Title	Circularly polarized lanthanide luminescence from Langmuir-Blodgett films formed from optically active and amphiphilic Eu(III) based self-assembly complexes
Authors(s)	Kitchen, Jonathan A., Barry, Dawn E., Mercs, Laszlo, Albrecht, Martin, Peacock, Robert D., Gunnlaugsson, Thorfinnur
Publication date	2012-01-16
Publication information	Kitchen, Jonathan A., Dawn E. Barry, Laszlo Mercs, Martin Albrecht, Robert D. Peacock, and Thorfinnur Gunnlaugsson. "Circularly Polarized Lanthanide Luminescence from Langmuir-Blodgett Films Formed from Optically Active and Amphiphilic Eu(III) Based Self-Assembly Complexes" 51, no. 3 (January 16, 2012).
Publisher	Wiley
Item record/more information	http://hdl.handle.net/10197/3628
Publisher's statement	This is the author's version of the following article: Kitchen, J. A., Barry, D. E., Mercs, L., Albrecht, M., Peacock, R. D. and Gunnlaugsson, T. (2012), Circularly Polarized Lanthanide Luminescence from Langmuir–Blodgett Films Formed from Optically Active and Amphiphilic EuIII-Based Self-Assembly Complexes. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 51: 704–708 which has been published in final form at http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201106863
Publisher's version (DOI)	10.1002/anie.201106863

Downloaded 2023-04-07T10:52:29Z

The UCD community has made this article openly available. Please share how this access benefits you. Your story matters! (@ucd_oa)

© Some rights reserved. For more information

First examples of circular polarized lanthanide luminescence from Langmuir-Blodgett films formed from optically active and amphiphilic Eu(III) based self-assembly complexes

Jonathan A. Kitchen*, Dawn E. Barry, Laszlo Mercs, Martin Albrecht, Robert D. Peacock, and Thorfinnur Gunnlaugsson*

The development of functional nanomaterials and supramolecular systems is an active area of research, particularly for molecular recognition/sensing, catalysis, optical devices, and magnetically active compounds for switching and data storage.¹⁻⁹ Whilst much attention has been focused on transition metal based supramolecular systems¹⁰⁻¹¹, there has been a recent resurgence of lanthanide based systems.¹²⁻¹⁴ These ions possess rich coordination environments and unique physical properties such as long lived and long wavelength emission in the visible or the NIR regions, as well as magnetic properties, which have been exploited for use in the developments of MRI contrast agents. Hence, these properties make them ideal and highly desirable candidates for the formation of functional supramolecular systems.¹⁵⁻¹⁶ The development of supramolecular assemblies that can be further organized into functional devices is also of great current interest. This can be achieved by covalently attaching appropriate ligands and complexes to nano-particles or flat surfaces; through the formation of polymers or by forming thin films using Langmuir-Blodgett (LB) techniques.¹⁷ Herein we describe our efforts in bridging these two areas of research by employing lanthanide directed synthesis (using ligands **1** and **2**) in the formation of chiral luminescent lanthanide amphiphilic complexes, and their use in the formation of LB films, the properties of which can be probed by using circular polarized luminescence (CPL). The ligands were designed to include a terdentate coordination pocket with a closely associated sensitizing antenna (i.e. the *R*- or *S*-naphthylamine moieties) for the lanthanide ions such as Eu(III) and

Figure 1. Synthesis of **1** (*R*), **2** (*S*) and their corresponding Eu(III) complexes **13Eu** and **23Eu**. (a): EDCI·HCl, HOBt, THF, TEA, RT. Perspective view of the crystal structure of **1**. Dashed lines indicate inter-molecular interactions (N-H...O hydrogen bonding, and $\pi\cdots\pi$ stacking). Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Tb(III); an approach that has been extremely successful for the development of luminescent supramolecular self-assembly structures such as chiral ‘bundles’¹⁸ and di-lanthanide triple stranded helicates.¹⁹⁻²¹ Additionally, a long hydrophobic hexadecyl chain was included, to allow for the formation of Langmuir-Blodgett films. Ligands **1** and **2** were prepared in yields of 74% and 82%, respectively, by employing EDCI·HCl peptide coupling reactions between the *R*- and the *S*- isomers of precursors **3** and **4**, respectively,¹⁸⁻²⁰ and *N*-hexadecylamine (Figure 1). These were characterized using conventional methods (See Supporting Information); as well as by using circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy, which confirmed that the compounds were isolated as a pair of enantiomers (See Supporting Information Fig. S1). Moreover, rod shaped single crystals were obtained from the slow evaporation of CH₂Cl₂/CH₃CN solution of both ligands allowing for solid state crystal structure analysis of both. The resulting X-ray structure of **1** was determined at 108 K and is shown in Fig. 1 (See also Supporting Information S2, S3 and Table S1). The ligand crystallized in the chiral monoclinic space group *P*2₁ and contained two crystallographically independent molecules in the asymmetric unit. One molecule has a relatively *trans* co-planar chain configuration (straight chain), whilst the other forms somewhat of a square through ‘kinks’ in the chain. The two independent molecules pack into dimers through classic NH...O hydrogen bonding and offset face to face $\pi\cdots\pi$ stacking (Fig. 1) where the pyridyl group of the straight chain compound sits inside the square adopted by the bent chain compound. Complexes of **1** and **2** were formed and studied using Nd(III), Sm(III), Eu(III), Tb(III), Dy(III), Yb(III) and Lu(III). However, this communication only focuses on the Eu(III) complexes, where **13Eu** and **23Eu** ([Eu(L)₃](CF₃SO₃)₃) were prepared from **1** and **2**, respectively, by reacting with Eu(CF₃SO₃)₃

[*] Prof. T. Gunnlaugsson, Dr. J. A. Kitchen and Ms D. E. Barry
School of Chemistry, Centre for Synthesis and Chemical Biology
Trinity College Dublin
College Green, Dublin 2, Ireland
E-mail: gunnlaut@tcd.ie and jKitchen@tcd.ie

Dr. L. Mercs and Prof. M. Albrecht
School of Chemistry and Chemical Biology
University College Dublin
Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland

Prof. R. D. Peacock
School of Chemistry
Joseph Black Building, University of Glasgow
Glasgow, G12 8QQ

[**] We thank the Science Foundation Ireland (SFI PI Award 2010 (TG), SFI RFP Awards 2008 and 2009 (TG), the Irish Research Council for Science, Engineering & Technology (IRCSET, Postdoctoral Fellowship to JAK) and the European Research Council (StG 208561, MA) for financial support. We would like to thank particularly Dr Tom McCabe for assistance in collecting X-ray data.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <http://www.angewandte.org> or from the author.

