>3</sup>	44.6	17.2	3.3	3.3	25.4
United Kingdom <sup>4</sup>	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	18.7 – 36.1

Source: <sup>1</sup> EPA (2001); <sup>2</sup> Ogink and Groot Koerkamp (2001); <sup>3</sup> van Langenhove and De Bruyn (2001); <sup>4</sup> Peirson and Nicholson (1995)

Table 5. Mean ammonia concentrations and emission rates for units P2 and P3

Animal type	Unit	No. of samples	Ammonia concentration		Ammonia emission rate	
			ppm	s.e.	g d <sup>-1</sup> animal <sup>-1</sup>	s.e.
Dry sows	P2	602	13.6	0.10	13.5	0.11
	P3	552	10.7	0.09	10.6	0.09
Farrowers	P2	524	8.8	0.07	17.8	0.13
	P3	552	8.9	0.08	16.3	0.14
1 <sup>st</sup> stage weaners	P2	965	5.8	0.02	1.1	0.004
	P3	1073	4.7	0.03	1.7	0.01
2 <sup>nd</sup> stage weaners	P2	977	10.8	0.06	3.0	0.02
	P3	1073	5.5	0.03	2.7	0.01
Finishers	P2(A)	1610	13.7	0.07	6.9	0.04
	P2(B)	1860	15.2	0.09	11.9	0.07
	P3	1977	10.2	0.06	11.3	0.06