Figure 2: A) The overall changes in the Eu(III) phosphorescence spectra upon titrating **2** with Eu(CF₃SO₃)₃ (0 to 10 equivalents) in MeCN at RT. B) Experimental binding isotherms for the changes in the Eu(III) luminescence spectra upon titrating **2** with Eu(CF₃SO₃)₃ in MeCN at RT and their corresponding fit by means of SPECFIT (—). C) Speciation-distribution diagram obtained from the fit.

in 3:1 stoichiometry in methanol for 10 minutes under microwave irradiation. The pale yellow solutions were then subjected to vapor diffusion of diethyl ether yielding white solids; which under a UV lamp gave rise to typical red emission arising from the Eu(III) centre. Elemental analysis confirmed the formation of the desired products **1₃Eu** and **2₃Eu**, whereas ESMS gave dominantly the *m/z* for the formation of **1₂Eu** and **2₂Eu**.

The photophysical properties of **1₃Eu** and **2₃Eu** were evaluated in CH₃CN, MeOH, H₂O and D₂O solutions (See Supporting Information Figures S4 and S5 for some of these). The UV-Vis absorption spectrum of these complexes was dominated by an absorption assigned to the naphthalene $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$ antenna with $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 281$ nm, and by the $n \rightarrow \pi^*$ transition of the central pyridyl unit. Excitation of the naphthalene antennae at 281 nm gave rise on both occasions to Eu(III) centered luminescence indicating effective sensitization of the ⁵D₀ excited state and subsequent deactivation to the ⁷F_J states with line-like emission bands observed at 580 nm (⁵D₀ → ⁷F₀), 595 nm (⁵D₀ → ⁷F₁), 615 nm (⁵D₀ → ⁷F₂), 650 nm (⁵D₀ → ⁷F₃) and 695 nm (⁵D₀ → ⁷F₄). The coordination numbers of **1₃Eu** and **2₃Eu** were evaluated by determination of the number of water molecules bound to the Eu(III) centre, the hydration state (*q*), by monitoring their excited-state decay in H₂O and D₂O, respectively, in which these complexes were only sparingly soluble. For both, a *q* value of ~0 was determined for these complexes (See Supporting Information Table S2 and Figures S6 and S7) indicating that they were coordinatively saturated in aqueous solutions.

The formation of **1₃Eu** and **2₃Eu** was next observed in solution by monitoring the changes in the absorption spectra of **1** and **2** and in the evolution of the Eu(III) centered luminescence upon titration with Eu(CF₃SO₃)₃ in CH₃CN at room temperature. The latter method is an ideal way of observing the formation of these desired self-assemblies, as the population of the Eu(III) ⁵D₀ excited state would only be observed upon sensitization from the ligands, i.e. via the antennae effect. The overall changes observed in the Eu(III) emission for **2** are shown in Figure 2A, where all of the characteristic ⁵D₀ → ⁷F₁₋₄ Eu(III) emission bands are observed upon formation of the self-assembly complex in solution. Analyzing the emission intensities of ⁵D₀ → ⁷F₁₋₄ as a function of added Eu(III) equivalents reveals that the emission rapidly increases to a maximum at ca. 0.35 equiv., after which it decreases and begins to plateau after the addition of ~1 equiv. of Eu(III) (See Supporting Information Fig. S8 and S9). In order to gain a better understanding of the formation of these species in solution these changes were further analyzed by fitting the global luminescence changes using non-linear regression analysis (using the software SPECFIT) to various L:Eu stoichiometries. The fitting of the changes observed in Figure 2A are shown in Figure 2B (See Supporting Information Fig. S10 and S11 and Table S3 for the fitting and the binding constants obtained for the analysis of **1**). On both occasions good fits were observed as demonstrated for the analysis of **2** in Figure 2B, for the

changes in $\Delta J = 1, 2$ and 4, from which the formation of **2₃Eu** in ca. 80% yield was confirmed after addition of ca. 0.3 equiv. Eu(III), with binding constants of $\log \beta = 19.9 (\pm 0.2)$. Concurrently, the analysis for **1** showed similar luminescent behavior, and the self-assembly **1₃Eu** was formed with a comparable binding constant $\log \beta$ of 20.4 (± 0.2). On further addition of Eu(III) the equilibrium for both systems was displaced towards that of a species with the stoichiometry [Eu(L)₂]; which after the addition of ca. 0.5 equiv. of Eu(III), were formed in 35 and 45 % yields, respectively, with binding constants of $\log \beta = 13.3 (\pm 0.2)$ and 14.1 (± 0.2). On yet further addition of Eu(III), these equilibria were displaced towards a new species, [Eu(L)], which became the predominant species after the addition of ~1.5 equiv. of Eu(III).

The solution formation of **1₃Eu** and **2₃Eu** was also observed by monitoring the changes in the absorption spectra, and by fitting the resulting global changes using non-linear regression analysis. In particular the changes in the absorbance bands at 207 nm, 223 nm and 281 nm were analyzed (See Supporting Information Figures S12 and S13 and Table S3). These changes gave rise to similar results as obtained from the analysis of the changes in the Eu(III) emission; the formation of the [Eu(L)₃] was confirmed after addition of 0.3 equiv. Eu(III), in ca. 85 % yield, with a binding constant of $\log \beta$ of 20.9 (± 0.3). Similarly, analysis of the changes observed for **1**, gave $\log \beta$ of 20.5 (± 0.3). Moreover, the formation of the [Eu(L)₂] species at ca. 0.5 equiv. of Eu(III) was also confirmed, in 45 and 35% yields for **1** and **2**, respectively, with $\log \beta$ of 13.8; while upon further adaptations of Eu(III) resulted in the formation of the 1:1 stoichiometry [Eu(L)].

Using both CD and CPL, of **1₃Eu** and **2₃Eu** (See Supporting Information Figures S14 and S15, respectively) in CH₃CN confirmed the enantiomeric nature of these complexes. For the former, the CD spectra of **1₃Eu** and **2₃Eu** were significantly different to that seen for **1** and **2**, respectively, particularly for the naphthalene transitions (See Supporting Information Fig. S1 and S14). Importantly, both systems gave rise to Eu(III) centered CPL spectra, upon excitation of the naphthalene antennae, where all of the ⁵D₀ → ⁷F₁₋₄ transitions were observed; clearly demonstrating that the Eu(III) ion was sitting within a chiral environment, where the handedness of the ligand dictated the handedness of the chiral emission (CPL) from the metal ion. This is evident from Figure S15, which shows a negative band for the $\Delta J = 1$ transition and a positive band for $\Delta J = 2$ nm for **1₃Eu**; but these were found to be of opposite signs for **2₃Eu** confirming their formation as an enantiomeric pair. Comparison of these CPL spectra with those obtained previously in our laboratory using the symmetrical and chiral di-naphthalene amide analogue of **3** and **4**, for which the crystal structures of the 3:1 complexes are known,¹⁸ allowed us to assign the absolute stereochemistry of these self-assemblies as Δ and Λ using **1** and **2**, respectively. Moreover, from these spectra, the luminescence dissymmetric factor g_{lum} was determined, which for **1₃Eu** gave g_{lum}

Figure 3. Pressure-area isotherms; inset shows pressure-time profiles for Langmuir films of 13Eu (blue) and 23Eu (red).

= -0.177 and 0.106 for the $\Delta J = 1$ and 2 transitions, respectively (being $g_{\text{lum}} = 0.176$ and -0.102 for 23Eu). The former, is close to that determined for the Eu(III) complex of the aforementioned symmetric analogue of **3** ($g_{\text{lum}} = 0.28$)¹⁸, while for the $\Delta J = 2$ transition, g_{lum} was almost five times smaller ($g_{\text{lum}} = 0.50$)¹⁸. This might reflect the unsymmetrical nature of 13Eu , as the $\Delta J = 2$, is highly sensitive to the change in the local coordination environment of Eu(III) ion.²²

Having successfully formed 13Eu and 23Eu , and analyzed their luminescent properties in solution, the self-assembling properties of 13Eu and 23Eu were further investigated at an air-water interface by forming Langmuir films. Only a small numbers of examples of Langmuir-Blodgett films made from kinetically and thermodynamically stable lanthanide complexes have been reported to date.²³⁻³⁸ Furthermore, only a few examples of CPL Langmuir-Blodgett films have been made,²⁸ and to the best of our knowledge, no examples of CPL lanthanide emitting Langmuir-Blodgett films have been reported to date. The Langmuir films of 13Eu and 23Eu were identified by monitoring the pressure-area isotherms; where the exponential increase in surface pressure indicated transition from liquid-expanded phases to liquid-condensed and solid phases, as shown in Figure 3.³⁹ These films were seen to collapse at 34 mN m^{-1} for 13Eu and at 29 mN m^{-1} for 23Eu , corresponding to areas of *ca.* $75 \pm 5 \text{ \AA}^2$. These areas are approximately those expected for three alkyl chains ($\sim 66 \text{ \AA}^2$ per molecule),^{40,41} and are in agreement with the complexes remaining intact at the air-water interface with supramolecular organization of 13Eu and 23Eu , as monolayers. Excellent stability properties were exhibited by films of both complexes with no pressure decrease observed on keeping the films at the liquid-condensed phase for an extended period of time (>1 hour, inset Figure 3). The transfer of the 13Eu and 23Eu films onto quartz slides was next undertaken, and the formation of Langmuir-Blodgett monolayers was confirmed, where the monolayers were transferred with high transfer ratios ($\text{TR} \sim 1$) on the *emersion* of the quartz support. The exact structural nature of these films is currently under investigation, however, we can assume that the polar Eu(III) coordination sphere is orientated towards the water phase and the hexadecyl chains pointing out, as attempts to transfer these films by *immersion* was not successful. Having successfully formed Langmuir-Blodgett films of 13Eu and 23Eu , their photophysical properties were next evaluated, by recording their UV-Vis absorption, phosphorescence and excitation spectra (See Supporting

Figure 4. Total luminescence from 13Eu (black) and CPL spectra from monolayers of 13Eu (blue) and 23Eu (red) on Quartz slides. Inset: The Eu(III) centered emission recorded of the Langmuir-Blodgett films after one and two emersions of 13Eu .

Information Fig. S16 and S17 for 13Eu and 23Eu , respectively). The UV-Vis absorption spectra matched that seen for these complexes when recorded in solution (cf. Figure S12 and S13 Supporting Information), and the phosphorescence excitation spectra ($\lambda_{\text{em}} = 620 \text{ nm}$) of both films structurally matched the absorption spectra. The fluorescence emission from these films was however poor, as had been the case when the fluorescence emission of 13Eu and 23Eu was recorded in solution. However, upon excitation of the antenna, both monolayers exhibited time delayed lanthanide luminescence, see Figure 4 for 13Eu (see also Supporting Information Fig. S12 and S13). For these, the Eu(III) emission bands observed at 593, 615 nm and 695 nm were particularly intense as had been seen in solution. These films have been found to be stable under ambient conditions over a period of many months. Furthermore, multiple layers of these films could be transferred onto a quartz slide as demonstrated as an inset in Figure 4, for 13Eu , where the emission from a slide following the deposition of the second monolayer gave rise to enhanced Eu(III) centered luminescence. The excited-state decay of Eu(III) centered emission was also determined, and was found to be similar to that observed in H_2O (See Supporting Information Table S2).

The enantiomeric relationship between the monolayers of 13Eu and 23Eu was also probed using CD and CPL spectroscopies. Unfortunately, we were unable to record the CD spectra of these monolayers, most likely due to low concentration. However, and more importantly, as can be seen in Figure 4 both films gave rise to Eu(III) centered CPL, where the films gave spectra of equal and opposite signs for $\Delta J = 1, 2$, and 4; these being most pronounced for the $\Delta J = 1$ and 2 (as was seen in solution for 13Eu and 23Eu), the former being magnetic dipole allowed and as such gave rise to large circular polarization. These results thus clearly confirm that the films formed are chiral and that the Eu(III) centres again report the nature of the chiral environment of the local environment. From these spectra, for 13Eu the dissymmetric factor was determined as $g_{\text{lum}} = -0.161$ and 0.068 for $\Delta J = 1$ and 2, respectively. This slight difference from the solution studies above is most likely related to the formation of the Langmuir-Blodgett films where the packing of the molecules could have had an effect on the local coordination of the lanthanide ion and/or that this may be due to the effect of the solid surface.

In conclusion, we have developed novel chiral europium directed, luminescent self-assemblies. These amphiphilic molecules

were studied in solutions, as well as used in the formation of stable self-assembled monolayers at an air-water interface. By transferring these monolayers onto quartz slides forming Langmuir-Blodgett films, we were able to generate Eu(III) luminescent monolayers, and probe for the first time, the chiral emission from such films using CPL. These self-assemblies represent the first examples of lanthanide CPL emitting amphiphilic self-assemblies; an exciting area or research we are currently investigating.

Received: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

Published online on ((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

Keywords: Chiral • Luminescence • Lanthanide • Langmuir-Blodgett • Surface

- [1] S. Brooker, J. A. Kitchen, *Dalton Trans.* **2009**, 7331-7340.
- [2] S. V. Eliseeva, J.-C. G. Bünzli, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2010**, 39, 189-227.
- [3] O. Ikkala, ten G. Brinke, *Science* **2002**, 295, 2407-2409.
- [4] L.-M. Xu, X. Chen, K.-Z. Yang, *Curr. Top. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2003**, 6, 113-119.
- [5] a) S. V. Eliseeva, J.-C. G. Bünzli, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **2010**, 39, 189-227. b) K. Binnemans, *Chem. Rev.* **2009**, 109, 4283-4374.
- [6] C. Benelli, D. Gatteschi, *Chem. Rev.* **2002**, 102, 2369-2387.
- [7] M. H. Keefe, K. D. Benkstein, J. T. Hupp, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2000**, 205, 201-228.
- [8] D. Parker, R. S. Dickins, H. Puschmann, C. Crossland, J. A. K. Howard, *Chem. Rev.* **2002**, 102, 1977-2010.
- [9] a) S. Faulkner, L. S. Natrajan, W. S. Perry, D. Sykes, *Dalton Trans.* **2009**, 3890-3899b) M. D. Ward, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2007**, 251, 1663-1677.
- [10] S. M. Goldup, D. A. Leigh, P. J. Lusby, R. T. McBurney, A. M. Z. Slawin, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, 47, 6999.
- [11] a) A. J. Prikhod'ko, F. Durolo, J. P. Sauvage, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, 130, 448. b) K. S. Chichak, S. J. Cantrill, A. R. Pease, S.-H. Chui, G. W. V. Cave, J. L. Atwood, J. F. Stoddart, *Science* **2004**, 304, 1308.
- [12] a) C. M. G. dos Santos, A. J. Harte, S. J. Quinn, T. Gunnlaugsson, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, **2008**, 252, 2512. b) J. P. Leonard, C. B. Nolan, F. Stomeo, T. Gunnlaugsson, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, **2007**, 281, 1. c) S. E. Plush, T. Gunnlaugsson, *Org. Lett.* **2007**, 9, 1919. d) S. J. A. Pope, B. J. Coe, S. Faulkner, E. V. Bichenkova, X. Yu, K. T. Douglas, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, 126, 9490. e) T. Lazarides, D. Skykes, S. Faulkner, A. Barbieri, M. D. Ward, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2008**, 14, 9389. f) T. Lazarides, S. G. Baca, S. J. A. Pope, H. Adams, M. D. Ward, *Inorg. Chem.* **2008**, 47, 3736.
- [13] a) C. Butler, S. Goetz, C. M. Fitchett, P. E. Kruger, T. Gunnlaugsson, *Inorg. Chem.*, **2011**, 50, 2723. b) M. Cantuel, C. Lincheneau, T. Buffeteau, L. Jonusauskaite, T. Gunnlaugsson, G. Jonusauskas, N. McClenaghan, *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, 46, 2486 c) A. M. Nonat, S. J. Quinn, T. Gunnlaugsson, *Inorg. Chem.* **2009**, 48, 1646. d) A. M. Nonat, A. J. Harte, K. Sénéchal-David, J. P. Leonard, T. Gunnlaugsson, *Dalton Trans.* **2009**, 4703. e) T. Riis-Johannessen, G. Bernardinelli, Y. Filinchuk, S. Clifford, N. D. Favera, C. Piguet, *Inorg. Chem.*, **2009**, 48, 5512-5525.
- [14] a) C. Piguet, *Chem Commun.* **2010**, 46, 6209-6231. b) J.-C. G. Bünzli, *Chem. Rev.*, **2010**, 110, 2729-2755. c) J.-C. G. Bünzli, *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2006**, 39, 53-61. d) J.-C. G. Bünzli, C. Piguet, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2005**, 34, 1048-1077.
- [15] a) J. Hamacek, C. Besnard, T. Penhouet, P. Y. Morgantini, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2011**, 17, 6753-6764. b) G. Canard, S. Koeller, G. Bernardinelli, C. Piguet, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2008**, 130, 1025. c) A.-S. Chauvin, S. Comby, B. Song, C. D. B. Vandevyver, J.-C. G. Bünzli, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2008**, 14, 1726-1739. d) S. Torelli, D. Imbert, M. Cantuel, G. Bernardinelli, S. Delahaye, A. Hauser, J.-C. G. Bünzli, C. Piguet, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2005**, 11, 3228.
- [16] a) B. El Aroussi, S. Zebret, C. Besnard, P. Perrotet, J. Hamacek, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2011**, 133, 10764. b) M. Han, H.-Y. Zhang, L.-X. Yang, Q. Jiang, Y. Liu, *Org. Lett.*, **2008**, 10, 5557-5560. c) M. Albrecht, O. Ossetka, R. Frehlich, J.-C. G. Bünzli, A. Aebischer, F. Gumy, J. Hamacek, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, 129, 14178.
- [17] J. A. Kitchen, C. Gandolfi, M. Albrecht, G. N. L. Jameson, J. L. Tallon, S. Brooker, *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, 46, 6464-6466.
- [18] a) C. Lincheneau, J. P. Leonard, T. McCabe, T. Gunnlaugsson, *Chem. Commun.*, **2011**, 47, 7119-7121. b) J. P. Leonard, P. Jensen, T. McCabe, J. E. O'Brien, R. D. Peacock, P. E. Kruger, T. Gunnlaugsson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, 129, 10986-10987.
- [19] a) F. Stomeo, C. Lincheneau, J. P. Leonard, J. E. O'Brien, R. D. Peacock, C. P. McCoy, T. Gunnlaugsson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, 131, 9636-9637. b) S. Comby, F. Stomeo, C. P. McCoy, T. Gunnlaugsson, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **2009**, 92, 2461-2473.
- [20] C. Lincheneau, R. D. Peacock, T. Gunnlaugsson, *Chem. Asian J.* **2010**, 5, 500-504.
- [21] a) S. Comby, T. Gunnlaugsson, *ACS Nano*, **2011**, 5, DOI: 10.1021/nn201992z. b) J. Massue, S. J. Quinn, T. Gunnlaugsson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2008**, 130, 6900. c) C. S. Bonnet, J. Massue, S. J. Quinn, T. Gunnlaugsson, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2009**, 7, 3074. d) N. S. Murray, S. P. Jarvis, T. Gunnlaugsson, *Chem. Commun.*, **2009**, 4959.
- [22] a) G. Muller, *Dalton Trans.*, **2009**, 9692-9707. b) J. Gregolinski, P. Starynowicz, K. T. Hua, J. L. Lunkley, G. Muller, J. J. Lisowski, *Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2008**, 130, 17761.
- [23] B. Lemmetyinen, E. Vuorimaa, A. Jutila, V.-M. Mikkala, H. Takalo, J. Kankare, *Luminescence* **2000**, 15, 341-350.
- [24] B. Yan, B. Xu, *J. Fluoresc.* **2009**, 19, 663-671.
- [25] D.-J. Qian, K.-Z. Yang, H. Nakahara, K. Fukuda, *Langmuir* **1997**, 13, 5925-5932.
- [26] P. J. Dutton, L. Conte, *Langmuir* **1999**, 15, 613-617.
- [27] T. Ito, H. Yashiro, T. Yamase, *J. Clust. Sci.* **2006**, 17, 375-397.
- [28] J. M. Huttunen, M. Virkki, M. Erkintalo, E. Vuorimaa, A. Efimov, H. Lemmetyinen, M. Kauranen, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2010**, 1, 1826-1829.
- [29] X.-M. Xiang, D.-J. Qian, F.-Y. Li, H.-T. Chen, H.-G. Liu, W. Huang, X.-S. Feng, *Colloid Surface A* **2006**, 273, 29-34.
- [30] J. Wang, H. Wang, Z. Wang, Y. Yin, F. Liu, H. Li, L. Fu, H. Zhang, *J. Alloy Compd.* **2004**, 365, 102.
- [31] T. Ito, T. Yamase, *J. Alloy Compd.* **2006**, 408, 813.
- [32] F. L. Sousa, A. S. Ferreira, R. A. S. Ferreira, A. M. V. Cavaleiro, L. D. Carlos, H. I. S. Nogueira, T. Trindade, *J. Alloy Compd.* **2004**, 374, 371.
- [33] J. Wang, H. Wang, L. Fu, F. Liu, H. Zhang, *Thin Solid Films* **2002**, 415, 242.
- [34] B. Xu, H.-X. Zhu, B. Yan, *Inorg. Chem. Comm.* **2010**, 13, 1448-1450.
- [35] B. Xu, B. Yan, *Colloid Surface A* **2008**, 329, 7-11.
- [36] K. Binnemans, C. Grollier-Walrand, *Chem. Rev.* **2002**, 102, 2303-2345.
- [37] B. Yan, B. Xu, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2008**, 254, 7237-7242.
- [38] M. Hasegawa, S. Kunisaki, H. Ohtsu, Werner, F. *Monatsh. Chem.* **2009**, 140, 751-763.
- [39] M. C. Petty, *Langmuir-Blodgett Films: An Introduction*. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, 1996.
- [40] R. Johann, D. Vollhardt, *Mat. Sci. Eng. C* **1999**, 8-9, 35-42.
- [41] D. K. Chatteraj, E. Halder, K. P. Das, A. Mitra, *Adv. Colloid Interfac.* **2000**, 123-126, 151.

Chiral Lanthanide Emissive LB Films

Jonathan A. Kitchen^{*}, Dawn E. Barry,
Laszlo Merics, Martin Albrecht, Robert
D. Peacock, and Thorfinnur
Gunnlaugsson^{*} _____ **Page –**
Page

**Circular polarized lanthanide
luminescence from Langmuir-
Blodgett films formed from optically
active and amphiphilic Eu(III) based
self-assembly complexes**

Experimental details

General remarks

All chemicals were purchased from commercial sources and used as received. Solvents were HPLC grade and were used without further purification. Elemental analyses were carried out at the Microanalytical Laboratory, School of Chemistry and Chemical Biology, University College Dublin. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer-Spectrum-One FT-IR spectrometer equipped with a Universal-ATR sampling accessory; solid samples were recorded directly as neat samples; in cm^{-1} . NMR data were recorded on a Bruker-DPX-400-Avance spectrometer (400.13 (^1H) and 100.6 MHz (^{13}C)) or a Bruker-AV-600 spectrometer (600.13 (^1H) and 150.2 MHz (^{13}C)), in commercially available deuterated solvents; δ in ppm relative to SiMe_4 (= 0 ppm) referenced relative to the internal solvent signals, J in Hz; data were processed with Bruker Win-NMR 5.0 and Topspin 2.1 softwares.

Circular dichroism (CD) spectra were recorded in CH_3CN on a Jasco J-810-150S spectropolarimeter. Emission spectra and lifetime measurements were measured with a Varian-Cary-Eclipse luminescence spectrometer. In a typical spectrophotometric titration, 3.0 ml of ligand **1** or **2** at a concentration of $1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ was titrated with a ca. $5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ Eu(III) solution. Circularly polarised luminescence (CPL) spectra were recorded by Dr. R. Peacock at the University of Glasgow. Excitation of Eu(III) (560-581 nm) was accomplished by using a Coherent 599 tuneable dye laser (0.03 nm resolution) with argon ion laser as a pump source. Calibration of the emission monochromator was accomplished by passing scattered light from a low power He-Ne laser through the detection system. The optical detection system consisted of a photoelastic modulator (PEM, Hinds Int.) operating at 50 kHz and a linear polariser, which together act as a circular analyser, followed by a long pass filter, focusing lens and a 0.22 m double monochromator. The emitted light was detected by a cooled EM1-9558QB photomultiplier tube operating in photon counting mode. The 50 KHz reference signal from the photoelastic modulator was used to direct the incoming pulses into two separated counters. An up counter, which counts every photon pulse and thus is a measure of the total luminescence signal $I = I_{\text{left}} + I_{\text{right}}$, and an up/down counter, which adds pulses when the analyser is transmitting to the left circularly polarised light and subtracts pulses when the analyser is transmitting right circularly polarised light. The second counter provides a measure of the differential emission intensity $\Delta I = I_{\text{left}} - I_{\text{right}}$.

Complexation reactions were carried out in 2-5 mL Biotage Microwave Vials in a Biotage Initiator Eight EXP microwave reactor. Reactions were performed at 70 °C for 10 minutes in HPLC grade methanol.

Pressure–area isotherms and time stability were measured at 25 °C on a KSV MiniMicro Langmuir-Blodgett trough (KSV, Finland) with a surface area between 1700 and 8700 mm^2 . Water was purified with a Milli-Q® Integral system (Millipore), and its resistivity was measured to be higher than 18 M Ω cm. Chloroform (puriss. p.a. $\geq 99.8\%$, Sigma-Aldrich) was used as spreading solvent for the ligands; a 9:1 mixture of chloroform/MeOH for $[\text{Eu}^{\text{III}}(\text{L})_3](\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3)_3$. Typically drops (20 μL) of the surfactant solution ($\sim 0.25 \text{ mM}$) were deposited using a microsyringe on the water subphase. After leaving the solvent to evaporate for 20 min, the barriers were compressed at 6 mm min^{-1} (3 $\text{cm}^2 \text{ min}^{-1}$) and the surface pressure was monitored using a platinum Wilhelmy plate.

X-ray data (Table S1) was collected on a Rigaku Saturn 724 CCD Diffractometer using graphite-monochromated Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$). The data was collected using Crystalclear-SM 1.4.0 software. Data integration, reduction and correction for absorption and polarization effects were all performed using Crystalclear-SM 1.4.0 software. Space group determination was obtained using Crystal structure ver. 3.8. The structure was solved by direct methods (SHELXS-97) and refined against all F^2 data (SHELXL-97).¹ All H-atoms, except for N-H protons, were positioned geometrically and refined using a riding model with $d(\text{CH}_{\text{aro}}) = 0.95 \text{ \AA}$, $U_{\text{iso}} = 1.2 U_{\text{eq}} (\text{C})$ for aromatic, $d(\text{CH}) = 1.0 \text{ \AA}$, $U_{\text{iso}} = 1.2 U_{\text{eq}} (\text{C})$ for CH, $0.99 \text{ \AA}$, $U_{\text{iso}} = 1.2 U_{\text{eq}} (\text{C})$ for CH_2 and $0.98 \text{ \AA}$, $U_{\text{iso}} = 1.2 U_{\text{eq}} (\text{C})$ for CH_3 . Amide N-H protons were found from the difference map and fixed to the attached atoms with $U_{\text{H}} = 1.2 U_{\text{N}}$.

Synthesis of N_2 -[1-hexadecyl]- N_6 -[(1R or S)-1-(naphthalen-1-yl)ethyl]pyridine-2,6-dicarboxamides (**1** and **2**)

N_6 -(1(R)-Naphthalen-1-yl-ethylcarbamoyl)-pyridine-2-carboxylic acid (**3**) (0.5 g, 1.5 mmol) and HOBt (0.21 g, 1.5 mmol) were added to THF (20 mL) and put under argon. Hexadecylamine (0.377 g, 1.5 mmol) and Et_3N (0.23 mL, 1.6 mmol) were added and the orange solution cooled to 0 °C before EDCI·HCl (0.315 g, 1.6 mmol) was added. After one hour the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirring was continued for 36 hours. THF was removed *in vacuo* to give an orange oil that was subsequently taken up in DCM, washed with 1M HCl (4 x 20 mL), NaHCO_3 (2 x 20 mL), water (1 x 20 mL) and brine (20 mL). The DCM layer was dried over Na_2SO_4 . Removal of DCM yielded N_2 -[1-hexadecyl]- N_6 -[(1R)-1-(naphthalen-1-yl)ethyl]pyridine-2,6-dicarboxamide (**1**) as a pale orange oil (606 mg, 74%). ^1H -NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3 , 298 K): δ 8.37 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, pyr-H), 8.28 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, pyr-H), 8.25 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, NH), 8.17 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, NH), 7.95 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, pyr-H), 7.83 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, nap-H), 7.76 (m, 2H, nap-H), 7.52 (m, 3H, nap-H), 7.38 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, nap-H), 6.11 (q, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H, MeCH), 3.33 (m, 1H NH- CH_2), 3.25 (m, 1H NH- CH_2), 1.78 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H, CHCH_3), 1.47 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H, NHCH_2CH_2), 1.28 (s, 26H, $13 \times \text{CH}_2$), 0.90 (t, J = 6.6, 3H, CH_2CH_3). ^{13}C -NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3 , 298 K): δ 163.3 (CO), 162.7 (CO), 148.9 (C), 148.6 (C), 138.8 (CH), 138.0 (C), 133.9 (C), 131.1 (C), 128.9 (CH), 128.4 (CH), 126.6 (CH), 125.9 (CH), 125.1 (CH), 125.0 (CH), 125.0 (CH), 123.4 (CH), 122.8 (CH), 45.3 (CH), 39.6 (CH_2), 31.9 (CH_2), 29.7-29.3 ($11 \times \text{CH}_2$), 26.9 (CH_2), 22.7 (CH_2), 20.9 (CH_3), 14.1 (CH_3) ppm. IR(neat): 3303, 2922, 2852, 1650 (C=O), 1523, 1443, 1375, 1310, 1238, 1173, 1118, 1075, 999, 845, 799, 776, 741, 721, 676 cm^{-1} . HR-ESI-MS: 566.3720 ($[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$, $\text{C}_{35}\text{H}_{49}\text{N}_3\text{O}_2\text{Na}^+$; calc. 566.3722).

*N*₆-(1(*S*)-Naphthalen-1-yl-ethylcarbamoyl)-pyridine-2-carboxylic acid (**4**) (0.37 g, 1.05 mmol) and HOBt (0.142 g, 1.05 mmol) were added to THF (20 mL) and put under argon. Hexadecylamine (0.254 g, 1.05 mmol) and Et₃N (0.154 mL, 1.10 mmol) were added and the orange solution cooled to 0 °C before EDCI·HCl (0.211 g, 1.10 mmol) was added. After one hour the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirring was continued for 24 hours. THF was removed *in vacuo* to give an orange oil that was subsequently taken up in DCM, washed with 1M HCl (4 x 20 mL), NaHCO₃ (2 x 20 mL), water (1 x 20 mL) and brine (20 mL). The DCM layer was dried over Na₂SO₄. Removal of DCM yielded *N*₂-[1-hexadecyl]-*N*₆-[(1*S*)-1-(naphthalen-1-yl)ethyl]pyridine-2,6-dicarboxamide (**2**) as a pale yellow oil (322 mg, 82%). ¹H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): δ 8.38 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H, pyr-*H*), 8.30 (d, *J* = 7.68 Hz, 1H, pyr-*H*), 8.19 (d, *J* = 8.52 Hz, 1H, nap-*H*), 7.98 (t, *J* = 7.86 Hz, 1H, pyr-*H*) 7.86 (d, *J* = 7.86 Hz, 1H, nap-*H*), 7.7 (d, *J* = 8.16 Hz, 1H, nap-*H*), 7.59 (d, *J* = 7.14 Hz, 1H, nap-*H*), 7.55 (t, *J* = 6.93 Hz, 1H, nap-*H*), 7.50 (t, *J* = 7.44 Hz, 1H, nap-*H*), 7.42 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H, nap-*H*), 6.12 (m, 1H, MeCH), 3.38 (m, 1H, NH-CH₂), 3.30 (m, 1H, NH-CH₂), 1.82 (d, *J* = 6.78 Hz, 3H, CHCH₃), 1.49 (m, 2H, NHCH₂CH₂), 1.27 (s, 26H, 13×CH₂), 0.9 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3H, CH₂CH₃). ¹³C-NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃, 298 K): 163.3 (CO), 162.7 (CO), 148.8 (C), 148.6 (C), 138.7 (CH), 138.0 (C), 133.8 (C), 131.0 (C), 128.8 (CH), 128.3 (CH), 126.5 (CH), 125.8 (CH), 125.0 (CH), 124.9 (CH), 124.8 (CH), 123.2 (CH), 122.7 (CH), 45.1 (CH), 39.5 (CH₂), 31.8 (CH₂), 29.6-29.2 (11×CH₂), 26.9 (CH₂), 22.6 (CH₂), 20.8 (CH₃), 14.0 (CH₃). IR(neat): 3309, 2921, 2852, 1654 (C=O), 1524, 1443, 1375, 1237, 1074, 999, 844, 798, 776, 722, 677 cm⁻¹. HR-ESI-MS: 566.3722 ([M+Na]⁺, C₃₅H₄₉N₃O₂Na⁺; calc. 566.3722).

Synthesis of Eu(III) complexes **1₃Eu** and **2₃Eu**:

General procedure

1 or **2** (30 mg, 0.06 mmol) in 5 mL MeOH had solid Eu(CF₃SO₃)₃ (18 mg, 0.03 mmol) added and was heated at 70 °C under microwave irradiation for 10 minutes. The resulting clear yellow solutions were subjected to vapour diffusion of diethyl ether resulting in white solids.

[Eu(**1**)₃](CF₃SO₃)₃ (**1₃Eu**), white solid, 22 mg (42%). Elemental analysis for C₁₀₈H₁₄₇N₉O₁₅F₉S₃Eu (2229.92 g mol⁻¹) calc: C 58.15, H 6.64, N 5.65; found C 57.16, H 6.31, N 5.55%. IR(neat): 3274, 3100, 2924, 2854, 1634 (C=O), 1595, 1558, 1457, 1275, 1241, 1225, 1197, 1163, 1030, 839, 801, 779, 752, 721, 661 cm⁻¹. HR-MALDI-MS: 1537.5897 ([M-L-CF₃SO₃]⁺, C₇₂H₉₈N₆O₁₀F₆S₂Eu⁺; calc 1537.5903).

[Eu(**2**)₃](CF₃SO₃)₃ (**2₃Eu**), white solid, 21 mg (40%). Elemental analysis for C₁₀₈H₁₄₇N₉O₁₅F₉S₃Eu (2229.92 g mol⁻¹) calc: C 58.15, H 6.64, N 5.65; found C 57.99, H 6.50, N 5.58%. IR(neat): 3284, 2920, 2852, 1633 (C=O), 1594, 1560, 1459, 1242, 1187, 1165, 1029, 839, 801, 779, 753, 720 cm⁻¹. HR-MALDI-MS: 1537.5876 ([M-L-CF₃SO₃]⁺, C₇₂H₉₈N₆O₁₀F₆S₂Eu⁺; calc. 1537.5903).

Figures and Tables

Figure S1: CD spectra of **1** (blue) and **2** (red) in MeCN.

Table S1: Crystal data and structure refinement for **1**.

CCDC entry	814658	
Empirical formula	$C_{35}H_{49}N_3O_2$	
Formula weight	543.77	
Temperature	108(2) K	
Wavelength	0.71073 Å	
Crystal system	Monoclinic	
Space group	P2(1)	
Unit cell dimensions	$a = 11.246(2)$ Å	$a = 90^\circ$
	$b = 17.766(4)$ Å	$b = 95.11(3)^\circ$
	$c = 16.042(3)$ Å	$c = 90^\circ$
Volume	$3192.4(11)$ Å ³	
Z	4	
Density (calculated)	1.131 Mg/m ³	
Absorption coefficient	0.070 mm ⁻¹	
F(000)	1184	
Crystal size	0.60 x 0.20 x 0.20 mm ³	
Theta range for data collection	1.71 to 24.50°	
Index ranges	$-13 \leq h \leq 13$, $-17 \leq k \leq 20$, $-18 \leq l \leq 18$	
Reflections collected	24917	
Independent reflections	5505 [R(int) = 0.1072]	
Completeness to theta = 24.50°	99.9 %	
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equivalents	
Max. and min. transmission	0.9862 and 0.9593	
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²	
Data / restraints / parameters	5505 / 1 / 725	
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.209	
Final R indices [I > 2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0899, wR2 = 0.1995	
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.1077, wR2 = 0.2174	
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.247 and -0.317 e.Å ⁻³

Figure S2: Perspective view of "straight" chain molecule of **1**. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Figure S3: Perspective view of "bent" chain molecule of **1**. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Figure S4: Luminescence spectra of 1_3Eu (blue) and 2_3Eu (red) in MeOH at RT.

Figure S5: Luminescence spectra of 1_3Eu (blue) and 2_3Eu (red) in MeCN at RT.

Figure S6: Eu(III) excited state decay of 1_3Eu in H_2O (left) and D_2O (right) and the observed fitting of the decay.

Figure S7: Eu(III) excited state decay of 2_3Eu in H_2O (left) and D_2O (right) and the observed fitting of the decay.

Table S2: Lifetimes of 1_3Eu and 2_3Eu in H_2O and D_2O and calculated hydration states (q).

	Lifetime ($\tau_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$) in H_2O (ms)	Lifetime ($\tau_{\text{D}_2\text{O}}$) in D_2O (ms)	Hydration state (q) ± 0.5
1_3Eu	1.471	1.603	-0.22
2_3Eu	1.489	1.537	-0.27
Lifetimes of monolayers			
1_3Eu	1.477	0.088	
2_3Eu	1.502	0.350	

q values in water formula: $q = A (1/\tau_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} - 1/\tau_{\text{D}_2\text{O}} - 0.25)$ with $A = 1.2$

Figure S8: Overall changes in the Eu(III) phosphorescence spectra upon titrating **1** (1×10^{-5}) with $\text{Eu}(\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3)_3$ in MeCN at RT.

Figure S9: Experimental binding isotherms of the Eu(III) phosphorescence-emission intensities for titration of **1** (left) or **2** (right) at λ 595 ($^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_1$), 615 ($^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_2$) and 695 nm ($^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow ^7\text{F}_4$) vs. the equiv. of $\text{Eu}(\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3)_3$.

Figure S10: Experimental binding isotherms for the changes in the Eu(III) luminescence spectra upon titrating **1** (1×10^{-5} M) with $\text{Eu}(\text{SO}_3\text{CF}_3)_3$ in MeCN at room temperature and their corresponding fit by means of SPECFIT (—).

Figure S11: Speciation-distribution diagram obtained from the titration of **1** with $\text{Eu}(\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3)_3$.

Table S3: Binding constants and % formation of species obtained for **1** and **2** vs $\text{Eu}(\text{III})$ from UV-Vis and Luminescence.

UV-vis			Luminescence		
$\text{Log } \beta_{1:1}$	$\text{Log } \beta_{1:2}$	$\text{Log } \beta_{1:3}$	$\text{Log } \beta_{1:1}$	$\text{Log } \beta_{1:2}$	$\text{Log } \beta_{1:3}$
6.7 ± 0.2	13.8 (fixed)	20.5 ± 0.3	6.7 ± 0.2	14.3 ± 0.3	21.9 ± 0.4
6.6 ± 0.2	13.8 (fixed)	20.9 ± 0.3	6.7 ± 0.1	13.3 ± 0.2	19.9 ± 0.2
% Formation for M:L = 1:3			% Formation for M:L = 1:3		
-	8	82	-	19	70
-	3	87	-	7	80

Figure S12: Experimental binding isotherms for the changes in the absorbance spectra upon titrating **1** (1×10^{-5} M) with $\text{Eu}(\text{SO}_3\text{CF}_3)_3$ (0-5 equiv.) in MeCN at RT and their corresponding fit by means of SPECFIT (—) (left). Speciation-distribution diagram obtained from the titration of **1** with $\text{Eu}(\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3)_3$ (right).

Figure S13: Experimental binding isotherms for the changes in the absorbance spectra upon titrating **2** (1×10^{-5} M) with $\text{Eu}(\text{SO}_3\text{CF}_3)_3$ (0-6 equiv.) in MeCN at RT and their corresponding fit by means of SPECFIT (—) (left). Speciation-distribution diagram obtained from the titration of **2** with $\text{Eu}(\text{CF}_3\text{SO}_3)_3$ (right).

Figure S14: CD spectra of $\mathbf{1}_3\text{Eu}$ (blue) and $\mathbf{2}_3\text{Eu}$ (red) in MeCN.

Figure S15: The total Eu(III) emission (black) and CPL (blue) of 1_3Eu (left) and total Eu(III) emission (black) and CPL (red) of 2_3Eu (right).

Figure S16: The absorption spectrum (left), the excitation spectrum, $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 615 \text{ nm}$ (centre) and the phosphorescence emission spectrum, $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 281 \text{ nm}$ (right) of 1_3Eu immobilised on a quartz slide.

Figure S17: The absorption spectrum (left), the excitation spectrum, $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 615 \text{ nm}$ (centre) and the phosphorescence emission spectrum, $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 281 \text{ nm}$ (right) of 2_3Eu immobilised on a quartz slide.

1. G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A*, 2008, **A64**, 112.